

# Generalized Serre–Tate Ordinary Theory

Adrian Vasiu\*

December 1, 2007

**Abstract.** We study a generalization of Serre–Tate theory of ordinary abelian varieties and their deformation spaces. This generalization deals with abelian varieties equipped with additional structures. The additional structures can be not only an action of a semisimple algebra and a polarization, but more generally the data given by some “crystalline Hodge cycles” (a  $p$ -adic version of a Hodge cycle in the sense of motives). Compared to Serre–Tate ordinary theory, new phenomena appear in this generalized context.

We give an application of this theory to the existence of “good” integral models of those Shimura varieties whose adjoints are products of simple, adjoint Shimura varieties of  $D_l^{\mathbf{H}}$  type with  $l \geq 4$ .

**Key words:**  $p$ -divisible groups and objects,  $F$ -crystals, Newton polygons, ordinariness, canonical lifts, reductive group schemes, formal Lie groups, abelian varieties, Shimura varieties, integral models, Hodge cycles, complex multiplication, and deformation spaces.

**MSC 2000:** 11G10, 11G15, 11G18, 14F20, 14F30, 14F40, 14G35, 14K10, 14K22, 14L05, 14L15, and 17B45.

---

\* Department of Mathematical Sciences, Binghamton University, Binghamton, NY 13902-6000, U.S.A. e-mail: adrian@math.binghamton.edu

# *Contents*

|                                                                  |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| <b>1 Introduction</b>                                            | 4  |
| 1.1. Classical Serre–Tate ordinary theory                        | 5  |
| 1.2. Translation of the classical theory into our language       | 7  |
| 1.3. Generalized language                                        | 12 |
| 1.4. Main results on Shimura $p$ -divisible objects              | 16 |
| 1.5. Standard Hodge situations                                   | 19 |
| 1.6. Main results on standard Hodge situations                   | 24 |
| 1.7. On applications                                             | 26 |
| 1.8. Extra literature                                            | 27 |
| 1.9. Our main motivation                                         | 28 |
| 1.10. More on organization                                       | 29 |
| <b>2 Preliminaries</b>                                           | 30 |
| 2.1. Standard notations for the abstract theory                  | 32 |
| 2.2. Descending slope filtration Lemma                           | 33 |
| 2.3. Elementary properties of reductive group schemes            | 34 |
| 2.4. Natural $\mathbf{Z}_p$ structures and applications          | 39 |
| 2.5. Lie $F$ -isocrystals and parabolic subgroup schemes         | 41 |
| 2.6. Ten group schemes                                           | 43 |
| 2.7. The opposition principle                                    | 45 |
| 2.8. Elementary crystalline complements                          | 46 |
| <b>3 Abstract theory, I: proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2</b>  | 50 |
| 3.1. On Hodge cocharacters                                       | 50 |
| 3.2. A general outline                                           | 54 |
| 3.3. The essence of the proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2       | 56 |
| 3.4. The split, adjoint, cyclic context                          | 57 |
| 3.5. The proof of the property 3.3 (a)                           | 64 |
| 3.6. Formulas for group normalizers                              | 71 |
| 3.7. The proof of the property 3.3 (b)                           | 74 |
| 3.8. End of the proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2               | 76 |
| 3.9. On Hasse–Witt invariants                                    | 81 |
| <b>4 Abstract theory, II: proofs of Theorems 1.4.3 and 1.4.4</b> | 83 |
| 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.4.3                                      | 83 |

|                                                                                                               |            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 4.2. Proofs of Theorem 1.4.4 and Corollary 1.4.5.....                                                         | 87         |
| 4.3. Complements .....                                                                                        | 90         |
| <b>5 Abstract theory, III: formal Lie groups .....</b>                                                        | <b>93</b>  |
| 5.1. Sign $p$ -divisible groups .....                                                                         | 93         |
| 5.2. Minimal decompositions .....                                                                             | 95         |
| 5.3. Formal Lie groups: the adjoint, cyclic case .....                                                        | 102        |
| 5.4. Formal Lie groups: the general case .....                                                                | 105        |
| <b>6 Geometric theory: proofs of the Theorems 1.6.1 to 1.6.3.....</b>                                         | <b>108</b> |
| 6.1. Fontaine comparison theory .....                                                                         | 108        |
| 6.2. Deformation theory .....                                                                                 | 113        |
| 6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6.1 .....                                                                             | 122        |
| 6.4. The existence of geometric lifts .....                                                                   | 124        |
| 6.5. Proofs of Theorems 1.6.2 and 1.6.3 .....                                                                 | 128        |
| <b>7 Examples and complements .....</b>                                                                       | <b>135</b> |
| 7.1. On the Newton polygon $\mathfrak{N}_0$ .....                                                             | 135        |
| 7.2. Modulo $p$ interpretation .....                                                                          | 136        |
| 7.3. Examples on $p$ -ranks .....                                                                             | 138        |
| 7.4. On the Sh-ordinary locus $\mathcal{O}$ .....                                                             | 140        |
| 7.5. Example for U-ordinariness .....                                                                         | 141        |
| <b>8 Two special applications.....</b>                                                                        | <b>144</b> |
| 8.1. Applications to a conjecture of Milne .....                                                              | 144        |
| 8.2. Applications to integral canonical models .....                                                          | 151        |
| <b>9 Generalization of the properties 1.1 (f) to (h) to the context of<br/>standard Hodge situations.....</b> | <b>155</b> |
| 9.1. Generalization of the property 1.1 (f) .....                                                             | 156        |
| 9.2. Generalization of the property 1.1 (g).....                                                              | 161        |
| 9.3. Basic notations and definitions.....                                                                     | 163        |
| 9.4. Theorem (the existence of canonical formal Lie group structures for $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ )            | 165        |
| 9.5. Complements .....                                                                                        | 168        |
| 9.6. Ramified valued points .....                                                                             | 172        |
| 9.7. A functorial property .....                                                                              | 176        |
| 9.8. Four operations for the case $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ .....                                               | 177        |
| <b>References .....</b>                                                                                       | <b>184</b> |

# 1 Introduction

In this monograph we develop a theory of ordinary abelian varieties and  $p$ -divisible groups with additional structures. We use it to give applications to the existence of “good” integral models of those Shimura varieties whose adjoints are products of simple, adjoint Shimura varieties of  $D_l^{\mathbf{H}}$  type with  $l \geq 4$ .

The additional structures can be defined by an action of a semisimple algebra, by a polarization, or more generally by some “ $p$ -adic Hodge cycles”.

In this introduction we first begin by recalling the classical Serre–Tate ordinary theory of abelian varieties and their deformation spaces. In our theory this will correspond to the case of a general linear group, the more general case corresponding to a “ $p$ -adic Mumford–Tate group” (i.e., a  $p$ -adic reductive group scheme whose generic fibre fixes the Hodge tensors that define the additional structures). After having recalled the classical Serre–Tate ordinary theory, we will give the translation of part of it into our language. Then we will explain the more general objects we consider and we will state our main results. We will end the introduction by mentioning applications and our main motivations.

For reader’s convenience, here is a list of standard notions and notations that pertain to (group) schemes. The reader ought to look into it only when needed.

**Standard language and notations on (group) schemes.** We recall that a group scheme  $H$  over an affine scheme  $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$  is called *reductive*, if it is smooth and affine and its fibres are connected and have trivial unipotent radicals (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XIX, Def. 2.7]). It is known that  $H$  is of finite presentation over  $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ , cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XIX, Sect. 2.1 or Rm. 2.9]. If  $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$  is connected, then  $H$  is a *semisimple* group scheme (resp. is a *torus*) if and only if one fibre of  $H$  is a semisimple group (resp. is a torus) (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XIX, Cor. 2.6]). Let  $H^{\mathrm{der}}$ ,  $Z(H)$ ,  $H^{\mathrm{ab}}$ , and  $H^{\mathrm{ad}}$  be the *derived group scheme* of  $H$ , the *center* of  $H$ , the *maximal commutative quotient* of  $H$ , and the *adjoint group scheme* of  $H$  (respectively). Thus we have  $H^{\mathrm{ad}} = H/Z(H)$  and  $H^{\mathrm{ab}} = H/H^{\mathrm{der}}$ , cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Def. 4.3.6 and Thm. 6.2.1]. Moreover  $H^{\mathrm{der}}$  and  $H^{\mathrm{ad}}$  are semisimple group schemes,  $H^{\mathrm{ab}}$  is a torus, and (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Cor. 4.1.7])  $Z(H)$  is a group scheme of *multiplicative type*. Let  $Z^0(H)$  be the maximal torus of  $Z(H)$ ; the quotient group scheme  $Z(H)/Z^0(H)$  is finite and of multiplicative type.

Let  $F$  be a closed subgroup scheme of  $H$ ; if  $R$  is not a field, then we assume  $F$  is smooth. Let  $\mathrm{Lie}(F)$  be the *Lie algebra* over  $R$  of  $F$ . As  $R$ -modules, we identify  $\mathrm{Lie}(F)$  with  $\mathrm{Ker}(F(R[x]/x^2) \rightarrow F(R))$ , where the  $R$ -epimorphism  $R[x]/(x^2) \twoheadrightarrow R$  takes  $x$  to 0. The Lie bracket on  $\mathrm{Lie}(F)$  is obtained by taking the total differential of the commutator morphism  $F \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} F \rightarrow F$  at identity sections. Often we say  $F$  and  $H$  are over  $R$  and we write  $F \times_R F$  instead of  $F \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} F$ . For a finite, flat, affine morphism  $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ ,

let  $\text{Res}_{R/R_0} F$  be the group scheme over  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$  obtained from  $F$  through the *Weil restriction of scalars* (see [BLR, Ch. 7, Sect. 7.6] and [Va5, Subsect. 2.3]).

By a *parabolic subgroup scheme* of  $H$  we mean a smooth, closed subgroup scheme  $P_H$  of  $H$  whose fibres are parabolic subgroup schemes over spectra of fields. The *unipotent radical*  $U_H$  of  $P_H$  is the maximal unipotent, smooth, normal, closed subgroup scheme of  $P_H$ ; it has connected fibres. The quotient group scheme  $P_H/U_H$  exists and is reductive, cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.6]. We identify  $U_H$  with the closed subgroup scheme of  $H^{\text{ad}}$  which is the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup scheme  $\text{Im}(P_H \rightarrow H^{\text{ad}})$  of  $H^{\text{ad}}$ . By a *Levi subgroup scheme*  $L_H$  of  $P_H$  we mean a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of  $P_H$  such that the natural homomorphism  $L_H \rightarrow P_H/U_H$  is an isomorphism.

For a free  $R$ -module  $M$  of finite rank, let  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  be the reductive group scheme over  $\text{Spec}(R)$  of linear automorphisms of  $M$ . Thus for a commutative  $R$ -algebra  $R_1$ ,  $\mathbf{GL}_M(R_1)$  is the group of  $R_1$ -linear automorphisms of  $M \otimes_R R_1$ . If  $\text{Lie}(F)$  is a free  $R$ -module of finite rank, then in expressions of the form  $\mathbf{GL}_{\text{Lie}(F)}$  we view  $\text{Lie}(F)$  only as a free  $R$ -module; thus we view  $\text{Lie}(F)$  as an  $F$ -module via the *adjoint representation*  $F \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\text{Lie}(F)}$ . If  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M)$ , let  $f_1 f_2 := f_1 \circ f_2 \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M)$ . Let  $M^* := \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ .

A bilinear form  $\psi_M : M \otimes_R M \rightarrow R$  on  $M$  is called *perfect* if it induces naturally an  $R$ -linear isomorphism  $M \xrightarrow{\sim} M^*$ . If  $\psi_M$  is perfect and alternating, let  $\mathbf{GSp}(M, \psi_M)$  and  $\mathbf{Sp}(M, \psi_M)$  be the reductive group schemes over  $R$  of symplectic similitude isomorphisms and of symplectic isomorphisms (respectively) of  $(M, \psi_M)$ .

Let  $\overline{K}$  be an algebraic closure of a field  $K$ . If  $T \rightarrow S$  is a morphism of schemes and  $X$  (resp.  $X_S$  or  $X_i$  with  $i$  as an index) is an  $S$ -scheme, let  $X_T := X \times_S T$  (resp.  $X_T$  or  $X_{i,T}$ ) be the  $T$ -scheme which is the pull back of  $X$  (resp. of  $X_S$  or  $X_i$ ). If  $T = \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow S = \text{Spec}(R_0)$  is a morphism of affine schemes, we often denote  $X_T$  and  $X_{i,T}$  by  $X_R$  and  $X_{i,R}$  (respectively). The same type of notations apply for morphisms of schemes and for (morphisms of) *p-divisible groups*. If  $R$  is a complete, local ring, we identify the categories of *p-divisible groups* over  $\text{Spf}(R)$  and  $\text{Spec}(R)$  (cf. [dJ, Lem. 2.4.4]).

### 1.1. Classical Serre–Tate ordinary theory

Let  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  be a prime. Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $W(k)$  be the ring of *Witt vectors* with coefficients in  $k$ . Let  $B(k) := W(k)[\frac{1}{p}]$  be the field of fractions of  $W(k)$ . Let  $\sigma := \sigma_k$  be the *Frobenius automorphism* of  $k$ ,  $W(k)$ , and  $B(k)$ . Let  $r, d \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  with  $r \geq d$ . In late sixties Serre and Tate developed an *ordinary theory* for *p-divisible groups* and *abelian varieties* over  $k$ . It can be summarized as follows.

**Ordinary *p*-divisible groups.** A *p*-divisible group  $D$  over  $k$  of *height*  $r$  and *dimension*  $d$  is called *ordinary* if and only if one of the following five equivalent conditions holds for it:

- (a) it is a direct sum of an étale *p*-divisible group and of a *p*-divisible group of multiplicative type;
- (b) its *Newton polygon* is below the Newton polygon of every other *p*-divisible group over  $k$  of height  $r$  and dimension  $d$ ;
- (c) its *Hasse–Witt invariant* is equal to  $r - d$ ;
- (d) there exist isomorphisms between  $D[p]_{\overline{k}}$  and  $\mu_p^d \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{r-d}$ ;

(e) there exist isomorphisms between  $D_{\bar{k}}$  and  $\mu_{p^\infty}^d \oplus (\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)^{r-d}$ .

**Canonical lifts.** If  $D$  is ordinary, then there exists a unique  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{W(k)}$  over  $W(k)$  which lifts  $D$  and which is a direct sum as in (a). It is called the *canonical lift* of  $D$ . Via reduction modulo  $p$  we have an identity  $\text{End}(D_{W(k)}) = \text{End}(D)$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebras.

**Abelian varieties.** An abelian variety  $A$  over  $k$  is called ordinary if its  $p$ -divisible group is so. Ordinary abelian varieties are of particular importance as in the reduction modulo  $p$  of the moduli spaces of principally polarized abelian varieties, they form open dense subspaces. If  $A$  is ordinary, then there exists a unique abelian scheme  $A_{W(k)}$  over  $W(k)$  which lifts  $A$  and whose  $p$ -divisible group is a direct sum as in (a); it is called the canonical lift of  $A$ . If  $k$  is an algebraic closure  $\mathbf{F}$  of the field  $\mathbf{F}_p$  with  $p$  elements, then a theorem of Tate asserts that  $A_{W(k)}$  has *complex multiplication*. If  $k$  is finite, then  $A_{W(k)}$  is the unique lift of  $A$  to  $W(k)$  which has the property that the *Frobenius endomorphism* of  $A$  lifts to it.

**Deformation theory.** Let  $D_{\text{univ}}$  be the *universal  $p$ -divisible group* over the deformation space  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  of  $D$  (see [Il, Thm. 4.8]; based on [dJ, Lem. 2.4.4], we view  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  as a scheme). If  $D$  is ordinary, then the main properties of  $D_{\text{univ}}$  are the following four:

(f) we have a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow D_{\text{univ}}^{(1)} \rightarrow D_{\text{univ}} \rightarrow D_{\text{univ}}^{(0)} \rightarrow 0$  of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $\mathcal{D}(D)$ , where  $D_{\text{univ}}^{(1)}$  is of multiplicative type and  $D_{\text{univ}}^{(0)}$  is étale;

(g) if  $k = \bar{k}$ , if  $V$  is a finite, discrete valuation ring extension of  $W(k)$ , if  $K := V[\frac{1}{p}]$ , if  $D_V$  is a  $p$ -divisible group over  $V$  that lifts  $D$ , if  $T_p^*(D_K)$  is the dual of the *Tate module* of  $D_K$ , then the  *$p$ -adic Galois representation*  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{T_p^*(D_K)}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  factors through the group of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -valued points of a connected, smooth, solvable, closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_{T_p^*(D_K)}$ ;

(h) if  $k = \bar{k}$ , then the *formal scheme* defined by  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  has a canonical structure of a *formal torus* over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ , the identity section corresponding to the canonical lift of  $D$ ;

(i) if  $k = \bar{k}$ , then there exist isomorphisms  $\mathcal{D}(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(W(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_{d(r-d)}]])$  that define *canonical coordinates* for  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  which are unique up to a suitable action of the group  $\mathbf{GL}_{d(r-d)}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  of automorphisms of the  $d(r-d)$  dimensional formal torus over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ .

The above results on  $D$ ,  $D_{W(k)}$ , and  $A_{W(k)}$  were published as an appendix to [Me]. The equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) is also a particular case of a theorem of Mazur (see [Ka2, 1.4.1]). All the above results on  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  are contained in [Ka1], [Ka3], [Ka4], and [De4]. A variant for principally quasi-polarized  $p$ -divisible groups can be deduced easily from the above results and thus it is also part of the classical Serre–Tate ordinary theory. If  $k = \bar{k}$ , then the formal torus structure of (h) is obtained naturally once one remarks that:

(h.a) the short exact sequence of (f) is the *universal extension* of  $D_{\text{univ}}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)^{r-d}$  by  $D_{\text{univ}}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_{p^\infty}^d$  (here the two isomorphisms are over  $\mathcal{D}(D)$ );

(h.b) the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^{r-d} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p^{r-d} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)^{r-d} \rightarrow 0$  gives birth to a (push forward) coboundary morphism  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_p^{r-d}, \mu_{p^\infty}^d) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1((\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)^{r-d}, \mu_{p^\infty}^d)$  which is an isomorphism between two formal tori over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$  of dimension  $d(r-d)$ .

We recall that a *formal Lie group*  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  is a contravariant functor from the category of local, artinian  $W(k)$ -schemes of residue field  $k$  into the category of groups which, when viewed as a functor into the category of sets, is representable by  $\mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R})$ , where  $\tilde{R} = W(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_m]]$  for some number  $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  called the *dimension* of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  and for some independent variables  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  (see [Me, Ch. 2, 1.1.5] and [Fo1]).

## 1.2. Translation of the classical theory into our language

In Subsection 1.2.1 we recall few classical results. In Subsections 1.2.2 to 1.2.7 we include intrinsic crystalline interpretations of some parts of Section 1.1. Some interpretations are new and they are meant: (i) to motivate the abstract notions to be introduced in Section 1.3, and (ii) to detail few of the new ideas we will use in this monograph.

Let  $D$  be a  $p$ -divisible group over  $k$  of height  $r$  and dimension  $d$ . Let  $(M, \varphi)$  be the (contravariant) *Dieudonné module* of  $D$ . We recall that  $M$  is a free  $W(k)$ -module of rank  $r$  and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  is a  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism such that we have  $pM \subseteq \varphi(M)$  and  $\dim_k(M/\varphi(M)) = d$ . Let  $\vartheta : M \rightarrow M$  be the  $\sigma^{-1}$ -linear endomorphism that is the *Verschiebung map* of  $(M, \varphi)$ ; we have identities  $\vartheta\varphi = \varphi\vartheta = p1_M$ . Let  $\overline{M} := M/pM$ . Let  $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$  and  $\overline{\vartheta} : \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$  be the reductions modulo  $p$  of  $\varphi$  and  $\vartheta$  (respectively). The  $k$ -vector space  $\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\varphi}) = \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\vartheta})$  has dimension  $d$ . We recall that the *classical Dieudonné theory* says that the category of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $k$  is antiequivalent to the category of (contravariant) Dieudonné modules over  $k$  (see [Fo1, Ch. III, Prop. 6.1 iii]), etc.).

**1.2.1. Lifts.** Each lift of  $D$  to a  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{W(k)}$  over  $W(k)$  defines naturally a direct summand  $F^1$  of  $M$  which modulo  $p$  is  $\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\varphi})$  (see [Me], [BBM], etc.); the direct summand  $F^1$  is called the *Hodge filtration* of  $D_{W(k)}$ . We have  $\varphi^{-1}(M) = M + \frac{1}{p}F^1$ . We refer to the triple  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$  as a *filtered Dieudonné module* over  $k$  and to  $F^1$  as a *lift* of  $(M, \varphi)$ . It is well known that:

(a) if  $p \geq 3$  or if  $p = 2$  and either  $D$  or its Cartier dual  $D^t$  is connected, then the correspondence  $D_{W(k)} \leftrightarrow F^1$  is a bijection.

The case  $p \geq 3$  is a consequence of the *Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory* (see [Me]). The general case is (for instance) a consequence of [Fo1, Ch. IV, Prop. 1.6]. In loc. cit. it is proved more generally that the following two properties hold:

(b) if  $p \geq 3$ , then the category of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  is antiequivalent to the category of filtered Dieudonné modules over  $k$ ;

(c) if  $p = 2$ , then the category of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  that are connected (resp. that have connected Cartier duals) is antiequivalent to the category of filtered Dieudonné modules over  $k$  that do not have Newton polygon slope 0 (resp. do not have Newton polygon slope 1).

We emphasize that strictly speaking, [Fo1, Ch. IV, Prop. 1.6] is stated in terms of *Honda triples*  $(M, \varphi(\frac{1}{p}F^1), \varphi)$  and not in terms of filtered Dieudonné modules  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$ . The classical Hasse–Witt invariant  $HW(D)$  of  $D$  (or of  $(M, \varphi)$ ) can be defined as

$$HW(D) := \dim_k(\cap_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \overline{\varphi}^m(\overline{M})).$$

It is the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope 0 of  $(M, \varphi)$ .

**1.2.2. End's of Dieudonné modules.** One can easily translate the theory of ordinary  $p$ -divisible groups over  $k$  recalled in Section 1.1, in terms of the Dieudonné module  $(M, \varphi)$ . We want to make such a translation intrinsic, expressed only in terms of the algebraic group  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  (or rather its Lie algebra  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ ) and not in terms of the  $\mathbf{GL}_M$ -module  $M$ . Later on in our theory, we will replace  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  by a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of it. Next we will have a look back at Section 1.1 from such an intrinsic point of view.

The canonical identification  $\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}]) = M[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{B(k)} M^*[\frac{1}{p}]$  allows us to view  $\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$  as the  $B(k)$ -vector space of an  $F$ -isocrystal over  $k$ . More precisely, we will denote also by  $\varphi$  the  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism of  $\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$  that takes an element  $x \in \text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$  to  $\varphi \circ x \circ \varphi^{-1} \in \text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ . Let  $\Psi : \text{End}_{W(k)}(M) \rightarrow \text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$  be the  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism defined by the rule: for  $x \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$  we have

$$\Psi(x) := p(\varphi(x)) = p\varphi \circ x \circ \varphi^{-1} = \varphi \circ x \circ \vartheta \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M).$$

The pair  $(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M), \Psi)$  is the tensor product of the Dieudonné modules of  $D$  and  $D^t$ .

Let  $\overline{\Psi} : \text{End}_k(\overline{M}) \rightarrow \text{End}_k(\overline{M})$  be the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $\Psi$ . The integer

$$\mathbf{I}(D) := \dim_k(\cap_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \overline{\Psi}^m(\text{End}_k(\overline{M})))$$

computes the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope 0 of  $\Psi$  and thus also the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope  $-1$  of  $(\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}]), \varphi)$ . Based on this and the last sentence of the previous paragraph, we get the identity

$$\mathbf{I}(D) = HW(D)HW(D^t).$$

In particular, if  $D$  has a quasi-polarization (i.e., it is isogeneous to  $D^t$ ), then we have  $HW(D) = \sqrt{\mathbf{I}(D)}$ . Moreover, we easily get that

$$D \text{ is ordinary} \iff \mathbf{I}(D) = d(r - d).$$

**1.2.3. Characterisation of  $p$ -torsion subgroup schemes in terms of  $(\text{End}_k(\overline{M}), \overline{\Psi})$ .** For  $g \in \mathbf{GL}_M(W(k))$ , let  $\overline{g}^{\text{ad}}$  be its image in  $\mathbf{GL}_M^{\text{ad}}(k)$ . Let  $D_1$  be the  $p$ -divisible group over  $k$  whose Dieudonné module is  $(M, g\varphi)$ . If  $k = \overline{k}$ , then the  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism  $\Psi$  “encompasses” both maps  $\varphi, \vartheta : M \rightarrow M$ . We exemplify this property modulo  $p$ .

**Claim.** *If  $k = \overline{k}$ , then there exists an isomorphism  $D[p] \xrightarrow{\sim} D_1[p]$  if and only if there exists an element  $\overline{h} \in \mathbf{GL}_M^{\text{ad}}(k)$  such that as  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphisms of  $\text{End}_k(\overline{M})$  we have an identity  $\overline{h}\overline{\Psi} = \overline{g}^{\text{ad}}\overline{\Psi}\overline{h}$  (here we need  $k = \overline{k}$  as  $\Psi$  can not “differentiate” between  $\varphi$  and its  $\mathbf{G}_m(W(k))$ -multiples).*

We check here the “if” part of the Claim. Let  $h \in \mathbf{GL}_M(W(k))$  be an element such that we have  $\overline{h}^{\text{ad}} = \overline{h}$ . As we have  $\overline{h}\overline{\Psi} = \overline{g}^{\text{ad}}\overline{\Psi}\overline{h}$ , the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $h$  normalizes  $\text{Ker}(\overline{\Psi}) = \{\overline{x} \in \text{End}_k(\overline{M}) | \overline{x}(\text{Im}(\overline{\vartheta})) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\overline{\varphi})\}$  and therefore it normalizes  $\text{Ker}(\overline{\varphi}) = \text{Im}(\overline{\vartheta})$ .



This implies that  $(M, h\varphi h^{-1})$  is a Dieudonné module. Thus by replacing  $\varphi$  with  $h\varphi h^{-1}$ , we can assume that  $\bar{h} \in \mathbf{GL}_M^{\text{ad}}(k)$  is the identity element; therefore  $\bar{\Psi} = \bar{g}^{\text{ad}}\bar{\Psi}$ . We define  $\bar{M}_1 := \varphi(\frac{1}{p}F^1)/\varphi(F^1)$  and  $\bar{M}_0 := \text{Im}(\bar{\varphi})$ . We have a direct sum decomposition  $\bar{M} = \bar{M}_1 \oplus \bar{M}_0$  of  $k$ -vector spaces, cf. the identity  $\varphi^{-1}(M) = M + \frac{1}{p}F^1$ . As  $\bar{\Psi} = \bar{g}^{\text{ad}}\bar{\Psi}$ , the element  $\bar{g}^{\text{ad}}$  fixes  $\text{Im}(\bar{\Psi})$ . But  $\text{Im}(\bar{\Psi})$  is the direct summand  $\text{Hom}_k(\bar{M}_1, \bar{M}_0)$  of

$$\text{End}_k(\bar{M}) = \text{End}_k(\bar{M}_1) \oplus \text{End}_k(\bar{M}_0) \oplus \text{Hom}_k(\bar{M}_1, \bar{M}_0) \oplus \text{Hom}_k(\bar{M}_0, \bar{M}_1)$$

and thus the centralizer of  $\text{Im}(\bar{\Psi})$  in  $\text{End}_k(\bar{M})$  is  $\text{Im}(\bar{\Psi}) \oplus k1_{\bar{M}}$ . Therefore there exists an element  $x \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$  such that  $\bar{g}^{\text{ad}}$  is the image in  $\mathbf{GL}_M^{\text{ad}}(k)$  of the element  $1_M + \Psi(x) \in \mathbf{GL}_M(W(k))$ . Let  $g_1 := 1_M + px \in \mathbf{GL}_M(W(k))$ . By replacing  $g\varphi$  with

$$g_1 g \varphi g_1^{-1} = g_1 g \varphi g_1^{-1} \varphi^{-1} \varphi = g_1 g (1_M + \Psi(x))^{-1} \varphi,$$

$g$  gets replaced by the element  $g_1 g (1_M + \Psi(x))^{-1}$  whose image in  $\mathbf{GL}_M^{\text{ad}}(k)$  is the identity element. Therefore we can assume that  $\bar{g}^{\text{ad}} \in \mathbf{GL}_M^{\text{ad}}(k)$  is the identity element. Thus, as  $D_1[p]$  depends only on  $g$  modulo  $p$ , we can assume that  $g \in Z(\mathbf{GL}_M)(W(k))$ . In this last case, as  $k = \bar{k}$  there exists an isomorphism  $(M, g\varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M, \varphi)$  defined by an element of  $Z(\mathbf{GL}_M)(W(k))$  and therefore in fact we have  $D \xrightarrow{\sim} D_1$ .

**1.2.4. New  $p$ -divisible groups via Lie algebras.** Until Section 1.3 we will work over an arbitray perfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$  and we take  $D$  to be ordinary and  $D_{W(k)}$  to be its canonical lift. We have  $\varphi(F^1) = pF^1$ . Let  $F^0$  be the direct supplement of  $F^1$  in  $M$  such that we have  $\varphi(F^0) = F^0$ . Let  $P^+$  and  $P^-$  be the maximal parabolic subgroup schemes of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  that normalize  $F^1$  and  $F^0$  (respectively). The map  $\Psi$  leaves invariant both  $\text{Lie}(P^+)$  and  $\text{Lie}(P^-)$ . For  $*$   $\in \{+, -\}$  let  $U^*$  be the unipotent radical of  $P^*$  and let  $S^*$  be the solvable, closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  generated by  $U^*$  and by the  $\mathbf{G}_m$  subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  that fixes  $F^0$  and that acts as the inverse of the identical character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$  on  $F^1$ . We remark that  $U^*$  is constructed canonically in terms of the  $F$ -isocrystal  $(\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}]), \phi)$ , as  $\text{Lie}(U^*)[\frac{1}{p}]$  is the Newton polygon slope  $*1$  part of it. Moreover  $S^*$  is obtained from  $U^*$  via a minuscule cocharacter  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_M$ , a *p-adic Hodge cocharacter* analogue to the classical Hodge cocharacters.

We identify naturally  $\text{Lie}(U^+)$  with  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^0, F^1)$ ,  $\text{Lie}(U^-)$  with  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0)$ ,  $\text{Lie}(S^+)$  with  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^0, F^1) \oplus W(k)1_{F^1}$ , and  $\text{Lie}(S^-)$  with  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \oplus W(k)1_{F^1}$  (the last two identities are of  $W(k)$ -modules). The  $W(k)$ -module

$$E := \text{End}_{W(k)}(F^0) \oplus \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \oplus \{x \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(F^1) \mid \text{trace of } x \text{ is } 0\}$$

is left invariant by  $\Psi$ . If  $p$  does not divide  $d = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(F^1)$ , then we have a direct sum decomposition  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M) = E \oplus \text{Lie}(S^+)$  left invariant by  $\Psi$ . In general (i.e., regardless of the fact that  $p$  does or does not divide  $d$ ), we can identify  $(\text{Lie}(S^+), \Psi)$  with  $(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E, \Psi)$  in such a way that  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^0, F^1)$  gets identified with its image in  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E$  and  $1_{F^1}$  maps to the image in  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E$  of an arbitrary endomorphism  $t \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(F^1)$  whose trace is 1.

Let  $D_{W(k)}^+$  be the  $p$ -divisible group of multiplicative type over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(\mathrm{Lie}(U^+), \mathrm{Lie}(U^+), \varphi)$ . We call it the *positive  $p$ -divisible group* over  $W(k)$  of  $D$  or of  $(M, \varphi)$ . The  $p$ -divisible group  $D^{+(0)}$  over  $k$  whose Dieudonné module is  $(\mathrm{Lie}(S^+), \varphi)$  is called the *standard non-negative  $p$ -divisible group* of  $D$  or of  $(M, \varphi)$ ; it is the direct sum of  $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  (over  $k$ ) and of the special fibre  $D^+$  of  $D_{W(k)}^+$ .

Let  $D_{W(k)}^-$  be the étale  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(\mathrm{Lie}(U^-), 0, \Psi)$ . We call it the *negative  $p$ -divisible group* over  $W(k)$  of  $D$  or of  $(M, \varphi)$ . The  $p$ -divisible group  $D^{-(0)}$  over  $k$  whose Dieudonné module is  $(\mathrm{Lie}(S^-), \Psi)$  is called the *standard non-positive  $p$ -divisible group* of  $D$  or of  $(M, \varphi)$ ; it is the direct sum of  $\mu_{p^\infty}$  (over  $k$ ) and of the special fibre  $D^-$  of  $D_{W(k)}^-$ .

**1.2.5. Deformation theory revisited.** Let  $R := W(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_{d(r-d)}]]$ . Let  $\Phi_R$  be the Frobenius lift of  $R$  that is compatible with  $\sigma$  and that takes  $x_i$  to  $x_i^p$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d(r-d)\}$ . Deforming  $D$  is equivalent to deforming  $D^{+(0)}$ . The easiest way to see this is to start with a *versal deformation* of  $D$  over  $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$  and to show that the deformation of  $D^{+(0)}$  we get naturally by identifying  $\mathrm{Lie}(S^+)$  with  $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E$  is versal. At the level of *filtered  $F$ -crystals* over  $R/pR$ , this goes as follows.

Let  $u_{\mathrm{univ}}^- : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \rightarrow U^-$  be a formally étale morphism which modulo the ideal  $\mathcal{I} := (x_1, \dots, x_{d(r-d)})$  of  $R$  defines the identity section of  $U^-$ . We also identify  $u_{\mathrm{univ}}^-$  with an  $R$ -linear automorphism of  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$  which modulo  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $1_M$ . *Faltings deformation theory* (see [Fa2, Thm. 10]) and [dJ, Lem. 2.4.4] assure us that there exists a  $p$ -divisible group over  $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$  such that: (i) its reduction modulo  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $D_{W(k)}$ , and (ii) its filtered  $F$ -crystal over  $R/pR$  is the quadruple

$$\mathfrak{C} := (M \otimes_{W(k)} R, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R, u_{\mathrm{univ}}^-(\varphi \otimes \Phi_R), \nabla),$$

where  $\nabla$  is an integrable, nilpotent modulo  $p$  connection on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$  that is uniquely determined by  $u_{\mathrm{univ}}^-(\varphi \otimes \Phi_R)$ . It is easy to see that the Kodaira–Spencer map of  $\nabla$  is an isomorphism. Thus we can naturally identify  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  with  $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ .

Similarly (via the identification  $\mathrm{Lie}(S^+) = \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E$ ) we have a natural identification  $\mathcal{D}(D) = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  under which the filtered  $F$ -crystal of the deformation of  $D^{+(0)}$  over  $\mathcal{D}(D^{+(0)})$  is the following quadruple

$$\mathfrak{C}^{+(0)} := ((\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E) \otimes_{W(k)} R, \mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^0, F^1) \otimes_{W(k)} R, u_{\mathrm{univ}}^-(\varphi \otimes \Phi_R), \nabla^{+(0)}),$$

where  $\nabla^{+(0)}$  is the connection on  $(\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E) \otimes_{W(k)} R$  induced naturally by  $\nabla$ .

We explain why  $\mathfrak{C}^{+(0)}$  defines indeed a versal deformation of  $D^{+(0)}$ . Let  $U^{-+}$  be the unipotent radical of the maximal parabolic subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E}$  that normalizes the image of  $W(k)t$  in  $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E$  (see Subsection 1.2.4 for  $t$ ). The group scheme  $U^-$  acts naturally on  $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E$  via inner conjugation and passage to quotients. The resulting homomorphism  $U^- \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E}$  is a monomorphism that gives birth to an isomorphism  $q : U^- \xrightarrow{\sim} U^{-+}$ . At the level of matrices this is equivalent to the following statement: if  $C$  is a commutative  $W(k)$ -algebra and if

$x \in \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \otimes_{W(k)} C \setminus 0$ , then for  $n_C := 1_{M \otimes_{W(k)} C} + x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U^-(C)$  there exists  $y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^0, F^1) \otimes_{W(k)} C$  such that the element

$$n_C y n_C^{-1} - y = \begin{pmatrix} -yx & 0 \\ -xyx & xy \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} C$$

has the property that the trace of  $-yx \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(F^1) \otimes_{W(k)} C$  is non-zero. Here the  $2 \times 2$  matrices are with respect to the direct sum decomposition  $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$  and therefore their entries are matrices themselves.

Let  $u_{\text{univ}}^{-+} := q \circ u_{\text{univ}}^- : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow U^{-+}$ ; it is a formally étale morphism which modulo  $\mathcal{I}$  defines the identity section of  $U^{-+}$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{C}^{+(0)}$  defines indeed a versal deformation of  $D^{+(0)}$ .

Thus we can identify naturally  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  with  $\text{Spec}(R) = \mathcal{D}(D^{+(0)})$ . But as we have  $D^{+(0)} = \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \oplus D^+$ , the classical Serre–Tate ordinary theory tells us that the formal scheme defined by  $\mathcal{D}(D^{+(0)})$  has a canonical structure of a formal Lie group  $\mathcal{L}^+$  over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ . We recall that if  $C$  is a local, artinian  $W(k)$ -algebra of residue field  $k$ , then the addition operation on the set  $\mathcal{D}(D^{+(0)})(C) = \mathcal{L}^+(C)$  is defined via the Yoneda addition law for short exact sequences of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $C$  that are of the following form

$$0 \rightarrow D_C^+ \rightarrow \tilde{D}_C^{+(0)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

It is well known that  $\mathcal{L}^+$  is isomorphic to the formal Lie group of  $D_{W(k)}^+$  (see Lemma 5.3.3; see also the property 1.1 (h.b)).

Due to the identification  $\mathcal{D}(D) = \mathcal{D}(D^{+(0)})$ , the formal scheme defined by  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  has also a natural structure of a formal Lie group  $\mathcal{L}$  which can be identified with  $\mathcal{L}^+$ .

**1.2.6. Sums of lifts.** Let  $z_3$  be the addition of two  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued points  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  of the formal scheme defined by  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  let  $F_i^1$  be the lift of  $(M, \varphi)$  that corresponds to  $z_i$ . There exists a unique element  $u_i \in \text{Ker}(U^-(W(k)) \rightarrow U^-(k))$  such that we have  $u_i(F^1) = F_i^1$ . Let  $s_i := u_i - 1_M \in \text{Lie}(U^-)$ . Let  $z_i^+$  be the  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{L}^+$  defined by  $z_i$ . Let  $u_i^+ := q(W(k))(u_i) \in U^{-+}(W(k))$ . The filtered Dieudonné module associated to  $z_i^+$  is  $(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E, u_i^+(\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^0, F^1)), \varphi)$ , cf. the constructions of Subsection 1.2.5. To the addition of short exact sequences mentioned in Subsection 1.2.5 (applied over quotients  $C$  of  $W(k)$ ), corresponds the multiplication formula  $u_3^+ = u_1^+ u_2^+$ . As  $q$  is an isomorphism, we get  $u_3 = u_1 u_2$ . In other words the lift  $F_3^1$  is the “sum” of  $F_1^1$  and  $F_2^1$  i.e., we have

$$(1) \quad s_3 = s_1 + s_2 \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad u_3 = u_1 u_2.$$

If  $p \geq 3$  or if  $p = 2$  and  $d \in \{0, r\}$ , then  $z_3$  is uniquely determined by  $u_3$  (cf. property 1.2.1 (a)). If  $p = 2$  and  $1 \leq d \leq r - 1$ , then it is well known that  $z_3$  is uniquely determined by  $u_3$  up to a 2-torsion point of  $\mathcal{L}(\text{Spf}(W(k)))$  (this is also a direct consequence of Proposition 9.5.1). Formula (1) guarantees that in the case when  $k = \bar{k}$ , the formal Lie group structure  $\mathcal{L}$  on the formal scheme defined by  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  is the one defined by the

classical Serre–Tate deformation theory (see properties 1.1 (h.a) and (h.b)). This holds even if  $p = 2$  and  $1 \leq d \leq r - 1$ , as each automorphism of formal schemes  $\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$  that respects identity sections and whose composite with the square endomorphism  $[2] : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is an endomorphism of formal Lie groups, is in fact an automorphism of formal Lie groups.

**1.2.7. Duality of language: positive versus negative.** The trace form on  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$  gives birth via restriction to a perfect bilinear form

$$b : \text{Lie}(U^-) \otimes_{W(k)} \text{Lie}(U^+) \rightarrow W(k)$$

i.e., the natural  $W(k)$ -linear map  $\text{Lie}(U^-)^* \rightarrow \text{Lie}(U^+)$  defined by  $b$  is a  $W(k)$ -linear isomorphism. This  $W(k)$ -linear isomorphism allows us to identify naturally  $D_{W(k)}^+$  with the Cartier dual  $(D_{W(k)}^-)^\dagger$  of  $D_{W(k)}^-$ , cf. properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c). As in Subsections 1.2.4 and 1.2.5, one checks that deforming  $D$  is equivalent to deforming  $D^{-(0)}$ . The main advantage of working with  $D^{-(0)}$  is that it involves simpler group theoretical arguments (like we do not have to consider identifications of the form  $\text{Lie}(S^+) = \text{End}_{W(k)}(M)/E$ ) which are more adequate for suitable generalizations. The main two disadvantages of working with  $D^{-(0)}$  are: (i) we have to use the bilinear form  $b$  in order to identify  $D_{W(k)}^+$  with  $(D_{W(k)}^-)^\dagger$  and (ii) we have to work with  $\Psi$  instead of with  $\varphi$ .

### 1.3. Generalized language

**1.3.1. Goal.** The goal of the monograph is to generalize results recalled in Section 1.1 about ordinary  $p$ -divisible groups over  $k$  and their canonical lifts, to:

- the **abstract context** of *Shimura  $p$ -divisible objects* over  $k$  (see this Section and the next one), and to
- the **geometric context** of good *moduli spaces* of principally polarized abelian schemes endowed with specializations of *Hodge cycles* (see Sections 1.5 and 1.6).

For generalizing the notions of ordinariness and canonical lifts to the abstract context, we do not require any deformation theory. Thus not to make this monograph too long, we postpone to future work the generalization of properties 1.1 (h) and (i) and of the deformation theories of [dJ], [Fa2, §7], and [Zi2] to the abstract context. Moreover, in order to avoid repetitions, the greatest part of the generalization of properties 1.1 (f) to (h) will be stated only for the geometric context. The *formal deformation spaces* over  $\text{Spf}(W(\bar{k}))$  we get in the geometric context are expected to have two types of natural structures of formal Lie groups: one commutative and one *nilpotent*. In this monograph we mainly restrict to the case when these two structures are expected to coincide (i.e., in the so called commutative case): in this case we show that the formal deformation spaces do have canonical structures of commutative formal Lie groups over  $\text{Spf}(W(\bar{k}))$  that are isomorphic to formal Lie groups of a very specific type of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(\bar{k})$ .

The generalization of the property 1.1 (i) will rely on a comprehensive theory of connections on these formal deformation spaces; therefore in this monograph we mainly use connections only to understand their Kodaira–Spencer maps. This theory of connections will allow us in future work to define different natural structures on the formal deformation

spaces (including as well the “forcing” to get formal tori) that will ease the study of these formal deformation spaces.

See Section 1.7 for applications. See Section 1.8 for extra literature that pertains to Sections 1.3 to 1.7. See Section 1.9 for our main motivation. See Section 1.10 for more details on how Chapters 2 to 9 are organized. For  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  with  $b \geq a$ , let

$$S(a, b) := \{a, a+1, \dots, b\}.$$

We now introduce the language that will allow us to generalize the classical Serre–Tate ordinary theory. To motivate it, let  $D_{W(k)}$  be the  $p$ -divisible group of an abelian scheme  $A$  over  $W(k)$  and let  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$  be its filtered Dieudonné module. Often the abelian variety  $A_{\overline{B(k)}}$  is endowed with a set of some type of cycles (algebraic, Hodge, crystalline, etc.) normalized by the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\overline{B(k)}/B(k))$  and this leads to the study of quadruples of the form  $(M, F^1, \varphi, G)$ , where  $G$  is a flat, closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  such that the Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$  is normalized by  $\varphi$  and we have a direct sum decomposition  $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$  with the property that the  $\mathbf{G}_m$  subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  obtained as in Subsection 1.2.4, is contained in  $G$ . We think of  $G_{\overline{B(k)}}$  as the identity component of the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{B(k)}}$  that fixes the crystalline realizations of these cycles. In this monograph we study the case when  $G$  is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$ . Moving from the geometric context of abelian schemes to a more general and abstract context “related to” *Shimura varieties*, we have the following basic definition.

**1.3.2. Basic definition.** A *Shimura filtered  $p$ -divisible object* over  $k$  in the range  $[a, b]$  is a quadruple

$$(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, G),$$

where

- $M$  is a free  $W(k)$ -module of finite rank,
- $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  is a decreasing and exhaustive filtration of  $M$  by direct summands,
- $\varphi$  is a  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism of  $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ , and
- $G$  is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$ ,

such that there exists a direct sum decomposition  $M = \bigoplus_{i=a}^b \tilde{F}^i(M)$  for which the following three properties hold:

(a) we have an identity  $F^i(M) = \bigoplus_{j=i}^b \tilde{F}^j(M)$  for all  $i \in S(a, b)$  and moreover  $\varphi^{-1}(M) = \bigoplus_{i=a}^b p^{-i} \tilde{F}^i(M)$  (equivalently and moreover  $\varphi^{-1}(M) = \sum_{i=a}^b p^{-i} F^i(M)$ );

(b) the cocharacter of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  that acts on  $\tilde{F}^i(M)$  via the  $-i$ -th power of the identity character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ , factors through  $G$  and this factorization  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  is either a *minuscule cocharacter* or factors through  $Z^0(G)$  i.e., we have a direct sum decomposition

$$\text{Lie}(G) = \bigoplus_{j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \tilde{F}^j(\text{Lie}(G))$$

such that  $\mu(\beta)$  acts (via the adjoint representation) on  $\tilde{F}^j(\text{Lie}(G))$  as the multiplication with  $\beta^{-j}$  for all  $j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  and all  $\beta \in \mathbf{G}_m(W(k))$ ;

(c) denoting also by  $\varphi$  the  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism of  $\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$  that takes  $x \in \text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$  to  $\varphi \circ x \circ \varphi^{-1} \in \text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ , we have  $\varphi(\text{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]) = \text{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]$  i.e.,  $(\text{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  is an  $F$ -subisocrystal of  $(\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}]), \varphi)$ .

We call the triple  $(M, \varphi, G)$  a *Shimura  $p$ -divisible object* over  $k$  in the range  $[a, b]$ . Following [Pi], we refer to  $\mu$  as a *Hodge cocharacter* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . We refer to the quadruple  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  or to the filtration  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  (of  $M$ ) as a *lift* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  or as the lift of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  defined by  $\mu$ . If  $(a, b) = (0, 1)$ , then we do not mention  $F^0(M) = M$  and thus we also refer to  $(M, \varphi, G)$  (resp. to  $(M, F^1(M), \varphi, G)$ ) as a *Shimura* (resp. as a *Shimura filtered*)  $F$ -crystal over  $k$  and to  $(M, F^1(M), \varphi, G)$  or to  $F^1(M)$  as a *lift* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Often we do not mention over  $k$  or in the range  $[a, b]$ . For  $i \in S(a, b)$  let  $\varphi_i : F^i(M) \rightarrow M$  be the restriction of  $p^{-i}\varphi$  to  $F^i(M)$ . Triples of the form  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$  show up in [La] and [Wi]. If  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the reduction modulo  $p^m$  of  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, (\varphi_i)_{i \in S(a,b)})$  is an object of the category  $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$  used in [FL], [Wi], and [Fa1]. This and the fact that in future work we will use analogues of Definition 1.3.2 for arbitrary regular, formally smooth  $W(k)$ -schemes, justifies our terminology “ $p$ -divisible object” (it extrapolates the terminology object used in [Fa1]). Splittings  $M = \bigoplus_{i=a}^b \tilde{F}^i(M)$  of the lift  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  show up first in [Wi].

### 1.3.3. Parabolic subgroup schemes. Let

$$F^0(\text{Lie}(G)) := \tilde{F}^0(\text{Lie}(G)) \oplus \tilde{F}^1(\text{Lie}(G)).$$

Let  $P$  be the normalizer of  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  in  $G$ ; it is a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$  whose Lie algebra is  $F^0(\text{Lie}(G))$  (cf. Subsection 2.5.3).

By the *non-negative parabolic subgroup scheme* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  we mean the parabolic subgroup scheme

$$P_G^+(\varphi)$$

of  $G$  with the properties that  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi))[\frac{1}{p}]$  is normalized by  $\varphi$  and that all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi))[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  (resp. of  $(\text{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]/\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi))[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ ) are non-negative (resp. are negative). By the *Levi subgroup* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  we mean the unique Levi subgroup

$$L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$$

of  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  with the property that  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  is normalized by  $\varphi$ . In Subsections 2.6.1 and 2.6.2 we will argue the existence of the subgroup schemes  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  and  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . In Subsection 2.6.2 we also check that all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}), \varphi)$  are 0 and that  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  is the maximal  $B(k)$ -vector subspace of  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  which has this property.

**1.3.4. A new Hasse–Witt invariant.** We consider the product decomposition  $G_k^{\text{ad}} = \prod_{i \in I} G_{i,k}$  of  $G_k^{\text{ad}}$  into simple, adjoint groups over  $k$ , cf. [Ti1, Subsubsection. 3.1.2]. It lifts naturally to a product decomposition

$$(2) \quad G^{\text{ad}} = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$$

of  $G^{\text{ad}}$  into simple, adjoint group schemes over  $W(k)$ , cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXIV, Prop. 1.21]. We have  $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})[\frac{1}{p}] = [\text{Lie}(G), \text{Lie}(G)][\frac{1}{p}]$ . Thus  $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}) = \oplus_{i \in I} \text{Lie}(G_i)$  is naturally a Lie subalgebra of  $\text{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]$ .

By the *FSHW shift* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  we mean the  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism

$$\Psi : \text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})$$

which for  $i \in I$  takes  $x \in \text{Lie}(G_i)$  to  $\varphi(x)$  or to  $p(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(px)$  depending on the fact that the composite of  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  with the natural epimorphism  $G \twoheadrightarrow G_i$  is or is not trivial. The existence of the map  $\Psi$  is checked in Subsection 2.4.3. Let  $\overline{\Psi} : \text{Lie}(G_k^{\text{ad}}) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(G_k^{\text{ad}})$  be the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $\Psi$ ; we call it the *FSHW map* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . By the *FSHW invariant* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  we mean the following dimension

$$\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G) := \dim_k(\cap_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \text{Im}(\overline{\Psi}^m)) = \dim_k(\text{Im}(\overline{\Psi}^{\dim(G_k^{\text{ad}})})) \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Here *FSHW* stands for Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt. If for each element  $i \in I$  the composite of  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  with the natural epimorphism  $G \twoheadrightarrow G_i$  is not trivial, then  $\Psi$  is a usual *Tate twist* of  $\phi$  (i.e., for each element  $x \in \text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})$  we have  $\Psi(x) = p\phi(x)$ ).

**1.3.5. Two different notions of ordinarity and canonical lifts.** We say  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  is a *U-canonical lift* (of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ ) if  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  is a subgroup of  $P_{B(k)}$ . If moreover  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  is a (closed) subgroup scheme of  $P$ , then we say  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  is an *Sh-canonical lift* (of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ ).

We say  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is *U-ordinary* (resp. *Sh-ordinary*) if it has a lift that is a U-canonical (resp. that is an Sh-canonical) lift.

Here U stands for unique and Sh stands for Shimura. If  $G = \mathbf{GL}_M$  and  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$ , then it is easy to see that the notions U-ordinary and Sh-ordinary (resp. U-canonical lift and Sh-canonical lift) coincide with the usual notion ordinary (resp. canonical lift) introduced in Section 1.1.

Next we introduce two extra concepts that pertain to Shimura  $p$ -divisible objects.

**1.3.6. Definitions.** (a) By an *endomorphism* of  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  (resp. of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ ) we mean an element  $e \in F^0(\text{Lie}(G))$  (resp.  $e \in \text{Lie}(G)$ ) that is fixed by  $\varphi$ .

(b) Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G(W(k))$ . We say  $(M, g_1\varphi, G)$  and  $(M, g_2\varphi, G)$  are *inner isomorphic*, if there exists an element  $h \in G(W(k))$  such that we have  $hg_1\varphi = g_2\varphi h$ . We also refer to such an  $h$  as an *inner isomorphism* between  $(M, g_1\varphi, G)$  and  $(M, g_2\varphi, G)$ .

**1.3.7. Characterization of U-canonical lifts in terms of endomorphisms.** We have  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)} \leq P_{B(k)}$  if and only if we have an inclusion  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(P_{B(k)})$ , cf. [Bo, Ch. II, Sect. 7.1]. Thus  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)} \leq P_{B(k)}$  if and only if  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(\bar{k})}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(P_{B(\bar{k})})$ . But

$$E := \text{Lie}(G_{W(\bar{k})}) \cap \{x \in \text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(\bar{k})}) \mid \varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}(x) = x\}$$

is the Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  of endomorphisms of  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), \varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}, G_{W(\bar{k})})$  and moreover  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(\bar{k})})$  is  $B(\bar{k})$ -generated by  $E$ . Thus  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)} \leq P_{B(k)}$  if and only if we have  $E \subseteq \text{Lie}(P_{B(\bar{k})})$  and therefore if and only if the inclusion  $E \subseteq \text{Lie}(P_{W(\bar{k})}) = F^0(\text{Lie}(G)) \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k})$  holds. Thus  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  is a U-canonical lift if and only if each endomorphism of  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), \varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}, G_{W(\bar{k})})$  is as well an endomorphism of  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), (F^i(M) \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}, G_{W(\bar{k})})$ .

**1.3.8. Definitions.** Let  $\tilde{M}$  be a free  $W(k)$ -module of finite rank  $d_{\tilde{M}}$ . Let  $(F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  be a decreasing and exhaustive filtration of  $\tilde{M}$  by direct summands. Let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  be a  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism of  $\tilde{M}[\frac{1}{p}]$  such that we have  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\tilde{M}) = \sum_{i=a}^b p^{-i} F^i(\tilde{M})$ . If  $a \geq 0$ , we refer to the triple  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi})$  as a filtered  $F$ -crystal over  $k$ .

(a) We say  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi})$  is *circular* if there exists a  $W(k)$ -basis  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} := \{e_1, \dots, e_{d_{\tilde{M}}}\}$  for  $\tilde{M}$  such that for all  $j \in S(1, d_{\tilde{M}})$  we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(e_j) = p^{m_j} e_{j+1},$$

where  $e_{d_{\tilde{M}}+1} := e_1$  and  $e_j \in F^{m_j}(\tilde{M}) \setminus F^{m_j+1}(\tilde{M})$  with  $F^{b+1}(\tilde{M}) := 0$  and with  $m_j \in S(a, b)$ . If moreover the function  $f_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} : \mathbf{Z}/d_{\tilde{M}}\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow S(a, b)$  defined by  $f_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}([j]) = m_j$  is not periodic, then we say  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi})$  is *circular indecomposable*.

(b) We say  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi})$  is *cyclic* if there exists a direct sum decomposition  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi}) = \oplus_{l \in \tilde{L}} (\tilde{M}_l, (F^i(\tilde{M}) \cap \tilde{M}_l)_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi}_l)$  such that for each  $l \in \tilde{L}$  the triple  $(\tilde{M}_l, (F^i(\tilde{M}) \cap \tilde{M}_l)_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi}_l)$  is circular indecomposable.

(c) We assume that  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$  and that there exists a  $p$ -divisible group  $\tilde{D}_{W(k)}$  over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi})$ . We say that  $\tilde{D}_{W(k)}$  or  $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi})$  is circular indecomposable (resp. circular), if  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in \{0,1\}}, \tilde{\varphi})$  is circular indecomposable (resp. is circular). We say  $\tilde{D}_{W(k)}$  is cyclic if it is a direct sum of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  that are circular indecomposable.

## 1.4. Main results on Shimura $p$ -divisible objects

In this Section we state our main results on the objects we have defined in the previous one. Let  $(M, \varphi, G)$  be a Shimura  $p$ -divisible object over  $k$  in the range  $[a, b]$ . For each element  $g \in G(W(k))$  the triple  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is as well a Shimura  $p$ -divisible object over  $k$ . One refers to  $\{(M, g\varphi, G) | g \in G(W(k))\}$  as a *family* of Shimura  $p$ -divisible object over  $k$  in the range  $[a, b]$ . See (2) for the product decomposition  $G^{\text{ad}} = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$ . We have the following four basic results (most of them are stated in terms of the family  $\{(M, g\varphi, G) | g \in G(W(k))\}$ , due to the sake of flexibility and of simplifying the proofs).

**1.4.1. Theorem.** *Let  $g \in G(W(k))$ . The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *the triple  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary;*
- (b) *for each element  $g_1 \in G(W(k))$  the Newton polygon of  $(M, g_1\varphi)$  is above the Newton polygon of  $(M, g\varphi)$ .*



Moreover, if  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary, then:

(c) for each element  $g_1 \in G(W(k))$  we have an inequality  $\mathbf{I}(M, g_1\varphi, G) \leq \mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G)$ .

**1.4.2. Theorem.** We assume that  $G$  is quasi-split i.e., it has a Borel subgroup scheme (for instance, this holds if either  $k$  is a finite field or  $k = \bar{k}$ ). Then the statements 1.4.1 (c) and 1.4.1 (a) are equivalent if and only if for each element  $i \in I$  with the property that the simple factors of  $G_{i, W(\bar{k})}$  are  $\mathbf{PGL}_{\ell+1}$  group schemes with  $\ell \geq 3$  and for every element  $h_0 \in G(W(\bar{k}))$  such that  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), h_0(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}), G_{W(\bar{k})})$  is Sh-ordinary, the image of the unipotent radical of  $P_{G_{W(\bar{k})}}^+(h_0(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}))$  in  $G_{i, W(\bar{k})}$  is of nilpotent class at most 2.<sup>1</sup>

**1.4.3. Theorem.** Let  $d_M := \text{rk}_{W(k)}(M)$ . The following four statements are equivalent:

(a) the triple  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is U-ordinary;

(b) there exists a unique lift  $(F_0^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  such that the Levi subgroup  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  normalizes  $F_0^i(M)[\frac{1}{p}]$  for all  $i \in S(a, b)$ ;

(c) there exists a unique Hodge cocharacter  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(\bar{k})}$  of  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), \varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}, G_{W(\bar{k})})$  such that there exists a  $W(\bar{k})$ -basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{d_M}\}$  for  $M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k})$  with the property that for all  $j \in S(1, d_M)$  the  $W(\bar{k})$ -span of  $e_j$  is normalized by  $\text{Im}(\mu_0)$  and we have  $\varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}(e_j) = p^{n_j} e_{\pi_0(j)}$ , where  $n_j \in S(a, b)$  and where  $\pi_0$  is a permutation of the set  $S(1, d_M)$ ;

(d) we have a direct sum decomposition of  $F$ -crystals over  $k$

$$(M, p^{-a}\varphi) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} (M_\gamma, p^{-a}\varphi)$$

with the properties that: (i) for each rational number  $\gamma$ , either  $M_\gamma = 0$  or the only Newton polygon slope of  $(M_\gamma, p^{-a}\varphi)$  is  $\gamma$ , and (ii) there exists a unique lift  $(F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  such that we have an identity

$$F_1^i(M) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} (F_1^i(M) \cap M_\gamma), \quad \forall i \in S(a, b).$$

If  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is U-ordinary, then we have  $F_0^i(M) = F_1^i(M)$  for all  $i \in S(a, b)$ . If  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is U-ordinary and  $k = \bar{k}$ , then  $(M, (F_0^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$  is cyclic.

**1.4.4. Theorem.** Let  $\bar{g}^{\text{ad}} \in G^{\text{ad}}(k)$  be the image of an element  $g \in G(W(k))$ . We assume that  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary and that  $k = \bar{k}$ . Then statements 1.4.1 (a) and (b) are also equivalent to any one of the following two additional statements:

(a) the Shimura  $p$ -divisible objects  $(M, \varphi, G)$  and  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  are inner isomorphic;

(b) there exists an element  $\bar{h} \in G^{\text{ad}}(k)$  such that as  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphisms of  $\text{Lie}(G_k^{\text{ad}})$  we have an identity  $\bar{h}\bar{\Psi} = \bar{g}^{\text{ad}}\bar{\Psi}\bar{h}$ .

**1.4.5. Corollary.** There exists an open, Zariski dense subscheme  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G_k^{\text{ad}}$  such that for each perfect field  $\tilde{k}$  that contains  $k$  and for every element  $g_{\tilde{k}} \in G(W(\tilde{k}))$ , the triple  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\tilde{k}), g_{\tilde{k}}(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{\tilde{k}}), G_{W(\tilde{k})})$  is Sh-ordinary if and only if we have  $\bar{g}_{\tilde{k}}^{\text{ad}} \in \mathcal{U}(\tilde{k})$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> Based on Theorem 1.4.4 below, one needs to check this for only one such element  $h_0$ .

If  $G = \mathbf{GL}_M$ , then  $b - a \in \{0, 1\}$  (cf. property 1.3.2 (b)) and thus up to a Tate twist we recover the classical context of Section 1.1. Thus Subsection 1.3.7 and Theorems 1.4.1 to 1.4.4 generalize the interpretations of ordinary  $p$ -divisible groups and their canonical lifts in terms of (filtered or truncated modulo  $p$ ) Dieudonné modules. We now include an Example that illustrates many of the above notions and results.

**1.4.6. Example.** We assume that there exists a direct sum decomposition  $M = M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2$  with the property that there exist  $W(k)$ -bases  $\mathcal{B}_i = \{e_j^i | j \in S(1, 4)\}$  for  $M_i$  such that we have  $\varphi(e_j^i) = p^{n_{i,j}} e_j^{i+1}$ , where the upper index  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  is taken modulo 3 and where  $n_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$  is non-zero if and only if we have  $j - i \leq 1$ . Thus  $(a, b) = (0, 1)$ . The Newton polygon slopes of  $(M, \varphi)$  are  $0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$ , and  $1$ , all multiplicities being 3. Let  $\tilde{F}^0(M)$  be the  $W(k)$ -span of  $\{e_j^i | 0 \leq i \leq 2, 2 \leq j - i \leq 4 - i\}$ . Let  $\tilde{F}^1(M) = F^1(M)$  be the  $W(k)$ -span of  $\{e_j^i | 0 \leq i \leq 2, 1 - i \leq j - i \leq 1\}$ . Let  $F^0(M) := M = \tilde{F}^0(M) \oplus \tilde{F}^1(M)$  and  $G := \mathbf{GL}_{M_0} \times_{W(k)} \mathbf{GL}_{M_1} \times_{W(k)} \mathbf{GL}_{M_2}$ . Let  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  be the cocharacter that fixes  $\tilde{F}^0(M)$  and that acts on  $\tilde{F}^1(M)$  via the inverse of the identical character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ . The triple  $(M, F^1(M), \varphi, G)$  is a Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal.

The parabolic subgroup scheme  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  of  $G$  is the Borel subgroup scheme of  $G$  that normalizes the  $W(k)$ -span of  $\{e_j^i | 0 \leq i \leq \tilde{i}, j \in S(1, 4)\}$  for all  $\tilde{i} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Thus  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  normalizes  $F^1(M)$ . Therefore  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary and  $F^1(M)$  is its Sh-canonical lift.

As  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphisms of  $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ , we have  $\frac{1}{p}\Psi = \varphi$ . Thus  $\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$  computes the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope  $-1$  of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi)$  and therefore it is 3. For  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $j_1, j_2 \in S(1, 4)$ , let  $e_{j_1, j_2}^i \in \mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M_i)$  be such that for  $j_3 \in S(1, 4)$  we have  $e_{j_1, j_2}^i(e_{j_3}^i) = \delta_{j_2, j_3} e_{j_1}^i$ . If  $j_1 \neq j_2$ , then we have  $e_{j_1, j_2}^i \in \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ . We have  $\Psi(e_{4,1}^i) = e_{4,1}^{i+1}$  and  $\Psi(e_{1,4}^i) = p e_{1,4}^{i+1}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}^-$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{n}^+$ ) be the  $W(k)$ -submodule of  $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$  generated by all elements fixed by  $\Psi^3$  (resp. by  $\frac{1}{p^3}\Psi^3$ ). We have

$$\mathfrak{n}^- = \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 W(k) e_{4,1}^i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n}^+ = \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 W(k) e_{1,4}^i.$$

The normalizer  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$  (resp.  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ ) of  $\mathfrak{n}^-$  (resp. of  $\mathfrak{n}^+$ ) in  $G$  is the parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$  whose Lie algebra is the  $W(k)$ -span of

$$\{e_{j_1, j_2}^i | 0 \leq i \leq 2, \text{ either } 1 \leq j_2 \leq j_1 \leq 4 \text{ or } (j_1, j_2) = (2, 3)\}$$

(resp. of  $\{e_{j_1, j_2}^i | 0 \leq i \leq 2, \text{ either } 1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq 4 \text{ or } (j_1, j_2) = (3, 2)\}$ ). Thus  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  is a proper subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ . Let  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  be the Levi subgroup scheme of either  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$  or  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ , whose Lie algebra is the  $W(k)$ -span of  $\{e_{j,j}^i, e_{2,3}^i, e_{3,2}^i | 0 \leq i \leq 2, j \in S(1, 4)\}$ . The group scheme  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)^{\mathrm{ad}}$  is a  $\mathbf{PGL}_2^3$  group scheme, the cocharacter  $\mu$  factors through  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$ , and the triple  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is also Sh-ordinary.

Let  $w \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$  be such that it fixes  $e_j^i$  for  $(i, j) \notin \{(0, 2), (0, 3)\}$  and it interchanges  $e_2^0$  and  $e_3^0$ . The Newton polygon slopes of  $(M, w\varphi)$  are  $0, \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $1$  with multiplicities 3, 6, and 3 (respectively). As  $w$  fixes  $\mathfrak{n}^-$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}^-$  is  $W(k)$ -generated by all elements of  $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$  fixed by  $(w\Psi)^3$ . By applying this over  $\bar{k}$  we get that  $\mathbf{I}(M, w\varphi, G) = 3$ . But

the triples  $(M, w\varphi, G)$  and  $(M, w\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  are not Sh-ordinary, as  $e_{3,2}^i \in \text{Lie}(P_{\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)}^+(w\varphi))$  and as  $e_{3,2}^i(F^1(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} k \not\subseteq F^1(M) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ . Let  $g \in G(W(k))$  be such that we have  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = 3$ . Up to an inner isomorphism we can assume that  $g \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$ , cf. property 3.3 (b). For  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , the intersection  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi) \cap \mathbf{GL}_{M_i}$  normalizes  $F^1(M) \cap M_i$  if and only if  $i \neq 1$  (i.e.,  $\mu$  has a non-trivial image only in that  $\mathbf{PGL}_2$  factor of  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)^{\text{ad}}$  which corresponds to  $i = 1$ ). This implies that  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope  $-\frac{1}{3}$  of  $(\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)), g\varphi)$ . In particular, we have  $\mathbf{I}(M, w\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)) = 0 < 3 = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$ .

In general, for  $g \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$  the triple  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary if and only if the triple  $(M, g\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is Sh-ordinary (cf. Theorem 3.7.1 (e)).

**1.4.7. Remark.** Example 1.4.6 points out that the limitations of Theorem 1.4.2 are of apparent nature: always one can use a well defined sequence of  $FSHW$  invariants in order to “detect” Sh-ordinariness. It also points out that the proofs of Theorems 1.4.1, 1.4.2, and 1.4.4 will involve an induction on  $\dim(G_k)$  and will appeal to *opposite* parabolic subgroup schemes of  $G$ , like  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$  and  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ .

We now shift our attention from the abstract context of Sections 1.3 and 1.4 to a geometric context that involves abelian schemes and Shimura varieties of *Hodge type*.

### 1.5. Standard Hodge situations

We use the standard terminology of [De5] of Hodge cycles on an abelian scheme  $A_Z$  over a reduced  $\mathbf{Q}$ -scheme  $Z$ . Thus we write each Hodge cycle  $v$  on  $A_Z$  as a pair

$$(v_{dR}, v_{\acute{e}t}),$$

where  $v_{dR}$  and  $v_{\acute{e}t}$  are the de Rham and the étale (respectively) component of  $v$ . The étale component  $v_{\acute{e}t}$  as its turn has an  $l$ -component  $v_{\acute{e}t}^l$ , for each prime  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ . For instance, if  $Z$  is the spectrum of a field  $E$  and if  $\overline{E}$  is a fixed algebraic closure of  $E$ , then  $v_{\acute{e}t}^p$  is a suitable  $\text{Gal}(\overline{E}/E)$ -invariant tensor of the tensor algebra of  $H_{\acute{e}t}^1(A_{\overline{Z}}, \mathbf{Q}_p) \oplus (H_{\acute{e}t}^1(A_{\overline{Z}}, \mathbf{Q}_p))^* \oplus \mathbf{Q}_p(1)$ , where  $\overline{Z} := \text{Spec}(\overline{E})$  and where  $\mathbf{Q}_p(1)$  is the usual Tate twist. If moreover  $\overline{E}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbf{C}$ , then we also use the *Betti realization* of  $v$ : it corresponds to  $v_{dR}$  (resp. to  $v_{\acute{e}t}^l$ ) via the standard isomorphism that relates the Betti cohomology with  $\mathbf{Q}$ -coefficients of  $A_Z \times_Z \text{Spec}(\mathbf{C})$  with the de Rham (resp. the  $\mathbf{Q}_l$  étale) cohomology of  $A_{\overline{Z}}$  (see [De5]).

For generalities on *Shimura pairs*, on their adjoints, *reflex fields*, and *canonical models*, and on *injective maps* between them we refer to [De2], [De3], [Mi1], [Mi2], and [Va3, Subsects. 2.1 to 2.10]. For different types of Shimura varieties we refer to [De3], [Mi2], and [Va3, Subsect. 2.5]. We now introduce *standard Hodge situations*. They generalize the *standard PEL situations* used in [Zi1], [LR], [Ko2], and [RZ]; however, the things are more technical than in these references due to the passage from tensors of degree 2 (polarizations and endomorphisms) to tensors of arbitrary degree on which no special properties are imposed. Here “tensors” refer to different cohomological realizations of Hodge cycles on abelian varieties.

**1.5.1. Basic notations.** We start with an injective map of Shimura pairs

$$f : (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S).$$

The pair  $(W, \psi)$  is a symplectic space over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $S$  is the set of all homomorphisms  $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi)_{\mathbf{R}}$  that define *Hodge  $\mathbf{Q}$ -structures* on  $W$  of type  $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$  and that have either  $2\pi i\psi$  or  $-2\pi i\psi$  as polarizations. One calls  $(\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$  a *Siegel modular pair* and  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  a Shimura pair of Hodge type. The group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is reductive over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and is identified via  $f$  with a subgroup of  $\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi)$ . The set  $X$  is a  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{R})$ -conjugacy class of homomorphisms  $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{R}}$  whose composites with  $f_{\mathbf{R}}$  belong to  $S$ . Both  $S$  and  $X$  have canonical structures of hermitian symmetric domains, cf. [De3, Cor. 1.1.17].

Let  $L$  be a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -lattice of  $W$  which is self-dual with respect to  $\psi$  (i.e., such that  $\psi$  induces a perfect form  $\psi : L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} L \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ ). Let  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  be the localization of  $\mathbf{Z}$  at its prime ideal  $(p)$ . Let  $L_{(p)} := L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ . We assume that  $p$  and  $L$  are such that:

(\*) the Zariski closure  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)$  is a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ .

Let  $K_p := \mathbf{GSp}(L, \psi)(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Let

$$H_p := G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbf{Z}_p) = G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \cap K_p.$$

Let  $r := \frac{\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(W)}{2} \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}_f$  (resp.  $\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)}$ ) be the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra of finite adèles (resp. of finite adèles with the  $p$ -component omitted). We have  $\mathbf{A}_f = \mathbf{Q}_p \times \mathbf{A}_f^{(p)}$ .

Let  $x \in X$ . Let  $\mu_x : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$  be the Hodge cocharacter that defines the Hodge  $\mathbf{Q}$ -structure on  $W$  defined by  $x$ . We have a direct sum decomposition  $W \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} = F_x^{-1,0} \oplus F_x^{0,-1}$  such that  $\mathbf{G}_m$  acts via  $\mu_x$  trivially on  $F_x^{0,-1}$  and through the identity character on  $F_x^{-1,0}$ .

The reflex field  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  is the subfield of  $\mathbf{C}$  that is the field of definition of the  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{C})$ -conjugacy class of cocharacters of  $G_{\mathbf{C}}$  defined by (any)  $\mu_x$ ; it is a number field. The canonical model  $\text{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  over  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  is a Shimura variety of Hodge type. Let  $v$  be a prime of  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  that divides  $p$  and let  $k(v)$  be its residue field. Let  $O_{(v)}$  be the localization of the ring of integers of  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  with respect to  $v$ . As  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is a reductive group scheme, the prime  $v$  is unramified over  $p$  (cf. [Mi2, Cor. 4.7 (a)]). Our main data is the triple

$$(f, L, v)$$

for which (\*) holds, to be called a *potential standard Hodge situation*.

For the notion of an *integral canonical model* of a quadruple of the form  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X, H_p, v)$  we refer to [Va3, Defs. 3.2.3 6) and 3.2.6] (see also [Mi1, §2] and [Mi2]). Let

$$\mathcal{M}$$

be the  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -scheme which parameterizes isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian schemes over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -schemes that are of relative dimension  $r$  and that have level  $s$  symplectic similitude structure for each  $s \in \mathbf{N}$  relatively prime to  $p$ . The scheme  $\mathcal{M}$  together with the natural action of  $\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  on it, is an integral canonical model of  $(\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S, K_p, p)$  (see [Va3, Example 3.2.9 and Subsect. 4.1] or [Mi1, Thm. 2.10]). These structures and this action are defined naturally via  $(L, \psi)$  (see [Va3, Subsect. 4.1]) and the previous sentence makes sense as we can identify naturally  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Q}}$  with  $\text{Sh}(\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)/K_p$ .

As  $Z(\mathbf{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi))(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$  is a discrete subgroup of  $Z(\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi))(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ , we have  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \backslash (S \times \mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)}))$  (cf. [Mi2, Prop. 4.11]). We also have  $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)/H_p(\mathbf{C}) = G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \backslash [X \times (G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)}) \backslash \overline{Z(G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}})(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})})]$ , where  $\overline{Z(G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}})(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})}$  is the topological closure of  $Z(G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}})(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$  in  $Z(G_{\mathbf{Q}})(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  (cf. [Mi2, Prop. 4.11]). We have a morphism  $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)/H_p \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$  of  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ -schemes whose pull back to  $\mathbf{C}$  is defined by the natural embedding  $X \times G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)}) \hookrightarrow S \times \mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  via natural passages to quotients, cf. [De2, Cor. 5.4]. The last two sentences imply that  $\overline{Z(G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}})(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})} = Z(G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}})(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ . Thus we have

$$\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)/H_p(\mathbf{C}) = G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \backslash (X \times G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})).$$

We easily get that  $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)_{\mathbf{C}}/H_p$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{C}}$ . Thus  $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)/H_p$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{M}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{N}$$

be the normalization of the Zariski closure of  $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)/H_p$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{O_{(v)}}$ . Let

$$(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$$

be the pull back to  $\mathcal{N}$  of the *universal principally polarized abelian scheme* over  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let

$$(v_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$$

be a family of tensors in  $\cup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} W^{*\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} W^{\otimes n}$  such that  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_W$  that fixes  $v_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . As  $Z(\mathbf{GL}_W) \leq G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , the existence of the family  $(v_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  is implied by [De5, Prop. 3.1 (c)].

The choice of  $L$  and  $(v_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ , allows a moduli interpretation of  $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  (see [De2], [De3], [Mi2], and [Va3, Subsect. 4.1 and Lem. 4.1.3]). For instance, the set of complex points  $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)(\mathbf{C}) = G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash (X \times G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f))$  is the set of isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian varieties over  $\mathbf{C}$  that are of dimension  $r$ , that carry a family of Hodge cycles indexed by the set  $\mathcal{J}$ , that have level  $s$  symplectic similitude structure for all  $s \in \mathbf{N}$ , and that satisfy some extra axioms.

This interpretation endows the abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$  with a family  $(w_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{A}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  of Hodge cycles whose Betti realizations can be described as follows. Let

$$w = [x_w, g_w] \in \mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)/H_p(\mathbf{C}) = G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \backslash (X \times G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)}))$$

where  $x_w \in X$  and  $g_w \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ . Let  $L_{g_w}$  be the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -lattice of  $W$  such that we have  $L_{g_w} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{\mathbf{Z}} = g_w(L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{\mathbf{Z}})$ ; here we view  $g_w$  as an element of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f)$ . Let  $(A_w, \lambda_{A_w}) := w^*((\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}})_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)})$ . Then  $A_w$  is the complex abelian variety whose analytic space is

$$A_w(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{L}_{g_w} \backslash \mathbf{W} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} / \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}_w}^{0, -1},$$

the principal polarization  $\lambda_{A_w}$  of  $A_w$  is defined by a suitable  $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ -multiple of  $\psi$ , and  $w^*(w_\alpha^A)$  is the Hodge cycle on  $A_w$  whose Betti realization is the tensor  $v_\alpha$  of the tensor algebra of  $W^* \oplus W = (L_{g_w}^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}) \oplus (L_{g_w} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q})$ .

Let  $L_p := L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p = L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbf{Z}_p$ . Let  $\psi^*$  be the perfect form on either  $L_{(p)}^*$  or  $L_p^*$  that is defined naturally by  $\psi$ . We have natural identifications

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_w, \mathbf{Z}_p) = L_{g_w}^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p = L^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p = L_p^*$$

under which: (i) the  $p$ -component of the étale component of  $w^*(w_\alpha^A)$  is  $v_\alpha$ , and (ii) the perfect form on  $L^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p$  that corresponds naturally to  $\lambda_{A_w}$  is a  $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ -multiple of  $\psi^*$ .

Let  $'$  be the involution of  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(L_{(p)})$  defined by the identity  $\psi(b(x), y) = \psi(x, b'(y))$ , where  $b \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(L_{(p)})$  and  $x, y \in L_{(p)}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} := \{b \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(L_{(p)}) \mid b \text{ fixed by } G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}\}$ . We say  $(f, L, v)$  or  $(f, L, v, \mathcal{B})$  is a standard PEL situation if the following axiom holds:

**(PEL)**  $\mathcal{B}$  is normalized by  $'$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} W(\mathbf{F})$  is a product of matrix  $W(\mathbf{F})$ -algebras, and  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is the identity component of the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi)$  that fixes all elements of  $\mathcal{B}[\frac{1}{p}]$ .

If moreover  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi)$  that fixes all elements of  $\mathcal{B}[\frac{1}{p}]$ , then we also refer to  $(f, L, v)$  or to  $(f, L, v, \mathcal{B})$  as a *standard moduli PEL situation*.

**1.5.2. The abstract Shimura  $F$ -crystal.** The isomorphism  $L \xrightarrow{\sim} L^*$  induced by  $\psi$  allows us to identify  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  with a closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_p^*}$ .

Let  $T_0$  be a maximal torus of a Borel subgroup scheme  $B_0$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ . Let

$$\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \hookrightarrow T_{0, W(k(v))}$$

be an injective cocharacter such that the following condition holds (cf. [Mi2, Cor. 4.7 (b)]):

(a) under a monomorphism  $W(k(v)) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  that extends the composite monomorphism  $O_{(v)} \hookrightarrow E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , it is  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{C})$ -conjugate to the Hodge cocharacters  $\mu_x : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$ .

We will choose  $\mu_0$  such that moreover we have the following property:

(b) if  $M_0 := L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k(v)) = F_0^1 \oplus F_0^0$  is the direct sum decomposition such that  $\mathbf{G}_m$  acts via  $\mu_0$  trivially on  $F_0^0$  and as the inverse of the identical character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$  on  $F_0^1$ , then  $B_{0, W(k(v))}$  normalizes  $F_0^1$ .

We emphasize that  $\mu_0$  is uniquely determined by properties (a) and (b).

Let  $\varphi_0 := (1_{L_p^*} \otimes \sigma_{k(v)}) \circ \mu_0(\frac{1}{p}) : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ . We have  $\varphi_0^{-1}(M_0) = \frac{1}{p}F_0^1 \oplus F_0^0$  and  $\varphi_0(\text{Lie}(G_{B(k(v))})) = \text{Lie}(G_{B(k(v))})$ . Thus the quadruple  $(M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_0, G_{W(k(v))})$  is a Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal and the Hodge cocharacter  $\mu_0$  defines the lift  $F_0^1$ . We refer to the triple

$$\mathcal{C}_0 := (M_0, \varphi_0, G_{W(k(v))})$$

as the *abstract Shimura  $F$ -crystal* of  $(f, L, v)$ . Up to a  $W(k(v))$ -linear automorphism of  $M_0$  defined by an element of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_0$  does not depend on the choices of  $T_0$  and  $B_0$  (as all pairs of the form  $(T_0, B_0)$  are  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ -conjugate, cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (d)).

**1.5.3. Definition.** The potential standard Hodge situation  $(f, L, v)$  is called a standard Hodge situation if the following two conditions hold:

- (a) the  $O_{(v)}$ -scheme  $\mathcal{N}$  is regular and formally smooth;
- (b) for each perfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$  and for every  $W(k)$ -valued point  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$ , the quadruple  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is a Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal; here  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$  is the filtered Dieudonné module of the

$$p\text{-divisible group } D_z \text{ of } A := z^*(\mathcal{A})$$

and  $\tilde{G}$  is the Zariski closure in  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  of the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]} = \mathbf{GL}_{H_{dR}^1(A/W(k))[\frac{1}{p}]}$  that fixes the de Rham component  $t_\alpha$  of  $z_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}^*(w_\alpha^A)$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ .

The de Rham component  $t_\alpha$  is a tensor of the tensor algebra of  $M[\frac{1}{p}] \oplus M^*[\frac{1}{p}]$ .

**1.5.4. Shimura  $F$ -crystals attached to points of  $\mathcal{N}(k)$ .** We assume that  $(f, L, v)$  is a standard Hodge situation and we use the notations of Subsection 1.5.3. We refer to the quadruple  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  as the *Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal attached to  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$* . Let  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  be the point defined naturally by  $z$ . The triple  $(M, \varphi, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  depends only on the point  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  and not on the lift  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  of  $y$ , cf. Proposition 6.2.7 (d). Thus we call  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  as the *Shimura  $F$ -crystal attached to the point  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$* .

**1.5.5. Remarks.** (a) If the condition 1.5.3 (a) holds, then  $\mathcal{N}$  is an integral canonical model of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X, H_p, v)$  (cf. [Va3, Cor. 3.4.4]). If  $p \geq 5$ , then the condition 1.5.3 (a) holds (cf. [Va3, Subsect. 3.2.12, Prop. 3.4.1, and Thm. 6.4.2] and its corrections in [Va6, Appendix]). See [Va3, Subsects. 6.5 and 6.6] for general ways to construct standard Hodge situations. For instance, if  $(f, L, v)$  is a potential standard Hodge situation and if  $p \geq \max\{5, r\}$ , then  $(f, L, v)$  is a standard Hodge situation (cf. [Va3, Thm. 5.1, Rm. 5.8.2, and Cor. 5.8.6]). In [Va8] it is proved that each potential standard Hodge situation is in fact a standard Hodge situation.

(b) A standard PEL situation is a standard Hodge situation, provided either  $p \geq 3$  or it is a standard moduli PEL situation (see [Zil], [LR], [Ko2], [RZ], and [Va3, Example 5.6.3]; the assumption that  $\mathcal{B}[\frac{1}{p}]$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -simple algebra used in [Ko2, §5] for  $p \geq 2$  and thus also in [Va3, Example 4.3.11] with  $p \geq 3$ , can be eliminated).

**1.5.6. Shimura types.** We review few things on Shimura types. Let  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  be the *adjoint Shimura pair* of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ ; thus  $X^{\text{ad}}$  is the  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{R})$ -conjugacy class of the composite of any element  $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{R}}$  of  $X$  with the natural epimorphism  $G_{\mathbf{R}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\mathbf{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ . In this Subsection we assume that the adjoint group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}$  is non-trivial. Let  $(\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{Q}}, \tilde{X})$  be a simple factor of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$ ; thus  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is a simple group over  $\mathbf{Q}$  that is a direct factor of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  be the Lie type of the simple factors of  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{C}}$ . The existence of the cocharacters  $\mu_x : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$  implies that (see [Sa], [De3], [Se1], [Pi2, Table 4.2], etc.):

- (i)  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  is a classical Lie type;
- (ii) if  $\mathfrak{f}$  is a simple factor of  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{C}}^{\text{ad}})$ , then the weight  $\varpi$  of each simple  $\mathfrak{f}$ -submodule of  $W \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$ , is a *minuscule weight*.

We recall that  $\varpi$  can be: any fundamental weight if  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = A_\ell$ , the fundamental weight  $\varpi_\ell$  if  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = B_\ell$ , the fundamental weight  $\varpi_1$  if  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = C_\ell$ , and any one of the three fundamental weights  $\varpi_1, \varpi_{\ell-1}, \varpi_\ell$  if  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = D_\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 4$ ; see either the mentioned references or [Bou3, pp. 127–129]. If  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  is  $A_\ell, B_\ell$ , or  $C_\ell$  with  $\ell \in \mathbf{N}$ , then we say  $(\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{Q}}, \tilde{X})$  is of  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  type. We assume now that  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  is  $D_\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 4$ . Then  $(\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{Q}}, \tilde{X})$  is of either  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{H}}$  or  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{R}}$  type, cf. [De3, Table 2.3.8]. If  $(\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{Q}}, \tilde{X})$  is of  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{H}}$  (resp. of  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{R}}$ ) type, then each non-compact, simple factor of the identity component of the real Lie group  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R})$  is isogenous to  $\mathbf{SO}^*(2\ell)$  (resp. to the identity component of  $\mathbf{SO}(2, 2\ell - 2)$ ) and the converse of this holds for  $\ell \geq 5$  (see [He, Ch. X, §2] for the classical semisimple, real Lie groups  $\mathbf{SO}^*(2\ell)$  and  $\mathbf{SO}(2, 2\ell - 2)$ ).

We mention that besides the Shimura types mentioned above, we have precisely three extra ones. The three extra Shimura types are  $D_\ell^{\text{mixed}}$  with  $\ell \geq 4$ ,  $E_6$ , and  $E_7$ . Abstract Theorems 1.4.1 to 1.4.4 apply to all of them.

We have the following concrete (i.e., geometric) form of Section 1.4.

### 1.6. Main results on standard Hodge situations

Let  $(f, L, v)$  be a standard Hodge situation. Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$  be the principally polarized abelian scheme over  $\mathcal{N}$  introduced in Section 1.5. Let  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ . Let  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  be a lift of  $y$ . Let the quintuple  $(M, F^1, \varphi, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}, \tilde{G})$  be as in Definition 1.5.3; thus the triple  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is the Shimura  $F$ -crystal attached to the point  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}_0 := (M_0, \varphi_0, G_{W(k(v))})$  be the abstract Shimura  $F$ -crystal of  $(f, L, v)$ , cf. Subsection 1.5.2. Let  $U_0^+ := U_{G_{W(k(v))}}^+(\varphi_0)$  be the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup scheme  $P_{G_{W(k(v))}}^+(\varphi_0)$  of  $G_{W(k(v))}$ , cf. Subsection 1.3.3. Let

$$\mathfrak{I}_0 \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$$

be the nilpotent class of  $U_0^+$ .

**1.6.1. Theorem.** *There exists an open, Zariski dense,  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ -invariant subscheme  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  such that for a point  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  we have  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k)$  if and only if one of the following four equivalent statements holds:*

- (a) *the Shimura  $F$ -crystal  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  attached to  $y$  is Sh-ordinary;*
- (b) *the Newton polygon of  $(M, \varphi)$  is the Newton polygon  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  of  $\mathcal{C}_0$  (i.e., of  $(M_0, \varphi_0)$ );*
- (c) *there exists a Lie isomorphism  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{W(\bar{k})}^{\text{ad}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Lie}(G_{W(\bar{k})}^{\text{ad}})$  with the properties that:*
  - (i) *it is defined naturally by a  $\overline{B(\bar{k})}$ -linear isomorphism  $M \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{B(\bar{k})} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} \overline{B(\bar{k})}$  which takes  $t_\alpha$  to  $v_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ , and*
  - (ii) *its reduction modulo  $p$  defines an isomorphism between the FSHW maps of the triples  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), \varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}, \tilde{G}_{W(\bar{k})})$  and  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes \bar{k} := (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\bar{k}), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}, G_{W(\bar{k})})$ ;*

- (d) *the Newton polygon of  $(\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}), \varphi)$  is the Newton polygon of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{W(k(v))}^{\text{ad}}), \varphi_0)$ .*

*If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 2$ , then the above four statements are also equivalent to the following one:*

- (e) *we have an equality  $\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, \tilde{G}) = \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{C}_0)$  (equivalently, we have an inequality  $\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, \tilde{G}) \geq \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ ).*



Moreover, if  $k = \bar{k}$  and if  $y, y_1 \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  are two points that factor through the same connected component of  $\mathcal{O}$ , then there exists an isomorphism  $(M, \varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \varphi_1)$  defined by a  $W(k)$ -linear isomorphism  $M \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1$  that takes  $t_\alpha$  to  $t_{1,\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ ; here  $(M_1, \varphi_1, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  is the triple that defines the Shimura  $F$ -crystal  $(M_1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_1)$  attached to the point  $y_1 \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ .

**1.6.2. Theorem.** *Let  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  be such that its attached Shimura  $F$ -crystal  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is  $U$ -ordinary. If  $p = 2$  we assume that either  $k = \bar{k}$  or  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral Newton polygon slopes. Let  $n_y \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$  be such that the number of  $W(k)$ -valued points  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  which lift  $y$  and whose attached Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystals  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  are the  $U$ -canonical lift of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ , is precisely  $n_y$ .*

*Then we have  $n_y \in \mathbf{N}$ . If  $p \geq 3$  or if  $p = 2$  and  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral Newton polygon slopes, then  $n_y = 1$  and the resulting  $W(k)$ -valued point  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is the unique  $W(k)$ -valued point  $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  that lifts  $y$  and such that the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{z_1}$  of  $z_1^*(\mathcal{A})$  is a direct sum of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  whose special fibres have only one Newton polygon slope; if moreover  $k = \bar{k}$ , then the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_z$  of  $z^*(\mathcal{A})$  is cyclic.*

**1.6.3. Theorem.** *We assume that  $k = \mathbf{F}$  and that the  $W(k)$ -valued point  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is such that  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is a  $U$ -canonical lift. We have the following four properties:*

(a) *Then  $A = z^*(\mathcal{A})$  has complex multiplication.*

(b) *Let  $e_{\mathbf{F}}$  be an endomorphism of  $A_{\mathbf{F}}$  whose crystalline realization  $c_{\mathbf{F}} \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$  is an endomorphism of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ . If  $c_{\mathbf{F}}$  has a zero image in  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M/4M)$  or if  $p = 2$  and  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral Newton polygon slopes, then  $e_{\mathbf{F}}$  lifts to an endomorphism of  $A$ .*

(c) *Let  $k_0$  be a finite field. Let  $\tilde{A}_{k_0}$  be an abelian variety over  $k_0$  such that  $\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{F}}$  is  $A_{\mathbf{F}} = y^*(\mathcal{A})$ . Let  $\Pi \in \text{End}(A_{\mathbf{F}})$  be the extension to  $\mathbf{F}$  of the Frobenius endomorphism of  $\tilde{A}_{k_0}$ . Let  $z_0 : \text{Spec}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a lift of  $y$ , where  $V$  is a finite, discrete valuation ring extension of  $W(\mathbf{F})$ . Let  $s \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $t \in \mathbf{N}$ . We assume that the endomorphism  $p^s \Pi^t$  of  $A_{\mathbf{F}} = y^*(\mathcal{A})$  lifts to an endomorphism of  $z_0^*(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*If the condition **W** for  $z_0$  (to be defined in Subsection 6.5.5) holds, then the abelian scheme  $z_0^*(\mathcal{A})$  has complex multiplication. Condition **W** for  $z_0$  holds if  $V = W(\mathbf{F})$  (therefore, if  $V = W(\mathbf{F})$ , then  $z_0^*(\mathcal{A})$  has complex multiplication).*

(d) *Referring to (c), if moreover  $V = W(\mathbf{F})$  (resp.  $V = W(\mathbf{F})$ ,  $p = 2$ , and  $s = 0$ ), then  $z_0$  is a  $U$ -canonical lift (resp. is the unique  $W(k)$ -valued point  $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  which lifts  $y$  and for which the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{z_1}$  of  $z_1^*(\mathcal{A})$  is cyclic).*

**1.6.4. Definitions.** We call every point  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  as in Theorem 1.6.2 as a  $U$ -ordinary point (of either  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  or  $\mathcal{N}$ ). We call every  $W(k)$ -valued point  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  as in Theorem 1.6.2 as a  $U$ -canonical lift (of either  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  or  $\mathcal{N}$ ). If moreover  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(k)$ , then we also refer to  $y$  as an  $Sh$ -ordinary point (of either  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  or  $\mathcal{N}$ ) and to  $z$  as an  $Sh$ -canonical lift (of either  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k)$  or  $\mathcal{N}$ ). We also refer to  $\mathcal{O}$  as the  $Sh$ -ordinary locus of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ .

**1.6.5. On  $\mathfrak{I}_0$ .** We can have  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$  only if there exists a simple factor  $(\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{Q}}, \tilde{X})$  of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  that is either of  $A_\ell$  type with  $\ell \geq 2$  or of  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{H}}$  type with  $\ell \geq 4$  (see Subsection 3.4.7 and Corollary 3.6.3 for an abstract version of this; see also the property 9.5.2 (l)). In particular, we always have  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 1$  if the group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}$  is non-trivial and all simple factors of

$(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  are of  $B_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 1$ ),  $C_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 3$ ), or  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{R}}$  ( $\ell \geq 4$ ) type. If the group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}$  is simple (thus we have  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{Q}} = G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}$ ) and if  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  is of  $A_\ell$  type with  $\ell \geq 2$  (resp. of  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{H}}$  type with  $\ell \geq 4$ ), then one can check that regardless of what the prime  $v$  is, we have  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \in S(1, \ell)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \in \{1, 2\}$ ); in addition, we have plenty of situations in which  $\mathfrak{I}_0$  is an arbitrary number in  $S(1, \ell)$  (resp. in  $\{1, 2\}$ ).

**1.6.6. On  $\mathbf{W}$ .** Condition  $\mathbf{W}$  for  $z_0$  pertains to certain *Bruhat decompositions* (see Subsection 6.5.5) and it is easy to see that it is also a necessary condition in order that the abelian scheme  $z_0^*(\mathcal{A})$  of the statement 1.6.3 (c) has complex multiplication. Roughly speaking, the condition  $\mathbf{W}$  for  $z_0$  holds if there exist enough endomorphisms of  $(M, \varphi, \bar{G})$  that are crystalline realizations of endomorphisms of  $z_0^*(\mathcal{A})$ . Condition  $\mathbf{W}$  for  $z_0$  always holds if  $y$  is a Sh-ordinary point, cf. proof of Corollary 9.6.4.

## 1.7. On applications

Different examples, complements, and applications of Theorems 1.6.1 to 1.6.3 are gathered in Chapters 7 to 9. We will mention here only five such complements and applications; to state them, in this section we take  $k = \bar{k}$ .

In Section 7.2 we define *Dieudonné truncations* modulo positive, integral powers of  $p$  of Shimura  $F$ -crystals attached to  $k$ -valued points of  $\mathcal{N}$  and we include a modulo  $p$  variant of the last paragraph of Theorem 1.6.1 (see Corollary 7.2.2).

In Section 8.2 we complete for  $p \geq 5$  (the last step of) the proof of the existence of integral canonical models in unramified mixed characteristic  $(0, p)$  of Shimura varieties whose adjoints are products of simple, adjoint Shimura varieties of some  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{H}}$  type with  $\ell \geq 4$ . In other words, the Zariski density part of Theorem 1.6.1 and the statement 1.6.3 (a) allow us to apply [Va3, Lem. 6.8.1 and Criteria 6.8.2] for proving (see Subsection 8.2.4) the postponed result [Va3, Thm. 6.1.2\*] for these Shimura varieties.

In Sections 9.1, 9.2, and 9.4 we generalize properties 1.1 (f) to (h) to the context of a standard Hodge situation  $(f, L, v)$ . These generalizations are rooted on the notions of Subsection 1.3.5 and on Faltings deformation theory of [Fa2, §7]. We detail on the generalization of the property 1.1 (h) and on complements to it.

To  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k = (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_k, G_{W(k)})$  we attach two formal Lie groups over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ : the first one  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is commutative and the second one  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is nilpotent of nilpotent class  $\mathfrak{I}_0$  (see Sections 5.3 and 5.4). The first one is the formal Lie group of the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{W(k)}^+$  over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is

$$(\text{Lie}(U_{0, W(k)}^+), \tilde{F}_0^1(\text{Lie}(G_{W(k)})), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma),$$

where  $\tilde{F}_0^1(\text{Lie}(G_{W(k)})) := \{x \in \text{Lie}(G_{W(k)}) \mid x(F_0^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k)) = 0 \text{ and } x(M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k)) \subseteq F_0^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k)\}$ ; we call  $D_{W(k)}^+$  the positive  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  of  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$ . The second one is a modification of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  that pays attention to the Lie structure of  $\text{Lie}(U_{0, W(k)}^+)$ . We view  $\mathcal{F}_1$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_2$ ) as the étale (resp. as the crystalline) possible way to define or to look at the formal deformation space of  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$ . We have  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2$  if and only if  $U_0^+$  is commutative. See Examples 5.2.7 to 5.2.10 and the property 9.5.2 (b) for different examples that pertain to the structure of  $D_{W(k)}^+$  (which does depend on  $\mathfrak{I}_0$ ).

Let  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(k)$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_y = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$  be the formal scheme of the completion  $R$  of the local ring of the  $k$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$  defined by  $y$ . One expects that  $\mathcal{D}_y$  has  $n_y$  natural structures of formal Lie groups isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  as well as  $n_y$  natural structures of formal Lie groups isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_2$  (see Theorem 1.6.2 for the positive integer  $n_y$ ). Theorem 9.4 proves this in the so called commutative case when  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$  (i.e., when  $U_0^+$  is commutative) and in Section 9.8 we deal with some specific properties of the case  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ .

For simplicity, in this and the next paragraph we will refer only to the commutative case; thus we have  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ . If  $p \geq 3$ , let  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  be the Sh-canonical lift of  $y$ . If  $p = 2$ , then we choose a Sh-canonical lift  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  of  $y$  (cf. Theorem 1.6.2). Then  $\mathcal{D}_y$  has a unique canonical formal Lie group structure defined by the following two properties: (i) the origin is defined by  $z$ , and (ii) the addition of two  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued points of  $\mathcal{D}_y$  is defined as in Subsection 1.2.6 via sums of lifts. If  $p = 2$ , then we have  $n_y = 2^{m_0(1)}$ , where  $m_0(1)$  is the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope 1 of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k(v))}^{\mathrm{ad}})[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_0)$  (see Proposition 9.5.1). Also if  $p = 2$ , then the  $n_y$  formal Lie group structures on  $\mathcal{D}_y$  that correspond to the  $n_y$  possible choices of  $z$ , differ only by translations through 2-torsion  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued points (see property 9.5.2 (d)). For  $p = 2$ , the methods used in this monograph can not show that we can always choose  $z$  such that the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_z$  of  $z^*(\mathcal{A})$  is cyclic (see [Va8] for a proof of this); thus, if  $n_y > 1$ , in this monograph we can not single out in general any such 2-torsion  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued point and this explains why everywhere we mention  $n_y$  canonical structures.

See Proposition 9.6.1 and Definition 9.6.2 for the  $\mathrm{Spf}(V)$ -valued points version of sums of lifts and see Corollary 9.6.5 and Remarks 9.6.6 for  $\mathrm{Spf}(V)$ -valued torsion points; here  $V$  is a finite, discrete valuation ring extension of  $W(k)$ . See Subsection 5.4.1 and Proposition 9.7.1 for different functorial aspects of the formal Lie groups  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and of the mentioned canonical formal Lie group structures on  $\mathcal{D}_y$ . Let  $v^{\mathrm{ad}}$  be the prime of the reflex field  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$  of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$  divided by  $v$ . If the residue field  $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$  of  $v^{\mathrm{ad}}$  is  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , then up to  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued points of order two (they can exist only if  $p = 2$ ), all the canonical formal Lie group structures on  $\mathcal{D}_y$  coincide and  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is a formal torus (see property 9.5.2 (a)); this result for the case when  $k(v) = k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathbf{F}_p$  was first obtained in [No].

## 1.8. Extra literature

The *FSHW* maps were introduced in [Va1, Subsubsection. 5.5.4] following a suggestion of Faltings and this explains our terminology. They are the adjoint Lie analogues of *Barsotti–Tate groups* of level 1 over  $k$ , cf. Claim of Subsection 1.2.3, the statement 1.4.4 (b), etc. To our knowledge, [Va1, Subsect. 5.5 and 5.6] is the first place where Bruhat decompositions and *Weyl elements* are used in the study of  $\overline{\Psi}$  and thus implicitly of Barsotti–Tate groups of level 1 over  $k$  (see property (12) of Proposition 3.5.1). The notion U-ordinariness is a new concept. It is more general than the notion Sh-ordinariness, despite the fact that in the classical context of Section 1.1 (with  $G = \mathbf{GL}_M$ ) we do not get U-canonical lifts that are not Sh-canonical lifts. Referring to the context of Section 1.3, the U-canonical lifts typically show up when  $\varphi \otimes \sigma_{\overline{k}}$  gives birth to a non-trivial permutation of the simple factors of  $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(\overline{k})}^{\mathrm{ad}})$  (see Subsections 4.3.3 to 4.3.5 for several examples and see Section 7.5 for the simplest geometric examples). The Sh-canonical lifts were first mentioned in [Va3, Subsects. 1.6 and 1.6.2]; previously to [Va3] and [Va4], they were

used only either for the case of ordinary  $p$ -divisible groups or for cases pertaining to  $H^2$  crystalline realizations of hyperkähler varieties (like K3 surfaces). In the generality of Theorem 1.6.2, for  $p \geq 5$  they were introduced in the first version [Va2] of this monograph (for  $p \geq 2$  see also [Va4]). See Remark 4.2.2 for a link between Theorem 1.4.1 and [RR].

The Shimura  $F$ -crystal  $\mathcal{C}_0$  was introduced in [Va1, Subsect. 5.3 g)] (see also [RR, Thm. 4.2] for a general abstract version of it). For Siegel modular varieties the Zariski density part of Theorem 1.6.1 was checked previously in [Kob], [NO], [FC], [Va1], [We1], [Oo1], [Oo2], etc. All these references used different methods. Under slight restrictions (that were not required and are eliminated here), the Zariski density part of Theorem 1.6.1 was obtained in [Va1, Thm. 5.1]. Loc. cit. is correct only when  $\mathfrak{J}_0 \leq 2$ , as it does not make distinction between  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ 's and  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ 's parabolic subgroup schemes as Example 1.4.6 does; but as hinted at in Remark 1.4.7, the modifications required to eliminate this restriction involve only a trivial induction. In [We1] a variant of the Zariski density part of Theorem 1.6.1 is obtained for standard PEL situations: it defines  $\mathcal{O}$  via 1.6.1 (b) and for  $p = 2$  it deals only with standard moduli PEL situations. Paper [We2] uses a variant of 1.6.1 (c) (that pertains to Barsotti–Tate groups of level 1), in order to refine [We1] for  $p \geq 3$  and for the so call  $C$  case of moduli standard PEL situations. Positive  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  like  $D_{W(k)}^+$  and the nilpotent class  $\mathfrak{J}_0$  were introduced in [Va4].

We (resp. B. Moonen) reported first on Theorem 1.6.1 and [Va1] and [Va2] (resp. on [Va1, §5]) in Durham (resp. in Münster) July (resp. April) 1996.<sup>1</sup>

## 1.9. Our main motivation

The generalized Serre–Tate theories of Sections 1.4 and 1.6 will play for Shimura varieties the same role played by the classical Serre–Tate theory for Siegel modular varieties and for  $p$ -divisible groups. For instance, the generalizations of many previous works centered on ordinarity (like [De1], [FC, Ch. VII, §4], [No], etc.) are in the immediate reach. But our five main reasons to develop these two theories are the following ones.

(a) To use them in connection to the combinatorial *conjecture* of *Langlands–Rapoport* that describes the set  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{F})$  together with the natural actions on it of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  and of the Frobenius automorphism of  $\mathbf{F}$  whose fixed field is  $k(v)$  (see [LR], [Mi1], and [Pf]). This conjecture is a key ingredient toward the understanding of the *zeta functions* of quotients of finite type of  $\mathcal{N}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$  and of different *trace functions* that pertain to  $\mathbf{Q}_l$ -local systems on smooth quotients of  $\mathcal{N}$  (see [LR], [Ko2], and [Mi1]; here  $l \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{p\}$  is a prime). Not to make this monograph too long, we only list here its parts that will play roles in the proof of this conjecture. Subsections 1.6.3 (a), 4.3.1 (b), 6.2.8, 7.4.1, 8.1, 9.6.5, and 9.6.6 is all that one requires to prove this conjecture for the subset  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{F})$  of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{F})$  (i.e., generically); this will generalize [De1] and the analogous generic parts of [Zi1] and [Ko2].

(b) In future work we will use them to prove that for  $p \geq 2$  every potential standard Hodge situation is a standard Hodge situation (see [Va8]).

---

<sup>1</sup> We point out that B. Moonen's paper "Serre–Tate theory for moduli spaces of PEL type" (see Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004), no. 2, pp. 223–269) obtains some analogous results for standard PEL situations.

(c) To provide intrinsic methods that will allow us in future work to extend Theorems 1.6.1 to 1.6.3 as well as (a) and (b) to all integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of *preabelian type* (a Shimura variety is said to be of preabelian type if its adjoint Shimura variety is isomorphic to the adjoint Shimura variety of a Shimura variety of Hodge type).

(d) In future work we will use Section 1.4 to construct integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of special type (i.e., of Shimura varieties which are not of preabelian type and thus whose adjoint Shimura varieties have simple factors of  $D_\ell^{\text{mixed}}$ ,  $E_6$ , or  $E_7$  types).

(e) They extend to all types of polarized varieties endowed with Hodge cycles whose different moduli spaces are open subvarieties of (quotients of) Shimura varieties of preabelian type (like *polarized hyperkähler varieties*; see [An] for more examples).

### 1.10. More on organization

In Chapter 2 we include preliminaries on reductive group schemes and Newton polygons. In Section 3.1 we list basic properties of Hodge cocharacters. See Section 3.2 for a general outline of the proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2. The outline is carried out in Sections 3.3 to 3.8. In Sections 4.1 and 4.2 we prove Theorems 1.4.3 and 1.4.4 (respectively). See Subsection 4.2.1 for the proof of Corollary 1.4.5. In Chapter 5 we introduce the first and the second formal Lie groups of Sh-ordinary  $p$ -divisible objects over  $\bar{k}$ . In Chapter 6 we prove Theorems 1.6.1 to 1.6.3. In Chapters 7 to 9 we include examples, complements, and applications of Theorems 1.6.1 to 1.6.3 (see their beginnings and Sections 1.7 and 1.8).

**Acknowledgment.** We would like to thank G. Faltings for his encouragement to approach the topics of Sections 1.3 to 1.6, for his deep insights, and for the suggestion mentioned in Section 1.8. We would like to thank R. Pink for pointing out to us the construction of non-positive solvable group schemes (like  $S^-$  and  $S_G^-(\varphi)$  of Subsection 1.2.4 and respectively of Section 5.1) and the fact that a deformation theory as in [Va3, Subsect. 5.4] is all that one requires to generalize the property 1.1 (g) to the context of a standard Hodge situation  $(f, L, v)$  (see Section 9.2 for details). We would like to thank Princeton University, FIM, ETH Zürich, University of California at Berkeley, University of Utah, University of Arizona, and Binghamton University for good conditions with which to write this monograph which for many years was thought as a small part of a comprehensive book (see [Va4]).

## 2 Preliminaries

We begin with a list of notations and conventions to be used throughout the monograph. We always use the notations and the conventions listed before Section 1.1. Let  $p, k, W(k), B(k)$ , and  $\sigma := \sigma_k$  be as in Section 1.1. In Section 2.1 we list some basic notations to be used in Chapters 2 to 5. In Sections 2.2 and 2.5 we include complements on Newton polygons. In Section 2.3 we gather elementary properties of reductive group schemes over either fields or  $W(k)$ . In Section 2.4 we introduce some  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  structures and list applications of them. In Subsection 2.4.3 we argue the existence of the map  $\Psi$  of Subsection 1.3.4. In Section 2.6 we introduce the main ten types of group schemes over  $W(k)$  that are intrinsically associated to each Shimura  $p$ -divisible object over  $k$  and that will be often used in the monograph. In particular, in Subsections 2.6.1 and 2.6.2 we argue the existence of the subgroup schemes  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  and  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  of Subsection 1.3.3. In Section 2.7 we introduce an opposition principle in the form required in Chapter 3. In Section 2.8 we include some elementary crystalline complements that will be used in Chapters 4 to 9.

Let  $|X|$  be the number of elements of a finite set  $X$ . If  $M$  is a module over a commutative  $\mathbf{Z}$ -algebra  $C$ , let  $M^{\otimes s} \otimes_C M^{*\otimes t}$ , with  $s, t \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ , be the tensor product of  $s$ -copies of  $M$  with  $t$ -copies of  $M^*$  taken in this order. By the *essential tensor algebra* of  $M \oplus M^*$  we mean

$$\mathcal{T}(M) := \bigoplus_{s,t \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}} M^{\otimes s} \otimes_C M^{*\otimes t}.$$

Let  $x \in C$  be a non-divisor of 0. A family of tensors of  $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{x}]) = \mathcal{T}(M)[\frac{1}{x}]$  is denoted  $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ , with  $\mathcal{J}$  as the set of indices. We emphasize that we use the same notation for two bilinear forms or tensors (of two essential tensor algebras) that are obtained one from another via a scalar extension. Let  $M_1$  be another  $C$ -module and let  $(v_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  be a family of tensors of  $\mathcal{T}(M_1[\frac{1}{x}])$  indexed by the same set  $\mathcal{J}$ . By an isomorphism  $f : (M, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, (v_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  we mean a  $C$ -linear isomorphism  $f : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1$  that extends naturally to a  $C[\frac{1}{x}]$ -linear isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{x}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(M_1[\frac{1}{x}])$  which takes  $v_\alpha$  to  $v_{1,\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . If  $\lambda_M$  and  $\lambda_{M_1}$  are perfect alternating forms on  $M$  and  $M_1$  (respectively), then by an isomorphism  $f : (M, \lambda_M, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (v_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  we mean a symplectic  $C$ -linear isomorphism  $f : (M, \lambda_M) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \lambda_{M_1})$  that defines as well an isomorphism  $f : (M, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, (v_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ .

Let  $F^1(M)$  be a direct summand of  $M$ . Let  $F^0(M) := M$  and  $F^2(M) := 0$ . Let  $F^1(M^*) := 0$ ,  $F^0(M^*) := \{y \in M^* | y(F^1(M)) = 0\}$ , and  $F^{-1}(M^*) := M^*$ . Let  $(F^i(\mathcal{T}(M)))_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be the tensor product filtration of  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  defined by the exhaustive, separated filtrations  $(F^i(M))_{i \in \{0,1,2\}}$  and  $(F^i(M^*))_{i \in \{-1,0,1\}}$  of  $M$  and  $M^*$  (respectively). By the  $F^0$ -filtration of  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  defined by  $F^1(M)$  we mean  $F^0(\mathcal{T}(M))$ .

Let  $f : F \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism of affine group schemes over  $C$  that are of finite type. If  $C$  is not a field, we assume  $F$  and  $H$  are smooth. Let  $df : \mathrm{Lie}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(H)$  be the Lie homomorphism over  $C$  which is the differential of  $f$  at identity sections. If  $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(F)$  and if  $\mathfrak{f}$  is a direct summand of  $\mathrm{Lie}(F)$  such that  $[x, \mathfrak{f}] \subseteq \mathfrak{f}$ , then by the action of  $x$  on  $\mathfrak{f}$  we mean the  $C$ -linear endomorphism  $\mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}$  (often called in the literature as the restriction of  $\mathrm{ad}(x)$  to  $\mathfrak{f}$ ) that takes  $y \in \mathfrak{f}$  to the Lie bracket  $[x, y]$ . By a *Frobenius lift* of a commutative  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra  $C$  we mean an endomorphism of  $C$  that lifts the usual Frobenius endomorphism of  $C/pC$ . If  $C$  is a complete, discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic  $(0, p)$  and of residue field  $k$ , then  $H(C)$  is called a *hyperspecial* subgroup of  $H(C[\frac{1}{p}])$  (see [Ti2]). If moreover  $H$  is a torus, then  $H$  is the unique reductive group scheme extension of the generic fibre of  $H$  (to check this we can assume that  $H$  is split and this case is obvious); thus  $H(C)$  is the unique hyperspecial subgroup of  $H(C[\frac{1}{p}])$ .

For a commutative  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -algebra  $C$  and for  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $W(C)$  (resp.  $W_m(C)$ ) be the ring of Witt vectors (resp. of Witt vectors of length  $m$ ) with coefficients in  $C$ . Let  $\Phi_C$  be the canonical Frobenius lift of  $W(C)$ ,  $W_m(C)$ , and  $C = W_1(C)$ ; we have  $\sigma = \sigma_k = \Phi_k$ . If moreover  $C$  is an integral domain, let  $C^{\mathrm{perf}}$  be the perfection of  $C$ . We refer to [BBM] and [BM] for the *crystalline contravariant Dieudonné functor*  $\mathbf{D}$ . We refer to [Me, Ch. 5] and [Ka3, Ch. 1] for the *Serre–Tate deformation theory*. We refer to [Me, Chs. 4 and 5] for the Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory. The *divided power structure* on the ideal  $pW(k)$  of  $W(k)$  is the usual one from characteristic 0.

If  $C$  is a commutative  $W(k)$ -algebra, then the crystalline sites  $CRIS(\mathrm{Spec}(C)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$  and  $CRIS(\mathrm{Spec}(C/pC)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$  will be as in [Be, Ch. III, §4].

We include an argument for the standard fact that every  $F$ -isocrystal  $(N, \varphi)$  over  $k$  is a direct sum of  $F$ -isocrystals that have only one Newton polygon slope. Let  $N \otimes_{B(k)} B(\bar{k}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} \overline{W}(\gamma)(N, \varphi)$  be the Newton polygon slope decomposition over  $\bar{k}$  defined by  $\varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}$ , cf. [Di] or [Ma]. We recall that if  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\gamma \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{Z}$ , then there exists a  $B(\bar{k})$ -basis for  $\overline{W}(\gamma)(N, \varphi)$  formed by elements fixed by  $p^{-n\gamma}(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}})^n$ . Let  $e \in \mathrm{End}_{B(\bar{k})}(N \otimes_{B(k)} B(\bar{k}))$  be the semisimple element that acts on  $\overline{W}(\gamma)(N, \varphi)$  via the multiplication with  $\gamma$ . The Newton polygon slope decomposition  $N \otimes_{B(k)} B(\bar{k}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} \overline{W}(\gamma)(N, \varphi)$  is normalized by  $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) = \mathrm{Aut}_{B(k)}(B(\bar{k}))$  and thus  $e$  is fixed under the natural action of  $\mathrm{Aut}_{B(k)}(B(\bar{k}))$  on  $\mathrm{End}_{B(\bar{k})}(N \otimes_{B(k)} B(\bar{k})) = \mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(N) \otimes_{B(k)} B(\bar{k})$ . But  $B(k)$  is the fixed subfield of  $B(\bar{k})$  under  $\mathrm{Aut}_{B(k)}(B(\bar{k}))$  and thus we have  $e \in \mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(N)$ . Therefore  $\overline{W}(\gamma)(N, \varphi)$  is the tensorization with  $B(\bar{k})$  of a  $B(k)$ -vector subspace  $W(\gamma)(N, \varphi)$  of  $N$ .

Thus we have a *Newton polygon slope decomposition*

$$N = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} W(\gamma)(N, \varphi)$$

defined by  $\varphi$ . For each rational number  $\gamma$ , let  $W^\gamma(N, \varphi)$  (resp.  $W_\gamma(N, \varphi)$ ) be the maximal  $B(k)$ -vector subspace of  $N$  which is normalized by  $\varphi$  and for which all Newton polygon slopes of  $W^\gamma(N, \varphi)$  (resp. of  $W_\gamma(N, \varphi)$ ) are greater or equal to  $\gamma$  (resp. are smaller or equal to  $\gamma$ ). We have identities:

$$W^\gamma(N, \varphi) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in [\gamma, \infty) \cap \mathbf{Q}} W(\beta)(N, \varphi),$$

$$W_\gamma(N, \varphi) = \oplus_{\beta \in (-\infty, \gamma] \cap \mathbf{Q}} W(\beta)(N, \varphi),$$

$$W(\gamma)(N, \varphi) = W^\gamma(N, \varphi) \cap W_\gamma(N, \varphi).$$

If  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \dots < \gamma_c$  are all the Newton polygon slopes of  $(N, \varphi)$  listed increasingly, then we have a *descending Newton polygon slope filtration*

$$0 \subseteq W^{\gamma_c}(N, \varphi) \subseteq \dots \subseteq W^{\gamma_1}(N, \varphi) = N$$

and an *ascending Newton polygon slope filtration*

$$0 \subseteq W_{\gamma_1}(N, \varphi) \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_{\gamma_c}(N, \varphi) = N$$

For  $*$   $\in \{\gamma, ^\gamma, (\gamma)\}$ , the pair  $(W * (N, \varphi), \varphi)$  is an  $F$ -subisocrystal of  $(N, \varphi)$ . For a  $W(k)$ -lattice  $M$  of  $N$ , we define  $W * (M, \varphi) := M \cap W * (N, \varphi)$ .

## 2.1. Standard notations for the abstract theory

We emphasize that until the end of Chapter 5 the following notations

$$(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G), \quad \tilde{F}^i(M) \ (i \in S(a,b)), \quad \mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G,$$

$$\tilde{F}^j(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \ (j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}), \quad F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)), \quad P \leq G, \quad G^{\mathrm{ad}} = \prod_{i \in I} G_i,$$

$$\Psi : \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \quad \bar{\Psi} : \mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{\mathrm{ad}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{\mathrm{ad}}), \quad P_G^+(\varphi), \quad \text{and} \quad L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$$

will be as in Section 1.3; moreover, we will not use the notations of Section 1.5.

Let  $d_M := \mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(M)$ . Let  $\varphi$  act in the usual tensor way on  $\mathcal{T}(M)[\frac{1}{p}]$ . For instance, if  $f \in M^*[\frac{1}{p}]$ , then  $\varphi(f) := \sigma \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1} \in M^*[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Under the natural identification  $\mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}]) = M[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{B(k)} M^*[\frac{1}{p}]$ , the two actions of  $\varphi$  coincide (see property 1.3.2 (c)) for the first action). We denote also by  $\varphi$  the  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism of each  $W(k)$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$  left invariant by  $\varphi$ . We consider the permutation

$$\Gamma : I \xrightarrow{\sim} I$$

of the set  $I$  such that for each  $i \in I$  we have an identity  $\varphi(\mathrm{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{\Gamma(i)}[\frac{1}{p}])$ . If  $k_1$  is a perfect field that contains  $k$ , then by the extension of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  to  $k_1$  we mean

$$(M, \varphi, G) \otimes k_1 := (M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), \varphi \otimes \sigma_{k_1}, G_{W(k_1)}).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ . We have  $F^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})$ . Via adjoint representations, we view  $G^{\mathrm{ad}}$  as a closed subgroup scheme of either  $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  or  $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})}$ . Let  $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}))$  and  $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$  be  $\tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})$ ; they are direct summands of either  $\mathfrak{g}$  or  $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ . Let  $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})) := \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}) \cap F^0(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Let  $F^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g}$  and  $F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})) := \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ .

We call the quadruple

$$(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$$



(resp. the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ ) as the *Shimura filtered* (resp. *Shimura*) *Lie  $F$ -crystal* attached to  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  (resp. to  $(M, \varphi, G)$ ). By replacing  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})$ , we get the notion of *adjoint Shimura filtered* (resp. *adjoint Shimura*) *Lie  $F$ -crystal*

$$(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}), F^0(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})), F^1(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})), \varphi)$$

(resp.  $(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}), \varphi)$ ) attached to  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  (resp. to  $(M, \varphi, G)$ ).

## 2.2. Descending slope filtration Lemma

Let  $(M, \varphi_1)$  and  $(M, \varphi_2)$  be two  $F$ -crystals over  $k$  with the property that for each positive integer  $m$  there exists an element  $h_m \in \mathbf{GL}_M(W(k))$  such that we have an identity  $\varphi_1^m = h_m \varphi_2^m$ . Then the Newton polygons of  $(M, \varphi_1)$  and  $(M, \varphi_2)$  coincide and for each number  $\gamma \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, \infty)$  we have an identity  $W^\gamma(M, \varphi_1) = W^\gamma(M, \varphi_2)$ .

*Proof:* We can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . Let  $r \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  there exists a  $B(k)$ -basis  $\{e_1^i, \dots, e_{d_M}^i\}$  for  $M[\frac{1}{p}]$  which is formed by elements of  $M \setminus pM$  and which has the property that we have  $\varphi_i^r(e_l^i) = p^{n_{l,i}} e_l^i$  for all  $l \in S(1, d_M)$ , where  $n_{l,i} \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . We choose the indices such that if  $l, s \in S(1, d_M)$  and  $l < s$ , then  $n_{l,i} \geq n_{s,i}$ . Let  $i_M \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  be such that for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  we have  $p^{i_M} M \subseteq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_M} W(k) e_j^i$ . For each positive integer  $m$  the Hodge polygons of  $(M, \varphi_2^m)$  and  $(M, \varphi_1^m) = (M, h_m \varphi_2^m)$  are equal. Thus the Newton polygon of  $(M, \varphi_i)$  is independent of  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , cf. [Ka2, 1.4.4]. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of Newton polygon slopes of  $(M, \varphi_i)$ . For  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}$  let  $W_i^\gamma := W^\gamma(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_i)$ ; its dimension over  $B(k)$  is independent of  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Let  $W_i^\infty := 0$ .

To prove the Lemma, it suffices to show that for each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S} \cup \{\infty\}$  we have an identity  $W_1^\gamma = W_2^\gamma$ . We proceed by decreasing induction on  $\gamma \in \mathcal{S} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Let  $\gamma_0 := \inf(\mathcal{S} \cup \{\infty\})$ . If  $\gamma_0 = \infty$ , then  $W_1^{\gamma_0} = W_2^{\gamma_0}$ . Thus to complete the induction, we only need to show that we have  $W_1^\gamma = W_2^\gamma$  if  $W_1^{\gamma_0} = W_2^{\gamma_0}$ .

Let  $t \in S(0, d_M)$  be such that  $\{e_1^i, \dots, e_t^i\}$  is a  $B(k)$ -basis for  $W_i^{\gamma_0}$ . Let  $s \in S(t+1, d_M)$  be such that  $\{e_1^i, \dots, e_s^i\}$  is a  $B(k)$ -basis for  $W_i^\gamma$ . For  $q \in S(t+1, s)$  we write  $e_q^1 = \sum_{l=1}^{d_M} c_{l,q} e_l^2$ , where  $c_{l,q} \in B(k)$ . We take  $m = rm_0$ , where  $m_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ . From the definition of  $r$  and the identity  $\varphi_1^m = h_m \varphi_2^m$ , we get that  $p^{m_0 n_{q,1}} h_m^{-1}(e_q^1) = \sum_{l=1}^{d_M} p^{m_0 n_{l,2}} \sigma^m(c_{l,q}) e_l^2$ . Thus  $p^{i_M} \sigma^m(c_{l,q}) p^{m_0(n_{l,2} - n_{q,1})} \in W(k)$  for all  $l \in S(1, d_M)$ . Taking  $m_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $m_0 - i_M$  is greater than the greatest  $p$ -adic valuation of  $c_{l,q}$ 's, we get that for each element  $l_0 \in S(1, d_M)$  with the property that  $c_{l_0,q} \neq 0$ , we have  $n_{l_0,2} \geq n_{q,1}$ . But if  $u \in S(s+1, d_M)$ , then  $n_{u,2} < r\gamma = n_{t+1,1} = n_{q,1} = n_{s,1}$ . Thus  $c_{l,q} = 0$  for each  $l \in S(s+1, d_M)$ . Thus  $e_q^1 \in W_2^\gamma$  for  $q \in S(t+1, s)$ . From this and the identity  $W_1^{\gamma_0} = W_2^{\gamma_0}$ , we get  $W_1^\gamma \subseteq W_2^\gamma$ . Thus by reasons of dimensions we get  $W_1^\gamma = W_2^\gamma$ . This completes the induction.  $\square$

**2.2.1. Corollary.** Let  $(M, \varphi_1, G)$  and  $(M, \varphi_2, G)$  be two Shimura  $p$ -divisible objects over  $k$  with the property that for each positive integer  $m$  there exists an element  $h_m \in G(W(k))$  such that we have an identity  $\varphi_1^m = h_m \varphi_2^m$ . Then the Newton polygon of  $(\text{Lie}(G), \varphi_i)$  does not depend on  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and for each  $\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}$  we have  $W^\gamma(\text{Lie}(G), \varphi_1) = W^\gamma(\text{Lie}(G), \varphi_2)$ .

*Proof:* This follows from Lemma 2.2 applied to the  $F$ -crystals  $(\text{Lie}(G), p1_{\text{Lie}(G)} \varphi_i)$ 's.  $\square$

### 2.3. Elementary properties of reductive group schemes

We review ten groups of elementary properties of reductive group schemes.

**2.3.1. Parabolic subgroups over a field.** Until Lemma 2.3.6 let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be two parabolic subgroups of a reductive group  $H$  over a field  $L$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , the group  $P_i$  is connected as well as its own normalizer in  $H$  (cf. Chevalley theorem; see [Bo, Ch. IV, Thm. 11.16]). Let  $U_i$  be the unipotent radicals of  $P_i$ . We recall four results from [Bo].

(a) If  $U_2 \leq P_1$ , then  $P_1 \cap P_2$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $H$  (cf. [Bo, Ch. IV, Prop. 14.22 (i)] applied over  $\overline{L}$ ).

(b) If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are  $H(L)$ -conjugate and if  $P_1 \cap P_2$  contains a parabolic subgroup of  $H$ , then  $P_1 = P_2$  (cf. [Bo, Ch. IV, Prop. 14.22 (iii)] applied over  $\overline{L}$ ).

(c) If  $P_{1,\overline{L}}$  and  $P_{2,\overline{L}}$  are  $H(\overline{L})$ -conjugate, then  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are  $H(L)$ -conjugate (cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Thm. 20.9 (iii)]).

(d) If either  $\text{char}(L) = 0$  or  $L$  is perfect, then every two maximal split tori of  $P_1$  are  $P_1(L)$ -conjugate (cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Thm. 15.14]).

**2.3.2. Fact.** Let  $P_3$  be a subgroup scheme of  $H$  that contains  $P_1$ . If  $\text{Lie}(P_1) = \text{Lie}(P_3)$ , then we have  $P_1 = P_3$ .

*Proof:* We have  $\dim(P_3) \geq \dim(P_1) = \dim_L(\text{Lie}(P_1)) = \dim_L(\text{Lie}(P_3)) \geq \dim(P_3)$ . Thus  $\dim_L(\text{Lie}(P_3)) = \dim(P_3) = \dim(P_1)$ . As  $\dim_L(\text{Lie}(P_3)) = \dim(P_3)$ , the group scheme  $P_3$  is smooth. As  $P_1 \leq P_3$ , the smooth group  $P_3$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $H$ . Thus  $P_3$  is connected. By reasons of dimensions we conclude that  $P_1 = P_3$ .  $\square$

**2.3.3. Proposition.** The following four statements are equivalent:

- (a) we have  $P_1 \leq P_2$ ;
- (b) we have  $\text{Lie}(P_1) \subseteq \text{Lie}(P_2)$ ;
- (c) we have  $U_2 \leq U_1$ ;
- (d) we have  $\text{Lie}(U_2) \subseteq \text{Lie}(U_1)$ .

Moreover, if these four statements hold, then  $U_2 \triangleleft U_1$ .

*Proof:* We can assume that  $L = \overline{L}$ . The intersection  $P_1 \cap P_2$  contains a maximal torus  $T$  of  $H$ , cf. [Bo, Ch. IV, Prop. 14.22 (ii)]. Let  $\Phi$  be the root system of  $\text{Lie}(H)$  relative to  $T$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  let  $\Phi_i$  be the subset of  $\Phi$  formed by roots of  $\text{Lie}(P_i)$  relative to  $T$ . The set  $\Phi_i^u := \Phi_i \setminus (\Phi_i \cap -\Phi_i)$  is the set of roots of  $\text{Lie}(U_i)$  relative to  $T$ . We have  $\Phi_1 \subseteq \Phi_2$  (resp.  $\Phi_2^u \subseteq \Phi_1^u$ ) if and only if (b) (resp. (d)) holds. Also we have  $\Phi_1 \subseteq \Phi_2$  if and only if  $\Phi_2^u \subseteq \Phi_1^u$ . But (a) holds if and only if  $\Phi_1 \subseteq \Phi_2$ , cf. the classification of parabolic subgroups of  $H$  that contain  $T$  (see [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.4] and [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Prop. 5.4.5 (ii)]). Also (c) holds if and only if  $\Phi_2^u \subseteq \Phi_1^u$ , cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.12 (i)]. Thus each one of the four statements (a) to (d) is equivalent to the inclusion  $\Phi_1 \subseteq \Phi_2$ . Thus the statements (a) to (d) are equivalent. If (a) holds, then  $U_1$  is a subgroup of  $P_2$  and thus it normalizes  $U_2$ ; from this and (c) we get that  $U_2 \triangleleft U_1$ .  $\square$

**2.3.4. Lemma.** If  $h \in H(L)$  normalizes either  $\text{Lie}(P_1)$  or  $\text{Lie}(U_1)$ , then  $h \in P_1(L)$ .

*Proof:* We have  $hP_1h^{-1} = P_1$ , cf. Proposition 2.3.3. As  $P_1$  is its own normalizer in  $H$ , we get that  $h \in P_1(L)$ .  $\square$

**2.3.5. Lemma.** *We assume that  $H$  is adjoint and absolutely simple and that  $U_1$  is commutative and non-trivial. If  $h \in H(L)$  centralizes  $\text{Lie}(U_1)$ , then we have  $h \in U_1(L)$ .*

*Proof:* To prove this we can assume that  $L = \overline{L}$ . We have  $h \in P_1(L)$ , cf. Lemma 2.3.4. Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $P_1$ . We check that the inner conjugation representation  $T \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\text{Lie}(U_1)}$  is a closed embedding monomorphism. Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi_1^u$  be as in the proof of Proposition 2.3.3. Let  $\Delta$  be a base of  $\Phi$  such that there exists a non-empty subset  $\Delta_1$  of  $\Delta$  with the property that  $\Phi_1^u$  is the set of roots in  $\Phi$  that have at least one element of  $\Delta_1$  with a positive coefficient in their expression. As  $H$  is adjoint and simple, from the last sentence we get that the set  $\Phi_1^u$  generates the (additive) group of characters of  $T$ . Therefore the representation  $T \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\text{Lie}(U_1)}$  is a closed embedding monomorphism.

Thus the reduced scheme  $Z_1$  of the kernel of the analogous representation  $P_1/U_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\text{Lie}(U_1)}$ , is a normal subgroup of  $P_1/U_1$  that has trivial intersection with each torus of  $P_1/U_1$ . As  $Z_1 \triangleleft P_1/U_1$ , the identity component  $Z_1^0$  of  $Z_1$  is a reductive group. As  $Z_1^0$  has a trivial intersection with each maximal torus of  $P_1/U_1$ , its rank is 0 and thus  $Z_1^0$  is the trivial group. Thus  $Z_1$  is a finite, étale, normal subgroup  $P_1/U_1$  and therefore we have  $Z_1 \leq Z(P_1/U_1)$ . But  $Z(P_1/U_1)$  is a subgroup of every torus of  $P_1/U_1$ . Thus  $Z_1$  is trivial and therefore the image of  $h \in P_1(L)$  in  $(P_1/U_1)(L)$  is trivial. Thus we have  $h \in U_1(L)$ .  $\square$

**2.3.6. Lemma.** *Let  $H$  be a reductive group scheme over a flat, affine, reduced  $\mathbf{Z}$ -scheme  $Z$ . Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be two smooth, closed subgroup schemes of  $H$  whose fibres in characteristic 0 are connected. If  $\text{Lie}(F_1) \subseteq \text{Lie}(F_2)$ , then  $F_1 \leq F_2$ . Therefore we have  $\text{Lie}(F_1) = \text{Lie}(F_2)$  if and only if  $F_1 = F_2$ .*

*Proof:* As  $Z$  is reduced and as  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are smooth over  $Z$ ,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are reduced. Thus to check that  $F_1 \leq F_2$ , it suffices to show that each fibre of  $F_1 \rightarrow Z$  is included in the corresponding fibre of  $F_2 \rightarrow Z$ . But as  $Z$  is flat over  $\mathbf{Z}$  and as  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are smooth, closed subgroup schemes of  $H$ , to check this last thing it suffices to consider fibres over points of  $Z$  of characteristic 0. Thus we can assume that  $Z$  is the spectrum of a field of characteristic 0. But in this case, the inclusion  $\text{Lie}(F_1) \subseteq \text{Lie}(F_2)$  implies that  $F_1 \leq F_2$  (cf. [Bo, Ch. II, Sect. 7.1]).  $\square$

**2.3.7. Descent Lemma for groups.** *Let  $\mathbf{Q} \hookrightarrow L \hookrightarrow K$  be field extensions. Let  $H$  be a reductive group over  $L$ . Let  $F_1$  be a connected subgroup of  $H_K$ . We assume that there exists a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{f}$  of  $\text{Lie}(H)$  such that we have  $\text{Lie}(F_1) = \mathfrak{f} \otimes_L K$ . Then there exists a unique connected subgroup  $F$  of  $H$  such that we have  $F_1 = F_K$ . Moreover we have  $\text{Lie}(F) = \mathfrak{f}$ .*

*Proof:* The affine  $L$ -scheme  $Z := \text{Spec}(K \otimes_L K)$  is reduced. Let  $q_1, q_2 : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$  be the two natural projections. The smooth, closed subgroup schemes  $q_1^*(F_1)$  and  $q_2^*(F_1)$  of  $H_{K \otimes_L K}$  have the same Lie algebras equal to  $\mathfrak{f} \otimes_L K \otimes_L K$ . Thus we have  $q_1^*(F_1) = q_2^*(F_1)$ , cf. Lemma 2.3.6. Due to this identification, we have a descent datum on the subgroup  $F_1$  of  $H_K$  with respect to the faithfully flat morphism  $\text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(L)$ . As  $F_1$  is an affine scheme, this descent datum is effective (cf. [BLR, Ch. 6, Sect. 2.1, Thm. 6]). Thus  $F$  exists. As  $\text{Lie}(F) \otimes_L K = \text{Lie}(F_1) = \mathfrak{f} \otimes_L K$ , we get  $\text{Lie}(F) = \mathfrak{f}$ . Obviously  $F$  is unique.  $\square$

**2.3.8. On reductive group schemes over  $W(k)$ .** Let  $H$  be a reductive group scheme over  $W(k)$ . We say  $H$  or  $H_k$  is quasi-split, if it has a Borel subgroup scheme. We list eight groups of properties of closed subgroup schemes of either  $H$  or  $H_k$ .

(a) Let  $\text{Par}(H)$  be the projective, smooth  $W(k)$ -scheme that parameterizes parabolic subgroup schemes of  $H$ , cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Cor. 3.5]. As  $\text{Par}(H)$  is projective over  $W(k)$ , the Zariski closure in  $H$  of a parabolic subgroup  $Q_{B(k)}$  of  $H_{B(k)}$ , is a parabolic subgroup scheme  $Q$  of  $H$ . As  $\text{Par}(H)$  is smooth over  $W(k)$ , each parabolic subgroup of  $H_k$  lifts to a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $H$ . Thus  $H$  is quasi-split if and only if  $H_k$  is so.

(b) Let  $Q$  be a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $H$ . Let  $T_k$  be a maximal torus of  $Q_k$ , cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Thm. 18.2 (i)]. As the functor on the category of  $W(k)$ -schemes that parameterizes maximal tori of  $Q$  is representable by a smooth  $W(k)$ -scheme (cf. [DG, Vol. II, Exp. XII, Cor. 1.10]), we get that  $Q$  has a maximal torus  $T$  that lifts  $T_k$ .

Let  $\tilde{T}$  be an arbitrary torus over  $W(k)$ . Let  $\tilde{l} : \tilde{T} \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism. We check that  $\text{Im}(\tilde{l})$  is a torus of  $H$ . For this, we can assume that  $\tilde{T}$  is split and (cf. [DG, Vol. I, Exp. VI<sub>B</sub>, Rm. 11.11.1]) that there exists a free  $W(k)$ -module  $W$  of finite rank such that  $H = \mathbf{GL}_W$ . But as the  $\tilde{T}$ -module  $W$  is a direct sum of  $\tilde{T}$ -submodules which as  $W(k)$ -modules are of free of rank 1 (cf. [Ja, Part I, 2.11]), we easily get that  $\text{Ker}(\tilde{l})$  is a group scheme of multiplicative type and that  $\text{Im}(\tilde{l}) = \text{Im}(\tilde{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_W) = \tilde{T}/\text{Ker}(\tilde{l})$  is a torus of  $\mathbf{GL}_W$ . Let  $F$  be a flat, closed subgroup scheme of  $H$  such that  $F_{B(k)}$  is connected. As  $\text{Im}(\tilde{l})$  is a torus of  $H$ ,  $\tilde{l}$  factors through  $F$  if and only if  $\tilde{l}_{B(k)}$  factors through  $F_{B(k)}$  and therefore (cf. Lemma 2.3.6) if and only if  $\text{Lie}(\text{Im}(\tilde{l})_{B(k)}) = \text{Im}(d\tilde{l}_{B(k)}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(F_{B(k)})$ . Thus  $\tilde{l}$  factors through  $F$  if and only if  $\text{Im}(d\tilde{l}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(F_{B(k)})$ .

(c) Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $H$ . Let  $Q_k$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $H_k$  that contains  $T_k$ . We claim that there exists a unique parabolic subgroup scheme  $Q$  of  $H$  that contains  $T$  and has  $Q_k$  as its special fibre. Using Galois descent, to check this we can assume  $T$  is split. But this case follows from [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.4], as the set of roots of  $\text{Lie}(Q)$  relative to  $T$  can be read out over  $k$ .

Also there exists a unique Levi subgroup scheme of  $Q$  (resp. of  $Q_{B(k)}$  or  $Q_k$ ) that contains  $T$  (resp.  $T_{B(k)}$  or  $T_k$ ), cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.12 (ii)].

(d) We assume that  $H$  is quasi-split. Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be two Borel subgroup schemes of  $H$  that are contained in a parabolic subgroup scheme  $Q$  of  $H$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  let  $T_i$  be a maximal torus of  $B_i$ , cf. (b). We check the standard fact that there exists an element  $g \in Q(W(k))$  such that we have  $gB_1g^{-1} = B_2$  and  $gT_1g^{-1} = T_2$ . We can assume that  $B_{1,k} = B_{2,k}$ , cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Sects. 21.11 to 21.13]. We can also assume that  $T_{1,k} = T_{2,k}$ , cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Thm. 19.2]. For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  let  $g_n \in \text{Ker}(Q(W_n(k)) \rightarrow Q(k))$  be such that we have  $g_nT_{1,W_n(k)}g_n^{-1} = T_{2,W_n(k)}$ , cf. [DG, Vol. II, Exp. IX, Thm. 3.6]. We can assume that  $g_{n+1}$  modulo  $p^n$  is  $g_n$ , cf. loc. cit. Let  $g \in Q(W(k))$  be the unique element that lifts all  $g_n$ 's. We have  $gT_1g^{-1} = T_2$ . Thus we also have  $gB_1g^{-1} = B_2$ , cf. (c).

(e) Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be two parabolic subgroup schemes of  $H$ . We check the standard fact that there exists an element  $g \in G(W(k))$  such that the intersection  $gQ_1g^{-1} \cap Q_2$  is a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $H$ . We can assume that  $Q_{1,k} \cap Q_{2,k}$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $H_k$ , cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Sect. 21.11 and Prop. 21.12]. Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be maximal tori of

$Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  such that we have  $T_{1,k} = T_{2,k}$ , cf. (b). By replacing  $Q_1$  with  $gQ_1g^{-1}$ , where  $g \in \text{Ker}(G(W(k)) \rightarrow G(k))$ , as in (d) we argue that we can also assume that  $T_1 = T_2$ . Let  $Q_3$  be the unique parabolic subgroup scheme of  $H$  that contains  $T_1 = T_2$  and lifts the intersection  $Q_{1,k} \cap Q_{2,k}$ , cf. (c). We check that  $Q_3 \leq Q_1 \cap Q_2$ . For this we can assume  $k = \bar{k}$  and this case follows from [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Prop. 5.4.5 (i)] applied with respect to the split torus  $T_1 = T_2$ . Thus  $Q_1 \cap Q_2$  is a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$ , cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 4.4.1]. Therefore we have  $Q_3 = Q_1 \cap Q_2$ , cf. the uniqueness of  $Q_3$ . In particular, if  $Q_{1,k} \leq Q_{2,k}$  (resp. if  $Q_{1,k}$  and  $Q_{2,k}$  are  $H(k)$ -conjugate), then there exists an element  $g \in \text{Ker}(H(W(k)) \rightarrow H(k))$  (resp.  $g \in H(W(k))$ ) such that we have  $gQ_1g^{-1} \leq Q_2$  (resp.  $gQ_1g^{-1} = Q_2$ ).

(f) We check that every two maximal split tori  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of a parabolic subgroup scheme  $Q$  of  $H$  are  $Q(W(k))$ -conjugate. We can assume that  $T_{1,k} = T_{2,k}$  (cf. property 2.3.1 (d)) and thus as in (d) we argue that there exists an element  $g \in \text{Ker}(G(W(k)) \rightarrow G(k))$  such that we have  $gT_1g^{-1} = T_2$ .

If  $U$  is the unipotent radical of  $Q$ , then every two Levi subgroup schemes  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  of  $Q$  are  $U(W(k))$ -conjugate (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Cor. 1.9]).

(g) Let  $* \in \{k, B(k), W(k)\}$ . If  $* = W(k)$ , let  $\bar{*} := W(\bar{k})$ . Let  $H_{W(k)} := H$ . Two parabolic subgroup schemes  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  of  $H_*$  are called opposite if their intersection is (equivalently contains) a common Levi subgroup scheme (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Thm. 4.3.2]). This implies that  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  have equal relative dimensions. If  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are opposite, we also say that they are opposite with respect to every maximal torus of  $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ . The opposite of  $Q_1$  with respect to a maximal torus of it is unique, cf. loc. cit.

Let  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  be two  $*$ -submodules of  $\text{Lie}(H_*)$  that are direct summands. We say  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  are opposite with respect to a maximal torus  $T_*$  of  $H_*$ , if they are normalized by  $T_*$  and we have  $\mathfrak{s}_1 \cap \text{Lie}(T_*) = \mathfrak{s}_2 \cap \text{Lie}(T_*)$  and  $\Phi^{\mathfrak{s}_1} = -\Phi^{\mathfrak{s}_2}$ , where  $\Phi^{\mathfrak{s}_1}$  and  $\Phi^{\mathfrak{s}_2}$  are the sets of roots of  $\mathfrak{s}_1 \otimes_* \bar{*}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2 \otimes_* \bar{*}$  (respectively) relative to  $T_*$ ; this implies that  $\text{rk}_*(\mathfrak{s}_1) = \text{rk}_*(\mathfrak{s}_2)$ .

We consider two pairs  $(Q_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)$  and  $(Q_2, \mathfrak{s}_2)$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  is a  $Q_1$ -submodule of  $\text{Lie}(H_*)$ ,  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  is a  $Q_2$ -submodule of  $\text{Lie}(H_*)$ , and  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  are direct summands of  $\text{Lie}(H_*)$ . We say that the pairs  $(Q_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)$  and  $(Q_2, \mathfrak{s}_2)$  are *quasi-opposite*, if the following two conditions hold: (i) there exists an element  $g \in H(*)$  such that  $gQ_1g^{-1}$  and  $Q_2$  are opposite, and (ii) for an (any) element  $g \in H(*)$  such that  $gQ_1g^{-1}$  and  $Q_2$  are opposite,  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  are opposite with respect to a (any) maximal torus of  $gQ_1g^{-1} \cap Q_2$ . Obviously this definition is symmetric in the pairs  $(Q_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)$  and  $(Q_2, \mathfrak{s}_2)$ . If  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are opposite, we also say that the pairs  $(Q_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)$  and  $(Q_2, \mathfrak{s}_2)$  are opposite.

(h) We assume that  $H$  is split. For  $i \in S(1, 4)$  let  $Q_i$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $H_k$  and let  $\mathfrak{s}_i$  be a  $Q_i$ -submodule of  $\text{Lie}(Q_i)$ . We assume that the pairs  $(Q_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)$  and  $(Q_2, \mathfrak{s}_2)$  are quasi-opposite and that the pairs  $(Q_3, \mathfrak{s}_3)$  and  $(Q_4, \mathfrak{s}_4)$  are as well quasi-opposite. We check that if there exists an element  $h_1 \in H(k)$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}_1 = h_1(\mathfrak{s}_3)$ , then there exists also an element  $h_2 \in H(k)$  such that  $\mathfrak{s}_2 = h_2(\mathfrak{s}_4)$ . To check this, we can assume that there exists a split maximal torus  $T_k$  of  $H_k$  such that  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are opposite with respect to  $T_k$  and  $Q_3$  and  $Q_4$  are as well opposite with respect to  $T_k$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  are opposite with respect to  $T_k$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_3$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_4$  are as well opposite with respect to  $T_k$ , cf. the very definitions of (g). We write  $h_1 = q_1wq_3$ , where  $q_1 \in Q_1(k)$ ,  $q_3 \in Q_3(k)$ , and  $w \in H(k)$  normalizes

$T_k$  (cf. Bruhat decompositions; see [Bo, Ch. V, §21]). As  $\mathfrak{s}_1 = h_1(\mathfrak{s}_3) = q_1 w(\mathfrak{s}_3)$ , we get that  $\mathfrak{s}_1 = q_1^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1) = w(\mathfrak{s}_3)$  and thus (due to the last two oppositions) we have  $\mathfrak{s}_2 = w(\mathfrak{s}_4)$ . Therefore we can take  $h_2 = w$ .

We have a natural variant of the previous paragraph, in which we have  $\mathfrak{s}_1 \subseteq h_1(\mathfrak{s}_3)$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2 \subseteq h_2(\mathfrak{s}_4)$  (instead of  $\mathfrak{s}_1 = h_1(\mathfrak{s}_3)$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2 = h_2(\mathfrak{s}_4)$ ).

**2.3.9. Lemma.** *Let  $V$  be a discrete valuation ring. Let  $K$  be the field of fractions of  $V$ . Let  $l : G_1 \rightarrow \tilde{G}_1$  be a homomorphism of reductive group schemes over  $V$  whose fibre over  $K$  is an isomorphism. Then  $l$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof:* Let  $\tilde{W}$  be a free  $V$ -module of finite rank such that  $\tilde{G}_1$  is a closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{W}}$ , cf. [DG, Vol. I, Exp.  $VI_B$ , Rm. 11.11.1]. For a maximal torus  $T_1$  of  $G_1$ , we check that the restriction  $l|_{T_1}$  of  $l$  to  $T_1$  is a closed embedding. For this, we can assume that  $T_1$  is split. Thus the  $T_1$ -module  $\tilde{W}$  is a direct sum of  $T_1$ -submodules which as  $V$ -modules are free of rank 1 (cf. [Ja, Part I, 2.11]) and therefore  $\text{Ker}(l|_{T_1})$  is a group scheme of multiplicative type. But  $\text{Ker}(l|_{T_1})_K$  is trivial and thus the flat group scheme  $\text{Ker}(l|_{T_1})$  is itself trivial. This implies that the composite homomorphism  $T_1 \rightarrow \tilde{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{W}}$  is a closed embedding. Thus  $l|_{T_1}$  is a closed embedding. This implies that the kernel of the special fibre of  $l$  is a finite group (to be compared with the proof of Lemma 2.3.5). Thus  $l$  is a quasi-finite morphism between normal, integral schemes that have the same field of fractions. From Zariski main theorem (see [Gr1, Thm. (8.12.6)]) we get that  $l$  is an open embedding. As the fibres of  $\tilde{G}_1$  are connected, the open embedding homomorphism  $l$  is onto and therefore it is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**2.3.10. Lemma.** *Let  $V$  be a complete discrete valuation ring which is of mixed characteristic  $(0, p)$  and whose residue field is perfect and infinite. Let  $K := V[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Let  $l : G_2 \rightarrow G_1$  be a homomorphism between reductive group schemes over  $V$  such that  $\text{Ker}(l)$  is a flat group scheme over  $V$  and  $G_1$  is the quotient  $G_2/\text{Ker}(l)$  of  $G_2$  through  $\text{Ker}(l)$ . Let  $H_1$  be a hyperspecial subgroup of  $G_1(K)$  that contains  $\text{Im}(G_2(V) \rightarrow G(K))$ . Then  $H_1 = G_1(V)$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\tilde{G}_1$  be the unique reductive group scheme over  $V$  that has  $G_{1,K}$  as its generic fibre and has  $H_1$  as its group of  $V$ -valued points, cf. [Ti2, Subsubsection. 3.8.1]. The epimorphism  $l_K : G_{2,K} \twoheadrightarrow G_{1,K}$  extends to a homomorphism  $G_2 \rightarrow \tilde{G}_1$ , cf. [Va3, Prop. 3.1.2.1 a)]. The Zariski closure  $\text{Ker}(l)$  of  $\text{Ker}(l_K)$  in  $G_2$  is included in  $\text{Ker}(G_2 \rightarrow \tilde{G}_1)$ . Thus we get naturally a homomorphism  $l_1 : G_1 \rightarrow \tilde{G}_1$  whose generic fibre  $l_{1,K}$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $l_1$  is an isomorphism, cf. Lemma 2.3.9. Therefore we can identify canonically  $G_1$  with  $\tilde{G}_1$  and thus we have  $H_1 = G_1(V)$ .  $\square$

**2.3.11. Lemma.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a split, simply connected group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}$  whose adjoint is absolutely simple and of classical Lie type  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a maximal split torus of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\rho_\varpi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  be a representation associated to a minuscule weight  $\varpi$  of the root system of the action of  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$  (thus  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of finite rank, see [Hu, Ch. VII, Subsect. 27.1]). Then the special fibre  $\rho_{\varpi, \mathbb{F}_p}$  of  $\rho_\varpi$  is absolutely irreducible. Thus, if  $V$  is a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic  $(0, p)$  and of residue field  $k$  and if  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_V$  is a  $V$ -lattice of  $\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} V[\frac{1}{p}]$  that is a  $\mathcal{G}_V$ -module, then the special fibre of the representation  $\mathcal{G}_V \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_V}$  is isomorphic to  $\rho_{\varpi, k}$  and therefore it is also absolutely irreducible.*

*Proof:* Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathcal{G}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_\varpi$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{W}$  that fixes  $\varpi$ . We have  $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathcal{Z}) = [\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{W}_\varpi]$ , cf. [Bou3, Ch. VIII, §7.3, Prop. 6] applied to  $\rho_{\varpi, \mathbf{Q}}$ . The absolutely irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{F}}$  associated to the weight  $\varpi$  has dimension at least equal to  $[\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{W}_\varpi] = \dim_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{F})$ . As this last absolutely irreducible representation is isomorphic to the representation of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{F}}$  on a factor of the composition series of the  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{F}}$ -module  $\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{F}$  (cf. [Ja, Part I, 10.9]), by reasons of dimensions we get that the representation  $\rho_{\varpi, \mathbf{F}}$  is absolutely irreducible. Thus  $\rho_{\varpi, \mathbf{F}_p}$  is also an absolutely irreducible representation. By applying loc. cit. once more, we get the last part of the Lemma.  $\square$

**2.3.12. Fact.** *Let  $T$  be a torus over  $W(k)$ . Let  $T_{0, B(k)}$  be a subtorus of  $T_{B(k)}$ . Then the Zariski closure  $T_0$  of  $T_{0, B(k)}$  in  $T$  is a subtorus of  $T$ .*

*Proof:* To prove this we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . As  $W(k)$  is strictly henselian,  $T$  is a split torus. Thus  $T_{0, B(k)}$  is also a split torus. Let  $T_{1, B(k)}$  be a (split) subtorus of  $T_{B(k)}$  such that we can identify  $T_{B(k)}$  with  $T_{0, B(k)} \times_{B(k)} T_{1, B(k)}$ . As a split torus over  $W(k)$  is uniquely determined by its fibre over  $B(k)$ , we can also identify  $T$  with  $T_0 \times_{W(k)} T_1$ , where  $T_1$  is the Zariski closure of  $T_{1, B(k)}$  in  $T$ . From this the Fact follows.  $\square$

**2.3.13. A review on centralizers.** Let  $H$  be a reductive group scheme over either a field or over  $W(k)$ . Then the centralizer of each torus of  $H$  in  $H$  is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of  $H$ , cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XIX, Sect. 2.8]. If  $L_H$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $H$ , then  $L_H$  is the centralizer of  $Z^0(L_H)$  in  $H$  (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Cor. 1.13]).

## 2.4. Natural $\mathbf{Z}_p$ structures and applications

Let  $(M, \varphi, G)$  and  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  be as in Section 2.1. Let

$$\sigma_\varphi := \varphi\mu(p).$$

It is a  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism of  $M$ , cf. properties 1.3.2 (a) and (b). We have  $\sigma_\varphi(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$ , cf. properties 1.3.2 (b) and (c). Thus, as  $\sigma_\varphi$  normalizes  $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ , we have  $\sigma_\varphi(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$ . Let

$$M_{\mathbf{Z}_p} := \{x \in M \mid \sigma_\varphi(x) = x\}.$$

If  $k = \bar{k}$ , then  $M = M_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k)$  (i.e.,  $M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  structure of  $M$ ).

**2.4.1. Lemma.** *We assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . Let  $H$  be a smooth (resp. reductive), closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  whose Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  is normalized by  $\sigma_\varphi$  and whose generic fibre  $H_{B(k)}$  is connected. Then  $H$  is the extension to  $W(k)$  of a unique smooth (resp. reductive), closed subgroup scheme  $H_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}$ .*

*Proof:* As  $k = \bar{k}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} := \{x \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \sigma_\varphi(x) = x\}$  is a direct summand of  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(M_{\mathbf{Z}_p})$  and a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  structure of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Thus  $H_{B(k)}$  is the extension to  $B(k)$  of the unique connected subgroup  $H_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}$  whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]$ , cf. Lemma 2.3.7 applied with  $(L, K, H, \mathfrak{f}) = (\mathbf{Q}_p, B(k), \mathbf{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}])$ . As  $H$  is the Zariski closure of  $H_{B(k)}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_M$ ,

it is the extension to  $W(k)$  of the Zariski closure  $H_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $H_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}$ . Thus  $H_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is a smooth (resp. reductive), closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}$ . Obviously  $H_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is uniquely determined.  $\square$

**2.4.2. Example.** We assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . By applying Lemma 2.4.1 to  $G$ , we get that  $G$  is the pull back to  $W(k)$  of a unique reductive, closed subgroup scheme  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}$ .

**2.4.3. On 1.3.4.** We refer to Section 1.3.4. We check that  $\Psi(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ . We first check that  $\sigma_\varphi$  normalizes  $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$  under its action on  $\mathrm{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ . For this we can assume  $k = \bar{k}$ . Let  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  be as in Example 2.4.2. As  $\sigma_\varphi$  fixes  $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\mathrm{ad}}) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p})$  and as  $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\mathrm{ad}}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k)$ , we get that  $\sigma_\varphi$  normalizes  $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ .

We now also refer to (2). Let  $i \in I$ . Let  $x_i \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_i)$ . Let  $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$  be such that we have  $n_i = 0$  if and only if the image of  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  in  $G_i$  is trivial. If  $n_i = 0$ , then  $\mu(p)(p^{n_i}x_i) = x_i \in \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ . If  $n_i = 1$ , then  $\mu(p)(p^{n_i}x_i) \in \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$  (cf. property 1.3.2 (b)). Thus  $\Psi(x_i) = \varphi(p^{n_i}x_i) = \sigma_\varphi(\mu(p)(p^{n_i}x_i)) \in \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ . As  $i \in I$  is arbitrary, we get that  $\Psi(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})) \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ . Thus the *FSHW* shift  $\Psi$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is well defined.

**2.4.4. Extensions of *FSHW* maps.** We refer to (2). Let  $i \in I$ . The simple, adjoint group  $G_{i,k}$  over  $k$  is of the form  $\mathrm{Res}_{k_i/k} H_{i,k_i}$ , where  $k_i$  is a finite (automatically separable) field extension of  $k$  and where  $H_{i,k_i}$  is an absolutely simple, adjoint group over  $k_i$  (cf. [Ti1, Subsect. 3.1.2]). The images of  $\mu_{\bar{k}}$  in the simple factors of  $G_{i,\bar{k}} = \prod_{j \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(k_i, \bar{k})} H_{i,k_i} \times_{k_i} j \bar{k}$  are permuted transitively by the Galois group  $\mathrm{Gal}(k)$ . This implies that if  $\mu_k$  has a trivial (resp. a non-trivial) image in  $G_{i,k}$ , then for every perfect field  $\tilde{k}$  that contains  $k$ ,  $\mu_{\tilde{k}}$  has a trivial (resp. a non-trivial) image in each simple factor of  $G_{i,\tilde{k}}$ . Thus, under the identification  $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\tilde{k}}^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{\mathrm{ad}}) \otimes_k \tilde{k}$ , the *FSHW* map of  $(M, \varphi, G) \otimes \tilde{k}$  is  $\bar{\Psi} \otimes \sigma_{\tilde{k}}$ .

**2.4.5. The Lie context.** As  $\sigma_\varphi$  normalizes  $\mathfrak{g}$  and as  $\varphi = \sigma_\varphi \mu(\frac{1}{p})$ , we have  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{1}{p} \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus p \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Thus the quadruple  $(\mathfrak{g}, (F^i(\mathfrak{g}))_{i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}}, \varphi, G^{\mathrm{ad}})$  is a Shimura filtered  $p$ -divisible object (a Hodge cocharacter of it is the composite of  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  with the natural epimorphism  $G \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ ). We also refer to the pair  $(F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$  as a lift of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ . A similar argument shows that the quadruple  $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), (F^i(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})))_{i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}}, \varphi, G^{\mathrm{ad}})$  is as well a Shimura filtered  $p$ -divisible object. We also refer to the pair  $(F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})))$  as a lift of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi, G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ .

**2.4.6. Lemma.** We have  $\sigma_\varphi G(W(k)) \sigma_\varphi^{-1} = G(W(k))$  and  $\varphi G(B(k)) \varphi^{-1} = G(B(k))$ .

*Proof:* We have  $G(W(k)) = G(W(\bar{k})) \cap \mathbf{GL}_M(W(k))$  and  $G(B(k)) = G(B(\bar{k})) \cap \mathbf{GL}_M(B(k))$ . Thus to prove the two identities we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . The identity  $\sigma_\varphi G(W(k)) \sigma_\varphi^{-1} = G(W(k))$  follows from the existence of the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  structure  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $G$ . As  $\varphi G(B(k)) \varphi^{-1}$  is the  $\sigma_\varphi$ -conjugate of  $\mu(\frac{1}{p}) G(B(k)) \mu(p) = G(B(k))$ , it is  $G(B(k))$ .  $\square$

**2.4.7. Actions of  $\sigma_\varphi$  and  $\varphi$  on subgroup schemes.** Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be the ordered set of smooth, closed subgroup schemes of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  that have connected fibres over  $B(k)$ . Let  $\mathbf{S}_{B(k)}$  be the ordered set of connected subgroups of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ . As  $\sigma_\varphi$  (resp.  $\varphi$ ) is a  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism of  $M$  (resp. of  $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ ), it defines naturally an isomorphism  $\sigma_\varphi : \mathbf{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{S}$  (resp.  $\varphi : \mathbf{S}_{B(k)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{S}_{B(k)}$ ). Explicitly: if  $H \in \mathbf{S}$  (resp. if  $H \in \mathbf{S}_{B(k)}$ ), then  $\sigma_\varphi(H)$  (resp.  $\varphi(H)$ ) is



the smooth, closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  whose fibre over  $B(k)$  is connected, (resp. is the connected subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ ) whose group of  $W(k)$ -valued (resp. of  $B(k)$ -valued) points is  $\sigma_\varphi H(W(k))\sigma_\varphi^{-1}$ , (resp. is  $\varphi H(B(k))\varphi^{-1}$ ) and whose Lie algebra is  $\sigma_\varphi(\mathrm{Lie}(H))$  (resp. is  $\varphi(\mathrm{Lie}(H))$ ). Thus we have  $\sigma_\varphi(G) = G$  (resp.  $\varphi(G_{B(k)}) = G_{B(k)}$ ), either cf. Lemma 2.4.6 or cf. Lemma 2.3.6 and the fact that  $\sigma_\varphi$  (resp.  $\varphi$ ) normalizes  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$ ).

**2.4.8. On the variation of  $\sigma_\varphi$ .** Let  $g \in G(W(k))$ . Let  $\mu_1$  be another Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Let  $\varphi_1 := g\varphi$ . Let  $\sigma_{\varphi_1} := \varphi_1\mu_1(p)$ . Let  $g_1 := \sigma_{\varphi_1}\sigma_\varphi^{-1}$ ; it is a  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism of  $M$  and thus  $g_1 \in \mathbf{GL}_M(W(k))$ . But  $g_1 = g\varphi\mu_1(p)\mu(\frac{1}{p})\varphi^{-1} \in G(B(k))$ , cf. Lemma 2.4.6. Thus  $g_1 \in G(W(k))$ . As  $\sigma_{\varphi_1} = g_1\sigma_\varphi$ , we get that  $\sigma_{\varphi_1}$  differs from  $\sigma_\varphi$  only via a composite of it with the element  $g_1 \in G(W(k))$ . From this and Lemma 2.4.6, by induction on  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  we get that we can write  $\sigma_{\varphi_1}^m = g_m(\sigma_\varphi)^m$ , where  $g_m \in G(W(k))$ .

## 2.5. Lie $F$ -isocrystals and parabolic subgroup schemes

By a *Lie  $F$ -isocrystal* over  $k$  we mean a pair  $(\mathfrak{h}, \phi)$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Lie algebra over  $B(k)$  and where  $\phi$  is a  $\sigma$ -linear Lie automorphism of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**2.5.1. Lemma.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{h}, \phi)$  be a Lie  $F$ -isocrystal over  $k$  such that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the Lie algebra of an adjoint group  $H_{B(k)}$  over  $B(k)$ . Then  $W^0(\mathfrak{h}, \phi)$  is the Lie algebra of a unique parabolic subgroup  $P_{B(k)}^+$  of  $H_{B(k)}$ .*

*Proof:* As each parabolic subgroup of  $H_{B(k)}$  is connected, the uniqueness part follows from Lemma 2.3.6. For each  $\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}$  let  $\mathfrak{c}_\gamma := W(\gamma)(\mathfrak{h}, \phi)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be the set of Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{h}, \phi)$ . Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\nu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  be the Newton cocharacter such that  $\mathbf{G}_m$  acts on  $\mathfrak{c}_\gamma$  as the  $n\gamma$ -th power of the identity character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ . As  $\phi$  is a  $\sigma$ -linear Lie automorphism of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , we have

$$(3a) \quad [\mathfrak{c}_{\gamma_1}, \mathfrak{c}_{\gamma_2}] \subseteq \mathfrak{c}_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}, \quad \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

This implies that  $\nu$  factors through the identity component  $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathfrak{h})$  of the subgroup  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  formed by Lie automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We view  $H_{B(k)}$  as a subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathfrak{h})$  via the adjoint representation. But  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}(H_{B(k)})$  is a semisimple Lie algebra over  $B(k)$ . Thus every derivation of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is inner (cf. [Bou1, Ch. I, §6.1, Cor. 3]) i.e., we have  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathfrak{h}))$ . Therefore we have  $H_{B(k)} = \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathfrak{h})$ , cf. Lemma 2.3.6. Thus we can view  $\nu$  as a cocharacter  $\nu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow H_{B(k)}$ . The centralizer of  $\mathrm{Im}(\nu)$  in  $H_{B(k)}$  is a reductive group  $C_{0B(k)}$  (cf. Subsection 2.3.13) whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{c}_0$ . Let  $T_{B(k)}$  be a maximal torus of  $C_{0B(k)}$ ; we have  $\mathrm{Im}(\nu) \leq T_{B(k)}$ . Let  $K$  be the smallest Galois extension of  $B(k)$  over which  $T_{B(k)}$  splits.

Let  $\Phi$  be the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes_{B(k)} K$  relative to  $T_K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes_{B(k)} K = \mathrm{Lie}(T_K) \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$  be the *root decomposition* relative to  $T_K$ . Let  $\Phi^{\geq 0}$  (resp.  $\Phi^\gamma$ ) be the subset of  $\Phi$  of roots of  $W^0(\mathfrak{h}, \phi)$  (resp. of  $\mathfrak{c}_\gamma$ ) relative to  $T_K$ . From (3a) we get that  $(\Phi^{\geq 0} + \Phi^{\geq 0}) \cap \Phi \subseteq \Phi^{\geq 0}$ . We have an additive function  $\mathfrak{J} : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  that maps  $\alpha \in \Phi$  to the unique Newton polygon slope  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$  for which we have an inclusion  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha \subseteq [W^\gamma(\mathfrak{h}, \phi) \otimes_{B(k)} K \setminus W^{\frac{1}{2n} + \gamma}(\mathfrak{h}, \phi) \otimes_{B(k)} K]$  (equivalently, we have an inclusion  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{c}_\gamma \otimes_{B(k)} K$ ). As  $\nu$  factors through  $T_{B(k)}$ , we have

$$(3b) \quad \mathfrak{J}(-\alpha) = -\mathfrak{J}(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi.$$

This implies that we have a disjoint union  $\Phi = -\Phi^{\geq 0} \cup \Phi^{\geq 0}$ . From this and the inclusion  $(\Phi^{\geq 0} + \Phi^{\geq 0}) \cap \Phi \subseteq \Phi^{\geq 0}$ , we get that  $\Phi^{\geq 0}$  is a parabolic subset of  $\Phi$  as defined and characterized in [Bou2, Ch. VI, §1.7]. Thus there exists a unique parabolic subgroup of  $H_K$  that contains  $T_K$  and whose Lie algebra is  $W^0(\mathfrak{h}, \phi) \otimes_{B(k)} K$ , cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.4]. Thus  $P_{B(k)}^+$  exists, cf. Lemma 2.3.7 applied with  $(L, K, H, \mathfrak{f}) = (B(k), K, H_{B(k)}, W^0(\mathfrak{h}, \phi))$ .  $\square$

**2.5.2. Remark.** We have a similar function  $\mathfrak{J} : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  for every root decomposition of  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes_{B(k)} K$  relative to a split maximal torus of  $P_K^+$  (that is not necessarily  $T_K$ ).

**2.5.3. The group scheme  $P$ .** We recall that  $P$  is the normalizer of  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  in  $G$ , cf. Subsection 1.3.3. Thus  $\text{Lie}(P_{B(k)}) = F^0(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}] = \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}] \oplus \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}]$  and  $\text{Lie}(P_k) = F^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ . Moreover  $Z(G) \leq P$ . As in the proof of Lemma 2.5.1, by replacing the role of  $\nu$  with the one of the cocharacter  $\mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{B(k)}^{\text{ad}}$  defined naturally by  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$ , we get that there exists a unique parabolic subgroup of  $G_{B(k)}^{\text{ad}}$  whose Lie algebra is  $F^0(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}))[\frac{1}{p}] = F^0(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}] \cap \text{Lie}(G_{B(k)}^{\text{der}})$ . This implies that there exists a unique parabolic  $P'_{B(k)}$  subgroup of  $G_{B(k)}$  whose Lie algebra is  $\text{Lie}(P_{B(k)}) = F^0(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Thus  $P'_{B(k)}$  is the identity component of  $P_{B(k)}$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6) and in fact we have  $P'_{B(k)} = P_{B(k)}$  (cf. Fact 2.3.2). The Zariski closure  $P'$  of  $P'_{B(k)} = P_{B(k)}$  in  $G$  is a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$  (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (a)) as well as a subgroup scheme of  $P$ . We have  $\text{Lie}(P') = \text{Lie}(P'_{B(k)}) \cap \text{End}(M) = F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ . Thus  $\text{Lie}(P'_k) = \text{Lie}(P_k)$  and therefore (cf. Fact 2.3.2) we have  $P'_k = P_k$ . We conclude that  $P' = P$  i.e.,  $P$  is the unique parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$  whose Lie algebra is  $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**2.5.4. The unipotent radical of  $P$ .** The centralizer  $C$  of  $\text{Im}(\mu)$  in  $G$  is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of  $G$ , cf. Subsection 2.3.13. It is contained in  $P$  and has  $\tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g})$  as its Lie algebra. This implies that the nilpotent ideal  $\tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}] = F^1(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}]$  of  $\text{Lie}(P_{B(k)}) = \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}] \oplus \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}]$  is the nilpotent radical of  $\text{Lie}(P_{B(k)})$ . Let  $U$  be the unipotent radical of  $P$ . We have  $\text{Lie}(U_{B(k)}) = \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Thus  $\text{Lie}(U) = \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \cap \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}]$ .

Let  $W(\text{Lie}(U))$  be the vector group scheme over  $W(k)$  defined by  $\text{Lie}(U)$ ; thus for a commutative  $W(k)$ -algebra  $C$  we have  $W(\text{Lie}(U))(C) = \text{Lie}(U) \otimes_{W(k)} C$ . As  $\mathbf{G}_m$  acts via  $\mu$  on  $[\tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g}), \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})]$  through the second power of the inverse of the identity character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ , from the property 1.3.2 (b) we get that  $[\tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g}), \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})] = 0$ . Thus  $\text{Lie}(U) = \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is commutative. We check that the exponential  $W(k)$ -homomorphism

$$\exp : W(\text{Lie}(U)) \rightarrow U$$

which at the level of  $W(k)$ -valued points takes  $x \in \text{Lie}(U)$  to  $\exp(x) := 1_M + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^i}{i!} \in U(W(k))$ , is well defined as well as an isomorphism. This will imply that  $U$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{G}_a^{\dim(U_k)}$  (over  $W(k)$ ).

To check the statement on  $\exp$ , we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . Let  $\Phi_U$  be the set of roots of  $\text{Lie}(U)$  relative to  $T$ . It is well known that  $\exp_{B(k)}$  is an isomorphism. This implies that  $U$  is commutative. Thus  $U = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_U} U_{\alpha}$  is a product of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  subgroup schemes  $U_{\alpha}$  of  $G$

that are normalized by  $T$ , cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXVI, Prop. 1.12 (i)]. For each such  $U_\alpha$ , we have  $U_\alpha(W(k)) = \exp(V_\alpha)$ , where  $V_\alpha$  is a  $W(k)$ -submodule of  $\text{Lie}(U_\alpha)[\frac{1}{p}]$ . But it is easy to see that  $V_\alpha$  is  $\text{Lie}(U_\alpha)$  itself. From this and the mentioned product decomposition of  $U$ , we get that  $\exp : W(\text{Lie}(U)) \rightarrow U$  is an isomorphism.

**2.5.5. The group schemes  $Q$  and  $N$ .** For  $i \in S(a, b)$  let  $F_i(M) := \oplus_{j=a}^i \tilde{F}^j(M)$ . Let  $Q$  be the parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$  that is the opposite of  $P$  with respect to every maximal torus of  $C$ . We have  $\text{Lie}(Q) = \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Moreover  $Q$  is the normalizer of the ascending, exhaustive filtration  $(F_i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  of  $M$ . Let  $N$  be the unipotent radical of  $Q$ . We have  $\text{Lie}(N) = \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $N$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{G}^{\dim(U_k)}$  (over  $W(k)$ ). All these are proved as in Subsections 2.5.3 and 2.5.4 (with the role of  $\mu$  being played by its inverse).

## 2.6. Ten group schemes

The goal of this section is to introduce ten types of flat, closed subgroup schemes of  $G$  that will be often used in this monograph. The ten types of group schemes are intrinsically associated to the Shimura  $p$ -divisible object  $(M, \varphi, G)$  and they are:

$$P_G^+(\varphi), U_G^+(\varphi), \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi), \tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi), L_G^0(\varphi), \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi), P_G^-(\varphi), U_G^-(\varphi), \tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi), \text{ and } \tilde{U}_G^-(\varphi).$$

**2.6.1. Non-negative parabolic subgroup schemes.** We recall that  $\varphi = \sigma_\varphi \mu(\frac{1}{p})$ . Thus  $\varphi(\text{Lie}(Z^0(G))) = \text{Lie}(Z^0(G))$ . Therefore  $\text{Lie}(Z^0(G)) \subseteq W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \subseteq W^0(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ . This implies that  $W^0(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi) = \text{Lie}(Z^0(G))[\frac{1}{p}] \oplus W^0(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{der}})[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ . From this and Lemma 2.5.1 applied to  $(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{der}})[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ , we get the existence of a unique parabolic subgroup  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  of  $G_{B(k)}$  such that we have  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}) = W^0(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ . The Zariski closure  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  of  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  in  $G$  is a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$  (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (a)) whose Lie algebra is  $W^0(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ .

Let  $U_G^+(\varphi)$  be the unipotent radical of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ . Based on (3a) and the inclusion  $\text{Lie}(Z^0(G)) \subseteq W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ , we get that for every rational number  $\gamma$  we have an inclusion  $[W^0(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi), W^\gamma(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)] \subseteq W^\gamma(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ . Thus the identity component of the normalizer of  $W^\gamma(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  in  $G_{B(k)}$  has a Lie algebra that contains  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}) = W^0(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  and therefore (cf. Lemma 2.3.6) it contains  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . This implies that  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  normalizes  $W^\gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$  for all rational numbers  $\gamma$ .

**2.6.2. Levi subgroups.** Let  $L_{G^{\text{ad}}}^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  be the unique reductive subgroup of  $P_{G^{\text{ad}}}^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  that has the same rank as  $P_{G^{\text{ad}}}^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  and we have  $\text{Lie}(L_{G^{\text{ad}}}^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}) = W(0)([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}][\frac{1}{p}], \varphi) = W(0)(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  (see Lemma 2.3.6 for the uniqueness part; see the reductive group  $C_{0B(k)}$  of the proof of Lemma 2.5.1 for the existence part). The inverse image of  $L_{G^{\text{ad}}}^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  in  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  is a subgroup  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  of  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  whose Lie algebra is  $W(0)(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  and which contains a maximal torus of  $G_{B(k)}$ . Thus  $Z(G_{B(k)}) \leq L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  and  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  is generated by its identity component and by such a maximal torus of  $G_{B(k)}$ . This implies that  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  is connected.

Let  $\gamma_+ \in \mathbf{Q} \cap (0, \infty)$  be such that  $W^0(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi) = \text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}) \oplus W^{\gamma_+}(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ . As  $W^{\gamma_+}(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $W^0(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ ,  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  is a Levi subalgebra

of  $W^0(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi) = \text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ . This implies that  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  is a Levi subgroup of  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  and that  $W^{\gamma+}(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  is the nilpotent radical of  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}) = W^0(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ . This last thing implies that

$$\text{Lie}(U_G^+(\varphi)) = W^{\gamma+}(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q} \cap (0, \infty)} W(\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi).$$

Let  $L_{B(k)}$  be a Levi subgroup of  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  such that  $\text{Lie}(L_{B(k)})$  is normalized by  $\varphi$ . The natural Lie isomorphism  $\text{Lie}(L_{B(k)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} W^0(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi) / W^{\gamma+}(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  is compatible with the  $\sigma$ -linear Lie automorphisms induced by  $\varphi$ . Thus all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\text{Lie}(L_{B(k)}), \varphi)$  are 0 and therefore we have an inclusion  $\text{Lie}(L_{B(k)}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ . By reasons of dimensions we get that  $\text{Lie}(L_{B(k)}) = \text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  and thus we have  $L_{B(k)} = L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ , cf. Lemma 2.3.6.

Thus  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  and  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  have the properties listed in Section 1.3.3.

Let  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  be the Zariski closure of  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  in  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ . The following Lemma points out that in general  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  is not a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ .

**2.6.3. Lemma.** *The flat, closed subgroup scheme  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme if and only if we have a direct sum decomposition  $M = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} W(\gamma)(M, \varphi)$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that all Newton polygon slopes of  $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  belong to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\nu_{B(k)} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$  be the Newton cocharacter such that for every rational number  $\gamma$  it acts on  $W(\gamma)(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  via the  $n\gamma$ -th power of the identity character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ . We claim that  $\nu_{B(k)}$  factors through  $G_{B(k)}$ . To check this, we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . Due to the existence of the  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  structure  $(M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}], G_{\mathbf{Q}_p})$  of  $(M[\frac{1}{p}], G_{B(k)})$  (see Section 2.4), the claim follows from [Ko1, §3].

But  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  is the centralizer of  $\text{Im}(\nu_{B(k)})$  in  $G_{B(k)}$ , cf. proof of Lemma 2.5.1 and the construction of  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . Thus, if  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ , then the natural factorization  $\nu_{B(k)} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  of  $\nu_{B(k)}$  extends to a cocharacter  $\nu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi))$ ; this implies that we have a direct sum decomposition  $M = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} W(\gamma)(M, \varphi)$ .

If we have a direct sum decomposition  $M = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} W(\gamma)(M, \varphi)$ , then the natural factorization  $\nu_{B(k)} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{B(k)}$  of  $\nu_{B(k)}$  extends to a cocharacter  $\nu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  and thus the centralizer of  $\text{Im}(\nu)$  in  $G$  is a reductive, closed subgroup scheme  $C_0$  of  $G$  (cf. Subsection 2.3.13) whose generic fibre is  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . This implies that  $C_0 = L_G^0(\varphi)$ . The natural homomorphism  $C_0 = L_G^0(\varphi) \rightarrow P_G^+(\varphi) / U_G^+(\varphi)$  is an isomorphism, cf. Lemma 2.3.9. Thus  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ .  $\square$

**2.6.4. Example.** If  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ , then from Lemma 2.6.3 we get that  $L_{\mathbf{GL}_M}^0(\varphi) = \prod_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{GL}_{W(\gamma)(M, \varphi)}$ . Moreover

$$\text{Lie}(P_{\mathbf{GL}_M}^+(\varphi)) = \oplus_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbf{Q}, \gamma_2 \geq \gamma_1} \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(W(\gamma_1)(M, \varphi), W(\gamma_2)(M, \varphi))$$

$$\text{and } \text{Lie}(P_{\mathbf{GL}_M}^-(\varphi)) = \oplus_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbf{Q}, \gamma_2 \geq \gamma_1} \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(W(\gamma_2)(M, \varphi), W(\gamma_1)(M, \varphi)).$$

**2.6.5. Tilde non-negative parabolic subgroup schemes.** For  $i \in I$  let  $\delta_i \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbf{Q}$  be the greatest number such that  $W(\delta_i)(\text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi) \neq 0$ . Let  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  be the normalizer of the direct sum  $\oplus_{i \in I} W(\delta_i)(\text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  in  $G_{B(k)}$ . We have  $\delta_i = \delta_{\Gamma(i)}$  and  $\varphi$  takes  $W(\delta_i)(\text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  isomorphically onto  $W(\delta_{\Gamma(i)})(\text{Lie}(G_{\Gamma(i)})[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ ; thus  $\varphi$  normalizes  $\oplus_{i \in I} W(\delta_i)(\text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ . The Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  is the normalizer of  $\oplus_{i \in I} W(\delta_i)(\text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$  and thus it is normalized by  $\varphi$ . As  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  normalizes  $\oplus_{i \in I} W(\delta_i)(\text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi) = \oplus_{i \in I} W^{\delta_i}(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi) \cap \text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}]$  (cf. end of Subsection 2.6.1), we have  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ . Thus  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  is a subgroup of (the identity component of)  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6) and therefore  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $G_{B(k)}$ . Thus the Zariski closure  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$  of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  in  $G$  is a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$  (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (a)) that contains  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ . We refer to  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$  as the tilde non-negative parabolic subgroup scheme of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Let  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  be the unipotent radical of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ .

Let  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  be the unique Levi subgroup of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  that contains (an arbitrary maximal torus of)  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (c)); we call it the *tilde Levi subgroup* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Let  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  be the Zariski closure of  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  in  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ . We have  $L_G^0(\varphi) \leq \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$ . We emphasize that (cf. Lemma 2.6.3), in general  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  is not a Levi subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ .

**2.6.6. Non-positive parabolic subgroup schemes.** Similar arguments show that there is a unique parabolic subgroup scheme  $P_G^-(\varphi)$  (resp.  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$ ) of  $G$  such that we have  $\text{Lie}(P_G^-(\varphi)) = W_0(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$  (resp. such that  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  is the normalizer of  $\oplus_{i \in I} W(-\delta_i)(\text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  in  $G_{B(k)}$ ). We refer to  $P_G^-(\varphi)$  (resp. to  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$ ) as the *non-positive* (resp. as the *tilde non-positive*) parabolic subgroup scheme of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . The group  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  is also a Levi subgroup of  $P_G^-(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . Thus  $P_G^-(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  and  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  are opposite parabolic subgroups of  $G_{B(k)}$ . Let  $U_G^-(\varphi)$  and  $\tilde{U}_G^-(\varphi)$  be the unipotent radicals of  $P_G^-(\varphi)$  and  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$  (respectively). As in the end of Subsection 2.6.1 we argue that for every rational number  $\gamma$ ,  $P_G^-(\varphi)$  normalizes  $W_\gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ .

**2.6.7. Extensions.** Let  $k_1$  be a perfect field that contains  $k$ . In what follows we will use without any extra comment the fact that the constructions of our ten types of group schemes are compatible with extensions to  $k_1$ . In other words, we have the following formulas  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{W(k_1)} = P_{G_{W(k_1)}}^+(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{k_1})$ ,  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{W(k_1)} = L_{G_{W(k_1)}}^0(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{k_1})$ , etc.

## 2.7. The opposition principle

In this Section we assume that  $G$  is quasi-split. Let  $g \in G(W(k))$  be such that the intersection  $g(P_G^+(\varphi))g^{-1} \cap P_G^-(\varphi)$  contains a maximal torus  $T$  of a Borel subgroup scheme of  $G$  contained in  $P_G^-(\varphi)$ , cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (e). Let  $h \in G(W(k))$  be such that it normalizes  $T$  and  $hgP_G^+(\varphi)g^{-1}h^{-1}$  is the opposite of  $P_G^-(\varphi)$  with respect to  $T$  (cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Prop. 21.12] for the existence of  $h$  modulo  $p$ ).

**2.7.1. Basic quasi-oppositions.** We check that the last opposition at the level of Lie algebras respects the Newton polygon slope filtrations i.e., that for all rational numbers

$\gamma$  the direct summand  $hg(W^\gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the opposite of  $W_{-\gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$  with respect to  $T$ . Let  $\tilde{h} \in P_G^-(\varphi)(B(k))$  be such that  $\tilde{h}T_{B(k)}\tilde{h}^{-1}$  is a maximal torus  $\tilde{T}_{B(k)}$  of  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . As  $\tilde{h}hgP_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}g^{-1}h^{-1}\tilde{h}^{-1}$  and  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  are both parabolic subgroups of  $G_{B(k)}$  that are opposite to  $P_G^-(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  with respect to  $\tilde{T}_{B(k)}$ , we have  $\tilde{h}hgP_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}g^{-1}h^{-1}\tilde{h}^{-1} = P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . Thus  $\tilde{h}hg \in P_G^+(\varphi)(B(k))$  and therefore  $\tilde{h}hg$  normalizes  $W^\gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)[\frac{1}{p}]$ , cf. end of Subsection 2.6.1.

To check that  $hg(W^\gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))g^{-1}h^{-1}$  is the opposite of  $W_{-\gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$  with respect to  $T$ , we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$  and that (cf. Subsection 2.4.5)  $G$  is adjoint and it suffices to show that  $W^\gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)[\frac{1}{p}]$  and  $W_{-\gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)[\frac{1}{p}]$  are opposite with respect to the split torus  $\tilde{T}_{B(k)}$ . But this last thing is implied by (3b) applied with  $(H_{B(k)}, K, \phi, T_{B(k)}) = (G_{B(k)}, B(k), \varphi, \tilde{T}_{B(k)})$ .

Thus for each rational number  $\gamma$  the pairs  $(P_G^+(\varphi), W^\gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))$  and  $(P_G^-(\varphi), W_{-\gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))$  are quasi-opposite in the sense of Subsection 2.3.8 (g). Therefore we have an identity  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W^\gamma(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)) = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_{-\gamma}(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))$ . This implies that for every rational number  $\gamma$  we also have  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W(\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)) = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(W(-\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))$ .

**2.7.2. Corollary.** *We assume that  $G$  is adjoint and quasi-split and that the Newton polygon slope  $\delta_i$  introduced in Subsection 2.6.5 does not depend on  $i \in I$ ; let  $\delta := \delta_i$ . Then the pairs  $(P_G^+(\varphi), W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))$  and  $(P_G^-(\varphi), W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))$  are quasi-opposite. Therefore for each element  $i \in I$ , the pairs  $(P_G^+(\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}, (W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \cap \text{Lie}(G_i)) \otimes_{W(k)} k)$  and  $(P_G^-(\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}, (W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \cap \text{Lie}(G_i)) \otimes_{W(k)} k)$  are also quasi-opposite.*

*Proof:* We have  $W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) = W^\delta(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$  and  $W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) = W_{-\delta}(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ . Thus the fact that the pairs  $(P_G^+(\varphi), W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))$  and  $(P_G^-(\varphi), W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))$  are quasi-opposite follows from the last paragraph of Subsection 2.7.1 applied with  $\gamma = \delta$ .  $\square$

**2.7.3. The opposition of tilde parabolic subgroup schemes.** From (3b) we get that  $\oplus_{i \in I} W(\delta_i)(\text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  and  $\oplus_{i \in I} W(-\delta_i)(\text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$  are opposite with respect to the maximal torus  $\tilde{T}_{B(k)}$  of  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . This implies that the parabolic subgroup schemes  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  and  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  of  $G_{B(k)}$  are opposite with respect to  $\tilde{T}_{B(k)}$ ; thus their intersection is  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  is also the Zariski closure of  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  in  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$ . The opposite of  $hg(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi))g^{-1}h^{-1}$  with respect to  $T$  and  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$  are two parabolic subgroup schemes of  $G$  that contain  $P_G^-(\varphi)$ . But their fibres over  $B(k)$  are  $G(B(k))$ -conjugate and thus (cf. property 2.3.1 (b)) they coincide. Thus  $hg(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi))g^{-1}h^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$  are also opposite with respect to  $T$ .

## 2.8. Elementary crystalline complements

In this section we include six crystalline complements of different natures.

**2.8.1. Fact. (a)** *Let  $(M_1, F_1^1, \varphi_1)$  be a filtered Dieudonné module over  $k$  that is cyclic in the sense of Definition 1.3.8 (c). Then there exists a unique  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  that is cyclic and whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(M_1, F_1^1, \varphi_1)$ .*

**(b)** *Let  $E$  be a  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$ . If  $p = 2$ , then we assume that either  $E$  or  $E^t$  is connected. Then  $E$  is cyclic (resp. circular or circular indecomposable) if and only if its filtered Dieudonné module is cyclic (resp. circular or circular indecomposable).*

*Proof:* To prove (a) we can assume  $(M_1, \varphi_1)$  has a unique Newton polygon slope. But in this case, (a) follows from properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c). Part (b) is also implied by properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c).  $\square$

**2.8.2. Lemma.** *Let the triple  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi})$  be as in Definition 1.3.8. If this triple is cyclic, then there exists a maximal torus  $\tilde{T}^{\text{big}}$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{M}}$  such that the quadruple  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{T}^{\text{big}})$  is a Shimura filtered  $p$ -divisible object.*

*Proof:* We can assume  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi})$  is circular. We will use the notations of Definition 1.3.8 (a). Let  $\tilde{T}^{\text{big}}$  be the maximal torus of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{M}}$  that normalizes  $W(k)e_j$  for all  $j \in S(1, d_{\tilde{M}})$ . For each number  $j \in S(1, d_{\tilde{M}})$ , let  $e_{j,j} \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(\tilde{M})$  be such that for all numbers  $j' \in S(1, d_{\tilde{M}})$  we have  $e_{j,j}(e_{j'}) = \delta_{j,j'}e_{j'}$ . As  $\tilde{\varphi}(e_j) = p^{m_j}e_{j+1}$ , we have  $\tilde{\varphi}(e_{j,j}) = e_{j+1,j+1}$  for each number  $j \in S(1, d_{\tilde{M}})$ , where  $e_{d_{\tilde{M}}+1, d_{\tilde{M}}+1} := e_{1,1}$ . Thus  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{T}^{\text{big}}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_{\tilde{M}}} W(k)e_{j,j}$  is normalized by  $\tilde{\varphi}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mu}^{\text{big}} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{\text{big}}$  be the cocharacter such that  $\mathbf{G}_m$  acts via  $\tilde{\mu}^{\text{big}}$  on  $W(k)e_j$  through the  $-m_j$ 's power of the identity character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ . The triple  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{T}^{\text{big}})$  is a Shimura filtered  $p$ -divisible object that has  $\tilde{\mu}^{\text{big}}$  as its unique Hodge cocharacter. Moreover the filtration of  $\tilde{M}$  defined by  $\tilde{\mu}^{\text{big}}$  is  $(F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  itself.  $\square$

**2.8.3. Lemma.** *Let the triple  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi})$  be as in Definition 1.3.8. To ease notations we assume  $a \geq 0$ . If  $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi})$  is circular indecomposable, then there exists no non-trivial decomposition of it into circular filtered  $F$ -crystals over  $k$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \{e_1, \dots, e_{d_{\tilde{M}}}\}$  be a  $W(k)$ -basis for  $\tilde{M}$  as in Definition 1.3.8 (a); thus the function  $f_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} : \mathbf{Z}/d_M\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow S(a,b)$  that takes  $[j]$  to  $m_j$  is non-periodic. We show that the assumption that there exists a non-trivial decomposition

$$(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi}) = \bigoplus_{d \in D} (\tilde{M}_d, (F^i(\tilde{M}) \cap \tilde{M}_d)_{i \in S(a,b)}, \tilde{\varphi})$$

into circular filtered  $F$ -crystals, leads to a contradiction. Here by non-trivial we mean that the set  $D$  has at least two elements and that each  $\tilde{M}_d$  (with  $d \in D$ ) is a non-zero  $W(k)$ -module.

Let  $\tilde{M}_0 := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_{\tilde{M}}} W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{d_{\tilde{M}}}})e_j$ ; it is  $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{d_{\tilde{M}}}})$ -submodule of  $\tilde{M}$  formed by all elements fixed by  $p^{-\sum_{j=1}^{d_{\tilde{M}}} m_j} \tilde{\varphi}^{d_{\tilde{M}}}$ . We have a direct sum decomposition  $\tilde{M}_0 = \bigoplus_{d \in D} \tilde{M}_{0,d}$ , where for  $d \in D$  we define  $\tilde{M}_{0,d} := \tilde{M}_d \cap \tilde{M}_0$ . Let  $l \in (d_{\tilde{M}}!)\mathbf{N}$ . For each  $x \in \tilde{M}_0 \setminus p\tilde{M}_0$  we define a function  $f_x : \mathbf{Z}/l\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  via the inductive rule: for every number  $s \in S(1, l)$ , the element  $p^{-\sum_{u=1}^s f_x([u])} \tilde{\varphi}^s(x)$  belongs to  $\tilde{M}_0 \setminus p\tilde{M}_0$ . For two elements  $x, y \in \tilde{M}_0 \setminus p\tilde{M}_0$  we say that  $f_x < f_y$  if there exists a number  $s \in S(1, l)$  such that we have  $f_x([u]) = f_y([u])$  for all numbers  $u \in S(1, s-1)$  and moreover  $f_x([s]) < f_y([s])$ . The non-periodicity of the function  $f_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}$  implies that: for each  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ , the period of the function  $f_x$  is  $[d_{\tilde{M}}] \in \mathbf{Z}/l\mathbf{Z}$ .

Let  $x_0 \in (\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \cup \bigcup_{d \in D} \tilde{M}_{0,d}) \setminus p\tilde{M}_0$  be such that the function  $f_{x_0}$  is maximal i.e., such that there exists no element  $y \in (\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \cup \bigcup_{d \in D} \tilde{M}_{0,d}) \setminus p\tilde{M}_0$  with the property that  $f_{x_0} < f_y$ . If  $x_0 \in \tilde{M}_{0,d}$  for some  $d \in D$ , then let  $j_0 \in S(1, d_{\tilde{M}})$  be such that in the writing of

$x_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{d_{\tilde{M}}} c_j(x_0)e_j$  as a linear combination of elements of  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ , we have  $c_{j_0} \in W(k) \setminus pW(k)$ . It is easy to see that this implies that  $f_{x_0} \leq f_{e_{j_0}}$  and therefore (due to the maximality property of  $f_{x_0}$ ) that  $f_{x_0} = f_{e_{j_0}}$ .

Thus we can assume that  $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ . Let  $d_0 \in D$  be such that the projection  $x_1$  of  $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  on  $\tilde{M}_d$  belongs to  $\tilde{M}_{0,d_0} \setminus p\tilde{M}_{0,d_0}$ . It is easy to see that this implies that  $f_{x_0} \leq f_{x_1}$  and therefore (due to the maximality property of  $f_{x_0}$ ) that  $f_{x_0} = f_{x_1}$ . But the period  $[d_{x_1}]$  of  $f_{x_1}$  is defined by a divisor  $d_{x_1}$  of  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(\tilde{M}_{d_0})$ . Thus  $[d_{x_1}]$  is not the period  $[d_{\tilde{M}}]$  of  $f_{x_0}$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

**2.8.4. Connections.** Let  $C$  be a commutative  $W(k)$ -algebra that is  $p$ -adically complete. Let  $M_C$  be a free  $C$ -module of finite rank. Let  $\Omega_{C/W(k)}^\wedge$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of the  $C$ -module  $\Omega_{C/W(k)}$  of relative differentials of  $C$  with respect to  $W(k)$ . By a connection on  $M_C$  we mean a map  $\nabla : M_C \rightarrow M_C \otimes_C \Omega_{C/W(k)}^\wedge$  that satisfies the usual Leibniz rule: if  $x \in M_C$  and  $y \in C$ , then  $\nabla(yx) = y\nabla(x) + x \otimes dy$ . Let  $\nabla_{\text{triv}}^C$  be the flat connection on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} C$  that annihilates  $x \otimes 1$  for all  $x \in M$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\tilde{R} = W(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_m]]$  be the commutative  $W(k)$ -algebra of formal power series with coefficients in  $W(k)$  in the independent variables  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ . We have  $\Omega_{\tilde{R}/W(k)}^\wedge = \oplus_{i=1}^m \tilde{R}dx_i$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} := (x_1, \dots, x_m) \subseteq \tilde{R}$ . Let  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}$  be a Frobenius lift of  $\tilde{R}$  that is compatible with  $\sigma$ . Let  $d\Phi_{\tilde{R}}$  be the differential of  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}$ . Let  $\tilde{M}$  be a free  $\tilde{R}$ -module of finite rank. Let  $\Phi_{\tilde{M}} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  be a  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}$ -linear endomorphism. We say  $\Phi_{\tilde{M}}$  is *horizontal* with respect to a connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  on  $\tilde{M}$ , if we have an identity of maps

$$(4) \quad \tilde{\nabla} \circ \Phi_{\tilde{M}} = (\Phi_{\tilde{M}} \otimes d\Phi_{\tilde{R}}) \circ \tilde{\nabla} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M} \otimes_{\tilde{R}} (\oplus_{i=1}^m \tilde{R}dx_i).$$

**2.8.5. Fact.** *We assume that we have  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}(x_i) = x_i^p$  for each  $i \in S(1, m)$  and that  $\tilde{M}[\frac{1}{p}]$  is  $\tilde{R}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -generated by  $\text{Im}(\Phi_{\tilde{M}})$ . Then there exists at most one connection on  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $\Phi_{\tilde{M}}$  is horizontal with respect to it.*

*Proof:* Let  $\tilde{\nabla}_1$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}_2$  be two connections on  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $\Phi_{\tilde{M}}$  is horizontal with respect to each one of them. For each  $i \in S(1, m)$  we have  $d\Phi_{\tilde{R}}(x_i) = px_i^{p-1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}^{p-1}$ . From this and from (4), we get by induction on  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  that  $\tilde{\nabla}_1 - \tilde{\nabla}_2 \in \text{End}_{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{\tilde{R}} (\oplus_{i=1}^m \tilde{\mathcal{I}}^{l(p-1)}[\frac{1}{p}]dx_i)$ . As  $\tilde{R}$  is complete with respect to the  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ -topology, we conclude that  $\tilde{\nabla}_1 - \tilde{\nabla}_2$  is the 0 element of  $\text{End}_{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{\tilde{R}} (\oplus_{i=1}^m \tilde{R}dx_i)$ . Thus  $\tilde{\nabla}_1 = \tilde{\nabla}_2$ .  $\square$

**2.8.6. PD hulls.** Let  $\tilde{R}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  be as in Subsection 2.8.4; we have  $\tilde{R}/\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = W(k)$ . Let  $\tilde{R}^{PD}$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of the *divided power hull* of the maximal ideal  $(p, \tilde{\mathcal{I}})$  of  $\tilde{R}$ . The formal scheme  $\text{Spf}(\tilde{R}^{PD})$  is defined by the ideal  $p\tilde{R}^{PD}$ . If  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}$  is a fixed Frobenius lift of  $\tilde{R}$ , let  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}^{PD}}$  be the Frobenius lift of  $\tilde{R}^{PD}$  defined naturally by  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}$ . We emphasize that  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}^{PD}}$  is not the pull back of  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}$  via the  $W(k)$ -monomorphism  $\tilde{R} \hookrightarrow \tilde{R}^{PD}$  and thus the notation  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}^{PD}}$  does not respect the conventions of the paragraphs before Section 1.1. For  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  we denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^{[l]}$  the  $l$ -th ideal of the divided power filtration of  $\tilde{R}^{PD}$  defined by  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ . We recall that  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^{[l]}$  is the  $p$ -adic closure in  $\tilde{R}^{PD}$  of the ideal of  $\tilde{R}^{PD}$  that is generated by elements of the form  $\prod_{j \in E_j} \frac{a_j^{n_j}}{n_j!}$ , where  $n_j$ 's are non-negative integers whose sum is at



least  $l$ , where  $E_j$  is a finite set, and where  $a_j$ 's are elements in  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ . As  $(p, \tilde{\mathcal{I}})^{p^m}$  is an ideal of  $\tilde{R}$  included in  $p\tilde{R}^{PD}$ , we have a natural morphism  $\mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}^{PD}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R})$  of formal schemes over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ .

**2.8.7. Ramified complements.** Let  $V$  be a totally ramified, finite, discrete valuation ring extension of  $W(k)$ . Let  $e_V := [V : W(k)]$ . Let  $x$  be an independent variable. Let  $Re_V$  be the  $W(k)$ -subalgebra of  $B(k)[[x]]$  formed by formal power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , where  $a_n \in B(k)$  and the sequence  $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  defined by  $b_n := a_n [\frac{n}{e_V}]!$  is formed by elements of  $W(k)$  and converges to 0. The  $W(k)$ -algebra  $Re_V$  is  $p$ -adically complete. Let  $\mathrm{Spf}(Re_V)$  be the formal scheme defined by the ideal  $pRe_V$  of  $Re_V$ . Let  $I_{e_V} := \{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \in Re_V \mid a_0 = 0\}$ ; it is an ideal of  $Re_V$  such that we have  $Re_V/I_{e_V} = W(k)$ . Let  $\Phi_{Re_V}$  be the Frobenius lift of  $Re_V$  that is compatible with  $\sigma$  and takes  $x$  to  $x^p$ . Let  $c_V : \mathrm{Spec}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(Re_V)$  and  $d_V : \mathrm{Spf}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(Re_V)$  be the closed embedding and the formal closed embedding (respectively) defined naturally by a  $W(k)$ -epimorphism  $h_V : Re_V \twoheadrightarrow V$  that takes  $x$  to a fixed uniformizer  $\pi_V$  of  $V$ . The kernel  $\mathrm{Ker}(h_V)$  has a natural divided power structure.

Each element of  $I_{e_V}$  is nilpotent modulo  $pRe_V$ . Thus each  $W(k)$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{h}_V : \tilde{R} \rightarrow V$  lifts to a  $W(k)$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{h} : \tilde{R} \rightarrow Re_V$ . Let  $e \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $e \geq pe_V$ . Let  $[e] : Re_V \rightarrow Re_V$  be the  $W(k)$ -endomorphism that takes  $x$  to  $x^e$ . We have  $[e](I_{e_V}) \subseteq pRe_V$ . Thus  $([e] \circ \tilde{h})(x_i) \in pRe_V$  for all  $i \in S(1, m)$ . This implies the existence of a  $W(k)$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{h}(e) : \tilde{R}^{PD} \rightarrow Re_V$  such that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{R} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}^{PD} \\ \downarrow \tilde{h} & & \downarrow \tilde{h}(e) \\ Re_V & \xrightarrow{[e]} & Re_V \end{array}$$

of  $W(k)$ -homomorphisms, where  $\tilde{R} \hookrightarrow \tilde{R}^{PD}$  is the natural  $W(k)$ -monomorphism.

### 3 Abstract theory, I: proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2

Let  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  be a Shimura filtered  $p$ -divisible object over  $k$  in the range  $[a, b]$ . We recall that we use the notations of Section 1.3 (see Section 2.1). Thus  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  is a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  that defines  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$ ,  $P$  is the parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$  that is the normalizer of  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  in  $G$ , we denote  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ , etc. For  $g \in G(W(k))$ , we will often use one of the ten group schemes  $P_G^+(g\varphi)$ ,  $U_G^+(g\varphi)$ ,  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)$ ,  $\tilde{U}_G^+(g\varphi)$ ,  $L_G^0(g\varphi)$ ,  $\tilde{L}_G^0(g\varphi)$ ,  $P_G^-(g\varphi)$ ,  $U_G^-(g\varphi)$ ,  $\tilde{P}_G^-(g\varphi)$ , and  $\tilde{U}_G^-(g\varphi)$  that are obtained as in Section 2.6 but working with  $g\varphi$  instead of  $\varphi$ .

In Section 3.1 we include some simple properties of Hodge cocharacters that are often used in the rest of the monograph. In particular, in Subsection 3.1.8 we prove the implication 1.4.3 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (b). In Sections 3.2 to 3.8 we prove Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2. See Section 3.9 for complements on Hasse–Witt invariants.

#### 3.1. On Hodge cocharacters

For  $i \in S(a, b)$  we have  $(p^i(\varphi^{-1}(M))) \cap M = \sum_{j=0}^{i-a} p^j F^{i-j}(M)$ , cf. property 1.3.2 (a). Using this, by decreasing induction on  $i \in S(a, b)$  we get that the filtration  $(F^i(M)/pF^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $M/pM$  is uniquely determined by  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Thus the parabolic subgroup  $P_k$  of  $G_k$  does not depend on the choice of the Hodge cocharacter  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Therefore the group

$$\mathbf{L} := \{g \in G(W(k)) \mid g \text{ modulo } p \text{ belongs to } P_k(k)\}$$

is intrinsically attached to the family  $\{(M, g\varphi, G) \mid g \in G(W(k))\}$  of Shimura  $p$ -divisible objects.

Let  $N$  and  $Q$  be as in Subsection 2.5.5. Thus  $N$  is the unique closed subgroup scheme of  $G$  that is isomorphic to a product of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  group schemes and whose Lie algebra is  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Also  $N$  is the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup scheme  $Q$  of  $G$  that is the opposite of  $P$  with respect to every maximal torus of  $P$  that contains  $\text{Im}(\mu)$ .

**3.1.1. Basic Lemma.** *If two Hodge cocharacters  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  commute, then they coincide. Similarly, if the special fibres of two cocharacters of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  commute, then they coincide.*

*Proof:* For  $s \in \{1, 2\}$  let  $M = \bigoplus_{i=a}^b \tilde{F}_s^i(M)$  be the direct sum decomposition defined by  $\mu_s$ . As  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  commute, we have a direct sum decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=a}^b \bigoplus_{j=a}^b [\tilde{F}_1^i(M) \cap \tilde{F}_2^j(M)].$$

We have to show that for all numbers  $i \in S(a, b)$  the identity  $\tilde{F}_1^i(M) = \tilde{F}_2^i(M)$  holds i.e., that we have  $\tilde{F}_1^i(M) \cap \tilde{F}_2^{\tilde{i}}(M) = 0$  if  $i \neq \tilde{i}$ . But we have  $\tilde{F}_1^i(M) \cap \tilde{F}_2^{\tilde{i}}(M) = 0$  if and only if  $(\tilde{F}_1^i(M)/p\tilde{F}_1^i(M)) \cap (\tilde{F}_2^{\tilde{i}}(M)/p\tilde{F}_2^{\tilde{i}}(M)) = 0$ . Thus to prove the Lemma we are left to show that if  $\mu_{1,k}$  and  $\mu_{2,k}$  commute, then they coincide. For each number  $i \in S(a, b)$  the direct sum  $\oplus_{j=i}^b \tilde{F}_s^j(M)/p\tilde{F}_s^j(M)$  does not depend on  $s \in \{1, 2\}$  and we have a direct sum decomposition  $M/pM = \oplus_{i=a}^b \oplus_{\tilde{i}=a}^b [(\tilde{F}_1^i(M)/p\tilde{F}_1^i(M)) \cap (\tilde{F}_2^{\tilde{i}}(M)/p\tilde{F}_2^{\tilde{i}}(M))]$ . Thus by decreasing induction on  $i \in S(a, b)$ , we get that  $\tilde{F}_1^i(M)/p\tilde{F}_1^i(M) = \tilde{F}_2^i(M)/p\tilde{F}_2^i(M)$ . Therefore we have  $\mu_{1,k} = \mu_{2,k}$ .  $\square$

**3.1.2. Lemma.** *Each element  $h \in \mathbf{L}$  normalizes  $\varphi^{-1}(M)$  and therefore  $h\mu h^{-1}$  is a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .*

*Proof:* The intersection  $P \cap Q$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $Q$  and therefore it has trivial intersection with  $N$ . Thus the intersection  $N \cap P$  is the identity section of  $G$ . Therefore, as the natural product morphism  $N \times_{W(k)} P \rightarrow G$  is an open embedding around the identity sections (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Prop. 4.1.2]), it is in fact an open embedding.

Thus we can write  $h = u_1 h_1$ , where  $u_1 \in N(W(k))$  is congruent to  $1_M$  modulo  $p$  and where  $h_1 \in P(W(k))$ . As  $\mu$  acts via the identical character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$  on  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$  and as  $N$  is isomorphic to the vector group scheme over  $W(k)$  defined by  $\text{Lie}(N) = \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$  (see Subsections 2.5.4 and 2.5.5), we have  $\mu(\frac{1}{p})u_1\mu(p) \in N(W(k))$ . Thus, as  $\sigma_\varphi = \varphi\mu(p)$  is a  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism of  $M$  that normalizes  $G(W(k))$  (cf. Section 2.4 and Lemma 2.4.6), we get that

$$\varphi u_1 \varphi^{-1} = \sigma_\varphi \mu\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) u_1 \mu(p) \sigma_\varphi^{-1} \in \sigma_\varphi N(W(k)) \sigma_\varphi^{-1} \leq G(W(k)).$$

Therefore  $\varphi u_1 \varphi^{-1}$  normalizes  $M$ . Thus  $u_1$  normalizes  $\varphi^{-1}(M)$ . As for all numbers  $i \in S(a, b)$  we have  $h_1(F^i(M)) = F^i(M)$ ,  $h_1$  normalizes  $\sum_{i=a}^b p^{-i} F^i(M) = \varphi^{-1}(M)$ . Therefore  $h = u_1 h_1$  normalizes  $\varphi^{-1}(M)$ . Thus  $h(\varphi^{-1}(M)) = \oplus_{i=a}^b p^{-i} h(\tilde{F}^i(M)) = \varphi^{-1}(M)$ . But  $h\mu h^{-1}$  acts on the direct summand  $h(\tilde{F}^i(M))$  of  $M$  via the  $-i$ -th power of the identity character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ . From this and the identity  $\varphi^{-1}(M) = \oplus_{i=a}^b p^{-i} h(\tilde{F}^i(M))$  we get that  $h\mu h^{-1}$  is a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .  $\square$

**3.1.3. Lemma.** *Let  $\mu_1$  be another Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Then there exists an element  $g_1 \in \mathbf{L}$  such that we have  $g_1 \mu g_1^{-1} = \mu_1$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $(F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  be the lift of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  defined by  $\mu_1$ . Let  $P_1$  be the parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G$  that is the normalizer of  $(F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  in  $G$ . As we have  $P_k = P_{1,k}$ , by conjugating  $\mu$  with an element of  $P(W(k))$  (cf. Lemma 3.1.2), we can assume that  $\mu_k$  and  $\mu_{1,k}$  commute and therefore (cf. Lemma 3.1.1) that they coincide. Thus there exists an element  $g_1 \in \text{Ker}(G(W(k)) \rightarrow G(k)) \triangleleft \mathbf{L}$  such that we have  $g_1 \mu g_1^{-1} = \mu_1$  (to be compared with Subsection 2.3.8 (d) and [DG, Vol. II, Exp. IX, Thm. 3.6]).  $\square$

**3.1.4. Corollary.** *We fix a lift  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . For each lift  $(F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ , there exists a unique element  $n_1 \in \text{Ker}(N(W(k)) \rightarrow N(k))$  such that we have  $F_1^i(M) = n_1(F^i(M))$  for all numbers  $i \in S(a, b)$ . Thus the set of lifts  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  has*

a natural structure of a free  $W(k)$ -module  $\text{Ker}(N(W(k)) \rightarrow N(k))$  of rank  $\dim(N_k)$ , the 0 element being  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$ .

*Proof:* The set  $\mathcal{L}$  is in one to one correspondence with the elements of the quotient group  $\mathbf{L}/P(W(k))$ , cf. Lemmas 3.1.2 and 3.1.3. As the product morphism  $N \times_{W(k)} P \rightarrow G$  is an open embedding, we have  $\mathbf{L}/P(W(k)) = \text{Ker}(N(W(k)) \rightarrow N(k))$ . This implies the existence and the uniqueness of  $n_1 \in \text{Ker}(N(W(k)) \rightarrow N(k))$ . As  $N \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{G}_a^{\dim(N_k)}$ , we can identify naturally  $\mathcal{L}$  with the free  $W(k)$ -module  $\text{Ker}(N(W(k)) \rightarrow N(k))$  of rank  $\dim(N_k)$  in such a way that  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  is the 0 element.  $\square$

**3.1.5. Corollary.** *Under the process of associating adjoint Shimura filtered Lie  $F$ -crystals, we get a bijection between the set  $\mathcal{L}$  of lifts of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  and the set  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{ad}}$  of lifts of  $(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}), \varphi, G^{\text{ad}})$ . Thus  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is  $U$ -ordinary (resp.  $Sh$ -ordinary) if and only if  $(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}), \varphi, G^{\text{ad}})$  is  $U$ -ordinary (resp.  $Sh$ -ordinary).*

*Proof:* As  $N$  is naturally a closed subgroup scheme of  $G^{\text{ad}}$ , we can identify naturally  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{ad}}$  with  $\text{Ker}(N(W(k)) \rightarrow N(k))$  (see proof of Corollary 3.1.4). Thus the natural map  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\text{ad}}$  is a bijection. The group  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  (resp. the group scheme  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ ) is the extension of  $L_{G^{\text{ad}}}^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  (resp. of  $P_{G^{\text{ad}}}^+(\varphi)$ ) by  $Z(G)_{B(k)}$  (resp. by  $Z(G)$ ). Therefore we have  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)} \leq P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  if and only if we have  $L_{G^{\text{ad}}}^0(\varphi)_{B(k)} \leq P_{G^{\text{ad}}}^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . From this and the definitions of Subsection 1.3.5 we get the last part of the Corollary.  $\square$

**3.1.6. Fact.** *Let  $h \in \mathbf{L}$ . Then  $h_2 := \varphi h \varphi^{-1} \in G(W(k))$ . Thus  $(M, h \varphi h^{-1}, G)$  is of the form  $(M, g \varphi, G)$ , where  $g := h h_2^{-1} \in G(W(k))$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $u_1$  and  $h_1$  be as in the proof of Lemma 3.1.2. We have  $h_2 \in G(B(k))$  (cf. Lemma 2.4.6) and thus  $h_2 \in G(W(k))$  if and only if  $h_2(M) = M$ . Using the property 1.3.2 (a), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(M) &= \varphi h \varphi^{-1}(\oplus_{i=a}^b \varphi(p^{-i} \tilde{F}^i(M))) = \varphi h(\oplus_{i=a}^b p^{-i} \tilde{F}^i(M)) \\ &= \varphi u_1 h_1(\oplus_{i=a}^b p^{-i} \tilde{F}^i(M)) = \varphi u_1(\oplus_{i=a}^b p^{-i} \tilde{F}^i(M)) = \varphi u_1 \varphi^{-1}(M) = M \end{aligned}$$

(the last equality holds as  $u_1$  normalizes  $\varphi^{-1}(M)$ ). Thus  $h_2(M) = M$ .  $\square$

**3.1.7. Canonical split cocharacters.** Let  $\mu_W : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_M$  be the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$  defined in [Wi, p. 512]. We check that  $\mu_W$  factors through  $G$ . For this we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . Let  $\sigma_\varphi$  and  $M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  be as in Section 2.4. See Example 2.4.2 for  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ . Let  $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  be a family of tensors of  $\tilde{T}(M_{\mathbf{Z}_p})$  such that  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  is the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p}]}$  that fixes  $t_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ , cf. [De5, Prop. 3.1 (c)]. As the tensor  $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M) = \mathcal{T}(M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k)$  is fixed by  $\sigma_\varphi$  and  $\mu$ , it is also fixed by  $\varphi$ . As  $t_\alpha$  is fixed by  $\mu$  and  $\varphi$ , it is also fixed by  $\mu_W$  (cf. the functorial aspects of [Wi, p. 513]). Thus  $\mu_{W,B(k)}$  factors through  $G_{B(k)}$  and therefore  $\mu_W$  factors through  $G$ .

The factorization of  $\mu_W$  through  $G$  will be also denoted as  $\mu_W : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  and will be also called as the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$ ; in particular,  $\mu_W : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  is a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  that defines  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  (this is the most basic property of inverses of canonical split cocharacters, cf. [Wi, p. 512]).

**3.1.8. Proof of 1.4.3 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (b).** We assume that  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is U-ordinary. For  $s \in \{1, 2\}$  let  $(F_s^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  be a lift of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  such that  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  normalizes  $F_s^i(M)[\frac{1}{p}]$  for all  $i \in S(a,b)$ . Let  $\mu_s : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  be the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, (F_s^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$ ; it defines the lift  $(F_s^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .

To show that 1.4.3 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (b), we have to check that  $(F_s^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  does not depend on  $s \in \{1, 2\}$ . For this it suffices to show that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ . To check that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . As  $k = \bar{k}$ ,  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  is  $B(k)$ -generated by endomorphisms of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  (i.e., by elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  that are fixed by  $\varphi$ ). Thus, as  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  normalizes  $F_s^i(M)[\frac{1}{p}]$ , each endomorphism of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is also an endomorphism of  $(M, (F_s^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$ . From this and the functorial aspects of [Wi, p. 513] we get that  $\mu_s$  fixes all endomorphisms of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Thus  $\mu_{s, B(k)}$  factors through the subgroup  $Z(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  of  $G_{B(k)}$  that fixes these endomorphisms. Therefore  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  commute. Thus we have  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , cf. Lemma 3.1.1. Therefore indeed 1.4.3 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (b).  $\square$

**3.1.9. Corollary.** *We assume that  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is U-ordinary, that  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  is a U-canonical lift, and that the cocharacter  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  is the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$ . Then there exists a smallest torus  $T^{(0)}$  of  $G$  through which  $\mu$  factors and such that  $\varphi$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(T^{(0)})$  (thus the triple  $(M, \varphi, T^{(0)})$  is a Shimura  $p$ -divisible object). Moreover, we have  $T_{B(k)}^{(0)} \leq Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ .*

*Proof:* We know that  $\sigma_\varphi := \varphi\mu(p)$  normalizes both  $M$  and  $\text{Lie}(Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}))$  (see Section 2.4). Also  $\mu(p)$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}))$ . Thus for  $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  we have  $\varphi^m(\text{Lie}(\text{Im}(\mu))) = \sigma_\varphi^m(\text{Lie}(\text{Im}(\mu)))$  and therefore  $\varphi^m(\text{Lie}(\text{Im}(\mu)))$  is the Lie algebra of the  $\mathbf{G}_m$  subgroup scheme  $\sigma_\varphi^m(\text{Im}(\mu))$  of  $G = \sigma_\varphi^m(G)$  (see Subsection 2.4.7) whose fibre over  $B(k)$  is a subgroup of  $Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6). The  $\mathbf{G}_m$  subgroup schemes  $\sigma_\varphi^m(\text{Im}(\mu))$  with  $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  commute (as their fibres over  $B(k)$  commute) and thus their centralizer  $C^{(0)}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  is a finite product of  $\mathbf{GL}$  group scheme. Thus the flat, closed subgroup scheme  $T^{(0)}$  of  $G$  generated by the images of these commuting  $\mathbf{G}_m$  subgroup schemes of  $G$ , is a flat, closed subgroup scheme of  $Z(C^{(0)})$  whose fibre over  $B(k)$  is a subtorus of both  $C_{B(k)}^{(0)}$  and  $Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ . From Fact 2.3.12 we get that  $T^{(0)}$  is a subtorus of  $C^{(0)}$  and thus also a torus of  $G$ . Therefore  $T^{(0)}$  has all the desired properties.  $\square$

**3.1.10. Definition.** By a *positive* (resp. a *negative*) *Hodge cocharacter* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  we mean a Hodge cocharacter  $\mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  that factors through  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  (resp. through  $P_G^-(\varphi)$ ).

**3.1.11. Lemma.** *There exist positive (resp. negative) Hodge cocharacters of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .*

*Proof:* The intersection  $P_k \cap P_G^+(\varphi)_k$  contains a maximal split torus  $\tilde{T}_k$  of  $G_k$ , cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Props. 21.12 and 21.13 (i)]. Based on the property 2.3.1 (d), there exists an element  $\bar{h} \in P(k)$  such that  $\bar{h}\mu_k\bar{h}^{-1}$  factors through  $\tilde{T}_k$ . Let  $h \in \mathbf{L}$  be such that its reduction modulo  $p$  is  $\bar{h}$ . Let  $\mu_1 := h\mu h^{-1} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$ . It is a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  (cf. Lemma 3.1.2) whose special fibre factors through  $\tilde{T}_k$ . Let  $\tilde{T}$  be a maximal torus of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  that lifts  $\tilde{T}_k$ , cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (b). Let  $\tilde{S}$  be the  $\mathbf{G}_m$  subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{T}$  whose special fibre is  $\text{Im}(\mu_{1,k})$ . By replacing  $h$  with a  $\text{Ker}(G(W(k)) \rightarrow G(k))$ -multiple

of it (cf. Lemma 3.1.2), we can assume that  $\text{Im}(\mu_1)$  is  $\tilde{S}$  itself (cf. [DG, Vol. II, Exp. IX, Thm. 3.6]). In particular,  $\mu_1$  factors through every Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  that contains  $\tilde{T}$  and thus it is a positive Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . By working with  $P_G^-(\varphi)$  instead of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ , a similar argument shows that there exist negative Hodge cocharacters of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .  $\square$

**3.1.12. Practical  $\sigma$ -linear automorphisms of  $M$ .** Let  $g \in G(W(k))$ . Let  $\mu_1 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  be a positive (resp. a negative) Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, g\varphi, G)$ . Let  $\varphi_1 := g\varphi$ . As in Subsection 2.4.8 we define  $\sigma_{\varphi_1} := \varphi_1 \mu_1(p) : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ . As  $\mu_1$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(g\varphi))$  (resp.  $\text{Lie}(P_G^-(g\varphi))$ ) and as  $\varphi_1$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(g\varphi))[\frac{1}{p}]$  (resp.  $\text{Lie}(P_G^-(g\varphi))[\frac{1}{p}]$ ), the  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism  $\sigma_{\varphi_1}$  of  $M$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(g\varphi))$  (resp.  $\text{Lie}(P_G^-(g\varphi))$ ). A similar argument shows that  $\sigma_{\varphi_1}$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi))$  (resp.  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^-(g\varphi))$ ).

**3.1.13. Descent Lemma for lifts.** *Let  $k_1$  be a perfect field that contains  $k$  and such that we have  $k = \{x \in k_1 \mid \tau(x) = x \ \forall \tau \in \text{Aut}_k(k_1)\}$ . Let  $(F_1^i(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  be a lift of  $(M, \varphi, G) \otimes k_1$  that is fixed under the natural action of  $\text{Aut}_{W(k)}(W(k_1)) = \text{Aut}_k(k_1)$  on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$ . Then  $(F_1^i(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  is the tensorization with  $W(k_1)$  (over  $W(k)$ ) of a unique lift of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\mu_{W,1} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(k_1)}$  be the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), (F_1^i(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi \otimes \sigma_{k_1})$ , cf. Subsection 3.1.7. We consider the semisimple element  $l_1 \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$  that is the image under  $d\mu_{W,1}$  of the standard generator of  $\text{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_m)$ . As the lift  $(F_1^i(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G) \otimes k_1$  is fixed under the natural action of  $\text{Aut}_{W(k)}(W(k_1)) = \text{Aut}_k(k_1)$  on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$ , the element  $l_1$  is fixed by the natural action of  $\text{Aut}_{W(k)}(W(k_1))$  on  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$ . As  $k = \{x \in k_1 \mid \tau(x) = x \ \forall \tau \in \text{Aut}_k(k_1)\}$ , we have  $W(k) = \{x \in W(k_1) \mid \tau(x) = x \ \forall \tau \in \text{Aut}_{W(k)}(W(k_1))\}$ . Thus  $l_1 \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ . This implies that  $\mu_{W,1} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(k_1)}$  is the pull back of a cocharacter of  $G$  that is a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . From this we get that the lift  $(F_1^i(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G) \otimes k_1$  is the tensorization with  $W(k_1)$  (over  $W(k)$ ) of a unique lift of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .  $\square$

## 3.2. A general outline

We start the proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2. Fact 3.2.1 and Subsection 3.2.2 present simple properties. In Subsections 3.2.3 to 3.8.4 we will assume that  $G$  is quasi-split. In Subsection 3.2.3 we introduce a standard example for Sh-ordinariness. The main ingredients for the proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2 are stated in Section 3.3. Section 3.4 introduces the required language of roots and Newton polygon slopes; it prepares the background for translating properties 3.3 (a) and (b) into properties of parabolic subgroups schemes of either  $G$  or  $G_k$ . The proofs of properties 3.3 (a) and (b) are carried out in Sections 3.5 to 3.7. Section 3.8 completes the proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2.

**3.2.1. Facts.** (a) *Let  $k_1$  be a perfect field that contains  $k$ . We assume that  $(M, \varphi, G) \otimes k_1$  is U-ordinary. Then the U-canonical lift  $(F_1^i(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G) \otimes k_1$  is the tensorization with  $W(k_1)$  (over  $W(k)$ ) of a unique lift of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Thus  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is also U-ordinary (and moreover it is Sh-ordinary if  $(M, \varphi, G) \otimes k_1$  is Sh-ordinary).*

(b) *There exists a unique open, Zariski dense subscheme  $\mathcal{Z}$  of  $G_k^{\text{ad}}$  such that for each field  $k_1$  as in (a) and for every two elements  $g_1$  and  $g_2 \in G(W(k_1))$  with  $g_1$  mapping to a  $k_1$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , the strict inequality*

$$\mathbf{I}(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g_1(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{k_1}), G_{W(k_1)}) > \mathbf{I}(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g_2(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{k_1}), G_{W(k_1)})$$

*holds if and only if  $g_2$  does not map to a  $k_1$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{Z}$ .*

*Proof:* To check (a) we can assume that  $k_1 = \overline{k_1}$ . Thus  $k$  is the subfield of  $k_1$  fixed by  $\text{Aut}_k(k_1)$ . The U-canonical lift  $(F_1^i(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G) \otimes k_1$  is unique (as 1.4.3 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (b); see Subsection 3.1.8) and thus it is fixed by the natural action of  $\text{Aut}_{W(k)}(W(k_1)) = \text{Aut}_k(k_1)$  on lifts of  $(M, \varphi, G) \otimes k_1$ . From this and Lemma 3.1.13 we get that (a) holds.

We check (b). Let  $i_C : \text{Spec}(C) \rightarrow G_k^{\text{ad}}$  be a morphism of affine  $k$ -schemes. We denote also by  $i_C$  the Lie automorphism (over  $C$ ) of  $\text{Lie}(G_k^{\text{ad}}) \otimes_k C$  defined by inner conjugation through  $i_C$ . For each geometric point  $y_{\tilde{k}} : \text{Spec}(\tilde{k}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(C)$ , let  $i_{\tilde{k}} := i_C \circ y_{\tilde{k}} \in G^{\text{ad}}(\tilde{k})$  and

$$\mathbf{I}(i_{\tilde{k}}) := \cap_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \text{Im}((i_{\tilde{k}}(\bar{\Psi} \otimes \sigma_{\tilde{k}}))^m) = \text{Im}((i_{\tilde{k}}(\bar{\Psi} \otimes \sigma_{\tilde{k}}))^{\dim(G_k^{\text{ad}})}).$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}_C$  be the  $C$ -submodule of  $\text{Lie}(G_k^{\text{ad}}) \otimes_k C$  generated by  $\text{Im}((i_C(\bar{\Psi} \otimes \Phi_C))^{\dim(G_k^{\text{ad}})})$  (we recall that  $\Phi_C$  is the Frobenius endomorphism of  $C$ ). Let  $\mathcal{Q}_C := (\text{Lie}(G_k^{\text{ad}}) \otimes_k C) / \mathcal{I}_C$ .

We now take  $i_C : \text{Spec}(C) \rightarrow G_k^{\text{ad}}$  to be an isomorphism of  $k$ -schemes. Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the maximal open, Zariski dense subscheme of  $G_k^{\text{ad}}$  over which the  $\mathcal{O}_{G_k^{\text{ad}}}$ -module  $\mathcal{R}$  defined by  $\mathcal{Q}_C$  is free (cf. [Gr1, Prop. (8.5.5)]). A point  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $G_k^{\text{ad}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Z}$  if and only if the dimension of the fibre of  $\mathcal{R}$  over  $\mathfrak{p}$  is equal to (equivalently it is not greater than) the dimension of the fibre of  $\mathcal{R}$  over the generic point of  $G_k^{\text{ad}}$ . Thus, as we have  $\mathbf{I}(i_{\tilde{k}}) = \dim(G_k^{\text{ad}}) - \dim_{\tilde{k}}(\mathcal{Q}_C \otimes_C \tilde{k})$ , we easily get that (b) holds for  $\mathcal{Z}$ .  $\square$

**3.2.2. The trivial situation.** It is easy to see that the following four statements are equivalent:

- (a) we have  $F^1(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ ;
- (b) we have  $F^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$ ;
- (c) the Hodge cocharacter  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  factors through  $Z^0(G)$ ;
- (d) the  $G(W(k))$ -conjugacy class  $[\mu]$  of  $\mu$  has a unique representative.

Let  $g \in G(W(k))$ . Let  $\sigma_\varphi = \varphi\mu(p)$  be as in Section 2.4. If the statement (c) holds, then  $\varphi G(W(k))\varphi^{-1} = \sigma_\varphi \mu(\frac{1}{p}) G(W(k)) \mu(p) \sigma_\varphi^{-1} = \sigma_\varphi G(W(k)) \sigma_\varphi^{-1} = G(W(k))$  (cf. Lemma 2.4.6 for the last identity) and therefore by induction on  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  we get that we have an identity  $(g\varphi)^m = g_m \varphi^m$  for some element  $g_m \in G(W(k))$ ; thus the Newton polygon of  $(M, g\varphi)$  does not depend on  $g$  (cf. Lemma 2.2 applied to the  $F$ -crystals  $(M, p^{-a}g\varphi)$  and  $(M, p^{-a}\varphi)$ ). If the statement (c) holds, then  $\Psi$  is a  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism of  $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})$ ; thus  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = \dim(G_k^{\text{ad}})$  does not depend on  $g$ . If the statement (b) holds, then  $P = G$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6) and thus  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, g\varphi, G)$  is an Sh-canonical lift. This proves Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2, if statements (a) to (d) hold.

**3.2.3. Standard example.** We emphasize that until Subsection 3.8.5 we will assume that  $G$  is quasi-split. Let  $B$  be a Borel subgroup scheme of  $G$  contained in  $P$ , cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (e). Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $B$ . Every two maximal tori of  $B_k$  are  $B(k)$ -conjugate (cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Thm. 19.2]) and thus from the structure of Borel subgroups of  $G_k$  (see [Bo, Ch. V, Sect. 21.11 and Prop. 21.12]), we get that  $T_k$  contains a maximal split torus of  $G_k$ . Thus  $T$  contains a maximal split torus of  $G$ . As  $\mu$  factors through  $P$ , up to a  $P(W(k))$ -conjugation we can assume that  $\mu$  factors through  $T$  (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (f) and Lemma 3.1.2). Therefore we also view  $\mu$  as a cocharacter of  $T$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{t} := \text{Lie}(T)$  and  $\mathfrak{b} := \text{Lie}(B)$ . We have inclusions  $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \subseteq F^0(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $F^1(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ . Thus  $\varphi(\mathfrak{t}) \subseteq \varphi(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\sigma_\varphi := \varphi\mu(p) : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$  be as in Section 2.4 and Subsection 2.4.7. We have  $\sigma_\varphi(G) = G$ , cf. Subsection 2.4.7. The maximal torus  $\sigma_\varphi(T)$  of  $G = \sigma_\varphi(G)$  has  $\varphi(\mathfrak{t}) = \sigma_\varphi(\mathfrak{t})$  as its Lie algebra. The Borel subgroup scheme  $\sigma_\varphi(B)$  of  $G = \sigma_\varphi(G)$  contains  $\sigma_\varphi(T)$  and its Lie algebra is  $\sigma_\varphi(\mathfrak{b})$ . Let  $g_0 \in G(W(k))$  be such  $B = g_0\sigma_\varphi(B)g_0^{-1}$  and  $T = g_0\sigma_\varphi(T)g_0^{-1}$ , cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (d). Thus  $g_0\varphi$  normalizes  $\mathfrak{t}$  and takes  $\mathfrak{b}$  to  $g_0\sigma_\varphi\mu(\frac{1}{p})(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq g_0\sigma_\varphi(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b}$ . We emphasize that in order not to introduce extra notations by always replacing  $g \in G(W(k))$  with  $gg_0 \in G(W(k))$ , until Section 3.8 we will assume that  $g_0 = 1_M$ . Thus  $T = \sigma_\varphi(T)$  and  $B = \sigma_\varphi(B)$  and therefore we have

$$(5) \quad \varphi(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{t} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \mathfrak{b}.$$

As  $\varphi(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{t}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ . Thus  $T$  is a maximal torus of  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6) and therefore also of  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  (cf. Subsection 2.6.5). Thus  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  (resp.  $L_G^0(\varphi)$ ) is the unique (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (c)) Levi subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$  or  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$  (resp.  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  or  $P_G^-(\varphi)$ ) that contains  $T$ .

### 3.3. The essence of the proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2

We recall that  $G$  is quasi-split and that  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is such that (5) holds. The main part of the proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2 is to check the following two properties:

(a) For all elements  $g \in G(W(k))$  we have an inequality  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) \leq \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$ .

(b) Let  $g \in G(W(k))$ . We have an equality  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$  if and only if we can write  $g\varphi = g_1 r \varphi g_1^{-1}$ , where  $r \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$  and  $g_1 \in \mathbf{L}$ .

The proof of the property (a) ends with Section 3.5 while the proof of the property (b) ends with Subsection 3.7.2. Until the end of Section 3.4 we will include preliminary material that will be used in Sections 3.5 to 3.7.

**3.3.1. Lemma.** Let  $g_2 \in G(W(k))$  be such that  $P_G^+(g_2\varphi)$  is a subgroup scheme of  $P$ . If  $g_1 \in \mathbf{L}$  and  $h_1 \in P_G^+(g_2\varphi)(W(k))$ , then  $(M, g_2\varphi)$  and  $(M, g_1 h_1 g_2 \varphi g_1^{-1})$  have the same Newton polygons and moreover we have an identity  $P_G^+(g_1 h_1 g_2 \varphi g_1^{-1}) = g_1 P_G^+(g_2 \varphi) g_1^{-1}$ .

*Proof:* We can assume that  $g_1 = 1_M$ . To check that  $P_G^+(h_1 g_2 \varphi) = P_G^+(g_2 \varphi)$  it suffices to show that  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(h_1 g_2 \varphi)) = \text{Lie}(P_G^+(g_2 \varphi))$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6) and thus that  $W^0(\mathfrak{g}, h_1 g_2 \varphi) = W^0(\mathfrak{g}, g_2 \varphi)$ . As  $g_2 \varphi$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(g_2 \varphi))[\frac{1}{p}]$ , we have an identity  $g_2 \varphi P_G^+(g_2 \varphi)_{B(k)} \varphi^{-1} g_2^{-1} = P_G^+(g_2 \varphi)_{B(k)}$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6 and cf. the existence of the action  $\varphi$  of Subsection 2.4.7). Thus  $g_2 \varphi h_1 = h_2 g_2 \varphi$ , where  $h_2 \in P_G^+(g_2 \varphi)(B(k))$ . But we



have  $h_2 \in G(W(k))$ , cf. Fact 3.1.6 applied to  $h_1 \in P_G^+(g_2\varphi)(W(k)) \leq P(W(k)) \leq \mathbf{L}$ . Thus  $h_2 \in P_G^+(g_2\varphi)(W(k))$ . By induction on  $m \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$  we get that  $(h_1 g_2 \varphi)^m = h_1 h_m (g_2 \varphi)^m$ , where  $h_m \in P_G^+(g_2\varphi)(W(k))$ . Therefore from Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.2.1 applied to  $(M, p^{-a} h_1 g_2 \varphi, G)$  and  $(M, p^{-a} g_2 \varphi, G)$ , we get that  $(M, g_2 \varphi)$  and  $(M, g_1 h_1 g_2 \varphi g_1^{-1})$  have the same Newton polygons and that  $W^0(\mathfrak{g}, h_1 g_2 \varphi) = W^0(\mathfrak{g}, g_2 \varphi)$ .  $\square$

**3.3.2. The non-trivial situation.** If statements 3.2.2 (a) to (d) hold, then we have  $P_G^+(\varphi) = \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) = \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi) = G$  and (cf. Subsection 3.2.2)  $\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G)$ ; obviously the properties 3.3 (a) and (b) hold. Thus until the end of this Chapter 3 we will assume that the statements 3.2.2 (a) to (d) do not hold. Therefore  $G$  is not a torus.

### 3.4. The split, adjoint, cyclic context

Sections 3.4 to 3.6 are the very heart of the proof of Theorem 1.4.1. Their main goals are to prove the property 3.3 (a) and to prepare the background for the proof of the property 3.3 (b). Let  $T, B, \mathfrak{t}$ , and  $\mathfrak{b}$  be as in Subsection 3.2.3. For the proof of the property 3.3 (a) we can assume that  $G$  is split, adjoint (see Subsection 2.4.5). Therefore we have a product decomposition  $G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$  into absolutely simple, adjoint group schemes (cf. (2) of Subsection 1.3.4) and the torus  $T$  is split. The set  $I$  is non-empty, cf. Subsection 3.3.2. For each element  $i \in I$  let

$$\mathfrak{g}_i := \text{Lie}(G_i).$$

To check the property 3.3 (a) we can also assume that  $I = S(1, n)$  and that the permutation  $\Gamma : I \xrightarrow{\sim} I$  is the  $n$ -th cycle  $(12 \cdots n)$ ; thus we have  $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}[\frac{1}{p}]$  if  $i \in S(1, n-1)$  and  $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_n[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathfrak{g}_1[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Only in Subsection 3.4.4 it will become clear why we take the set  $I$  to be  $S(1, n)$  and not  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ . The Lie type of  $G_i$  does not depend on the element  $i \in I$  and thus we denote it as

$$\mathcal{X}.$$

Let

$$\ell \in \mathbf{N}$$

be the rank of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $l_0 \in \mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{g}_i$  be the image through  $d\mu$  of the standard generator of  $\text{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_m)$ ; we have  $l_0(x) = -ix$  for all pairs  $(x, i) \in \tilde{F}^i(M) \times S(a, b)$ . For each element  $i \in I$  let

$$l_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$$

be the component of  $l_0$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_i$ ; we have  $l_0 = \sum_{i \in I} l_i$ . If  $l_i = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_i \subseteq F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ . If  $l_i \neq 0$ , then  $l_i$  is a semisimple element of  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  and the eigenvalues of the action of  $l_i$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  are precisely  $-1, 0$ , and  $1$  (cf. property 1.3.2 (b)). More precisely, we have identities  $F^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | [l_0, x] = -x\}$ ,  $\tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | [l_0, x] = 0\}$ , and  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | [l_0, x] = x\}$ . Thus  $F^1(\mathfrak{g}_i) := F^1(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i = \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_i | [l_i, x] = -x\}$ . Similarly,  $F^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | [l_0, x] \in \{0, -x\}\}$  and thus  $F^0(\mathfrak{g}_i) := F^0(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_i | [l_i, x] \in \{0, -x\}\}$ . Based on Subsection 3.3.2, we can choose the identification  $I = S(1, n)$  such that we have  $l_1 \neq 0$ ; therefore  $F^1(\mathfrak{g}_1) \neq 0$ .

**3.4.1. Newton Polygon slopes.** Let  $g \in G(W(k))$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H}_g$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}$ ) be the set of Newton polygon slopes of the Lie  $F$ -isocrystal  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi)$  (resp.  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ ). As  $(g\varphi)^n$

normalizes each one of  $\mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$ 's, we have

$$W(\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) = \oplus_{i \in I} (W(\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i), \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{H}_g.$$

As  $g\varphi$  maps  $W(\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$  bijectively onto  $W(\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}[\frac{1}{p}]$ , the rank of  $W(\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$  does not depend on  $i \in I$ .

**3.4.2. Parabolic decompositions.** Each parabolic subgroup scheme  $Q$  of  $G$  is a product  $Q = \prod_{i \in I} Q \cap G_i$  of parabolic subgroup schemes of the  $G_i$ 's. Let  $*$   $\in \{+, -\}$ . If  $*$   $= +$  (resp. if  $*$   $= -$ ) let  $\mu_1$  be a positive (resp. a negative) Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, g\varphi, G)$ . Let  $\sigma_{\varphi_1} := g\varphi\mu_1(p)$ . The  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism  $\sigma_{\varphi_1} : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$  normalizes both  $\text{Lie}(P_G^*(g\varphi))$  and  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^*(g\varphi))$ , cf. Subsection 3.1.12. We get:

(a) For each  $i \in I$  we have identities  $\sigma_{\varphi_1}^{i-1}(\text{Lie}(P_G^*(g\varphi) \cap G_1)) = \text{Lie}(P_G^*(g\varphi) \cap G_i)$  and  $\sigma_{\varphi_1}^{i-1}(\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^*(g\varphi) \cap G_1)) = \text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^*(g\varphi) \cap G_i)$ . Thus the following two dimensions  $\dim(P_G^*(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k})$  and  $\dim(\tilde{P}_G^*(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k})$  do not depend on  $i \in I$ .

By taking  $*$   $= +$  in the property (a) we get that  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)) = \text{Lie}(P_G^+(g\varphi))$  if and only if  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_1) = \text{Lie}(P_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_1)$ . From this and Lemma 2.3.6 we get:

(b) We have  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) = P_G^+(g\varphi)$  if and only if  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_1) = \text{Lie}(P_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_1)$ .

We now check the following simple property:

(c) If  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}$  and  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}$  are  $G_1(k)$ -conjugate, then for each element  $i \in I$  the parabolic subgroups  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}$  and  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}$  of  $G_{i,k}$  are  $G_i(k)$ -conjugate.

To check the property (c) we first recall that  $\sigma_\varphi = \varphi\mu(p)$ . As  $T \leq L_G^0(\varphi) \leq P_G^+(\varphi)$ ,  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  is a positive Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Arguments similar to the ones used to get the property (a), show that  $\sigma_\varphi^{i-1}(\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1)) = \text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_i)$ . But we have  $\sigma_{\varphi_1}^{i-1} = h_{i-1}\sigma_\varphi^{i-1}$  for some element  $h_{i-1} \in G(W(k))$ , cf. Subsection 2.4.8. Let  $g_1 \in G_1(W(k))$  be such that  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_1 = g_1(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1)g_1^{-1}$ , cf. the hypothesis of (c) and Subsection 2.3.8 (e). Thus we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_i) &= h_{i-1}\sigma_\varphi^{i-1}(\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_1)) = h_{i-1}\sigma_\varphi^{i-1}g_1(\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1)) \\ &= h_{i-1}\sigma_\varphi^{i-1}g_1\sigma_\varphi^{1-i}(\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1)) = h_{i-1}\sigma_\varphi^{i-1}g_1\sigma_\varphi^{1-i}(\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_i)). \end{aligned}$$

As  $j_{i-1} := h_{i-1}\sigma_\varphi^{i-1}g_1\sigma_\varphi^{1-i} \in G(W(k))$  (cf. Lemma 2.4.6) we get that  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_i) = \text{Lie}(j_{i-1}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_i)j_{i-1}^{-1})$ . Thus  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_i = j_{i-1}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_i)j_{i-1}^{-1}$ , cf. Lemma 2.3.6. In this last equality we can replace  $j_i$  by its component in  $G_i(W(k))$  and thus the property (c) holds.

**3.4.3. Root decompositions.** Let  $\mathfrak{t}_i := \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_i := \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$ . Let  $T_i := T \cap G_i$ . Let  $\Phi_i$  be the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  relative to the torus  $T_i$ . Let  $\Delta_i$  be the base of  $\Phi_i$  that corresponds to  $\mathfrak{b}_i$ . In what follows  $\Phi_i^+$  (resp.  $\Phi_i^-$ ) is the set of positive (resp. negative) roots in  $\Phi_i$  with respect to  $\Delta_i$ . We have  $\Delta_i \subseteq \Phi_i^+$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{t}_i \quad \bigoplus_{i \in I, \alpha \in \Phi_i} \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha)$$

be the root decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to  $T$ . As  $\varphi(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{t}$ , there exists a permutation  $\Gamma_\Phi$  of the disjoint union  $\cup_{i \in I} \Phi_i$  such that we have

$$(6a) \quad \varphi(\mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha)) = p^{s_i(\alpha)} \mathfrak{g}_{\Gamma(i)}(\Gamma_\Phi(\alpha)), \quad \forall i \in I \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi_i.$$

Here  $s_i(\alpha) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  is such that  $\mu(\beta)$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha)$  as the multiplication with  $\beta^{-s_i(\alpha)}$ , for all  $\beta \in \mathbf{G}_m(W(k))$  (cf. property 1.3.2 (b)). We have (cf. (5))

$$(6b) \quad \Gamma_\Phi(\cup_{i \in I} \Phi_i^+) = \cup_{i \in I} \Phi_i^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \text{thus} \quad \Gamma_\Phi(\cup_{i \in I} \Phi_i^-) = \cup_{i \in I} \Phi_i^-.$$

As  $s_i(\alpha) \leq 0$  (resp. as  $s_i(\alpha) \geq 0$ ) if  $\alpha \in \Phi_i^-$  (resp. if  $\alpha \in \Phi_i^+$ ), we get inclusions

$$\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)) \subseteq \text{Lie}(P) = F^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Lie}(P_G^-(\varphi)) \subseteq \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

In particular, we get that  $T \leq B \leq P_G^+(\varphi) \leq P$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6). Thus  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary.

**3.4.4. The order  $e$ .** Let  $\Gamma_{\Phi_1}$  be the permutation of  $\Phi_1$  defined by  $\Gamma_\Phi^n$ . It normalizes  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Phi_1^+$ , and  $\Phi_1^-$ . Let  $e$  be the order of  $\Gamma_{\Phi_1}$ . Let

$$\tilde{I} := S(1, en).$$

We think of  $\mathfrak{g}^e := \mathfrak{g} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}$  ( $e$  summands) as a direct sum  $\oplus_{i \in \tilde{I}} \mathfrak{g}_i$ . Here for  $s \in S(1, e-1)$  and  $i \in I$ , we define  $\mathfrak{g}_{i+sn} := \mathfrak{g}_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{t}_{i+sn} := \mathfrak{t}_i$ ,  $\Delta_{i+sn} := \Delta_i$ , and  $\mathfrak{b}_{i+sn} := \mathfrak{b}_i$ . Below the right lower index  $en + 1$  is identified with 1 (i.e., we do identify naturally  $S(1, en)$  with  $\mathbf{Z}/en\mathbf{Z}$ ). It is convenient to work also with

$$(\mathfrak{g}^e, \varphi^{(e)}),$$

where the  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism  $\varphi^{(e)}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^e[\frac{1}{p}]$  acts for each element  $i \in \tilde{I}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$  as  $\varphi$  but the image  $\varphi^{(e)}(\mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}])$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}_{i+1}[\frac{1}{p}]$ . In a similar way we define  $g\varphi^{(e)}$ . The set of Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{g}^e, g\varphi^{(e)})$  is the set of Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$ , all multiplicities being  $e$  times more. The permutation of  $\Delta_1$  defined by  $(\varphi^{(e)})^{en}$  is  $1_{\Delta_1}$ . For  $s \in S(1, e-1)$  and  $i \in I$  let  $l_{i+sn} := (\varphi^{(e)})^{sn}(l_i) \in \mathfrak{g}_{i+sn}$ . Thus the semisimple element  $l_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$  is well defined for all  $i \in \tilde{I}_1$ .

We have  $e \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . If  $e = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{X} \in \{A_\ell | \ell \geq 2\} \cup \{D_\ell | \ell \geq 4\} \cup \{E_6\}$ , and if  $e = 3$ , then  $\mathcal{X} = D_4$ . This follows from the fact that the group of automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram of  $\Phi_1$  is either trivial or  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  or  $S_3$ . The order  $e$  is independent of the choice of an element  $i \in I$  to define it (above we chose  $1 \in I$  i.e., we worked with  $\Delta_1$ ).

**3.4.5. Simple roots.** We recall that  $\mathbf{G}_m$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  through  $\mu$  via the trivial, identical, and the inverse of the identical characters of  $\mathbf{G}_m$  (cf. property 1.3.2 (b)). Thus, as the highest roots of the  $E_8$ ,  $F_4$ , and  $G_2$  Lie types have all coefficients greater than 1 (see [Bou2, plates VII to IX]), the Lie type  $\mathcal{X}$  can not be  $E_8$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $G_2$  (cf. also [Sa], [De3], [Se1], or the classification of minuscule characters in [Bou3, Ch. VIII, §7.4, Rm.]). For each

element  $i \in \tilde{I}$  let  $\alpha_1(i), \dots, \alpha_\ell(i)$  be a numbering of the roots in  $\Delta_i$  such that the following two things hold:

(a) we have  $\varphi^{(e)}(\mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha_s(i))[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}(\alpha_s(i+1))[\frac{1}{p}]$ , and

(b) the numbering for  $i = 1$  matches to the one of [Bou2, plates I to VI] (thus this numbering is unique up to an automorphism of  $\Phi_1$  that normalizes  $\Delta_1$ ).

We will drop the lateral right index (1) of  $\alpha_i(1)$ 's. Therefore  $\Delta_1 = \{\alpha_i | i \in S(1, \ell)\}$ .

**3.4.6. Highest root.** The highest root  $\alpha_h$  of  $\Phi_1^+$  depends on  $\mathcal{X}$ . It is (cf. [Bou2, plates I to VI]):

- (a)  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_\ell$  if  $\mathcal{X} = A_\ell$ , with  $\ell \geq 2$ ;
- (b)  $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots + 2\alpha_\ell$  if  $\mathcal{X} = B_\ell$ , with  $\ell \geq 1$ ;
- (c)  $2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots + 2\alpha_{\ell-1} + \alpha_\ell$  if  $\mathcal{X} = C_\ell$ , with  $\ell \geq 3$ ;
- (d)  $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \dots + 2\alpha_{\ell-2} + \alpha_{\ell-1} + \alpha_\ell$  if  $\mathcal{X} = D_\ell$ , with  $\ell \geq 4$ ;
- (e6)  $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6$  if  $\mathcal{X} = E_6$ ;
- (e7)  $2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7$  if  $\mathcal{X} = E_7$ .

In what follows, depending on the possibilities of  $\mathcal{X}$  listed in (a) to (e7), we will refer to the case (a), to the case (b), etc. We note down that we consider  $B_\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 1$  and  $A_\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 2$ .

**3.4.7. The  $\eta$ 's.** Let  $i \in \tilde{I}$ . If  $l_i = 0$  let  $\eta_i := 0$ . If  $l_i \neq 0$  let  $\eta_i \in S(1, \ell)$  be the unique number such that  $l_i$  acts (under left commutation) as  $-1$  (resp. as  $0$ ) on  $\mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha_s(i))$  for  $s = \eta_i$  (resp. for  $s \in S(1, \ell) \setminus \{\eta_i\}$ ). Let

$$I_1 := \{i \in I | \eta_i \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{I}_1 := \{i \in \tilde{I} | \eta_i \neq 0\}.$$

As  $I \subseteq \tilde{I}$ , we have  $I_1 \subseteq \tilde{I}_1$ . We have  $1 \in I_1$ , cf. sentence before Subsection 3.4.1. In cases (b), (c), and (e7) the number  $\eta_1$  is 1,  $\ell$ , and 7 (respectively). In the case (a) we have  $\eta_1 \in S(1, \ell)$ . In the case (d) (resp. (e6)) we have  $\eta_1 \in \{1, \ell - 1, \ell\}$  (resp.  $\eta_1 \in \{1, 6\}$ ). All these can be read out from the set of those roots in  $\Delta_1$  that show up with coefficient 1 in the highest root  $\alpha_h$ .

For  $\alpha \in \Phi_1$  let  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha := \mathfrak{g}_1(\alpha)$ . For  $i \in \tilde{I}_1$  let  $\mathfrak{p}_{\eta_i}$  be the parabolic Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  that contains  $\mathfrak{b}_1$  and whose nilpotent radical  $\mathfrak{u}_{\eta_i}$  is the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  generated by all  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ 's with  $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$  having  $\alpha_{\eta_i}$  in its expression. We have  $\mathfrak{p}_{\eta_1} = F^0(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{u}_{\eta_1} = F^1(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}_{\eta_i}$  be the parabolic Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  that is opposite to  $\mathfrak{p}_{\eta_i}$  with respect to  $T_1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}_{\eta_i}$  be the nilpotent radical of  $\mathfrak{q}_{\eta_i}$ . We have direct sum decompositions of  $\mathfrak{t}_1$ -modules

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{p}_{\eta_i} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\eta_i} = \mathfrak{q}_{\eta_i} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\eta_i}, \quad \text{where } i \in \tilde{I}_1.$$

**3.4.8. The sets  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ .** Let

$$\mathcal{E} := \{\eta_i | i \in \tilde{I}_1\} \subseteq S(1, \ell).$$

We have  $\cap_{i \in \tilde{I}_1} \mathfrak{n}_{\eta_i} = \cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{n}_x$ . If  $|\mathcal{E}| \geq 2$ , then we are in cases (a), (d), or (e6). In the case (d) we have  $|\mathcal{E}| \leq 3$ . In the case (e6) we have  $|\mathcal{E}| \leq 2$ . In the case (a) we have  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq S(1, \ell)$  and thus  $\cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{n}_x = \mathfrak{n}_{x_1} \cap \mathfrak{n}_{x_{|\mathcal{E}|}}$ , where  $x_1 := \min(\mathcal{E})$  and  $x_{|\mathcal{E}|} := \max(\mathcal{E})$ ; this is so as every positive root that contains both  $\alpha_{x_1}$  and  $\alpha_{x_{|\mathcal{E}|}}$  in its expression as sums of elements of  $\Delta_1$ , also contains  $\alpha_x$  for all  $x \in S(x_1, x_{|\mathcal{E}|})$  (see [Bou2, plate I]). Next we define a subset

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}.$$

If  $\mathcal{X} \neq A_\ell$  let  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} := \mathcal{E}$  and if  $\mathcal{X} = A_\ell$  let  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} := \{x_1, x_{|\mathcal{E}|}\}$ . We have

$$\bigcap_{i \in \tilde{I}_1} \mathfrak{n}_{\eta_i} = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{n}_x = \bigcap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \mathfrak{n}_x.$$

**3.4.9. Types.** We refer to the  $en + 2$ -tuple

$$(\mathcal{X}, e, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{en})$$

as the *type* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  and to the  $en$ -tuple  $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{en})$  as the  $\eta$ -*type* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .

If  $e = 1$ , then  $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$  is uniquely determined up to a cyclic permutation and a renumbering in the statement 3.4.5 (b). If  $e = 2$  and  $\mathcal{X} \neq D_4$  (resp. if  $e \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $\mathcal{X} = D_4$ ), then  $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{en})$  is uniquely determined up to a cyclic permutation (resp. up to a cyclic permutation and a renumbering in the statement 3.4.5 (b)).

**3.4.10. The smallest Newton polygon slope.** From (6a) and the numberings in Subsection 3.4.5 we get that

$$(7) \quad \varphi^{en}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = p^{n_\alpha} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \text{ and } \varphi^{en}(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}) = p^{-n_\alpha} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi_1^+,$$

where  $n_\alpha \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  is the number of elements  $i \in \tilde{I}_1$  such that we have  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_{\eta_i}$  (or equivalently such that we have  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_{\eta_i}$ ). Let

$$\delta := \frac{|I_1|}{n} > 0.$$

**3.4.11. Fact.** *The sets  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_g$  are subsets of the interval  $[-\delta, \delta]$ . They are symmetric with respect to the mid-point 0 of  $[-\delta, \delta]$  and we have  $\delta \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Thus  $\{-1, 1\} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  if and only if  $|I_1| = n$  (i.e., if and only if the image of  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  in every simple factor  $G_i$  of  $G$  is non-trivial).*

*Proof:* We have  $(g\varphi)^{(e)}(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}$  if  $i \in \tilde{I} \setminus \tilde{I}_1$  and  $p\mathfrak{g}_{i+1} \subseteq (g\varphi)^{(e)}(\mathfrak{g}_i) \subseteq \frac{1}{p}\mathfrak{g}_{i+1}$  if  $i \in \tilde{I}_1$ . This implies that

$$(8) \quad p^{n\delta} \mathfrak{g}_i \subseteq (g\varphi)^n(\mathfrak{g}_i) \subseteq p^{-n\delta} \mathfrak{g}_i, \quad \forall i \in I.$$

From (8) we get that  $\mathfrak{H}_g \subseteq [-\delta, \delta]$ , cf. also Mazur theorem of [Ka2, 1.4.1] applied to  $(\mathfrak{g}_1, p^{n\delta}(g\varphi)^n)$ . A similar argument shows that  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq [-\delta, \delta]$ . From (3b) we get that  $\mathfrak{H}$  and

$\mathfrak{H}_g$  are symmetric with respect to the mid-point 0 of  $[-\delta, \delta]$ . We have  $n_{\alpha_h} = |\tilde{I}_1| = e|I_1|$ . Thus we have  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_h} \subseteq W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ , cf. (7). Therefore  $\delta \in \mathfrak{H}$ .  $\square$

**3.4.12. Multiplicities of  $-\delta$  as ranks.** From (7) we get that

$$W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1 = \cap_{i \in \tilde{I}_1} \mathfrak{n}_{\eta_i} = \cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \mathfrak{n}_x.$$

Thus  $m_{-\delta} := \text{rk}_{W(k)}(W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi))$  is  $n$  times the rank of the intersection  $\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \mathfrak{n}_x$ , cf. Subsection 3.4.1. A similar argument shows that the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope  $-\delta$  for  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi)$  is (cf. Subsection 3.4.1)  $n$  times the rank of

$$W_i^-(g) := W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i, \quad \text{where } i \in I.$$

**3.4.13. FSHW invariants as multiplicities of  $-\delta$ .** Let  $\bar{g} \in G(k)$  be the reduction of  $g \in G(W(k))$  modulo  $p$ . The pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, g\Psi)$  is an  $F$ -crystal. It is well known that  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G)$  is the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope 0 of  $(\mathfrak{g}, g\Psi)$ . By very definitions we have  $(g\Psi)^n = p^{|I_1|}(g\varphi)^n = p^{n\delta}(g\varphi)^n$ . Thus

$$W(\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}, g\Psi) = W(\gamma - \delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi), \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 2\delta].$$

Therefore  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G)$  is the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope  $-\delta$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$  and moreover we have identities

$$W_i^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k = (W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, g\Psi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i) \otimes_{W(k)} k = \cap_{m \in \mathbf{N}} (\bar{g}\bar{\Psi})^{mn}(\mathfrak{g}_i \otimes_{W(k)} k).$$

**3.4.14. Normalizer of  $W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$ .** As  $W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$  is a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{g}$  we can speak about its normalizer  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)'$  in  $G$ . If  $W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) \neq 0$ , then for each element  $i \in I$  the greatest number  $\delta_i \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbf{Q}$  such that we have  $W(\delta_i)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i \neq 0$  is  $\delta$  itself (cf. Fact 3.4.11 and Subsection 3.4.1) and thus we have  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)'_{B(k)} = \tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)_{B(k)}$  (cf. Subsection 2.6.5); thus  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)$  is a closed subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)'$  and this explains our notation  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)'$ .

The group scheme  $\tilde{P}_1^+(g) := \tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)' \cap G_1$  is the normalizer of

$$W_1^+(g) := W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$$

in  $G_1$ . We have (cf. the rank parts of Subsections 3.4.1 and 2.7.1)

$$\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^+(g)) = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^-(g)).$$

Let  $\bar{S}_1^+(g)$  be the reduced group of the special fibre  $\tilde{P}_1^+(g)_k$  of  $\tilde{P}_1^+(g)$ .

**3.4.15. Lemma.** *We have  $W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_1} := \mathfrak{u}_{\eta_1} \otimes_{W(k)} k = F^1(\mathfrak{g}_1) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ .*

*Proof:* We can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . Let  $s := 2en\delta \in \mathbf{N}$ . The rank of the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module  $E_1(g) := \{x \in W_1^+(g) | (g\Psi)^{en}(x) = p^s x\}$  is  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^+(g))$ . Let  $\tilde{W}_1^+(g)$  be the  $W(k)$ -span of  $E_1(g)$ . As  $(g\Psi)^n = p^{n\delta}(g\varphi)^n$ , from (8) we get that  $p^s \mathfrak{g}_1 \subseteq (g\Psi)^{en}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ . If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \setminus p\mathfrak{g}_1$ ,

then  $(g\Psi)^{en}(x) \notin p^{s+1}\mathfrak{g}_1$ ; moreover, if  $(g\Psi)^{en}(x) \in p^s\mathfrak{g}_1$ , then  $(g\Psi)(x) = (g\varphi)(x)$  must be divisible by  $p$  and thus  $x$  modulo  $p$  must belong to  $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_1}$ . Therefore all Hodge numbers of the  $\sigma^{en}$ -linear endomorphism  $(g\Psi)^{en}$  of  $W_1^+(g)$  are at most  $s$ . But the length of  $W_1^+(g)/(g\Psi)^{en}(W_1^+(g))$  and  $\tilde{W}_1^+(g)/(g\Psi)^{en}(\tilde{W}_1^+(g))$  as artinian  $W(k)$ -modules are equal. Thus all these Hodge numbers must be  $s$ . Therefore for each  $x \in W_1^+(g)$  we have  $(g\Psi)^{en}(x) \in p^s\mathfrak{g}_1$  and thus  $x$  modulo  $p$  belongs to  $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_1}$ . Therefore we have  $W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_1}$ .  $\square$

As  $p^{-s}(g\Psi)^{en}$  is a  $\sigma^{en}$ -linear automorphism of  $W_1^+(g)$  we get:

**3.4.16. Corollary.** *If  $k = \bar{k}$ , then  $W_1^+(g) = \tilde{W}_1^+(g)$ .*

**3.4.17. Lemma.** *The group  $\bar{S}_1^+(g) \cap (P_k \cap G_{1,k})$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$  and thus it contains a Borel subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$ .*

*Proof:* As  $P_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_1 \leq \tilde{P}_1^+(g)$ ,  $\bar{S}_1^+(g)$  contains  $P_G^+(g\varphi)_k$  and thus it is a parabolic subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$ . As the unipotent radical of  $P_k \cap G_{1,k}$  is commutative and has  $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_1} = F^1(\mathfrak{g}_1) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  as its Lie algebra (cf. Subsection 2.5.4), it centralizes  $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_1}$  and therefore (cf. Lemma 3.4.15) it also centralizes  $W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ . Thus this unipotent radical is a subgroup of  $\bar{S}_1^+(g)$ . Therefore the Lemma follows from the property 2.3.1 (a).  $\square$

**3.4.18. Lemma.** *We assume that  $W_1^+(g) \neq 0$ . Then the following two pairs  $(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}, W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k)$  and  $(P_G^-(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}, W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k)$  are quasi-opposite.*

*Proof:* As  $G$  is split, adjoint and as  $\delta$  is the greatest number  $\delta_i \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbf{Q}$  such that we have  $W(\delta_i)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i \neq 0$  (cf. Subsection 3.4.14), the hypotheses of Corollary 2.7.2 hold in the context of  $(M, g\varphi, G)$ . Thus the Lemma follows from Corollary 2.7.2 applied with  $i = 1$  to  $(M, g\varphi, G)$ .  $\square$

**3.4.19. Notations and Weyl elements.** For  $i \in \tilde{I}_1$  let

$$P_{\eta_i} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{\eta_i}$$

be the parabolic subgroup schemes of  $G_1$  whose Lie algebras are  $\mathfrak{p}_{\eta_i}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_{\eta_i}$  (respectively). They are unique (see Proposition 2.3.3) and therefore they are normalized by  $T_1$ . Thus we have  $T_1 \leq P_{\eta_i} \cap Q_{\eta_i}$ . The parabolic subgroup schemes  $P_{\eta_i}$  and  $Q_{\eta_i}$  of  $G_1$  are opposite with respect to  $T_1$ . We have  $P_{\eta_1} = P \cap G_1$ . From the very definitions of  $l_i$ 's and  $\eta_i$ 's and from the numbering in the statement 3.4.5 (a), we get that  $F^1(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \sigma_\varphi^{i-1}(\mathfrak{u}_{\eta_i})$ ,  $F^0(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \sigma_\varphi^{i-1}(\mathfrak{p}_{\eta_i})$ , and  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}_i) := \mathfrak{g}_i \cap \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}) = \sigma_\varphi^{i-1}(\mathfrak{n}_{\eta_i})$ . From this and Lemma 2.3.6 we easily get that  $P_{\eta_i}$  and  $Q_{\eta_i}$  are isomorphic to  $P \cap G_i$  and  $Q \cap G_i$  (respectively). From this and Subsections 2.5.4 and 2.5.5, we get that the unipotent radicals of  $P_{\eta_i}$  and  $Q_{\eta_i}$  are commutative. Let

$$\bar{P}_{\eta_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Q}_{\eta_i}$$

be the special fibres of  $P_{\eta_i}$  and  $Q_{\eta_i}$  (respectively).

For  $i \in \tilde{I}_1$  let  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\eta_i} := \mathfrak{p}_{\eta_i} \otimes_{W(k)} k$ ,  $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{\eta_i} := \mathfrak{q}_{\eta_i} \otimes_{W(k)} k$ ,  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_i} := \mathfrak{n}_{\eta_i} \otimes_{W(k)} k$ , and  $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_i} := \mathfrak{u}_{\eta_i} \otimes_{W(k)} k$ . For  $i \in \tilde{I}$  and  $\alpha \in \Phi_1$  let  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_i := \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes_{W(k)} k$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha := \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \otimes_{W(k)} k$ . We have

direct sum decompositions of  $\mathfrak{t}_1 \otimes_{W(k)} k$ -modules

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\eta_i} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_i} = \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{\eta_i} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_i} = \mathfrak{t}_1 \otimes_{W(k)} k \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_1} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha.$$

We will call each element of  $G_1(k)$  that normalizes  $T_{1,k}$ , a Weyl element.

### 3.5. The proof of the property 3.3 (a)

We recall that  $G$  is split, adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , and that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ . With the notations of Subsections 3.4.7, 3.4.10, 3.4.13, and based on Subsection 3.4.13, to prove the property 3.3 (a) it suffices to show that

$$(9) \quad \text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^-(g)) \leq \text{rk}_{W(k)}(\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \mathfrak{n}_x) = \frac{m-\delta}{n}.$$

The proof of inequality (9) starts now and ends before Section 3.6. For  $i \in \tilde{I}$  let

$$\Pi_{\eta_i} \in \text{End}_k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1)$$

be the projector of  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$  defined as follows. If  $i \in \tilde{I}_1$ , then  $\Pi_{\eta_i}$  is the projection on  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_i}$  along  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\eta_i}$ . For  $i \in \tilde{I} \setminus \tilde{I}_1$  let  $\Pi_{\eta_i} := 1_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1}$ . Let  $\bar{\sigma}_\varphi$  be the reduction modulo  $p$  of the  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism  $\sigma_\varphi = \varphi\mu(p)$  of either  $M$  or  $\mathfrak{g}$ . As for each element  $i \in I_1$  we have  $F^0(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \sigma_\varphi^{i-1}(\mathfrak{p}_{\eta_i})$ , we get that

$$(10a) \quad \text{Ker}(\bar{g}\bar{\Psi}) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\Psi}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_1} (F^0(\mathfrak{g}_i) \otimes_{W(k)} k) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_1} \bar{\sigma}_\varphi^{i-1}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\eta_i}).$$

Moreover for each element  $i \in I_1$  we have

$$(10b) \quad \bar{g}\bar{\Psi}(x) = \bar{g}\bar{\sigma}_\varphi(x), \quad \forall x \in \bar{\sigma}_\varphi^{i-1}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_i}).$$

Let  $s \in \mathbf{N}$ . We use formulas (10a) and (10b) to follow the image of  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$  under the  $s$ -th iterate of  $\bar{g}\bar{\Psi}$ : by identifying  $(\bar{\sigma}_\varphi)^{u-1}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1)$  with  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$  for each  $u \in S(1, s)$  (this is allowed as we deal here only with images), we come across  $k$ -vector spaces of the following form

$$(11) \quad h_s \Pi_{\eta_{i_s}} \left( {}^{h_{s-1}} \Pi_{\eta_{i_{s-1}}} \left( \cdots \left( {}^{h_2} \Pi_{\eta_{i_2}} \left( {}^{h_1} \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_1} \right) \cdots \right) \right) \right),$$

where  $h_u \in G_1(k)$  for each  $u \in S(1, s)$ . We emphasize that, here and in what follows until Section 3.7, the left upper index  ${}^{h_u}$  means inner conjugation by  $h_u$ ; it is used for conjugating subgroups as well as Lie subalgebras or elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . Except for elements or for intersections we do not use parentheses i.e., we write  ${}^{h_1} \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_1}$  instead of  ${}^{h_1}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_1})$ , etc. There exist formulas that express each  $h_u$  in terms of  $\bar{g}$  (like  $h_1$  is the component of  $\bar{g}$  in  $G_1(k)$ , etc.) but they are not required in what follows.

Taking  $s \in e|I_1|\mathbf{N}$  to be big enough, the  $k$ -vector space of (11) becomes  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  (cf. end of Subsection 3.4.13). We allow such an  $s \in e|I_1|\mathbf{N}$  to vary.



**3.5.1. Proposition.** *We consider a  $k$ -linear endomorphism of  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$  of the form  $\Pi_x {}^h \Pi_y$ , where  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $h \in G_1(k)$ . Then there are elements  $\tilde{h}, \tilde{l} \in G_1(k)$  and there exists a Weyl element  $\tilde{w} \in G_1(k)$  such that we have*

$$(12) \quad \Pi_x {}^h \Pi_y = \tilde{h} \Pi_x \tilde{w} \Pi_y \tilde{l}.$$

*We also have the following elimination property*

$$(13) \quad \text{Im}(\Pi_x {}^h \Pi_x) \subseteq \text{Im}(\Pi_x).$$

*In addition, for every Weyl element  $w \in G_1(k)$  we have the following inversion property*

$$(14) \quad \Pi_x {}^w \Pi_y = {}^w \Pi_y {}^{w^{-1}} \Pi_x {}^w.$$

*Proof:* Property (14) can be checked easily using the root decomposition of  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$  with respect to  $T_{1,k}$ . Property (13) is trivial. To prove the property (12) we need few preliminaries.

Let  $w_0 \in G_1(k)$  be a Weyl element such that  ${}^{w_0} B_{1,k}$  is the opposite  $B_{1,k}^{\text{opp}}$  of  $B_{1,k}$  with respect to  $T_{1,k}$ . Let  $\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)}$  be the projector of  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$  on  ${}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x$  along  ${}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_x$ . We have  $\Pi_x = {}^{w_0} \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} {}^{w_0^{-1}}$ . The Bruhat decomposition for  $G_{1,k}$  allows us to write  $w_0^{-1} h = b_x w q_y$ , where  $b_x \in B_{1,k}^{\text{opp}}(k)$ ,  $w \in G_1(k)$  is a Weyl element, and  $q_y \in \bar{Q}_y(k)$  (see [Bo, Ch. V, §21]).

For  $\alpha \in \Phi_1$ , let  $\mathbf{G}_{a,\alpha,k}$  be the  $\mathbf{G}_a$  subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$  that is normalized by  $T_{1,k}$  and whose Lie algebra is  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$  (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Thm. 1.1]). We write  $b_x = c_x b_x^{(0)} b_x^{(2)}$ , where  $c_x \in T_1(k)$ , where  $b_x^{(0)} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_1^-, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \subseteq {}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{\mathfrak{q}}_x} d_{x,\alpha}$  with  $d_{x,\alpha} \in \mathbf{G}_{a,\alpha,k}(k)$ , and where  $b_x^{(2)} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_1^-, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \subseteq {}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x} d_{x,\alpha}$  with  $d_{x,\alpha} \in \mathbf{G}_{a,\alpha,k}(k)$  (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Prop. 5.6.1]). The element  $b_x^{(2)} \in B_{1,k}^{\text{opp}}(k)$  is also a  $k$ -valued point of the unipotent radical of  ${}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{P}_x$ . Let  $b_x^{(1)} := c_x d_x^{(1)} \in B_{1,k}^{\text{opp}}(k) \cap {}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{Q}_x(k)$ . We have  $b_x = b_x^{(1)} b_x^{(2)}$ . As  $B_{1,k}^{\text{opp}} \leq {}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{P}_x$  and  $\text{Ker}(\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)}) = {}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_x = \text{Lie}({}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{P}_x)$ , the elements  $b_x$ ,  $b_x^{(1)}$ , and  $b_x^{(2)}$  normalize  $\text{Ker}(\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)})$ . Thus  $\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} b_x^{(1)} = b_x^{(1)} \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)}$ . For  $u_x \in {}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x$  we have

$$(15) \quad b_x^{(2)}(u_x) = u_x + v_x, \quad \text{where } v_x \in {}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_x.$$

To check (15) we can assume that  $u_x \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\beta_1}$  and  $b_x^{(2)} \in \mathbf{G}_{a,\beta_2,k}(k)$ , where  $\beta_1 \in \Phi$  and  $\beta_2 \in \Phi_1^-$  are such that  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\beta_1} \subseteq {}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\beta_2} \subseteq {}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x$ . To check (15) we can also assume that  $k$  is a finite field. It is well known that we have (for instance, see [Va5, proof of Prop. 3.6])

$$(16) \quad b_x^{(2)}(u_x) - u_x \in \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbf{N}, \beta_1 + s\beta_2 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\beta_1 + s\beta_2}.$$

As we have  $\bigoplus_{s \in \mathbf{N}, \beta_1 + s\beta_2 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\beta_1 + s\beta_2} \subseteq {}^{w_0^{-1}} \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_x$ , property (15) follows from the property (16).

As  $b_x^{(2)}$  normalizes  $\text{Ker}(\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)})$  and due to the property (15), we have an identity  $\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} b_x^{(2)} = \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)}$ . Thus

$$\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} b_x = \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} b_x^{(1)} b_x^{(2)} = b_x^{(1)} \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} b_x^{(2)} = b_x^{(1)} \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)}.$$

We write  $q_y = l_y r_y$ , where  $r_y$  is a  $k$ -valued point of the unipotent radical of  $\overline{Q}_y$  and where  $l_y$  is a  $k$ -valued point of the Levi subgroup  $\overline{P}_y \cap \overline{Q}_y$  of  $\overline{Q}_y$ . As this last unipotent radical is commutative (cf. Subsection 3.4.19),  $r_y$  fixes  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y = \text{Im}(\Pi_y)$  and thus we have  $q_y \Pi_y = l_y \Pi_y = \Pi_y l_y$ . Using the above formulas for  $\Pi_x$ ,  $\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} b_x$ , and  $q_y \Pi_y$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_x {}^h \Pi_y &= {}^{w_0} \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} {}^{w_0^{-1}h} \Pi_y = {}^{w_0} \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} b_x {}^{w_0 q_y} \Pi_y = {}^{w_0} \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} b_x {}^{w_0} \Pi_y l_y \\ &= {}^{w_0 b_x^{(1)}} \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} {}^{w_0} \Pi_y l_y = {}^{w_0 b_x^{(1)} w_0^{-1} w_0} \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(x)} {}^{w_0^{-1} w_0 w} \Pi_y l_y = {}^{w_0 b_x^{(1)} w_0^{-1}} \Pi_x {}^{w_0 w} \Pi_y l_y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can take  $\tilde{w} := w_0 w$ ,  $\tilde{h} := w_0 b_x^{(1)} w_0^{-1}$ , and  $\tilde{l} = \tilde{l}_y$ . This proves the property (12).  $\square$

**3.5.2. Theorem.** *We assume that  $\mathcal{X} \neq E_6$  and that  $\mathcal{E} = \{x, y\}$  has two elements. Then*

$$\dim_k(\Pi_x({}^h \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y)) \leq \dim_k(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_x \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y).$$

*Thus, if  $h$  is a Weyl element, then the dimension  $\dim_k(\Pi_x({}^h \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y))$  is maximal if and only if the dimension  $\dim_k({}^h \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x)$  is maximal (i.e., if and only if the intersection  ${}^h \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x$  contains the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$ ).*

*Proof:* We can assume that  $h$  is a Weyl element, cf. property (12). We have

$$\dim_k(\Pi_x({}^h \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y)) = \dim_k(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y) - \dim_k({}^h \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x) = \dim_k(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y) - \dim_k(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x) + \dim_k({}^h \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x).$$

We denote also by  $h$  the image of  $h$  in the Weyl group  $W_{\mathcal{X}}$  of  $G_{1,k}$  of automorphisms of either  $\Phi_1$  or  $T_{1,k}$  defined by Weyl elements of  $G_1(k)$ .

In this paragraph we check that if  ${}^h \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x$  contains the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$ , then  $\dim_k({}^h \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x) = \dim_k(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x)$ . To check this we can assume that  $\text{Lie}(B_{1,k}) \subseteq {}^h \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x$  and thus that  $B_{1,k} \leqslant {}^h \overline{P}_y \cap \overline{P}_x$  (cf. Proposition 2.3.3). From the property 2.3.1 (b) we get that  ${}^h \overline{P}_y = \overline{P}_y$  and thus we have  ${}^h \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y = \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y$  as well as  $\dim_k({}^h \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x) = \dim_k(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x)$ .

Based on the last two paragraphs, to end the proof of the Theorem it suffices to check: (i) that  $\dim_k({}^h \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_x) \leq \dim_k(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_x)$ , and (ii) that if we have an equality  $\dim_k({}^h \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_x) = \dim_k(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_x)$ , then we can write  $h = h_1 h_2$  with  $h_1$  as  $h_2$  as Weyl elements of  $G_1(k)$  that normalize  $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y$  (respectively), and therefore  ${}^h \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x$  contains the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$ .

Below, if either  $h_1$  or  $h_2$  is the identity element, then we will not mention it. One checks that a suitable Weyl element  $h_1$  (resp.  $h_2$ ) normalize  $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_x$  (resp.  $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_y$ ) by an easy and direct verification that it normalizes  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_x$  (resp.  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_y$ ). We consider three Cases.

**Case 1:**  $\mathcal{X} = A_\ell$ . We can assume that  $1 \leq y < x \leq \ell$ , cf. property (14). We use the notations of [Bou2, plate I] for  $\Phi_1$ ; thus  $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell+1}\}$  is the standard  $\mathbf{R}$ -basis for  $\mathbf{R}^{\ell+1}$  and  $\Phi_1 = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j | 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell+1, i \neq j\}$ . For  $\eta \in \mathcal{E} \subseteq S(1, \ell)$ , the subset of  $\Phi_1$  of roots of  $\mathfrak{n}_\eta$  relative to  $T_{1,k}$  is  $\{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i | 1 \leq i \leq \eta < j \leq \ell+1\}$ . We denote also by  $h$  the permutation of  $S(1, \ell+1)$  such that for all  $i, j \in S(1, \ell+1)$  with  $i \neq j$  we have  ${}^h\mathfrak{g}_{e_i - e_j} = \mathfrak{g}_{h(e_i) - h(e_j)}$ . Let  $n_{y,x}(h) := |\{(i, j) \in S(1, \ell+1)^2 | i \leq y < j \text{ and } h(i) \leq x < h(j)\}|$ . We have an equality  $\dim_k({}^h\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x) = n_{y,x}(h)$ . But  $n_{y,x}(h) = \tilde{y}\tilde{x}$ , where  $\tilde{y} := |\{i \in S(1, y) | h(i) \leq x\}| \in S(0, y)$  and where  $\tilde{x} := |\{j \in S(y+1, \ell+1) | x < h(j)\}| \in S(0, \ell+1-x)$ .

Thus  $n_{y,x}(h) \leq y(\ell+1-x) = \dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x)$ . The equalities  $n_{y,x}(h) = y(\ell+1-x) = \dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x)$  hold if and only if  $h(S(1, y)) \subseteq S(1, x)$ . If  $h(S(1, y)) \subseteq S(1, x)$ , then let  $h_1^{-1}$  be a permutation of  $S(1, \ell+1)$  that normalizes  $S(1, x)$  and that takes  $h(S(1, y))$  onto  $S(1, y)$ ; if  $h_2 := h_1^{-1}h$ , then  $h_2$  as its own turn normalizes  $S(1, y)$  and thus every Weyl element that defines  $h_2$  (resp.  $h_1$ ), normalizes  $\mathfrak{p}_y$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{p}_x$ ).

**Case 2:**  $\mathcal{X} = D_\ell$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \{\ell-1, \ell\}$ . We use the notations of [Bou2, plate IV] for  $\Phi_1$ ; thus  $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell\}$  is the standard  $\mathbf{R}$ -basis for  $\mathbf{R}^\ell$ . We have:

– the subset of  $\Phi_1$  of roots of  $\mathfrak{n}_{\ell-1}$  relative to  $T_{1,k}$  is  $\{-\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_\ell | 1 \leq i < \ell\} \cup \{-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j | 1 \leq i < j < \ell\}$  and the subset of  $\Phi_1$  of roots of  $\mathfrak{n}_\ell$  relative to  $T_{1,k}$  is  $\{-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j | 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\}$ .

We can assume that  $(x, y) = (\ell-1, \ell)$ , cf. property (14). If  $h(\varepsilon_\ell) = \varepsilon_\ell$ , then  ${}^h\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x$ . Moreover, if  $h(\varepsilon_\ell) = \varepsilon_\ell$ , then we have  ${}^h\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x$  if and only if  $h$  normalizes  $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$ ; this implies that  $h_1 := h$  normalizes both  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_x$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_y$ . Thus we can assume that  $h(\varepsilon_\ell) \neq \varepsilon_\ell$ .

For the last part of Case 2 we will write  $\dim_k({}^h\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x) = d_1 + d_2$ , where  $d_1$  (resp.  $d_2$ ) is the number of vectors  $-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$ , mapped by  $h$  to vectors of the form  $-\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_\ell$ ,  $1 \leq i < \ell$  (resp. of the form  $-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j < \ell$ ).

If we have  $h(\varepsilon_\ell) = -\varepsilon_\ell$ , then  $\dim_k({}^h\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x) = s + \frac{(s-1)s}{2}$ , where

$$s := |\{i \in S(1, \ell-1) | h(\varepsilon_i) \in \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}\}|.$$

If  $s = \ell-1$ , then  $\{-\varepsilon_\ell\} = \{h(\varepsilon_1), \dots, h(\varepsilon_{\ell-1})\} \cap \{-\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$ . Thus  $h \notin W_{D_\ell}$  (cf. the structure of  $W_{D_\ell}$  as a semidirect product; see [Bou2, plate IV]) and therefore the equality  $s = \ell-1$  leads to a contradiction. Thus we have  $s \leq \ell-2$ . If  $s = \ell-2$  let  $h_2 \in G_1(k)$  be a Weyl element whose image in  $W_{D_\ell}$  is the transposition  $(\varepsilon_\ell \varepsilon_{i_0})$ , where  $i_0 \in S(1, \ell-1)$  is the unique number such that we have  $h(\varepsilon_{i_0}) \in \{-\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$ ; we have  $h(\varepsilon_{i_0}) = -\varepsilon_{i_0}$ . Thus  $h_2$  (resp.  $h_1 := hh_2^{-1}$ ) normalizes  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_y$  (resp.  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_x$ ).

If we have  $h(\varepsilon_\ell) \in \{-\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$ , then  $\dim_k({}^h\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x) = s + \frac{(s-1)s}{2}$ , where again  $s := |\{i \in S(1, \ell-1) | h(\varepsilon_i) \in \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}\}|$ . As  $h(-\varepsilon_\ell) \in \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$ , we have  $s \leq \ell-2$ . If  $s = \ell-2$ , then  $h_1 := h$  normalizes  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_x$ .

If we have  $h(\varepsilon_\ell) = \varepsilon_j$ , with  $j \in S(1, \ell-1)$ , then  $\dim_k({}^h\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x) = s + \frac{(s-1)s}{2}$ , where  $s := |\{i \in S(1, \ell-1) | h(\varepsilon_i) \in \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{j-1}, \varepsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}\}|$ . Thus again we have  $s \leq \ell-2$ . If  $s = \ell-2$ , then  $h_2 := h$  normalizes  $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell\}$  and therefore also  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_y$ .

Thus this Case 2 follows from the relations  $\dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x) = \frac{(\ell-2)(\ell-1)}{2} = (\ell-2) + \frac{(\ell-3)(\ell-2)}{2} \geq s + \frac{(s-1)s}{2}$ .

**Case 3:**  $\mathcal{X} = D_\ell$  and either  $\mathcal{E} = \{1, \ell - 1\}$  or  $\mathcal{E} = \{1, \ell\}$ . We have:

– the subset of  $\Phi_1$  of roots of  $\mathfrak{n}_1$  relative to  $T_{1,k}$  is  $\{-\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_j \mid 2 \leq j \leq \ell\}$ .

We can assume that  $y = 1$ , cf. property (14). It suffices to consider the case when  $\mathcal{E} = \{1, \ell - 1\}$ ; thus  $x = \ell - 1$ . If  $h(\varepsilon_1) \in \{-\varepsilon_1, -\varepsilon_2, \dots, -\varepsilon_{\ell-1}, \varepsilon_\ell\}$ , then  ${}^h\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\ell-1} = 0$  and we are done. We now assume that  $h(\varepsilon_1) \in \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{\ell-1}, -\varepsilon_\ell\}$ . We have  $\dim_k({}^h\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\ell-1}) = \ell - 1 = \dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\ell-1})$ . Let  $h_1 \in G_1(k)$  be a Weyl element whose image in  $W_{D_\ell}$  is the element  $(\varepsilon_1 h(\varepsilon_1))$ ; it is either the identity or a transposition. If  $h_2 := h_1^{-1}h$ , then  $h_2(\varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1$ . Thus  $h_2$  (resp.  $h_1$ ) normalizes  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1$  (resp.  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\ell-1}$ ).

We conclude that in all three Cases, sentences (i) and (ii) of the beginning paragraph of the proof of the Theorem are true.  $\square$

**3.5.3. Proof of (9) for  $\mathcal{X} = E_6$  and  $|\mathcal{E}| = 2$ .** We consider the case when  $\mathcal{X} = E_6$  and the set  $\mathcal{E} = \{x, y\}$  has two elements. We have  $\{x, y\} = \{1, 6\}$ . The structure of the Weyl group  $W_{E_6}$  is not given in [Bou2, plate V], though [Bou2, Ch. VI, §4.12 or Ch. VI, Excs. for §4, Exc. 2)] gives some information on it. One can check using computers that Theorem 3.5.2 also holds for  $\mathcal{X} = E_6$ . We will prove inequality (9) using a new approach.

We can assume that  $W_1^+(g) \neq 0$  and therefore that  $W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \neq 0$ . Thus we have  $P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k} \leq \bar{S}_1^+(g)$ , cf. Subsection 3.4.14. From Lemma 3.4.17 we get that by replacing  $g\varphi$  with  $g_1 g\varphi g_1^{-1}$ , where  $g_1 \in P(W(k))$ , we can assume that  $B_{1,k} \leq \bar{S}_1^+(g)$ . Let  $\bar{T}_1(g)$  be a maximal torus of  $B_{1,k} \cap P_G^+(g\varphi)_k$ . Let  $\bar{B}_1(g)$  be a Borel subgroup of  $P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}$  that contains  $\bar{T}_1(g)$ . As  $P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k} \leq \bar{S}_1^+(g)$ , there exists an element  $\bar{h}^+(g) \in \bar{S}_1^+(g)(k)$  such that under inner conjugation it takes  $\bar{B}_1(g)$  to  $B_{1,k}$  and  $\bar{T}_1(g)$  to  $T_{1,k}$ . We consider an additive function  $\mathfrak{J}_1(g) : \Phi_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  that has the following three properties (cf. Remark 2.5.2):

- (a) its image is the set of Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi)$ ;
- (b) if  $\alpha \in \Phi_1$ , then we have  $\mathfrak{J}_1(g)(\alpha) \geq 0$  if and only if  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \subseteq \text{Lie}(\bar{h}^+(g)(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}))$  (thus, if  $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$ , then from the relations  $B_{1,k} \leq \bar{h}^+(g)(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}) \leq \bar{S}_1^+(g)$  we get that  $\mathfrak{J}_1(g)(\alpha) \geq 0$ );
- (c) if  $\Phi_1(\delta)$  is the subset of  $\Phi_1$  formed by those roots  $\alpha$  for which we have an inclusion  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \subseteq W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ , then we have  $\mathfrak{J}_1(g)(\alpha) = \delta$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi_1(\delta)$  (the subset  $\Phi_1(\delta)$  is well defined as  $W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  is a  $T_{1,k}$ -module)

Strictly speaking in order to get  $\mathfrak{J}_1(g)$  we would have to: (i) lift  $\bar{T}_1(g)$  to a maximal torus  $T_1(g)$  of  $P_G^+(g\varphi) \cap G_1$ , (ii) choose a maximal torus  $T(g)$  of  $P_G^+(g\varphi)$  whose intersection with  $G_1$  is  $T_1(g)$ , and (iii) get an additive function  $\mathfrak{J}(g)$  as in the proof of Lemma 2.5.1 but in the context of  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi)$  and of  $T(g)_{B(k)} \leq P_G^+(g\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{J}_1(g)$  is obtained from  $\mathfrak{J}(g)$  via inner conjugation through an element  $h_I^+(g) \in G(W(k))$  that lifts  $\bar{h}^+(g)$  and that satisfies the identity  $h_I^+(g)T(g)h_I^+(g)^{-1} = T$  and via a natural restriction to the direct factor  $\Phi_1$  of  $\cup_{i \in I} \Phi_i$ . Property (c) is implied by the fact that  $\bar{h}^+(g) \in \bar{S}_1^+(g)(k)$  normalizes  $W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ .

If  $\mathfrak{J}_1(g)(\alpha_{\eta_1}) > 0$ , then from (b) we get that  $\mathfrak{J}_1(g)(\alpha) < 0$  for every root  $\alpha \in \Phi_1^-$  such that  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_1}$  (i.e., such that  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\eta_1}$ ); from this and the property (b) we get an inclusion  $\text{Lie}(\bar{h}^{+(g)}(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k})) \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\eta_1} = \text{Lie}(\bar{P}_{\eta_1})$  and therefore (cf. Proposition 2.3.3) we have  $\bar{h}^{+(g)}(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}) \leq \bar{P}_{\eta_1}$ .

We first assume that  $\bar{h}^{+(g)}(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k})$  is not a subgroup of  $\bar{P}_{\eta_1} = P_k \cap G_{1,k}$ . Therefore we have  $\mathfrak{J}_1(g)(\alpha_{\eta_1}) = 0$ , cf. previous paragraph. Thus we have

$$(17) \quad (\Phi_1(\delta) - \alpha_{\eta_1}) \cap \Phi_1 \subseteq \Phi_1(\delta).$$

The first basic property of  $\Phi_1(\delta)$  is that each root in  $\Phi_1(\delta)$  is a linear combination of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$  with non-negative integers such that the coefficient of  $\alpha_{\eta_1}$  is 1, cf. property (c) and Lemma 3.4.15. From this and the inclusion (17) we get the second basic property of  $\Phi_1(\delta)$ : we have  $(\Phi_1(\delta) - \alpha_{\eta_1}) \cap \Phi_1 = \emptyset$ . These two basic properties imply that  $\Phi_1(\delta)$  has at most 6 elements. More precisely, by assuming to fix the ideas that  $\eta_1 = 1$  and by using [Bou, plate V], from these two basic properties of  $\Phi_1(\delta)$  we get the inclusion  $\Phi_1(\delta) \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5\} \cup \{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_6 \mid \alpha_0 \in U_0\}$ , where the set  $U_0 := \{\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5\}$  has four roots.

As  $\dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_6) = 8$ , to prove the inequality (9) we can assume that  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^+(g)) = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^-(g)) \geq 8$ . Therefore  $\bar{h}^{+(g)}(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k})$  must be a subgroup of  $\bar{P}_{\eta_1} = P_k \cap G_{1,k}$ , cf. previous paragraph. Thus we have  $T_{1,k} \leq B_{1,k} \leq \bar{h}^{+(g)}(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}) \leq \bar{P}_{\eta_1}$ . In addition, we have  $\bar{h}^{+(g)}(W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k) = W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_1}$  (cf. Lemma 3.4.15 and the relation  $\bar{h}^{+(g)}(g) \in \bar{S}_1(g)(k)$ ).

Let  $\bar{h}^-(g) \in G_1(k)$  be such that  $\bar{h}^-(g)(P_G^-(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k})$  is the opposite of  $\bar{h}^{+(g)}(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k})$  with respect to  $T_{1,k}$ , cf. Subsection 2.7.1. As  $\bar{h}^{+(g)}(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}) \leq \bar{P}_{\eta_1}$ , we have  $\bar{h}^-(g)(P_G^-(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}) \leq \bar{Q}_{\eta_1}$ . For the rest of this paragraph we check that we have an inclusion  $\bar{h}^-(g)(W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k) \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_1}$ . We consider four pairs:  $(\bar{P}_{\eta_1}, \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_1})$ ,  $(\bar{Q}_{\eta_1}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_1})$ ,  $(\bar{h}^{+(g)}(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}), \bar{h}^{+(g)}(W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k))$ , and  $(\bar{h}^-(g)(P_G^-(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}), \bar{h}^-(g)(W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k))$ . The first two pairs are opposite with respect to  $T_{1,k}$  and (cf. Lemma 3.4.18) the last two pairs are quasi-opposite. Thus, as  $\bar{h}^{+(g)}(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k})$  and  $\bar{h}^-(g)(P_G^-(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k})$  are opposite with respect to  $T_{1,k}$ , we get that  $\bar{h}^{+(g)}(W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k)$  and  $\bar{h}^-(g)(W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k)$  are opposite with respect to  $T_{1,k}$  (cf. the very definition of quasi-opposition). As  $\bar{h}^{+(g)}(W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k) \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\eta_1}$ , via the opposition with respect to  $T_{1,k}$  we get that  $\bar{h}^-(g)(W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k) \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_1}$ .

For simplicity, let  $h_1 := \bar{h}^-(g)^{-1} \in G_1(k)$ . We have  $P_G^-(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k} \leq {}^{h_1}\bar{Q}_{\eta_1}$  and  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k \subseteq {}^{h_1}\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_1}$ . Working with an arbitrary element  $i \in \tilde{I}_1$  such that we have  $(\eta_1, \eta_i) = (1, 6)$  and due to the circular aspect of either property 3.4.2 (a) or sequence (11), a similar argument shows that there exists  $h_2 \in G_1(k)$  such that  $P_G^-(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k} \leq {}^{h_2}\bar{Q}_6$  and  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k \subseteq {}^{h_2}\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta_i} = {}^{h_2}\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_6$ . Thus  $P_G^-(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k} \leq {}^{h_1}\bar{Q}_1 \cap {}^{h_2}\bar{Q}_6$  and  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k \subseteq {}^{h_1}\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1 \cap {}^{h_2}\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_6$ . Let  $h_3 \in G_1(k)$  be such that  ${}^{h_3}({}^{h_1}\bar{Q}_1 \cap {}^{h_2}\bar{Q}_6)$  contains a Borel subgroup of

$\overline{Q}_1 \cap \overline{Q}_6$ . From the property 2.3.1 (b) we get that  $^{h_3 h_1} \overline{Q}_1 = \overline{Q}_1$  and that  $^{h_3 h_2} \overline{Q}_6 = \overline{Q}_6$ . Thus  $h_3 h_1 \in \overline{Q}_1(k)$  and  $h_3 h_2 \in \overline{Q}_6(k)$ . But we can replace  $h_1$  (resp.  $h_2$ ) by an arbitrary element of  $h_1 \overline{Q}_1(k)$  (resp. of  $h_2 \overline{Q}_6(k)$ ). Thus we can assume that  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3^{-1}$  and therefore we have  $^{h_1} \overline{n}_1 \cap ^{h_2} \overline{n}_6 = ^{h_1} (\overline{n}_1 \cap \overline{n}_6)$ . Thus  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^-(g)) \leq \dim_k(^{h_1} (\overline{n}_1 \cap \overline{n}_6)) = 8$ . Therefore the inequality (9) holds if  $\mathcal{X} = E_6$  and  $|\mathcal{E}| = 2$ .  $\square$

For a later use we mention that if  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^-(g)) = 8$ , then we have an identity  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k = ^{h_1} (\overline{n}_1 \cap \overline{n}_6)$ .

**3.5.4. Proof of (9).** We now prove inequality (9) in three Steps.

**Step 1.** If  $\mathcal{E} = \{x\}$ , then inequality (9) holds as  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^-(g)) = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^+(g)) \leq \text{rk}_{W(k)}(\mathbf{u}_x) = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(\mathbf{n}_x)$  (cf. Lemma 3.4.15 for the inequality part).

**Step 2.** We assume that  $\mathcal{E} = \{x, y\}$  has two elements. If  $\mathcal{X} = E_6$ , then the inequality (9) holds (cf. end of Subsection 3.5.3). Let now  $\mathcal{X} \neq E_6$ . Each sequence (11) that “computes”  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  has a subsequence  $\Pi_x ^h \Pi_y$  with  $h \in G_1(k)$ . Therefore we have  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^-(g)) \leq \dim_k(\Pi_x (^h \overline{n}_y)) \leq \dim_k(\overline{n}_x \cap \overline{n}_y)$  (cf. Theorem 3.5.2 for the last inequality). Thus inequality (9) holds.

**Step 3.** We assume that  $|\mathcal{E}| \geq 3$ . Using repeatedly properties (12), (13), and (14), we get that there exists a sequence (11) that “computes”  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  and that has a subsequence:

- of the form  $\Pi_{x_{|\mathcal{E}|}} ^h \Pi_{x_1}$  if we are in the case (a) and  $x_1$  and  $x_{|\mathcal{E}|}$  are as in Subsection 3.4.8, or
- of the form  $\Pi_\ell ^{\tilde{h}} \Pi_{\ell-1} ^h \Pi_1$  if we are in the case (d) and  $\mathcal{E} = \{1, \ell-1, \ell\}$ .

In the case (a), as in Step 2 we argue that  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^-(g)) \leq \dim_k(\Pi_{x_{|\mathcal{E}|}} ^h \Pi_{x_1}) \leq \dim_k(\overline{n}_{x_{|\mathcal{E}|}} \cap \overline{n}_{x_1}) = \dim_k(\cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbf{n}_x)$ ; thus the inequality (9) holds.

We now assume we are in the case (d) with  $\mathcal{E} = \{1, \ell-1, \ell\}$ . We check that

$$(18) \quad \dim_k(\text{Im}(\Pi_\ell ^{\tilde{h}} \Pi_{\ell-1} ^h \Pi_1)) \leq \ell - 2 = \dim_k(\overline{r}_1 \cap \overline{r}_{\ell-1} \cap \overline{r}_\ell)$$

and that if equality holds in (18), then  $\overline{p}_\ell \cap ^{\tilde{h}} \overline{p}_{\ell-1} \cap ^h \overline{p}_1$  contains the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$ . We can assume that  $h \in G_1(k)$  is the identity element, cf. Case 3 of Theorem 3.5.2. We can also assume that  $\tilde{h}$  is a Weyl element (the proof of this is the same as the proof of property (12), the role of the projector  $\Pi_y$  being replaced by the one of the new projector  $\Pi_{\ell-1} \Pi_1$ ). Thus  $\text{Im}(\Pi_\ell ^{\tilde{h}} \Pi_{\ell-1} ^h \Pi_1) = \overline{n}_\ell \cap ^{\tilde{h}} (\overline{n}_{\ell-1} \cap \overline{n}_1)$ . We use the notations of Cases 2 and 3 of Theorem 3.5.2. If  $\tilde{h}(\varepsilon_1) \in \{-\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_\ell\}$ , then  $\overline{n}_\ell \cap ^{\tilde{h}} (\overline{n}_{\ell-1} \cap \overline{n}_1) = 0$ . If  $\tilde{h}(\varepsilon_1) \in \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell\}$ , then  $\dim_k(\overline{n}_\ell \cap ^{\tilde{h}} (\overline{n}_{\ell-1} \cap \overline{n}_1))$  is the number  $\tilde{s}$  of elements of the set  $\{-\varepsilon_2, \dots, -\varepsilon_{\ell-1}, \varepsilon_\ell\}$  sent by the element of  $W_{D_\ell}$  defined by  $\tilde{h}$  to the set  $\{-\varepsilon_1, \dots, -\varepsilon_{\ell-1}, -\varepsilon_\ell\}$ . As in the Case 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.5.2, the structure of  $W_{D_\ell}$  implies that  $\tilde{s} \leq \ell - 2$ . Therefore (18) holds. Thus  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^-(g)) \leq \dim_k(\text{Im}(\Pi_\ell ^{\tilde{h}} \Pi_{\ell-1} ^h \Pi_1)) \leq \dim_k(\overline{r}_1 \cap \overline{r}_{\ell-1} \cap \overline{r}_\ell)$ . Therefore the inequality (9) holds.

To check the part on the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$ , we can assume that the equality holds in (18) and thus that  $\tilde{h}(\varepsilon_1) \in \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell\}$  and  $\tilde{s} = \ell - 2$ . If  $\tilde{h}(\varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_\ell$ ,

then  $\tilde{h}$  normalizes  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_\ell$ . If  $\tilde{h}(\varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_s$  with  $s \in S(1, \ell - 1)$ , then as  $\tilde{s} = \ell - 2$  there exists a number  $s_0 \in S(1, \ell)$  such that  $\tilde{h}(\varepsilon_\ell) = -\varepsilon_{s_0}$ . Let  $\tilde{h}_2 \in G_1(k)$  be a Weyl element such that we have  $\tilde{h}_2(\varepsilon_\ell) = -\varepsilon_{s_0}$  and  $\tilde{h}_2(\varepsilon_i) = \varepsilon_i$  for all  $i \in S(1, \ell - 1) \setminus \{s_0\}$ . Then  $\tilde{h}_1 := \tilde{h}\tilde{h}_2^{-1}$  (resp.  $\tilde{h}_2$ ) normalizes  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_\ell$  (resp.  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\ell-1} + \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$  and therefore also  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1$ ). Thus, if the equality holds in (18), then  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_\ell \cap \tilde{h}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1)$  contains a Borel Lie subalgebra of  $\text{Lie}(G_{1,k})$ .

This ends the proofs of inequalities (18) and (9). It also ends the proof of the property 3.3 (a).  $\square$

### 3.6. Formulas for group normalizers

See Lemma 3.4.15 and Corollary 3.4.16 for the meanings of  $W_1^+(g)$ ,  $\tilde{P}_1^+(g)$ , and  $\bar{S}_1^+(g)$ . In Subsection 3.6.1 we recall some known facts that are needed in Theorem 3.6.2 to prove a key result on  $\bar{S}_1^+(g)$ . See Corollaries 3.6.3 and 3.6.4 for two applications of Theorem 3.6.2 that describe the intersections  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1$  and  $P_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1$  and that present as well a variant of Theorem 3.6.2. Let the sets  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$  be as in Subsection 3.4.8.

**3.6.1. Chevalley rule.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_1$  with  $\alpha \neq -\beta$ . If  $\alpha + \beta \notin \Phi_1$  let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} := 0$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha+\beta} := 0$ . We have (cf. Chevalley rule of [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXIII, Cor. 6.5]):

(a) We always have inclusions  $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$  and  $[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta] \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha+\beta}$ . These inclusions are identities if  $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi_1$  and if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  generate an  $A_2$  root subsystem of  $\Phi_1$ . Moreover we always have an identity  $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha[\frac{1}{p}], \mathfrak{g}_\beta[\frac{1}{p}]] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}[\frac{1}{p}]$ .

In what follows we will apply the property (a) in the following concrete context:

(b) If  $|\mathcal{E}| \geq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{X} \in \{A_\ell | \ell \geq 2\} \cup \{D_\ell | \ell \geq 4\} \cup \{E_6\}$  and thus all roots of  $\Phi_1$  have equal length. Thus, if  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Phi_1$ , then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  generate an  $A_2$  root subsystem of  $\Phi_1$  and therefore (cf. property (a)) we have identities  $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$  and  $[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta] = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha+\beta}$ .

(c) Let  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  be two  $B(k)$ -vector subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$  that are opposite with respect to  $T_{B(k)}$ . It is easy to see that the last part of the property (a) implies that  $[\mathfrak{s}_1, \mathfrak{s}_1] = 0$  if and only if  $[\mathfrak{s}_2, \mathfrak{s}_2] = 0$ .

**3.6.2. Theorem.** *We assume that (5) holds, that  $G$  is split, adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , and that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ . We also assume that  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$ . Then there exists an element  $h \in \bar{P}_{\eta_1}(k)$  such that we have  $W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k =^h (\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x)$ . Moreover the reduced scheme  $\bar{S}_1^+(g)$  of the normalizer  $\tilde{P}_1^+(g)_k$  of  $W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  in  $G_{1,k}$ , is the parabolic subgroup  ${}^h(\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{P}_x)$  of  $G_{1,k}$ .<sup>1</sup>*

*Proof:* We check that if  $\bar{S}_1^+(g) = {}^h(\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{P}_x)$ , then  $h \in \bar{P}_{\eta_1}(k)$ . The group  ${}^h(\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{P}_x)$  contains a Borel subgroup of  $\bar{P}_{\eta_1}$ , cf. Lemma 3.4.17. Let  $\tilde{h} \in \bar{P}_{\eta_1}(k)$  be such that  $B_{1,k} \leq \tilde{h}{}^h(\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{P}_x)$ . We have  $\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{P}_x = \tilde{h}{}^h(\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{P}_x)$ , cf. property 2.3.1 (b). Thus  $\tilde{h}h \in (\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{P}_x)(k) \leq \bar{P}_{\eta_1}(k)$ . Therefore  $h \in \bar{P}_{\eta_1}(k)$ . Thus, once we show the existence of  $h$ , to check the last statement of the Theorem (on  $\bar{S}_1^+(g)$ ) we can assume that  $h$  is the

---

<sup>1</sup> It is not difficult to check that  $\tilde{P}_1^+(g)_k$  is  ${}^h(\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{P}_x)$ , except when  $p = 2$  and  $\mathcal{X} = B_1$ .

identity element. As  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$ , we have  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^-(g)) = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(\cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{n}_x)$  (cf. Subsections 3.4.12 and 3.4.13). Thus we also have  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^+(g)) = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(\cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{u}_x)$ , cf. Subsection 3.4.14. We consider four Cases.

**Case 1:**  $\mathcal{E} = \{x\}$ . Thus  $\eta_1 = x$ . We have  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^+(g)) = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{u}_x)$ . Therefore  $W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k = \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x$  (cf. Lemma 3.4.15) and thus the element  $h$  exists and we have  $\bar{P}_x \leq \bar{S}_1^+(g) \leq \tilde{P}_1^+(g)_k$ . We have  $\bar{S}_1^+(g)(\bar{k}) = \bar{P}_x(\bar{k})$ , cf. Lemma 2.3.4 applied over  $\bar{k}$ . Thus  $\bar{S}_1^+(g) = \bar{P}_x$ .

**Case 2:**  $\mathcal{E} = \{x, y\}$ . We have  $\text{rk}_{W(k)}(W_1^+(g)) = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{u}_y \cap \mathfrak{u}_x)$ . There exists an element  $\tilde{h} \in G_1(k)$  such that  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  is included in a  $G_1(k)$ -conjugate of  $\tilde{h}\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x$  (see beginning of Subsection 3.5). If  $\mathcal{X} \neq E_6$ , then by reasons of ranks we can assume that  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  is  $\tilde{h}\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x$  and that  $\tilde{h}$  is a Weyl element such that  $\tilde{h}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_x$  contains the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$  (cf. Theorem 3.5.2). Up to  $\bar{P}_x(k)$ -conjugation we can assume that this Borel subgroup is  $B_{1,k}$  and thus we have  $\tilde{h}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_y = \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_y$  (cf. property 2.3.1 (b) and Proposition 2.3.3); as  $\tilde{h}$  is a Weyl element, we also get that  $\tilde{h}\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x$ . Thus we have  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k = {}^{h^-}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y)$  for some element  $h^- \in G_1(k)$ . This last property also holds for  $\mathcal{X} = E_6$ , cf. end of Subsection 3.5.3. The pairs  $(P_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}, W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k)$  and  $(P_G^-(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k}, W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k)$  are quasi-opposite, cf. Lemma 3.4.18. The pairs  $(\bar{P}_y \cap \bar{P}_x, \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x)$  and  $(\bar{Q}_y \cap \bar{Q}_x, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x)$  are opposite with respect to  $T_{1,k}$ . As  $W_1^-(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k = {}^{h^-}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_y)$ , there exists an element  $h := h^+ \in G_1(k)$  such that we have  $W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k = {}^h(\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_y)$  (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (h)).

We are left to show that the reduced normalizer  $\bar{P}_{x,y}$  of  $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_y$  in  $G_{1,k}$  is  $\bar{P}_x \cap \bar{P}_y$ . To fix the ideas, we can assume that  $x < y$ . As  $\bar{P}_x$  and  $\bar{P}_y$  normalize  $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_y$  (respectively), we have  $\bar{P}_x \cap \bar{P}_y \leq \bar{P}_{x,y}$ . Thus  $\bar{P}_{x,y}$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $G_{1,k}$ . From the structure of parabolic subgroups of  $G_{1,\bar{k}}$  (see [Bo, Ch. IV, Prop. 14.22 (ii)]), we get that the only parabolic subgroups of  $G_{1,k}$  that contain  $\bar{P}_x \cap \bar{P}_y$  are  $\bar{P}_x \cap \bar{P}_y$ ,  $\bar{P}_x$ ,  $\bar{P}_y$ , and  $G_{1,k}$ .

We show that the assumption  $\bar{P}_{x,y} \neq \bar{P}_x \cap \bar{P}_y$  leads to a contradiction. This assumption implies that  $\text{Lie}(\bar{P}_{x,y})$  contains either  $\text{Lie}(\bar{P}_x)$  or  $\text{Lie}(\bar{P}_y)$  and thus it contains  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\alpha_0}$ , where  $\alpha_0 \in \{\alpha_x, \alpha_y\}$ . If  $\mathcal{X} = D_\ell$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \{\ell - 1, \ell\}$ , let  $\alpha_{x,y} = \alpha_{\ell-2} + \alpha_{\ell-1} + \alpha_\ell$ ; otherwise, let  $\alpha_{x,y} := \sum_{s=x}^y \alpha_s$ . We have  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha_{x,y}} \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_y$ . Let  $\beta := \alpha_{x,y} - \alpha_0 \in \Phi_1^+$ . We have  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta \not\subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_y$ . From the property 3.6.1 (b) we get that  $[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha_{x,y}}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\alpha_0}] = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta$ . The last two sentences imply that  $\text{Lie}(\bar{P}_{x,y})$  does not normalize  $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_x \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_y$ . Contradiction. Thus  $\bar{P}_{x,y} = \bar{P}_x \cap \bar{P}_y$ .

**Case 3:**  $|\mathcal{E}| \geq 3$  and  $\mathcal{X} = A_\ell$ . This Case follows from Case 2, cf. Step 3 of Subsection 3.5.4.

**Case 4:**  $|\mathcal{E}| = 3$  and  $\mathcal{X} = D_\ell$ . Based on Step 3 of Subsection 3.5.4, as in Case 2 we argue that  $W_1^+(g) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  is  ${}^h(\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_1 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_\ell)$ , where  $h \in G_1(k)$ . We are left to show that the reduced normalizer  $\bar{P}_{1,\ell-1,\ell}$  of  $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}_1 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_\ell$  in  $G_{1,k}$  is  $\bar{P}_1 \cap \bar{P}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{P}_\ell$ . As in Case 2 we argue that  $\bar{P}_1 \cap \bar{P}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{P}_\ell \leq \bar{P}_{1,\ell-1,\ell}$  and thus that  $\bar{P}_{1,\ell-1,\ell}$  is one of the following eight parabolic subgroups of  $G_{1,k}$ :  $\bar{P}_1 \cap \bar{P}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{P}_\ell$ ,  $\bar{P}_1 \cap \bar{P}_{\ell-1}$ ,  $\bar{P}_1 \cap \bar{P}_\ell$ ,  $\bar{P}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{P}_\ell$ ,  $\bar{P}_1$ ,  $\bar{P}_{\ell-1}$ ,  $\bar{P}_\ell$ ,



and  $G_{1,k}$ . We show that the assumption  $\bar{P}_{1,\ell-1,\ell} \neq \bar{P}_1 \cap \bar{P}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{P}_\ell$  leads to a contradiction. This assumption implies that  $\text{Lie}(\bar{P}_{1,\ell-1,\ell})$  contains either  $\text{Lie}(\bar{P}_1 \cap \bar{P}_{\ell-1})$  or  $\text{Lie}(\bar{P}_1 \cap \bar{P}_\ell)$  or  $\text{Lie}(\bar{P}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{P}_\ell)$ . Thus  $\text{Lie}(\bar{P}_{1,\ell-1,\ell})$  contains  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\alpha_0}$ , where  $\alpha_0 \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_\ell\}$ . Let  $\alpha_{1,\ell-1,\ell} := \sum_{s=1}^\ell \alpha_s$ . As  $\beta := \alpha_{1,\ell-1,\ell} - \alpha_0 \in \Phi_1^+$  and as  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta \not\subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_1 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{u}}_\ell$ , as in Case 2 we reach a contradiction. Thus  $\bar{P}_{1,\ell-1,\ell} = \bar{P}_1 \cap \bar{P}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{P}_\ell$ .  $\square$

We now list two Corollaries of Theorem 3.6.2.

**3.6.3. Corollary.** *We assume that (5) holds, that  $G$  is split, adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , and that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ . We have*

$$P_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1 = \cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} P_x \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1 = \cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} P_x.$$

*Thus the nilpotent classes of  $U_G^+(\varphi)$  and  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  are  $|\mathcal{E}|$  and  $|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|$  (respectively). Moreover*

$$P_G^-(\varphi) \cap G_1 = \cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} Q_x \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi) \cap G_1 = \cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} Q_x$$

*and the nilpotent classes of  $U_G^-(\varphi)$  and  $\tilde{U}_G^-(\varphi)$  are  $|\mathcal{E}|$  and  $|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|$  (respectively).*

*Proof:* We will check here only the + part, as the - part will implicitly follow from the + part via oppositions with respect to  $T_1$  and  $T$ . From (7) we get the following identity  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1) = \cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{p}_x$ . Thus  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1) = \text{Lie}(\cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} P_x)$ . Therefore the identity  $P_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1 = \cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} P_x$  follows from Lemma 2.3.6.

From Theorem 3.6.2 applied with  $g = 1_M$  and  $h = 1_{M/pM}$ , we get that the special fibres of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1$  and  $\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} P_x$  coincide. As these two parabolic subgroup schemes of  $G_1$  contain  $T_1$ , they coincide (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (c)).

For the part on nilpotent classes, it is enough (cf. property 3.4.2 (a)) to compute the nilpotent classes of the Lie algebras of the unipotent radicals  $U_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $U_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}$  of the intersections  $\cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} P_x$  and  $\cap_{x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}} P_x$  (respectively). But  $\text{Lie}(U_{\mathcal{E}})$  (resp.  $\text{Lie}(U_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}})$ ) is the direct sum of those  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  that correspond to roots  $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$  that contain at least one of the roots  $\alpha_x$  with  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  (resp. with  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ ) in their expressions. Thus the nilpotent class of  $\text{Lie}(U_{\mathcal{E}})$  (resp. of  $\text{Lie}(U_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}})$ ) is  $|\mathcal{E}|$  (resp.  $|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|$ ), as one can easily check based on the property 3.6.1 (a).  $\square$

**3.6.4. Corollary.** *We assume that (5) holds, that  $G$  is split, adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , and that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ . Then for each element  $i \in I$  the reduced normalizer of  $(W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} k) \cap \text{Lie}(G_{i,k})$  in  $G_{i,k}$  is  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}$ .*

*Proof:* We have  $(W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} k) \cap \text{Lie}(G_{1,k}) = \cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_x$ . Thus by interchanging in the proof of Theorem 3.6.2 the roles of positive and negative Newton polygon slopes, we get that the reduced normalizer of  $(W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} k) \cap \text{Lie}(G_{1,k})$  in  $G_{1,k}$  is  $\cap_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \bar{Q}_x$ . From this and the expression of  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi) \cap G_1$  in Corollary 3.6.3, we get that the Corollary holds for  $i = 1$ . Let  $\bar{\sigma}_\varphi$  be as in the second paragraph of Section 3.5. As  $\bar{\sigma}_\varphi$  normalizes both  $W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  and (cf. end of Subsection 3.4.2)  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k)$ , the normalizer of  $(W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} k) \cap \text{Lie}(G_{i,k})$  in  $G_{i,k}$  is  $\bar{\sigma}_\varphi^{i-1}(\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k})\bar{\sigma}_\varphi^{1-i}$ . We know that  $\bar{\sigma}_\varphi^{i-1}(\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k})\bar{\sigma}_\varphi^{1-i}$  and  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}$  have equal Lie algebras (cf. last paragraph of

Subsection 3.4.2) and thus from Proposition 2.3.3 we get that  $\bar{\sigma}_\varphi^{i-1}(\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k \cap G_{1,k})\bar{\sigma}_\varphi^{1-i} = \tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}$ . The Corollary follows from the last two sentences.  $\square$

### 3.7. The proof of the property 3.3 (b)

We come back to Section 3.3. Thus the reductive group scheme  $G$  is quasi-split but it is not necessarily split or adjoint. Moreover, the permutation  $\Gamma$  does not necessarily act transitively on  $I$ . The proof of the property 3.3 (b) requires first a Theorem.

**3.7.1. Theorem.** *We recall that (5) holds. Let  $g \in \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$ . We write  $g = ur$ , where  $u \in \tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$  and  $r \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$ . Then the following six properties hold:*

- (a) *The triples  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  and  $(M, r\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  are Shimura  $p$ -divisible objects.*
- (b) *The group scheme  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  is a closed subgroup scheme of  $U_G^+(g\varphi)$ .*
- (c) *There exists an element  $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$  such that we have  $\tilde{u}g\varphi\tilde{u}^{-1} = r\varphi$ .*
- (d) *We have  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) = \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ .*
- (e) *We have  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$ .*
- (f) *The triple  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary if and only if  $(M, r\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is Sh-ordinary.*

*Proof:* As  $\varphi$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  and  $\mathfrak{t}$ , it also normalizes  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Therefore (a) is implied by the fact that the Hodge cocharacter  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  factors through  $T$  and thus also through  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$ .

To prove (b), (d), and (e) we can assume that  $G$  is split, adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , and that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ . As  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  is a unipotent, smooth group scheme, there exist a non-negative integer  $v$  and a unique ideal filtration

$$0 = \mathfrak{i}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{i}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{i}_v = \text{Lie}(\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi))$$

of  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi))$  such that by induction on  $j \in S(0, v-1)$  we have that the ideal  $\mathfrak{i}_{j+1}$  of  $\mathfrak{i}_v$  is maximal with the properties that it is normalized by  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$ , that it contains  $\mathfrak{i}_j$ , and that moreover  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{i}_{j+1}/\mathfrak{i}_j$ . As  $\mathfrak{i}_v$  is normalized by  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$  and left invariant by  $\varphi$ , this ideal filtration is also normalized by  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$  and left invariant by  $\varphi$ . Thus this filtration is also left invariant by  $g\varphi$ . To check (b) it suffices to show that  $\mathfrak{i}_v \subseteq \text{Lie}(U_G^+(g\varphi))$ , cf. Lemma 2.3.6. But we have  $\mathfrak{i}_v \subseteq \text{Lie}(U_G^+(g\varphi))$  if and only if all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{i}_v, g\varphi)$  are positive, cf. formula for  $\text{Lie}(U_G^+(g\varphi))$  in Subsection 2.6.2. As for  $j \in S(0, v-1)$ , the natural actions of  $g\varphi$  and  $r\varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{i}_{j+1}/\mathfrak{i}_j$  coincide, to check (b) we can assume that  $g = r \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$  (and accordingly we can forget about the mentioned ideal filtration). As  $g = r \in \tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)(W(k))$ , it normalizes  $W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) = W_{-\delta}(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$  (cf. Subsection 2.6.6). Thus  $g\Psi$  is a  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism of  $W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ . Therefore  $W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) = W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, g\Psi) \supseteq W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, \Psi) = W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$  and thus from the property 3.3 (a) we get by reasons of ranks that in fact

$$W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) = W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, g\Psi) = W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, \Psi) = W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi).$$

Thus  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$ , cf. Subsection 3.4.12. We consider a split maximal torus  $\tilde{T}_{0B(k)}$  of  $L_{\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)}^0(g\varphi)_{B(k)}$  (as  $g = r$ , this group makes sense due to (a)). As we have

$W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) = W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ , we get (via formula (3b) applied with  $(\mathfrak{h}, H_{B(k)}, T_{B(k)}) = (\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], G_{B(k)}, \tilde{T}_{0B(k)})$  and with  $\phi \in \{g\varphi, \varphi\}$ ) that we also have  $W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)[\frac{1}{p}] = W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Thus  $W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi) = W(\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$  and therefore  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) = \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ . Thus  $\tilde{U}_G^+(g\varphi) = \tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  is a closed subgroup scheme of the unipotent radical  $U_G^+(g\varphi)$  (resp.  $U_G^+(\varphi)$ ) of the parabolic subgroup scheme  $P_G^+(g\varphi)$  (resp.  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ ) of  $G$  contained in  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi) = \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ , cf. Proposition 2.3.3 applied over  $B(k)$ . Thus (b) holds; moreover, (d) and (e) also hold if  $g = r$ .

We check that (c) holds without any additional assumption on either  $G$  or  $g$ . Let  $u_1 := u$ . For  $s \in \mathbf{N}$  we inductively define (cf. Lemma 2.4.6)

$$u_{s+1} := (r\varphi)u_s(r\varphi)^{-1} \in G(B(k)).$$

We have  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi) \leq U_G^+(\varphi) \leq P_G^+(\varphi) \leq P$  (see Subsection 3.4.3 for the last inclusion).

We check by induction on  $s \in \mathbf{N}$  that we have  $u_s \in \tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k)) \leq P(W(k)) \leq \mathbf{L}$ . The case  $s = 1$  is obvious and the passage from  $s - 1 \geq 1$  to  $s \geq 2$  goes as follows. As  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  is a closed subgroup scheme of  $P$  and as  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi))[\frac{1}{p}]$  is normalized by  $r\varphi$ , from Subsection 2.4.7 applied with  $r\varphi$  instead of  $\varphi$  we get that  $r\varphi\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}(r\varphi)^{-1} = \tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$ . Thus, as  $u_{s-1} \in \tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)(B(k))$  we have  $u_s \in \tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)(B(k)) \leq P(B(k))$ . But as  $u_{s-1} \in \mathbf{L}$ , we have  $u_s \in G(W(k))$  (cf. Fact 3.1.6). Thus  $u_s \in \tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k)) \leq P(W(k)) \leq \mathbf{L}$ . This ends the induction.

All Newton polygon slopes of  $(\text{Lie}(\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)), r\varphi)$  are positive, cf. proof of (b). Thus the sequence  $(u_s)_{s \in \mathbf{N}}$  converges to  $1_M$  in the  $p$ -adic topology of  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$ . Therefore the element  $\tilde{u} := (\prod_{s \in \mathbf{N}} u_s)^{-1} \in \tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$  is well defined. We compute

$$(19) \quad \tilde{u}g\varphi\tilde{u}^{-1}(r\varphi)^{-1} = \cdots u_3^{-1}u_2^{-1}u_1^{-1}u(r\varphi)u_1u_2u_3 \cdots (r\varphi)^{-1} \\ = \cdots u_3^{-1}u_2^{-1}u_1^{-1}u(r\varphi)u_1(r\varphi)^{-1}(r\varphi)u_2(r\varphi)^{-1}(r\varphi)u_3 \cdots = \cdots u_3^{-1}u_2^{-1}u_1^{-1}u_1u_2u_3 \cdots = 1_M.$$

Thus (c) holds. To check (d) and (e), we can assume that  $g = r$  (cf. (c)) and this case was already checked above. Therefore (d) and (e) also hold.

To prove (f) we can assume that  $g = r$  (cf. (c)) and that  $k = \bar{k}$  (cf. Fact 3.2.1 (a)). In this paragraph we check the “only if” part; thus  $(M, r\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary. As  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$  (see end of Subsection 3.2.3), it is the centralizer of  $Z^0(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  in  $G$  (see Subsection 2.3.13). As  $T \leq \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  (see end of Subsection 3.2.3), we also have  $Z^0(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)) \leq T$ . Thus  $\varphi$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(Z^0(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)))$  and therefore all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\text{Lie}(Z^0(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))), r\varphi)$  are 0. Thus every Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, r\varphi, G)$  that fixes all endomorphisms of  $(M, r\varphi, G)$ , commutes with  $Z^0(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  and therefore it is also a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, r\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$ . Let  $(F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  be the Sh-canonical lift of  $(M, r\varphi, G)$ . Each endomorphism of  $(M, r\varphi, G)$  is also an endomorphism of  $(M, (F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, r\varphi, G)$  (cf. either Subsection 1.3.7 or Subsection 3.1.8) and thus it is fixed by the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, (F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, r\varphi)$  (cf. the functorial aspects of [Wi, p. 513]). Thus this last Hodge cocharacter is also a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, r\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$ . Thus  $(M, (F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, r\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is a Shimura filtered  $p$ -divisible object. As  $P_{\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)}^+(r\varphi) \leq P_G^+(r\varphi)$  and as  $P_G^+(r\varphi)$  normalizes  $(F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$ , we

get that  $(M, (F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, r\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is an Sh-canonical lift. Therefore  $(M, r\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is Sh-ordinary.

In this paragraph we check the “if” part; thus  $(M, r\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is Sh-ordinary. Let  $(F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  be the Sh-canonical lift of  $(M, r\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$ . Let  $\tilde{l}_0 \in \mathbf{L} \cap \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$  be such that we have  $(F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)} = (\tilde{l}_0(F^i(M)))_{i \in S(a,b)}$ , cf. Corollary 3.1.4 applied to  $(M, r\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$ . As  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  is a subgroup scheme of  $P$  normalized by  $\tilde{l}_0$ , it normalizes  $(F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$ . The group scheme  $P_G^+(r\varphi)$  is contained in  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$  (cf. (d)) and contains  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  (cf. (b)). Thus  $P_G^+(r\varphi)$  is generated by  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  and by  $P_{\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)}^+(r\varphi) = \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi) \cap P_G^+(r\varphi)$ ; therefore  $P_G^+(r\varphi)$  normalizes  $(F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$ . Thus  $(M, (F_r^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, r\varphi, G)$  is an Sh-canonical lift. Therefore  $(M, r\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary. Thus (f) holds.  $\square$

**3.7.2. End of the proof of 3.3 (b).** To check the “if” part of the property 3.3 (b) we can assume that  $g \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$  and thus we have  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$ , cf. Theorem 3.7.1 (e).

We check the “only if” part of the property 3.3 (b). Let  $g \in G(W(k))$  be such that we have  $\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G)$ . In this paragraph we assume that  $G$  is split, adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , and that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$  and we use the notations of Section 3.4. From Theorem 3.6.2 (applied after shifting from the element  $1 \in I_1$  to an arbitrary element of  $I_1$ ) and from Corollary 3.6.3, we get that there exists an element  $\overline{g}_1 \in P(k)$  such that for all numbers  $i \in I_1$  we have  $\overline{g}_1^{-1}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k})\overline{g}_1 = \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}$ . From the property 3.4.2 (c) we get that for all numbers  $i \in I$ , the groups  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}$  and  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}$  are  $G_i(k)$ -conjugate. If  $i \in I \setminus I_1$ , then  $G_i(k) \leq P(k)$ . From the last three sentences we get that we can assume that the element  $\overline{g}_1 \in P(k)$  is such that for all numbers  $i \in I$  we have  $\overline{g}_1^{-1}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k})\overline{g}_1 = \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_k \cap G_{i,k}$ . Therefore  $\overline{g}_1^{-1}\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)_k\overline{g}_1 = \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_k$ .

The formation of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\ast)$  group schemes behaves well with respect to passages to adjoint group schemes (see Subsection 2.4.5), to extensions to a finite field extension  $k_1$  of  $k$ , and to cyclic decompositions of  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $\overline{g}_1$  exists after extension to a suitable  $k_1$  and therefore it always exists (cf. property 2.3.1 (c)) regardless of what  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  are. Let  $g_1 \in \mathbf{L}$  be such that it lifts  $\overline{g}_1$  and we have  $g_1^{-1}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi))g_1 = \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ , cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (e). We write  $g_1^{-1}g\varphi g_1 = r\varphi$ , where  $r \in G(W(k))$  (cf. Fact 3.1.6). As  $\varphi$  and  $r\varphi$  normalize  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi))[\frac{1}{p}]$ ,  $r$  also normalizes  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi))[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Thus  $r$  normalizes  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ , cf. Lemma 2.3.6; therefore we have  $r \in \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$ . Up to an inner isomorphism (i.e., up to a replacement of  $g_1$  with  $g_1\tilde{u}_1$ , where  $\tilde{u}_1 \in \tilde{U}_G^+(W(k)) \leq \mathbf{L}$ ) we can assume that  $r \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$ , cf. Theorem 3.7.1 (c). Thus  $g\varphi = g_1r\varphi g_1^{-1}$ . This ends the proof of the property 3.3 (b).

### 3.8. End of the proofs of Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2

In Subsections 3.8.1 to 3.8.4 we deal with the different implications required to prove Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2. Until Subsection 3.8.5 we will work in the context in which  $G$  is quasi-split,  $T$ , and  $B$  are as in Subsection 3.2.2, and (5) holds.

**3.8.1. Proof of 1.4.1 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (c).** We show that 1.4.1 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (c). Let  $g \in G(W(k))$  be such that  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary. We have to check that  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$ , cf. property 3.3 (a). For this we can assume that  $G$  is

split, adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ , and (see Subsection 2.4.5) that  $M = \mathfrak{g}$ . We can also assume that  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, g\varphi, G)$  is an Sh-canonical lift. Therefore  $P_G^+(g\varphi) \leq P$ . Thus we can choose  $B$  in Subsection 3.2.3 to be included in  $P_G^+(g\varphi)$ . As  $\varphi$  and  $g\varphi$  leave invariant  $\text{Lie}(B)$  and  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(g\varphi))$  (respectively), we have  $g\varphi(\text{Lie}(B_{B(k)})) = \text{Lie}(gB_{B(k)}g^{-1}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(P_G^+(g\varphi)_{B(k)})$ . Thus  $gB_{B(k)}g^{-1} \leq P_G^+(g\varphi)_{B(k)}$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6) and therefore  $gBg^{-1} \leq P_G^+(g\varphi)$ . The parabolic subgroups  $P_G^+(g\varphi)_{B(k)}$  and  $g^{-1}P_G^+(g\varphi)_{B(k)}g$  of  $G_{B(k)}$  contain  $B_{B(k)}$  and therefore (cf. property 2.3.1 (b)) they coincide. Thus  $P_G^+(g\varphi) = gP_G^+(g\varphi)g^{-1}$  and therefore  $g \in P_G^+(g\varphi)(W(k))$ . Thus the Newton polygons of  $(M, g\varphi)$  and  $(M, \varphi)$  coincide, cf. Lemma 3.3.1. Therefore  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$ , cf. Subsection 3.4.13 and the identity  $M = \mathfrak{g}$ . Thus 1.4.1 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (c).  $\square$

**3.8.2. Proof of 1.4.1 (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (a), part I: a specialization argument.** In this and the next Subsection we will prove by induction on  $\dim(G_k)$  that 1.4.1 (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (a). This equivalence holds if  $\dim(G_k) = 1$ , cf. Subsection 3.3.2. Let  $d \in \mathbf{N}$ . We assume that 1.4.1 (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (a) provided  $\dim(G_k) < d$ . We show that 1.4.1 (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (a) even if  $\dim(G_k) = d$ . Let  $\varphi(-a) := (p^{-a}1_M)\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ . The pair  $(M, \varphi(-a))$  is an  $F$ -crystal.

The estimates of [Ka2, 1.4.3 or 1.5] imply that there exists a positive integer  $s$  that depends only on  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $d_M$  and such that for all elements  $h \in G(W(k))$  the Newton polygon of  $(M, h\varphi(-a))$  is uniquely determined by the Hodge polygons of  $(M, (h\varphi(-a))^i)$ 's, with  $i \in S(1, s)$ . Let  $m := s(b - a + 1) \in \mathbf{N}$ . From the property 1.3.2 (a) we get that  $p^{b-a}M \subseteq h\varphi(-a)(M)$ . This implies that for each number  $i \in S(1, s)$ , the Hodge polygon of  $(M, (h\varphi(-a))^i)$  depends only on  $h$  modulo  $p^m$ . To prove that 1.4.1 (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (a) we can make extensions to an arbitrary perfect field  $k_1$  that contains  $k$ , cf. Fact 3.2.1 (a) and the fact that  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary. Thus until Subsection 3.8.4 we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$  and that there exists an element  $g_1 \in G(W(k))$  with the property that the Newton polygon  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $(M, g_1\varphi)$  is not strictly above any other Newton polygon of the form  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\tilde{k}), g_{\tilde{k}}(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{\tilde{k}}))$ , where  $\tilde{k}$  is a perfect field that contains  $k$  and where  $g_{\tilde{k}} \in G(W(\tilde{k}))$ .

Let  $Y$  be an open, affine subscheme of  $G$  through which  $g_1$  factors and such that there exists an étale morphism  $e_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{W(k)}^{\dim(G_k)}$  between affine  $W(k)$ -schemes. We write  $Y_k = \text{Spec}(S)$ . As  $G_k$  is a reductive group,  $S$  is an integral  $k$ -algebra. The existence of  $e_Y$  implies that there exists a morphism  $h_Y : \text{Spec}(W_m(S^{\text{perf}})) \rightarrow Y_{W_m(k)}$  of  $W_m(k)$ -schemes that lifts the canonical morphism  $\text{Spec}(S^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S)$  of  $k$ -schemes and such that there exists a Teichmüller lift  $T_{g_1; m} : \text{Spec}(W_m(k)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(W_m(S^{\text{perf}}))$  whose composite with  $h_Y$  is  $g_1$  modulo  $p^m$ . We identify  $h_Y \in Y(W_m(S^{\text{perf}})) \subseteq G(W_m(S^{\text{perf}}))$  with a  $W_m(S^{\text{perf}})$ -linear automorphism of  $M \otimes_{W(k)} W_m(S^{\text{perf}})$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{C}_{Y; m} := (M \otimes_{W(k)} W_m(S^{\text{perf}}), h_Y(\varphi(-a) \otimes \Phi_{S^{\text{perf}})}),$$

where we denote also by  $\varphi(-a) \otimes \Phi_{S^{\text{perf}}}$  its reduction modulo  $p^m$ . We denote by  $T_{g_2; m} : \text{Spec}(W_m(k)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(W_m(S^{\text{perf}}))$  every Teichmüller lift with the property that  $h_Y \circ T_{g_2; m}$  is the reduction modulo  $p^m$  of an (a priori given) element  $g_2 \in Y(W(k)) \subseteq G(W(k))$ .

The Newton and Hodge polygons go up under specializations. From this and the above part referring to [Ka2], we get that there exists an open, Zariski dense subscheme  $Y_k^o$  of the

integral scheme  $Y_k$  such that a Teichmüller lift  $T_{g_2;m} : \text{Spec}(W_m(k)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(W_m(S^{\text{perf}}))$  lifts a  $k$ -valued point of  $S^{\text{perf}}$  that maps (resp. that does not map) to  $Y_k^o(k)$  if and only if the Newton polygon of  $(M, g_2\varphi)$  is  $\mathfrak{N}$  (resp. is strictly above  $\mathfrak{N}$ ); to be compared with [Ka2, 2.3.1 and 2.3.2] (loc. cit. is stated in terms of  $F$ -crystals but its proof does not use the existence of suitable integrable, nilpotent modulo  $p$  connections). Thus for an arbitrary element  $g \in G(W(k))$ , we can choose  $g_1$  and  $Y$  such that we have  $g \in Y(W(k))$  and moreover  $g$  is not congruent to  $g_1$  modulo  $p$ . Based on the Chinese Remainder Theorem and on the existence of  $e_Y$ , we can also choose  $h_Y$  such that the Teichmüller lift  $T_{g;m} : \text{Spec}(W_m(k)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(W_m(S^{\text{perf}}))$  does make sense. As  $Y_k$  is an integral scheme,  $Y_k^o$  specializes to  $g$  modulo  $p$  and thus the Newton polygon of  $(M, g\varphi)$  is above  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

Let  $G_0$  be a factor of  $G^{\text{ad}}$  such that the simple factors of  $\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})$  are permuted transitively by  $\varphi$ . The restriction to  $\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})$  of the trace form on  $\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$  is non-degenerate, cf. [Bou1, Ch. I, §6, Prop. 1]. Thus we can speak about the perpendicular  $\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})^\perp$  of  $\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})$  in  $\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$  with respect to this trace form. Repeating the specialization process of the previous two paragraphs, we get that we can assume there exists  $g_{10} \in G(W(k))$  (resp.  $g_{10}^\perp \in G(W(k))$ ) such that for each element  $g \in G(W(k))$  the Newton polygon of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)}), g\varphi)$  (resp. of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})^\perp, g\varphi)$ ) is above the Newton polygon of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)}), g_{10}\varphi)$  (resp. of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})^\perp, g_{10}^\perp\varphi)$ ). We can assume that  $g_1$ ,  $g_{10}$ , and  $g_{10}^\perp$  modulo  $p$  define distinct  $k$ -valued points of  $Y$  (cf. the Zariski density in  $G_k$  of  $Y_k^o$  and of its analogues obtained working with  $g_{10}$  and  $g_{10}^\perp$  instead of with  $g_1$ ). We can choose  $h_Y$  (cf. Chinese Remainder Theorem) such that the Teichmüller lifts  $T_{g_{10};m}$  and  $T_{g_{10}^\perp;m}$  are also well defined. This implies that we can choose our  $g_1 \in G(W(k))$  such that the Newton polygon of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)}), g_1\varphi)$  (resp. of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})^\perp, g_1\varphi)$ ) is the Newton polygon of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)}), g_{10}\varphi)$  (resp. of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})^\perp, g_{10}^\perp\varphi)$ ).

**3.8.3. Proof of 1.4.1 (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (a), part II: end of the argument.** Let now  $g \in G(W(k))$  be such that the Newton polygon of  $(M, g\varphi)$  is  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Thus the Newton polygons of  $(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M), g\varphi)$  and  $(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M), g_1\varphi)$  coincide. But the Newton polygon of  $(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi)$  is formed by “putting together” the Newton polygons of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)}), g\varphi)$  and  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})^\perp, g\varphi)$ . Based on this and the last paragraph of Subsection 3.8.2, we conclude that the Newton polygons of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)}), g_1\varphi)$  and  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})^\perp, g_1\varphi)$  coincide with the Newton polygons of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)}), g\varphi)$  and  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})^\perp, g\varphi)$  (respectively). Thus the Newton polygon of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)}), g\varphi)$  is below the Newton polygon of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)}), \varphi)$ . Thus from Fact 3.4.11 and Subsection 3.4.13 we get that  $\mathbf{I}(\text{Lie}(G_0), \varphi, G_0) \leq \mathbf{I}(\text{Lie}(G_0), g\varphi, G_0)$ . As  $G_0$  is an arbitrary factor of  $G^{\text{ad}}$  with the property that the simple factors of  $\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k)})$  are permuted transitively by  $\varphi$ , (from the very definition of  $FSHW$  invariants) we get that  $\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G) \leq \mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G)$ . From this and the property 3.3 (a) we get that  $\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G)$ . Thus up to an inner isomorphism we can assume that  $g \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(\varphi)(W(k))$ , cf. property 3.3 (b). Let  $r_1 \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$ . The Newton polygon of  $(M, r_1\varphi)$  is above the Newton polygon  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $(M, g\varphi)$ . We check that we have a strict inequality  $\dim(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_k) < d$ . To check this we can assume that the hypotheses of Corollary 3.6.3 hold and in this case the strict inequality  $\dim(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_k) < d$  is a consequence of the fact (see Corollary 3.6.3) that  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \neq G$ . By our induction on  $\dim(G_k)$  we get that  $(M, g\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is Sh-ordinary and that the Newton

polygon  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $(M, g\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is the Newton polygon of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$ . Thus  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary (cf. Theorem 3.7.1 (f)) and the Newton polygon of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

Let now  $g \in G(W(k))$  be such that  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary. We show that the Newton polygon of  $(M, g\varphi)$  is  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Not to introduce extra notations, (up to inner isomorphisms) we can assume that  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  is also the Sh-canonical lift of  $(M, g\varphi, G)$ . Thus we have  $P_G^+(g\varphi) \leq P$  and we recall (cf. Subsection 3.2.3) that  $B$  is a Borel subgroup scheme of  $P$ . Let  $g_2 \in P(W(k))$  be such that we have  $B \leq g_2^{-1}P_G^+(g\varphi)g_2$ , cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (d). By replacing  $g\varphi$  with  $g_2^{-1}g\varphi g_2$  we can assume that  $g_2 = 1_M$ . Let  $B_2$  be the Borel subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(g\varphi)$  whose Lie algebra contains  $g\varphi(\text{Lie}(B))$  (to be compared with Subsection 3.2.3). Let  $h_2 \in P_G^+(g\varphi)(W(k))$  be such that we have  $h_2 B_2 h_2^{-1} = B$ . We get that  $h_2 g\varphi$  leaves invariant  $\text{Lie}(B)$ . Thus  $b_2 := h_2 g \in G(W(k))$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(B)[\frac{1}{p}]$  and therefore (cf. Lemma 2.3.6) it also normalizes  $B_{B(k)}$ ; thus we have  $b_2 \in B(W(k)) \leq P_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$ . We have  $P_G^+(b_2\varphi) = P_G^+(g\varphi)$ , cf. Lemma 3.3.1. Similarly, as  $P_G^+(\varphi) \leq P$  (see sentence before Subsection 3.4.4) and as  $b_2 \in P_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$ , we have  $P_G^+(b_2\varphi) = P_G^+(\varphi)$ . Therefore  $P_G^+(g\varphi) = P_G^+(\varphi)$ . using this, as for  $b_2$  we argue that  $g \in P_G^+(\varphi)(W(k)) \leq \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$ . Even more, up to an inner isomorphism defined by an element of  $U^+(\varphi)(W(k))$  we can assume that  $g \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$  (cf. Theorem 3.7.1 (b) and (c)). Thus  $(M, g\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is Sh-ordinary, cf. Theorem 3.7.1 (f). As  $\dim(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_k) < d$  (see previous paragraph), by induction we get that the Newton polygon of  $(M, g\varphi)$  is the same as of  $(M, \varphi)$  and therefore it is  $\mathfrak{N}$ , cf. the end of the previous paragraph.

We conclude that 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (b) if  $G$  quasi-split.  $\square$

**3.8.4. Proof of Theorem 1.4.2.** We check that if  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) = P_G^+(\varphi)$ , then 1.4.1 (c)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (a). Let  $g \in G(W(k))$  be such that we have  $\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G)$ . Let  $g_1 \in \mathbf{L}$  and  $r \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k)) \leq \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k)) = P_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$  be as in the property 3.3 (b); thus  $g\varphi = g_1 r \varphi g_1^{-1}$ . As  $g_1 \in \mathbf{L}$ ,  $(g_1(F^i(M)))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  is a lift of  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  (cf. Lemma 3.1.2). We have  $P_G^+(r\varphi) = P_G^+(\varphi)$ , cf. Lemma 3.3.1. Thus  $P_G^+(g\varphi) = g_1 P_G^+(\varphi) g_1^{-1} \leq g_1 P_G^+(\varphi) g_1^{-1}$ . Therefore  $(g_1(F^i(M)))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  is an Sh-canonical lift of  $(M, g\varphi, G)$ . Thus  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary. Therefore 1.4.1 (c)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (a).

In this and the next paragraph we check that if  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \neq P_G^+(\varphi)$ , then the statement 1.4.1 (c) does not imply the statement 1.4.1 (a). As  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \neq P_G^+(\varphi)$ ,  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  is not a subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ . Thus not all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)), \varphi)$  are non-negative and therefore  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  is not a subgroup scheme of  $P$ . Thus  $P \cap \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi) \neq \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  and moreover  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  is not a torus (i.e., it is not  $T$ ). As  $P \cap \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi) \neq \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$ , we can assume that  $P \cap \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi) \cap G_1 \neq \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi) \cap G_1$ . For every direct factor  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0$  of  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)^{\text{ad}}$  such that  $\varphi$  permutes transitively the simple factors of  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0)[\frac{1}{p}]$ , we have  $\mathbf{I}(\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0), \varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0) > 0$  (cf. Subsection 3.2.2 as well as Subsections 3.4.12 and 3.4.13 applied to  $(\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0), \varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0)$ ). Let  $w \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$  be such that it normalizes  $T$  and  $w(B \cap \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))w^{-1}$  is the opposite of  $B \cap \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  with respect to  $T$ , cf. property 2.3.8 (d). We check that the fact that  $P \cap \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi) \neq \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  implies that  $(M, w\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is not Sh-ordinary. We choose  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0$  such that it contains a simple factor of  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)^{\text{ad}}$  in which  $\mu$  has a non-trivial image. It is easy to see that the choices of  $w$  and  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0$  imply that  $\mathbf{I}(\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0), w\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0) = 0$ . Not to introduce extra notations, we will detail here

the analogue of this last equality for the context of  $(M, w\varphi, G)$  itself; thus  $wBw^{-1}$  is the opposite of  $B$  with respect to  $T$ . We can assume that  $G$  is split, adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ , and (to fix notations) that  $\mu$  has a non-trivial image in  $[G_1 \cap \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0]^{\text{ad}}$ . The choice of  $w$  implies that that we have  $(w\Psi)^n(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subseteq p\mathfrak{g}_1 + \mathfrak{b}_1$ , cf. formulas (6a) and (6b) and the equality  $(w\Psi)^n = p^{n\delta}(w\varphi)^n$  (see Subsection 3.4.13; see also Subsection 3.4.3 for our standard notations). Thus  $(w\Psi)^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subseteq p\mathfrak{g}_2$  and this implies  $(w\Psi)^{2n}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq p\mathfrak{g}$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{I}(M, w\varphi, G) = 0$ .

As  $\mathbf{I}(\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0), w\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0) = 0 < \mathbf{I}(\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0), \varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0)$  and as 1.4.1 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (c), the triple  $(\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0), w\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_0)$  is not Sh-ordinary; therefore the triple  $(\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)^{\text{ad}}), w\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)^{\text{ad}})$  is also not Sh-ordinary. Thus  $(M, w\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is not Sh-ordinary, cf. Corollary 3.1.5. Therefore  $(M, w\varphi, G)$  is not Sh-ordinary (cf. Theorem 3.7.1 (f)) but we have  $\mathbf{I}(M, w\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$  (cf. Theorem 3.7.1 (e)). Thus 1.4.1 (c) does not imply 1.4.1 (a) if  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \neq P_G^+(\varphi)$ .

To end the proof of Theorem 1.4.2 we only have to show that we have  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) = P_G^+(\varphi)$  if and only if for each element  $i \in I$  such that the simple factors of  $G_{i, W(\bar{k})}$  are  $\mathbf{PGL}_{\ell+1}$  group schemes with  $\ell \geq 3$  and for every element  $h_0 \in G(W(\bar{k}))$  such that  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), h_0(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}), G_{W(\bar{k})})$  is Sh-ordinary, the image of the unipotent radical of  $P_{G_{W(\bar{k})}}^+(h_0(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{\bar{k}}))$  in  $G_{i, W(\bar{k})}$  is of nilpotent class at most 2. To prove this equivalence we can assume that  $G$  is split, adjoint (cf. Corollary 3.1.5), that  $I = S(1, n)$ , and that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ .

We first check the “if” part. Thus we first assume that the long statement on an at most 2 nilpotent class holds. By taking  $h_0 = 1_M$ , from the nilpotent class part of Corollary 3.6.3 we get that in the case (a) (of Subsection 3.4.6) we have  $|\mathcal{E}| \leq 2$ . Thus for all cases (of Subsection 3.4.6) we have  $\mathcal{E} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1 = P_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1$ , cf. Corollary 3.6.3. Thus  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) = P_G^+(\varphi)$ , cf. property 3.4.2 (b) (applied with  $g = 1_M$ ). To check the “only if” part we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . We assume that  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) = P_G^+(\varphi)$ . Let  $h_0 \in G(W(k))$  be such that  $(M, h_0\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary. Based on the implication 1.4.1 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (c) and on the property 3.3 (a), we have  $\mathbf{I}(M, h_0\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G)$ . Thus up to an inner isomorphism we can assume that  $h_0 \in \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)(W(k)) = P_G^+(\varphi)(W(k))$ , cf. property 3.3 (b). Therefore  $P_G^+(h_0\varphi) = P_G^+(\varphi) = \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$  (cf. Lemma 3.3.1 for the first equality) and thus the long statement on an at most 2 nilpotent class follows from Corollary 3.6.3 and the definitions of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$  in Subsection 3.4.8. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.4.2.  $\square$

**3.8.5. The non quasi-split case.** We know that Theorem 1.4.1 holds if  $G$  is quasi-split, cf. Subsections 3.8.1 and 3.8.3. We now show that Theorem 1.4.1 holds even if  $G$  is not quasi-split. Thus  $G_k$  is not quasi-split (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (a)) and therefore the field  $k$  is infinite (cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Prop. 16.6]). Let  $k_1$  be a Galois extension of  $k$  such that  $G_{k_1}$  is split. As Theorem 1.4.1 holds in the context of  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), h(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{k_1}), G_{W(k_1)})$ 's with  $h \in G(W(k_1))$ , to prove Theorem 1.4.1 we only have to show that there exists an element  $g \in G(W(k))$  such that  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary. As in Subsection 3.8.2, we check this by induction on  $\dim(G_k)$ .

The case  $\dim(G_k) = 1$  is trivial (cf. Subsection 3.3.2) and the inductive step goes as follows. As the perfect field  $k$  is infinite, the set  $G(k)$  is Zariski dense in  $G_k$  (cf. [Bo, Ch. V,



Cor. 18.3]). Thus there exists an element  $g_1 \in G(W(k))$  that maps to a  $k$ -valued point of the open, Zariski dense subscheme  $\mathcal{Z}$  of  $G_k^{\text{ad}}$  introduced in Fact 3.2.1 (b). Therefore for each element  $g_2 \in G(W(k_1))$  we have  $\mathbf{I}(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g_2(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{k_1}), G_{W(k_1)}) \leq \mathbf{I}(M, g_1\varphi, G)$ , cf. Fact 3.2.1 (b). An  $\mathbf{L}$ -conjugate of  $\mu$  factors through a Levi subgroup scheme  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)$  of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi)$ , cf. Lemma 3.1.11. In the remaining part of this paragraph we will check that every such Levi subgroup scheme  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)'$  of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi)$  is  $\mathbf{L} \cap \tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi)(W(k))$ -conjugate to  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)$ . The argument for this goes as follows. We can assume  $\mu_k$  factors through both  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)_k$  and  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)'_k$ . Let  $C_k$  be the centralizer of  $\mu_k$  in  $G_k$ . Thus  $C_k$  is a reductive subgroup (cf. Subsection 2.3.13) of  $P_k$ . Moreover the intersections  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)_k \cap C_k$  and  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)'_k \cap C_k$  are Levi subgroups of the parabolic subgroup  $P_G^+(\varphi)_k \cap C_k$  of  $C_k$ . Therefore these two intersections are  $(P_G^+(\varphi)_k \cap C_k)(k)$ -conjugate, cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Prop. 20.5]. Thus, as  $(P_G^+(\varphi)_k \cap C_k)(k) \leq P(k)$ , we can assume that  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)_k$  and  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)'_k$  have a common maximal torus. Therefore we have  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)_k = \tilde{L}^0(g_1)'_k$ , cf. the uniqueness part of Subsection 2.3.8 (c). As in Subsection 2.3.8 (d) we argue that a suitable  $\text{Ker}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi)(W(k)) \rightarrow \tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi)(k))$ -conjugate of a maximal torus of  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)$  is a maximal torus of  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)'$ . Thus a suitable  $\text{Ker}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi)(W(k)) \rightarrow \tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi)(k))$ -conjugate of  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)$  is  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)'$ , cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (c).

Not to introduce extra notations, we will assume that  $\mu$  itself factors through  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)$ . This implies that the intersection  $g_1\varphi(\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}^0(g_1))[\frac{1}{p}]) \cap \text{Lie}(\tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi))$  is the Lie algebra of a Levi subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi)$  which (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (f)) is  $\tilde{U}_G^+(g_1\varphi)(W(k))$ -conjugate to  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)$ . Thus there exists an element  $u \in \tilde{U}_G^+(g_1\varphi)(W(k))$  such that  $ug_1\varphi$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{L}^0(g_1))[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Therefore  $(M, ug_1\varphi, \tilde{L}^0(g_1))$  is a Shimura  $p$ -divisible object. We have  $\dim(\tilde{L}^0(g_1)_k) < \dim(G_k)$  (as otherwise statements 3.2.2 (a) to (d) would hold). By induction we know that we can choose  $u$  such that  $(M, ug_1\varphi, \tilde{L}^0(g_1))$  is Sh-ordinary (here we might have to replace  $u$  by a  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)(W(k))$ -conjugate of it and to replace  $g_1$  by a right  $\tilde{L}^0(g_1)(W(k))$ -translate of it). Let  $g := ug_1$ . We claim that  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary.

After extensions to  $k_1$  (cf. Fact 3.2.1 (a)) and up to inner isomorphisms, to check this claim we can assume that (5) holds, that (cf. property 3.3 (a) and the choice of  $g_1$ )  $\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, g_1\varphi, G)$ , and (cf. property 3.3 (b) and Theorem 3.7.1 (d)) that  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi) = \tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi)$ . From the last equality and the above part on  $\mathbf{L} \cap \tilde{P}_G^+(g_1\varphi)(W(k))$ -conjugation, we get that up to inner isomorphisms we can also assume that  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi) = \tilde{L}^0(g_1)$ . Thus the fact that  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary follows from Theorem 3.7.1 (f). This ends the proof of Theorem 1.4.1.

### 3.9. On Hasse–Witt invariants

We consider the case when  $a = 0$ . We refer to the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope 0 of  $(M, g\varphi)$  as the *Hasse–Witt invariant*  $HW(M, g\varphi)$  of  $(M, g\varphi)$ .

In many cases the fact that  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary or not depends only on the value of  $HW(M, g\varphi) \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  (we emphasize that for this, one often might have to perform first a shift as in Subsection 1.3.4). For instance, this applies if  $b = 1$  (resp. if  $b = 2$ ) and we have a direct sum decomposition  $M = \oplus_{i \in I} M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is a free  $W(k)$ -module of rank  $2\ell$  (resp.  $2\ell + 1$ ), such that  $M_i[\frac{1}{p}]$ 's are permuted transitively by  $\varphi$

and  $G = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{GSp}(M_i, \psi_i)$  (resp. and  $G = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{GSO}(M_i, \psi_i)$ ), where  $\psi_i$  is a perfect alternating (resp. perfect symmetric) bilinear form on  $M_i$ .

If  $b = 2$  we do not know if the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $\varphi$  can be used to define some type of truncation modulo  $p$  of  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  which could substitute the  $FSHW$  map of  $(M, g\varphi, G)$ . This, the fact that (i) and (ii) below exclude about half of the cases covered by Theorem 1.4.2 (i.e., of the cases when 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (c)), and the need of full generality and of entirely intrinsic and practical methods, are the main reasons why in this monograph we always work (mainly) in an adjoint context. To reach a context in which we can use  $HW(M, g\varphi)$  to decide if  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary or not, we often have to pass first to an adjoint context and then to “lift” the things to contexts modeled on the two examples of the previous paragraph; thus it seems that it is more practical to avoid these “lifts”. With the notations of Subsections 3.4.6 and 3.4.8, the adjoint contexts to which such “lifts” are possible are divided into two types:

- (i) we are in cases (a), (b), (c), or (d) and we have  $|\mathcal{E}| = 1$ ;
- (ii) we are in the case (d) and we have  $\mathcal{E} = \{\ell - 1, \ell\}$ .

## 4 Abstract theory, II: proofs of Theorems 1.4.3 and 1.4.4

We recall that we use the notations listed in Section 2.1. In Sections 4.1 and 4.2 we prove Theorems 1.4.3 and 1.4.4 (respectively). In Section 4.3 we include five complements.

### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.4.3

See Subsection 3.1.8 for the implication 1.4.3 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (b). Obviously 1.4.3 (b)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (a). Thus 1.4.3 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.3 (b). We now deal with the other implications required to prove Theorem 1.4.3.

**4.1.1. Proof of 1.4.3 (b)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (c).** We assume that the statement 1.4.3 (b) holds and we prove that the statement 1.4.3 (c) holds. For this we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ , that for all  $i \in S(a, b)$  we have  $F_0^i(M) = F^i(M)$ , and that  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  is the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi)$ . Thus  $\mu_{B(k)}$  factors through  $Z(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ , cf. Subsection 3.1.8. Let  $\sigma_\varphi$  and  $M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  be as in Section 2.4. See Example 2.4.2 for the reductive, closed subgroup scheme  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}$ . For each non-negative integer  $m$  let  $\sigma_\varphi^m(\mu)$  be the cocharacter of  $G$  that is the  $\sigma_\varphi^m$ -conjugate of  $\mu$ . Let  $T^{(0)}$  be the smallest subtorus of  $G$  through which all  $\sigma_\varphi^m(\mu)$ 's factor, cf. Corollary 3.1.9. We have  $T_{B(k)}^{(0)} \leq Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  and the triple  $(M, \varphi, T^{(0)})$  is a Shimura  $p$ -divisible object, cf. Corollary 3.1.9. We have a direct sum decomposition  $M = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} W(\gamma)(M, \varphi)$ , cf. Lemma 2.6.3 applied to  $(M, \varphi, T^{(0)})$ . Thus  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ , cf. Lemma 2.6.3. As  $\varphi$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(T^{(0)})$ , from Lemma 2.4.1 we get the existence of a torus

$$T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$$

of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}$  whose extensions to  $W(k)$  is  $T^{(0)}$ . Obviously  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  is a torus of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ .

Let  $q$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow T^{(0)}$  is the extension to  $W(k)$  of a cocharacter of  $T_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^q})}^{(0)}$ . Let  $k_0 := \mathbf{F}_{p^q}$ . We get that  $\sigma_\varphi^m(\mu)$  is the extension to  $W(k)$  of a cocharacter of  $T_{W(k_0)}^{(0)}$  to be denoted also by  $\sigma_\varphi^m(\mu)$ . We have  $\sigma_\varphi^q(\mu) = \mu$ . For each pair  $(i, j) \in S(1, q) \times S(a, b)$ , let  ${}^i F^j$  be the maximal free  $W(k_0)$ -submodule of  $M_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k_0)$  on which  $\sigma_\varphi^i(\mu)$  acts via the  $-j$  power of the identity character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ . For each function  $\bar{f} : S(1, q) \rightarrow S(a, b)$ , let

$$F_{\bar{f}} := \bigcap_{i \in S(1, q)} {}^i F^{\bar{f}(i)}.$$

Let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be the set of such functions  $\overline{f}$  for which we have  $F_{\overline{f}} \neq 0$ . As  $\sigma_\varphi^i(\mu)$ 's commute, we have a direct sum decomposition  $M_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k_0) = \bigoplus_{\overline{f} \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}} F_{\overline{f}}$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{S}} : \overline{\mathcal{L}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be the bijection defined by the rule  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}(\overline{f})(i) := \overline{f}(i-1)$ , where  $\overline{f}(0) := \overline{f}(q)$ . We have:

$$(20) \quad \varphi(F_{\overline{f}}) = p^{\overline{f}(0)} F_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}(\overline{f})}.$$

Let  $I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^0 := \{\overline{f} \in \overline{\mathcal{L}} | \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\overline{f}) = \overline{f}\}$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{S}} = \prod_{l \in I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^0} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_l$  be written as a product of disjoint cyclic permutations. We allow trivial cyclic permutations i.e., we take the index set  $I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^0$  to contain  $I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^0$ . Each function  $\overline{f} \in I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^0$  is said to be associated to  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\overline{f}}$ . Let  $I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^1 := I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}} \setminus I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^0$ . If  $l \in I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^1$ , then each function  $\overline{f} \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}$  such that we have  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_l(\overline{f}) \neq \overline{f}$  is said to be associated to  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_l$ . As  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^q = 1_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}}$ , the order  $q_l$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_l$  divides  $q$ . As  $\sigma_\varphi^s(\mu) \neq \mu$  if  $s \in S(1, q-1)$  and as  $\sigma_\varphi^q(\mu) = \mu$ , we have  $q = \text{l.c.m.}\{q_l | l \in I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^1\}$ . For each element  $l \in I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^1$  we pick up an element  $\overline{f}_l \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}$  associated to  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_l$ . We have

$$\varphi^{q_l}(F_{\overline{f}_l}) = p^{\frac{q_l}{q} \ell(\overline{f}_l)} F_{\overline{f}_l}, \quad \text{where} \quad \ell(\overline{f}_l) := \overline{f}_l(1) + \overline{f}_l(2) + \cdots + \overline{f}_l(q).$$

Moreover  $\varphi^{q_l}$  acts on  $F_{\overline{f}_l}$  as  $p^{\frac{q_l}{q} \ell(\overline{f}_l)} \sigma_\varphi^{q_l}$  does, cf. (20). Let  ${}_p F_{\overline{f}_l} := \{x \in F_{\overline{f}_l} | \sigma_\varphi^{q_l}(x) = x\}$ ; it is a free  $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_l}})$ -submodule of  $F_{\overline{f}_l}$  of the same rank as  $F_{\overline{f}_l}$  (the rank part follows from [Bo, Ch. AG, Sect. 14.2, Prop.] applied over  $B(\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_l}})$  to  $F_{\overline{f}_l}[\frac{1}{p}]$ ). From this and the fact that  $\sigma_\varphi^{q_l}(F_{\overline{f}_l}) = F_{\overline{f}_l}$ , we get that  $F_{\overline{f}_l} = {}_p F_{\overline{f}_l} \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_l}})} W(k_0)$ . For  $l \in I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^1$  we choose a  $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_l}})$ -basis  $\{\ell_s | s \in \mathcal{J}_l\}$  for  ${}_p F_{\overline{f}_l}$  and we also view it as a  $W(k_0)$ -basis for  $F_{\overline{f}_l}$ . If  $l \in I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^1$ , then for each function  $\overline{f} \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}$  associated to  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_l$  but different from  $\overline{f}_l$ , let  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  be the smallest number such that we have  $\overline{f} = \overline{\mathcal{S}}^j(\overline{f}_l)$ . We get a  $W(k_0)$ -basis  $\{\frac{1}{p^{n_{j,l}}} \varphi^j(\ell_s) | s \in \mathcal{J}_l\}$  for  $F_{\overline{f}}$ , where  $n_{j,l} := \sum_{i=1}^j \overline{f}_l(q+1-i)$ . This expression of  $n_{j,l}$  is a consequence of either formula (20) or of the following formula

$$(21) \quad \varphi^j = \left( \prod_{m=1}^j \sigma_\varphi^m(\mu) \right) \left( \frac{1}{p} \right) \sigma_\varphi^j = \sigma_\varphi^j \left( \prod_{m=1}^j \sigma_\varphi^{m-j}(\mu) \right) \left( \frac{1}{p} \right).$$

Let  $l \in I_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}^1$  and let  $\overline{f}$  be associated to  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_l$ . As  $q_l$  is the order of  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_l$ , the function  $\theta_l : \mathbf{Z}/q_l \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow S(a, b)$  that takes  $[j] \in \mathbf{Z}/q_l \mathbf{Z}$  to  $\overline{f}(j)$  is not periodic.

Let  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{e_1^{(0)}, \dots, e_{d_M}^{(0)}\}$  be the  $W(k_0)$ -basis for  $M_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k_0)$  obtained by putting together the chosen  $W(k_0)$ -bases for  $F_{\overline{f}}$ , with  $\overline{f} \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}$ . We view  $\mathcal{B}_0$  also as a  $W(k)$ -basis for  $M$ . Let  $\pi$  be the permutation of  $S(1, d_M)$  such that for each number  $j \in S(1, d_M)$  we have  $\varphi(e_j^{(0)}) = p^{n_j} e_{\pi(j)}^{(0)}$ , where  $n_j \in S(a, b)$  is such that  $e_j^{(0)} \in \tilde{F}^{n_j}(M)$ . We conclude that  $(M, \varphi)$  has the desired cyclic form with respect to  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . Moreover  $\text{Im}(\mu)$  normalizes  $W(k)e_j^{(0)}$  for all numbers  $j \in S(1, d_M)$ .

Let  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$ ,  $\pi_0 : S(1, d_M) \xrightarrow{\sim} S(1, d_M)$ , and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{d_M}\} \subseteq M$  be as in the statement 1.4.3 (c). We check that  $\mu_0 = \mu$ . Let  $T^{\text{big}}$  be the maximal torus of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$

that normalizes  $W(k)e_j$  for all numbers  $j \in S(1, d_M)$ . We have  $\varphi(\text{Lie}(T^{\text{big}})) = \text{Lie}(T^{\text{big}})$ , cf. proof of Lemma 2.8.2. As  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  factors through  $T^{\text{big}}$  we have an inclusion  $\text{Im}(d\mu_{0,B(k)}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(T_{B(k)}^{\text{big}}) \cap \text{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ . Thus  $\mu_0$  factors through  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  (cf. end of Subsection 2.3.8 (b)) and therefore it commutes with  $Z(L_G^0(\varphi))$  as well as with  $\mu$ . Thus  $\mu_0 = \mu$  (cf. Lemma 3.1.1) and therefore the implication 1.4.3 (b)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (c) holds.  $\square$

**4.1.2. Proofs of 1.4.3 (c)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (d) and 1.4.3 (d)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (b).** Due to the uniqueness parts of statements 1.4.3 (b) and 1.4.3 (d) and due to the existence of descent for lifts (see Lemma 3.1.13), to prove the two implications 1.4.3 (c)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (d) and 1.4.3 (d)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (b) we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . We first show that the statement 1.4.3 (c) implies the statement 1.4.3 (d). We use the notations of the statement 1.4.3 (c). Let  $(F_0^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  be the lift of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  defined by  $\mu_0$ . Let  $\tau_0 := (j_1 \cdots j_q)$  be a cycle of  $\pi_0$ , where  $q, j_1, \dots, j_q \in S(1, d_M)$ . The  $F$ -crystal over  $k$

$$(M(\tau_0), p^{-a}\varphi) := (\oplus_{s=1}^q W(k)e_{j_s}, p^{-a}\varphi)$$

has only one Newton polygon slope. As  $(M, p^{-a}\varphi)$  is a direct sum of such  $F$ -crystals  $(M(\tau_0), p^{-a}\varphi)$ , it is a direct sum  $\oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} (M_\gamma, p^{-a}\varphi)$  as in the statement 1.4.3 (d) with  $M_\gamma := W(\gamma)(M, p^{-a}\varphi)$ . As each  $W(k)e_j$  is normalized by  $\text{Im}(\mu_0)$ , for each element  $i \in S(a, b)$  there exists a subset  $J_i$  of  $S(1, d_M)$  such that we have  $F_0^i(M) = \oplus_{j \in J_i} W(k)e_j$ . This implies that for each element  $i \in S(a, b)$  we have  $F_0^i(M) = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} F_0^i(M) \cap M_\gamma$ .

Let now  $(F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  be another lift of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  such that for each element  $i \in S(a, b)$  we have  $F_1^i(M) = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} F_1^i(M) \cap M_\gamma$ . Let  $\mu_1 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  be the (factorization of the) inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, (F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$ , cf. Subsection 3.1.7. It normalizes  $M_\gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}$ , cf. the functorial aspects of [Wi, p. 513]. As  $W(0)(\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}]), \varphi) = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} \text{End}_{B(k)}(M_\gamma[\frac{1}{p}])$  and as  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}) = W(0)(\text{End}_{B(k)}(M[\frac{1}{p}]), \varphi) \cap \mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$ , the image of  $d\mu_{1,B(k)}$  belongs to  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  and thus (cf. end of Subsection 2.3.8 (b))  $\mu_1$  factors through  $L_G^0(\varphi)$ . Also  $\mu_0$  factors through  $L_G^0(\varphi)$ , cf. end of Subsection 4.1.1.

Let  $L_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{0,\text{sc}}$  be the simply connected semisimple group over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  whose semisimple Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is  $\{x \in \text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}^{\text{der}}) | \varphi(x) = x\}$ . The adjoint group of  $L_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{0,\text{sc}}$  is the identity component of the group of Lie automorphisms of  $\{x \in \text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}^{\text{der}}) | \varphi(x) = x\}$ . This implies that  $L_{B(k)}^{0,\text{sc}}$  is the simply connected semisimple group cover of  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}^{\text{der}}$ . Thus we can speak about the image  $\text{Im}(L_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{0,\text{sc}}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}(B(k)))$ . Let  $h \in \text{Im}(L_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{0,\text{sc}}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}(B(k))) \cap \mathbf{L}$ ; we have  $h\varphi = \varphi h$ . The Zariski closure of such  $h$ 's in  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}^{\text{der}}$  is  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}^{\text{der}}$  itself. Due to the uniqueness of  $\mu_0$  we have  $h\mu_0 h^{-1} = \mu_0$ . Thus  $\mu_{0,B(k)}$  is a cocharacter of  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  that commutes with  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}^{\text{der}}$ . Therefore  $\mu_{0,B(k)}$  factors through  $Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ . Thus  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  are two cocharacters of  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  that commute and therefore (cf. Lemma 3.1.1) they coincide. Thus for each number  $i \in S(a, b)$  we have  $F_0^i(M) = F_1^i(M)$ . This proves the existence (cf. first paragraph of Subsection 4.1.2) and the uniqueness (cf. this paragraph) of a lift  $(F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  such that for

each number  $i \in S(a, b)$  we have a direct sum decomposition  $F_1^i(M) = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} F_1^i(M) \cap M_\gamma$ . Therefore 1.4.3 (c)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (d).

We show that 1.4.3 (d)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (b). As the statement 1.4.3 (d) holds,  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  (cf. Lemma 2.6.3). Let  $\mu_1 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  be the (factorization of the) inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, (F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi)$ , cf. Subsection 3.1.7. As the statement 1.4.3 (d) holds, from its uniqueness part we get that every inner automorphism of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  defined by an element  $h \in \text{Im}(L_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{0, \text{sc}}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}(B(k))) \cap \mathbf{L}$  normalizes  $(F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$ . Thus we have  $h\mu_1 h^{-1} = \mu_1$ . As in the previous paragraph, we argue that the identities  $h\mu_1 h^{-1} = \mu_1$  imply that  $\mu$  factors through  $Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi))$ . Therefore  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  centralizes  $\text{Im}(\mu_1)$  and thus it normalizes the filtration  $(F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  of  $M$ . Therefore  $(F_1^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  is a U-canonical lift. Thus 1.4.3 (d)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (a). As 1.4.3 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.3 (b), we get that 1.4.3 (d)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.3 (b).  $\square$

**4.1.3. End of the proof of Theorem 1.4.3.** The four statements of Theorem 1.4.3 are equivalent, cf. Section 4.1 and Subsections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2. As  $\mu_0 = \mu_1$  (cf. Subsection 4.1.2), for each number  $i \in S(a, b)$  we have  $F_0^i(M) = F_1^i(M)$ .

We consider the case when  $k = \bar{k}$  and for all numbers  $j \in S(1, d_M)$  we have  $e_j = e_j^{(0)}$ . Thus  $\pi_0 = \pi$ . For each cycle  $\tau_0 = (j_1 \cdots j_q)$  of  $\pi_0 = \pi$ , the function  $f(\tau_0) : \mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow S(a, b)$  that takes  $[s] \in \mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z}$  to the number  $n_{j_s} \in S(a, b)$  of the statement 1.4.3 (c), is not periodic (cf. the non-periodicity of the functions  $\theta_l$ 's introduced in Subsection 4.1.1). Thus the triple  $(M(\tau_0), (F^i(M) \cap M(\tau_0))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi)$  is circular indecomposable. As  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi)$  is the direct sum of  $(M(\tau_0), (F^i(M) \cap M(\tau_0))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi)$ 's, it is cyclic.  $\square$

We point out that Lemma 2.8.3 implies that this type of direct sum decomposition of  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi)$  can not be refined.

**4.1.4. Canonical cocharacters.** Let  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, G)$  be a U-canonical lift. As pointed out in Subsection 4.1.1,  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(\varphi)$ . As the natural homomorphism  $L_G^0(\varphi) \rightarrow P_G^-(\varphi)/U_G^-(\varphi)$  is an isomorphism (cf. Lemma 2.3.9),  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  is also a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^-(\varphi)$ .

We take  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  to be the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi)$ . We refer to such a  $\mu$  as the *canonical cocharacter* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Its generic fibre factors through  $Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ , cf. Subsection 3.1.8. Thus  $\mu$  factors through  $Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi))$ . The cocharacter  $\mu_{W(\bar{k})} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(\bar{k})}$  is  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(\bar{k})}$  of the statement 1.4.3 (c), cf. end of Subsection 4.1.1.

**4.1.5. Automorphisms.** Let  $(M, \varphi, G)$  be a Shimura  $p$ -divisible object over  $k$ . By an *automorphism* (resp. an *inner automorphism*) of it we mean an automorphism  $h : (M, \varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M, \varphi)$  that takes  $G$  onto  $G$  (resp. that is defined by an element  $h \in G(W(k))$ ).

If  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is U-ordinary and  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  is its U-canonical lift, then each such  $h$  normalizes  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  (cf. the uniqueness part of 1.4.3 (b)). Conversely we have:

**4.1.6. Lemma.** *We assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . If there exists a lift  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  that is normalized by every inner automorphism of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ , then the quadruple  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, G)$  is a U-canonical lift.*

*Proof:* We take  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  to be the canonical split cocharacter of the triple  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$ . Let  $L_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{0, \text{sc}}$  be as in Subsection 4.1.2. Each element  $h$  of the intersection  $\text{Im}(L_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{0, \text{sc}}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}(B(k))) \cap \mathbf{L}$  is an inner automorphism of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Thus the lift  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is normalized by such an  $h$  and therefore (due to the functorial property of canonical split cocharacters) we have an identity  $h\mu h^{-1} = \mu$ . As in Subsection 4.1.2 we argue that the identities  $h\mu h^{-1} = \mu$  imply that  $\mu_{B(k)}$  factors through  $Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ . Using this, as in the end of Subsection 4.1.2 we get that  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  is a U-canonical lift.  $\square$

**4.1.7. Automorphisms as  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -valued points.** We consider the case when  $k = \bar{k}$  and  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is U-ordinary. Let  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$ ,  $\sigma_\varphi$ ,  $M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ,  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ,  $T^{(0)}$ , and  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  be as in Subsection 4.1.1. Let  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  be as in the statement 1.4.3 (c). We have  $\mu_0 = \mu$ , cf. Subsection 4.1.4. Let  $C_{1, \mathbf{Z}_p}$  (resp.  $C_{0, \mathbf{Z}_p}$ ) be the centralizer of  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}$  (resp. in  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ); it is a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  (cf. Subsection 2.3.13). If  $h$  is an automorphism (resp. an inner automorphism) of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ , then from the uniqueness property of  $\mu_0$  in 1.4.3 (c) we get that  $h\mu_0 h^{-1} = h\mu h^{-1} = \mu_0 = \mu$ . Thus  $h$  is fixed by  $\sigma_\varphi = \varphi\mu(p)$  and therefore we have  $h \in \mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . As  $T^{(0)}$  is generated by  $\sigma_\varphi^m(\mu)$ 's with  $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we also get that  $h$  is fixed by  $T^{(0)}$ . Thus  $h$  is defined by an element of  $C_{1, \mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  (resp. of  $C_{0, \mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ ). The converse of this holds as well and therefore  $C_{1, \mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  (resp.  $C_{0, \mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ ) is the group of automorphisms (resp. of inner automorphisms) of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .

As  $\varphi$  fixes each element of  $\text{Lie}(C_{0, \mathbf{Q}_p})$ , we have  $\text{Lie}(C_{0, B(k)}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ . As  $T_{B(k)}^{(0)} \leq Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$ , the group  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  commutes with  $T_{B(k)}^{(0)}$  and therefore we have  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(C_{0, B(k)})$ . Thus  $\text{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}) = \text{Lie}(C_{0, B(k)})$ . As both groups  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  and  $C_{0, B(k)}$  are connected, we get  $L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)} = C_{0, B(k)}$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6). Therefore  $L_G^0(\varphi) = C_{0, W(k)}$  is the centralizer of  $T^{(0)}$  in  $G$ .

## 4.2. Proofs of Theorem 1.4.4 and Corollary 1.4.5

Until Subsection 4.2.1 we assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . To prove Theorem 1.4.4 we can assume that  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  is an Sh-canonical lift. Let  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$ ,  $\sigma_\varphi$ ,  $M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ,  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ,  $T^{(0)}$ , and  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  be as in Subsection 4.1.1. We fix a family  $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  of tensors of  $\mathcal{T}(M_{\mathbf{Z}_p})$  as in Subsection 3.1.7; we think of it as a family of tensors of  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  fixed by  $G$  and  $\varphi$  and given to us before considering  $M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ . As  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  is normalized by  $\mu$  and  $\varphi$ , it is also normalized by  $\sigma_\varphi$ . Thus  $\sigma_\varphi$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)) = \text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$  and therefore  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  is the extension to  $W(k)$  of a subgroup scheme  $P_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^+$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}$ , cf. Lemma 2.4.1. Obviously  $P_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^+$  is a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  that contains  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$ . The centralizer  $C_{0, \mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is a Levi subgroup of  $P_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^+$  (over  $W(k)$ , this follows from Subsection 4.1.7). Thus there exists a Borel subgroup scheme  $B_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $P_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^+$  that contains  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$ . Let  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  be a maximal torus of the Borel subgroup scheme  $B_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \cap C_{0, \mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $C_{0, \mathbf{Z}_p}$ , cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (b); it is a maximal torus of  $B_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  that contains  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$ . Let  $T := T_{W(k)}$  and  $B := B_{W(k)}$ . The notations match with Subsection 3.2.3 i.e.,  $\varphi$  normalizes  $\mathfrak{t} := \text{Lie}(T)$  and

leaves invariant the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b} := \text{Lie}(B)$  of  $\text{Lie}(P)$ . But the cocharacter  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  is uniquely determined by its  $G(W(k))$ -conjugacy class  $[\mu]$  and by the facts that: (i) it factors through  $T$ , and (ii) it acts via inner conjugation on  $\mathfrak{b}$  through non-positive powers of the identity character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$  (this makes sense as  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \text{Lie}(\text{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi))) \subseteq \text{Lie}(P)$ ). Thus, as  $\varphi = \sigma_\varphi \mu(\frac{1}{p})$ , the inner isomorphism class of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is uniquely determined by  $[\mu]$  and by the quintuple

$$(22) \quad (M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}, G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}, B_{\mathbf{Z}_p}, T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}).$$

Obviously the statement 1.4.4 (a) implies both statements 1.4.1 (a) and 1.4.4 (b). We check that 1.4.1 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.4 (a). Let  $(M_{1, \mathbf{Z}_p}, G_{1, \mathbf{Z}_p}, B_{1, \mathbf{Z}_p}, T_{1, \mathbf{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  be the quintuple similar to (22) but obtained starting from another Sh-canonical lift  $(M, (F_g^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, g\varphi, G)$  and from the canonical cocharacter  $\mu_g : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  of  $(M, g\varphi, G)$ . As  $\mu$  is also a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, g\varphi, G)$ , we have  $[\mu] = [\mu_g]$  (cf. Lemma 3.1.3). Thus to prove that  $(M, \varphi, G)$  and  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  are inner isomorphic, it suffices to show there exists an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{S} : (M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{1, \mathbf{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  that takes  $B_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  onto  $B_{1, \mathbf{Z}_p}$  and takes  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  onto  $T_{1, \mathbf{Z}_p}$ . But the pairs  $(T, B)$  and  $(T_{1, W(k)}, B_{1, W(k)})$  are  $G(W(k))$ -conjugate (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (d)) and thus such an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{S}$  exists after tensorization with  $W(k)$  and therefore (cf. a standard application of Artin's approximation theorem) also after tensorization with the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in some finite field  $k_g$  of characteristic  $p$ . Therefore the existence of  $\mathfrak{S}$  is measured by a class in  $H^1(\text{Gal}(W(k_g)/\mathbf{Z}_p), T_{\mathbf{Z}_p})$ . But each such class is trivial, cf. Lang theorem of [Se2, Ch. III, §2, case 2.3 a)] and the fact that  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  is henselian. Thus  $\mathfrak{S}$  exists. Therefore 1.4.1 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.4 (a) and thus also 1.4.1 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.4 (b).

We check by induction on  $\dim(G_k)$  that 1.4.4 (b)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (a) (the argument extends until Subsection 4.2.1). We can assume that  $G$  is adjoint and that the cocharacter  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$  is non-trivial, cf. Corollary 3.1.5 and Subsection 3.3.2. We can also assume that  $I = S(1, n)$  and  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ . Let  $N$  be the closed subgroup scheme of  $G$  defined before Lemma 3.1.1. We recall that  $\sigma_\varphi(N)$  is the commutative, connected, unipotent, smooth, closed subgroup scheme of  $G$  whose Lie algebra is  $\sigma_\varphi(\text{Lie}(N)) = \Psi(\text{Lie}(N))$ , cf. Subsections 2.4.7 and 2.5.5. Let  $\bar{g} \in G(k)$  be  $g$  modulo  $p$ . Let  $\bar{h} \in G(k)$  be such that we have  $\bar{h}g\bar{\Psi} = \bar{g}\bar{\Psi}\bar{h}$ . Thus  $\bar{h}$  normalizes  $\text{Ker}(\bar{\Psi}) = \text{Ker}(\bar{g}\bar{\Psi})$ . We have  $\text{Ker}(\bar{\Psi}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_1} \text{Lie}(P_k \cap G_{i,k})$  (see (10a) and see Subsection 3.4.7 for the subset  $I_1$  of  $I$ ). Thus for each element  $i \in I_1$ , the component of  $\bar{h}$  in  $G_{i,k}(k)$  belongs to  $(P_k \cap G_{i,k})(k)$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.4). Thus we have  $\bar{h} \in P(k)$ . By replacing  $g\varphi$  with  $hg\varphi h^{-1}$ , where  $h \in P(W(k))$  lifts  $\bar{h}$ , we can assume  $\bar{g}\bar{\Psi} = \bar{\Psi}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{I}(M, g\varphi, G) = \mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, G) = \dim(\bar{\mathcal{I}})$ , where  $\bar{\mathcal{I}} := \text{Im}((\bar{g}\bar{\Psi})^{\dim(G_k)}) = \text{Im}(\bar{\Psi}^{\dim(G_k)})$ . If  $\delta := \frac{|I_1|}{n}$ , then we have  $\bar{\mathcal{I}} = W(-\delta)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} k$  (cf. Subsections 3.4.12 and 3.4.13 applied with  $g = 1_M$ ). Thus the reduced scheme of the normalizer of  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$  in  $G_k$  is  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k$ , cf. Corollary 3.6.4 (see Subsections 2.6.5 and 2.6.6 for  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$ ,  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$ ,  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)$ , and  $\tilde{P}_G^-(g\varphi)$ ). As  $\tilde{P}_G^-(g\varphi)_k$  also normalizes  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$ , we have  $\tilde{P}_G^-(g\varphi)_k \leq \tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k$ . We check that the groups  $\tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k$ ,  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_k$ ,  $\tilde{P}_G^-(g\varphi)_k$ , and  $\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)_k$  have equal dimensions. Based on the ranks part of Subsection 2.7.1, it suffices to show that  $\dim(\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)_k) = \dim(\tilde{P}_G^+(g\varphi)_k)$ . To check this last equality, up to an inner isomorphism we can assume that  $g \in \tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$  (cf. property 3.3 (b)), and thus the last equality follows from Theorem 3.7.1 (d).



By reasons of dimensions we get that  $\tilde{P}_G^-(g\varphi)_k = \tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)_k$ . Thus by replacing  $g\varphi$  with  $g_1 g \varphi g_1^{-1}$ , where  $g_1 \in \text{Ker}(G(W(k)) \rightarrow G(k))$ , we can assume that  $\tilde{P}_G^-(g\varphi) = \tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)$  (cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (e)). We reached the following context:  $g \in \tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)(W(k))$  and  $\bar{g}$  centralizes  $\text{Im}(\bar{\Psi})$ .

In this paragraph we check that the fact that  $\bar{g}$  centralizes  $\text{Im}(\bar{\Psi})$  implies that we have  $\bar{g} \in \sigma_\varphi(N)(k)$ . If  $i \in I_1$  (resp. if  $i \in I \setminus I_1$ ), then the component of  $\bar{g}$  in  $G_{i+1}(k)$  centralizes the Lie algebra of a non-trivial and commutative unipotent radical  $\sigma_\varphi(N)_k \cap G_{i+1,k}$  of a parabolic subgroup of  $G_{i+1,k}$  (resp. centralizes  $\text{Lie}(G_{i+1,k})$ ); thus this component belongs to  $(\sigma_\varphi(N)_k \cap G_{i+1,k})(k)$  (resp. is the identity element), cf. Lemma 2.3.5 (resp. cf. the fact that  $G_{i+1,k}$  is adjoint). Here we identify the indices  $n+1=1$ .

As  $N$  and  $\sigma_\varphi(N)$  are products of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  group schemes (cf. Subsection 2.5.5 for  $N$ ), we can identify them with the vector group schemes defined by their Lie algebras. Thus, as  $\bar{g} \in \sigma_\varphi(N)(k)$ , there exists an element  $x \in \text{Lie}(N)$  such that under the last identification the element  $\bar{g}$  corresponds to the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $\Psi(x) \in \text{Lie}(\sigma_\varphi(N))$ ; in other words we have  $\exp(\Psi(x)) := 1_M + \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{\Psi(x)^i}{i!} \in G(W(k))$  and its reduction modulo  $p$  is  $\bar{g}$  (to be compared with Subsection 2.5.4). Let  $g_1 \in \text{Ker}(N(W(k)) \rightarrow N(k)) \leq \tilde{P}_G^-(\varphi)(W(k))$  be such that under the last identifications we have  $g_1 = \exp(-px) := 1_M + \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(-px)^i}{i!}$ . As  $\varphi g_1^{-1} \varphi^{-1} = \exp(\Psi(x)) \in G(W(k))$  modulo  $p$  is  $\bar{g}$ , by replacing  $g\varphi$  with  $g_1 g \varphi g_1^{-1}$ , we can assume  $\bar{g}$  is the identity element. Let  $\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)$  and  $\tilde{U}_G^-(\varphi)$  be as in Section 2.6. We write  $g = ur$ , where  $u \in \tilde{U}_G^-(\varphi)(W(k))$  and  $r \in \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)(W(k))$  are congruent to  $1_M$  modulo  $p$ . We consider a filtration  $M^{0,+} \subseteq M^{1,+} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M^{s,+} = M$  of  $M$  by direct summands that is normalized by  $\tilde{P}_G^+(\varphi)$  and such that  $\tilde{U}_G^+(\varphi)$  acts trivially on all the factors  $M^{j+1,+}/M^{j,+}$  (here  $s \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $j \in S(1, s-1)$ ). Therefore we have  $(M^{j+1,+}/M^{j,+}, g\varphi) = (M^{j+1,+}/M^{j,+}, r\varphi)$  and thus the Newton polygons of  $(M^{j+1,+}/M^{j,+}, g\varphi)$  and  $(M^{j+1,+}/M^{j,+}, r\varphi)$  are equal. Therefore the Newton polygons of  $(M, g\varphi)$  and  $(M, r\varphi)$  are also equal. Thus, as 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (b), to prove that 1.4.4 (b)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (a) we can assume that  $g = r$ . As  $g = r$  and  $1_M$  are congruent modulo  $p$ , the  $FSHW$  maps of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  and  $(M, g\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  coincide. As  $\dim(\tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi)_k) < \dim(G_k)$  (see first paragraph of Subsection 3.8.3), by induction we get that  $(M, g\varphi, \tilde{L}_G^0(\varphi))$  is Sh-ordinary. Therefore  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary, cf. Theorem 3.7.1 (f). This ends the induction. Thus 1.4.4 (b)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (a).

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.4.4.

**4.2.1. Proof of 1.4.5.** To prove Corollary 1.4.5 we can assume that  $G$  is adjoint, cf. Corollary 3.1.5. We first assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . Let  $Y$  and  $Y_k^o$  be as in Subsection 3.8.2. As 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.4 (b), the fact that  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary depends only on  $\bar{g} = \bar{g}^{\text{ad}}$ ; the analogue of this holds for the  $g_k$ 's of Corollary 1.4.5. Thus, as 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (b), we can take the open subscheme  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G_k = G_k^{\text{ad}}$  to be defined by the property that for each affine, open subscheme  $Y$  of  $G$  as in Subsection 3.8.2, we have  $\mathcal{U} \cap Y_k = Y_k^o$ .

We come back to the general case when  $k$  is not necessarily  $\bar{k}$ . As  $\mathcal{U}_{\bar{k}}$  exists, it is automatically invariant under the action of  $\text{Gal}(k)$  on  $G_{\bar{k}} = G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ad}}$ . Thus we have a canonical Galois descent datum on the open subscheme of  $\mathcal{U}_{\bar{k}}$  of  $G_{\bar{k}}$ . It is effective (cf. [BLR, Ch. 6, Sect. 6.1, Thm. 6]) and thus  $\mathcal{U}_{\bar{k}}$  is the natural pull back of a unique open subscheme  $\mathcal{U}$

of  $G_k$ . From Fact 3.2.1 (a) we get that  $\mathcal{U}$  has the desired property. This proves Corollary 1.4.5.  $\square$

**4.2.2. Remark.** The fact that 1.4.1 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (b) follows also from the existence of the quintuple (22) and from [RR, Thm. 4.2]. Also the fact that 1.4.1 (b)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (c) can be obtained by combining loc. cit. with Subsections 3.2.3 and 3.4.11. But Theorem 1.4.2 and the implications 1.4.1 (b)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.1 (a) and 1.4.4 (b)  $\Rightarrow$  1.4.4 (a) do not follow from [RR]. Also, we think that the elementary arguments of Subsections 3.8.1 to 3.8.3, are simpler than the ones of [RR] that led to the proof of [RR, Thm. 4.2]. Moreover, the part of Subsection 3.8.2 that involves the existence of a smallest Newton polygon  $\mathfrak{N}$  works in the general context of an arbitrary triple  $(M, \varphi, G)$  for which the property 1.3.2 (c) holds, where  $G$  is a smooth, closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  whose special fibre  $G_k$  is connected.

### 4.3. Complements

We end this Chapter with five complements.

**4.3.1. Proposition.** *Let  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  be an Sh-canonical lift.*

(a) *We have  $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^+(\varphi)) \subseteq F^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathrm{Lie}(P_G^-(\varphi)) \subseteq \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Thus  $P_G^+(\varphi) \leq P$  and  $P_G^-(\varphi) \leq Q$ , where  $P$  and  $Q$  are as in Subsections 2.5.3 and 2.5.5.*

(b) *Let  $h \in G(B(k))$  be such that the quadruple  $(h(M), (h(F^i(M)))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G(h))$  is a Shimura filtered  $p$ -divisible object, where  $G(h)$  is the Zariski closure of  $G_{B(k)}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{h(M)}$ . Then  $(h(M), (F^i(M)[\frac{1}{p}] \cap h(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G(h))$  is an Sh-canonical lift.*

*Proof:* To prove (a) we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ , that  $G$  is adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , and that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ . Based on the first paragraph of Section 4.2, we can also assume that (5) holds. Thus the first part (a) follows from the end of Subsection 3.4.3. The second part follows from the first part and from Lemma 2.3.6.

We now prove (b). Let  $g := h^{-1}\varphi h\varphi^{-1} \in G(B(k))$ , cf. Lemma 2.4.6. The element  $h^{-1}$  defines an isomorphism  $(h(M), (h(F^i(M)))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G(h)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, g\varphi, G)$ . Thus we have  $\varphi^{-1}(M) = (g\varphi)^{-1}(M)$  (cf. property 1.3.2 (a)) and therefore  $g(M) = M$ . Thus  $g \in G(W(k))$ . Moreover  $(M, \varphi)$  and  $(M, g\varphi)$  have the same Newton polygon and therefore (cf. Theorem 1.4.1)  $(M, g\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary. Let  $\mu$  and  $\mu_h$  be the canonical cocharacters of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  and  $(h(M), \varphi, G(h))$  (respectively). Let  $(F_h^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  be the Sh-canonical lift of  $(h(M), \varphi, G(h))$ . We know that  $h^{-1}\mu_h h$  is  $G(W(k))$ -conjugate to  $\mu$  (cf. Lemma 3.1.3) and thus the cocharacters of  $G^{\mathrm{ab}} = G(h)^{\mathrm{ab}}$  defined by  $\mu$  and  $\mu_h$  coincide.

Let  $P_{h,B(k)}$  be the normalizer of  $(F_h^i(M)[\frac{1}{p}])_{i \in S(a,b)}$  in  $G_{B(k)}$ . The groups  $P_{h,B(k)}$  and  $P_{B(k)}$  are  $G(B(k))$ -conjugate (as  $\mu_{h,B(k)}$  and  $\mu_{B(k)}$  are  $G(B(k))$ -conjugate) and contain  $P_G^+(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  (cf. the very definition of Sh-ordinariness); thus we have  $P_{h,B(k)} = P_{B(k)}$  (cf. property 2.3.1 (b)). As  $\mu_{B(k)}$  and  $\mu_{h,B(k)}$  are two cocharacters of  $Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi)_{B(k)})$  (cf. Subsection 4.1.4), they commute and are cocharacters of  $P_{B(k)} = P_{h,B(k)}$ . Moreover, they act as the inverse of the identity character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$  on  $\tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}]$  (i.e., on the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of  $P_{h,B(k)} = P_{B(k)}$ ) and act trivially on  $F^0(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}]/\tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Thus the cocharacters of  $G_{B(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}$  defined by  $\mu_{B(k)}$  and  $\mu_{h,B(k)}$  coincide. From this and the above

part pertaining to  $G^{\text{ab}} = G(h)^{\text{ab}}$ , we get that  $\mu_{B(k)} = \mu_{h, B(k)}$ . This implies that for all  $i \in S(a, b)$  we have  $F_h^i(M)[\frac{1}{p}] = F^i(M)[\frac{1}{p}]$  and therefore also  $F_h^i(M) = F^i(M)[\frac{1}{p}] \cap h(M)$ .  $\square$

**4.3.2. Inheritance properties.** We consider the case when  $k = \bar{k}$  and  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary (resp. U-ordinary). Let  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  and  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  be as in Subsection 4.1.1. Let  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  be a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  that contains  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$ . Let  $\tilde{G} := \tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ . As  $P_{\tilde{G}}^+(\varphi)$  (resp.  $L_{\tilde{G}}^0(\varphi)$ ) is contained in  $P_G^+(\varphi)$  (resp. in  $L_G^0(\varphi)$ ), the quadruple  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is an Sh-canonical (resp. a U-canonical) lift. Due to the uniqueness part of 1.4.3 (c), the canonical cocharacters of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  and  $(M, \varphi, G)$  coincide (i.e., define the same cocharacter of  $G$ ).

Let  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  be a maximal torus of the centralizer of  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ , cf. Subsections 2.3.13 and 2.3.8 (b). Similarly, let  $\tilde{T}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{big}}$  be a maximal torus of the centralizer of  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}$ . Thus  $\tilde{T}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{big}}$  is a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}}$  that contains  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ . Let  $T := T_{W(k)}$  and  $T^{\text{big}} := T_{W(k)}^{\text{big}}$ ; we have  $T \leq T^{\text{big}} \leq \mathbf{GL}_M$ . The quadruples  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, T)$  and  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, T^{\text{big}})$  are Sh-canonical lifts.

**4.3.3. U-ordinariness via Sh-ordinariness.** We assume that  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, G)$  is an Sh-canonical lift. Let  $G'$  be a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  that contains  $G$  and such that  $(M, \varphi, G')$  is a Shimura  $p$ -divisible object. As we have a direct sum decomposition  $M = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} W(\gamma)(M, \varphi)$  (cf. statement 1.4.3 (d)), from Lemma 2.6.3 we get that  $L_{G'}^0(\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_{G'}^+(\varphi)$ .

In this paragraph we check that if  $Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi))$  is a subtorus of  $Z^0(L_{G'}^0(\varphi))$  (e.g., this holds if  $L_G^0(\varphi)^{\text{der}} = L_{G'}^0(\varphi)^{\text{der}}$ ), then  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, G')$  is a U-canonical lift. The canonical split cocharacter  $\mu$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  factors through  $Z^0(L_G^0(\varphi))$  (cf. Subsection 4.1.4) and therefore also through  $Z^0(L_{G'}^0(\varphi))$ . Thus  $L_{G'}^0(\varphi)$  commutes with  $\text{Im}(\mu)$  and therefore it normalizes  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$ . Thus  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, G')$  is a U-canonical lift.

Example: if  $L_{G'}^0(\varphi)$  is a torus, then  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, G')$  is a U-canonical lift.

**4.3.4. Finiteness of U-ordinary classes.** We consider the case when  $k = \bar{k}$  and  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is U-ordinary. Referring to Subsection 4.3.2, we choose the group scheme  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  to be a maximal torus  $\tilde{T}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ . Up to an inner isomorphism, we can assume  $\tilde{G} = \tilde{T}_{W(k)}$  is a fixed maximal torus  $T$  of  $G$  whose special fibre  $T_k$  is a subgroup of  $P_k$ . As in Subsection 3.2.3 we argue that there exists an element  $w_0 \in G(W(k))$  that normalizes  $T$  and such that  $(M, w_0\varphi, G)$  is Sh-ordinary. If  $t \in T(W(k))$ , then  $(M, \varphi, T)$  and  $(M, t\varphi, T)$  are inner isomorphic (cf. Theorem 1.4.4) and therefore also  $(M, \varphi, G)$  and  $(M, t\varphi, G)$  are inner isomorphic. Thus the number  $N_U$  of inner isomorphism classes of U-ordinary Shimura  $p$ -divisible objects of the form  $(M, g\varphi, G)$ , where  $g \in G(W(k))$ , is at most equal to the order  $|W_G|$  of the Weyl group scheme  $W_G$  of  $G$ .

**4.3.5. Example for  $N_U$ .** In this Subsection we assume that  $G$  is split, adjoint and we use the notations of Section 3.4. We also assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ , that  $I = S(1, n)$ , that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ , that  $\mathcal{X} = B_1 = A_1$ , that  $I_1 = I$ , and that  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}, \varphi, G)$  is an Sh-canonical lift. Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $G$  whose Lie algebra is normalized by  $\varphi$ ,

cf. Subsection 4.3.2. As  $\mathcal{X} = B_1 = A_1$  and as  $I_1 = I$ , the normalizer  $P$  of  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$  in  $G$  is a Borel subgroup scheme of  $G$ ; moreover  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{PGL}_2^n$ . Let  $N$  be the normalizer of  $T$  in  $G$ . The Weyl group scheme  $W_G := N/T$  is  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$ . For  $w \in W_G(W(k))$ , we denote also by  $w$  an arbitrary element of  $N(W(k))$  that lifts  $w$ . The triple  $(M, w\varphi, T)$  is Sh-ordinary and (see Subsection 4.3.4) its isomorphism class does not depend on the choice of the lift (of  $w$ ). We have a direct sum decomposition  $M = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} W(\gamma)(M, w\varphi)$  (cf. statement 1.4.3 (d)) and therefore from Lemma 2.6.3 we get that  $L_G^0(w\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^+(w\varphi)$ . As  $w\varphi$  normalizes  $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ , we have  $\mathrm{Lie}(T) \leq W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, w\varphi) = \mathrm{Lie}(L_G^0(w\varphi))$ . Thus  $T \leq L_G^0(w\varphi)$ , cf. Lemma 2.3.6.

From the property 3.4.2 (a) we get that either  $P_G^+(w\varphi) = G$  or for each element  $i \in I$  the intersection  $P_G^+(w\varphi) \cap G_i$  is a Borel subgroup scheme of  $G_i$ . If  $P_G^+(w\varphi) = G$ , then all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], w\varphi)$  are 0 and thus we have  $L_G^0(w\varphi) = G \not\leq P$ ; therefore  $(M, w\phi, G)$  is not U-ordinary. If each intersection  $P_G^+(w\varphi) \cap G_i$  is a Borel subgroup scheme of  $G_i$ , then  $L_G^0(w\varphi)$  is a maximal torus of  $G$  and thus it is  $T$ ; therefore  $(M, w\phi, G)$  is U-ordinary. Let  $N_U$  be the number of elements  $w \in W_G$  such that  $(M, w\phi, G)$  is U-ordinary.

We check that if  $n$  is odd (resp. is even), then  $N_U = 2^{n-1}$  (resp.  $N_U = 2^{n-1} - \binom{n-1}{\frac{n}{2}}$ ). Let  $N_Z := 2^n - N_U$ . Based on the previous paragraph, it suffices to show that there exist precisely  $N_Z = 2^{n-1}$  (resp.  $N_Z = 2^{n-1} + \binom{n-1}{\frac{n}{2}}$ ) elements  $w \in W_G$  such that  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], w\varphi)$  has all Newton polygon slopes 0. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}$  be the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of  $P \cap G_1$  and let  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_1}$  be its opposite with respect to  $T$  (see Subsections 3.4.3 to 3.4.7). We write  $w = \prod_{i=1}^n w_i$ , where  $w_i \in (N \cap G_i)(W(k))$ .

We assume that  $n$  is odd. If  $(w\varphi)^n(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}])$  is  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}]$  (resp. is  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}]$ ), then  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1} \not\subseteq W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, w\varphi)$  (resp. then all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], w\varphi)$  are 0). Thus, if  $w_2, \dots, w_n$  are fixed, then there exists a unique choice for  $w_1$  in order that all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], w\varphi)$  are 0. Therefore we have  $N_Z = 2^{n-1} = N_U$ .

We assume that  $n$  is even. If  $(w\varphi)^n(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}]$ , then all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], w\varphi)$  are 0. As in the previous paragraph we argue that for precisely  $2^{n-1}$  elements  $w$  of  $W_G$  we have  $(w\varphi)^n(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}]$ . We now count the number of elements  $w \in W_G$  such that  $(w\varphi)^n(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}[\frac{1}{p}]$  and all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], w\varphi)$  are 0. For  $i \in S(1, n+1)$  let  $\theta(w)_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  be defined inductively by the rule:  $p^{\sum_{j=1}^i -\theta(w)_j} (w\varphi)^i(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1})$  is a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This makes sense as  $I = I_1$ . We always have  $\theta(w)_1 = 1$ . The set  $N_G(W(k))$  is in bijection to the set of  $n+1$ -tuples  $(\theta(w)_1, \dots, \theta(w)_{n+1}) \subseteq \{-1, 1\}^{n+1}$  that satisfy  $\theta(w)_1 = 1$ . We have  $(w\varphi)^n(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}) = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}$  and all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}], w\varphi)$  are 0 if and only if  $\theta(w)_{n+1} = 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta(w)_i = 0$ . The number of  $n+1$ -tuples  $(\theta(w)_1, \dots, \theta(w)_{n+1}) \in \{-1, 1\}^{n+1}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta(w)_i = 0$  and  $\theta(w)_1 = \theta(w)_{n+1} = 1$  is equal to the number of  $n-1$ -tuples  $(\theta(w)_2, \dots, \theta(w)_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^{n-1}$  that contain precisely  $\frac{n}{2}$  entries  $-1$  and thus it is  $\binom{n-1}{\frac{n}{2}}$ . We conclude that  $N_Z = 2^{n-1} + \binom{n-1}{\frac{n}{2}}$ .

Let  $\bar{w}$  be  $w$  modulo  $p$ . For  $i \in I$  we have  $\mathrm{Ker}((\bar{w}\bar{\Psi})^2) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_{i,k}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{i,k})$  if and only if  $w_{i+1}$  is not the identity element (here  $w_{n+1} := w_1$ ). This implies that the inner isomorphism class of  $(M, w\varphi, G)$  is uniquely determined by  $w$ . Thus the number  $N_U$  we have computed is exactly the number  $N_U$  introduced in Subsection 4.3.4.

## 5 Abstract theory, III: formal Lie groups

In this Chapter we assume  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$  is an Sh-canonical lift. Except for Lemma 5.3.3, in this Chapter we also assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . We emphasize that in this Chapter we take

$$* \in \{-, +\}$$

and non- $*$  means non-negative if  $* = +$  and non-positive if  $* = -$ ; thus the upper index  $*$  will not refer to duals of modules or to pull backs of morphisms.

We recall that we use the notations listed in Section 2.1. In particular, we have  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . Following the pattern of the  $p$ -divisible groups  $D^{+(0)}$ ,  $D_{W(k)}^+$ ,  $D^{-(0)}$ , and  $D_{W(k)}^-$  of Subsection 1.2.4, in Section 5.1 we introduce sign  $p$ -divisible groups of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . In Section 5.2 we get minimal decompositions for some of them. In Sections 5.3 and 5.4 we introduce the first and the second formal Lie groups of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .

### 5.1. Sign $p$ -divisible groups

Let  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G$ ,  $\sigma_\varphi = \varphi\mu(p)$ ,  $M_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ,  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ,  $T^{(0)}$ , and  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  be as in Subsection 4.1.1. Let  $B_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ,  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ,  $B = B_{W(k)}$ , and  $T = T_{W(k)}$  be as in the first paragraph of Section 4.2. Thus  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is a maximal torus of a Borel subgroup scheme  $B_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ , we have  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)} \leq T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ , and the Lie algebras of  $T$  and  $B$  are normalized and left invariant (respectively) by  $\varphi$ . We recall that we view  $\mu$  as a cocharacter of  $T^{(0)} = T_{W(k)}^{(0)}$ , that the group schemes  $P_G^*(\varphi)$  and  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  were defined in Section 2.6, that  $U_G^*(\varphi)$  is the unipotent radical of  $P_G^*(\varphi)$ , and (cf. Subsection 4.1.4) that  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  is a Levi subgroup scheme of  $P_G^*(\varphi)$ . Let  $S_G^*(\varphi)$  be the solvable, smooth, closed subgroup scheme of  $P_G^*(\varphi)$  generated by  $U_G^*(\varphi)$  and  $T^{(0)}$ ; as  $T^{(0)}$  normalizes  $U_G^*(\varphi)$  we have a short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow U_G^*(\varphi) \rightarrow S_G^*(\varphi) \rightarrow T^{(0)} \rightarrow 1$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{t}^{(0)} := \text{Lie}(T^{(0)}), \mathfrak{u}^* := \text{Lie}(U_G^*(\varphi)), \text{ and } \mathfrak{s}^* := \mathfrak{t}^{(0)} \oplus \mathfrak{u}^* = \text{Lie}(S_G^*(\varphi)).$$

Let  $\varphi(-)$  be the  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  that takes  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  to  $p\varphi(x)$ . Let  $\varphi(+) := \varphi$ . We know that  $\mathfrak{t}^{(0)}$  is normalized by  $\varphi$  and (being fixed by  $\mu$ ) is contained in  $\tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g})$ . From Proposition 4.3.1 (a) and the equivalence of statements 2.3.3 (b) and (d), we get that  $\tilde{F}^{*1}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{u}^* \subseteq \tilde{F}^{*1}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g})$ . From the last two sentences we get that the triples  $(\mathfrak{s}^+, F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi(+))$  and  $(\mathfrak{s}^-, \mathfrak{s}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi(-))$  are filtered Dieudonné modules.

**5.1.1. Definitions.** We call the  $p$ -divisible group

$$D^{*0}$$

over  $k$  whose Dieudonné module is

$$(\mathfrak{s}^*, \varphi(*)),$$

as the *standard non- $*$   $p$ -divisible group* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . If  $\mathfrak{t}^{(0)}$  is replaced by an arbitrary direct summand  $E^{(0)}$  of  $\mathrm{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi))$  normalized by  $\varphi(*)$ , we get the notion of a *non- $*$   $p$ -divisible group*  $D^{*0}(E^{(0)})$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ ; its Dieudonné module is  $(E^{(0)} \oplus \mathfrak{u}^*, \varphi(*))$ . If  $E^{(0)}$  has rank 1 (resp. if  $E^{(0)}$  is  $\mathrm{Lie}(L_G^0(\varphi))$ ), then we refer to  $D^{*0}(E^{(0)})$  as a *minimal* (resp. as the *maximal*) *non- $*$   $p$ -divisible group* of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .

By the *positive* (resp. the *negative*)  *$p$ -divisible group*

$$D_{W(k)}^+ \quad (\text{resp.} \quad D_{W(k)}^-)$$

over  $W(k)$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  we mean the  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is

$$(\mathfrak{u}^+, F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi) \quad (\text{resp. is } (\mathfrak{u}^-, \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi(-))).$$

The uniqueness of  $D_{W(k)}^*$  follows from properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c) once we recall that: (i) all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{u}^+, \varphi(+))$  are positive (see formula for  $\mathfrak{u}^+ = \mathrm{Lie}(U_G^+(\varphi))$  in Subsection 2.6.2) and thus belong to the interval  $(0, 1]$ , and (ii) all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{u}^-, \varphi)$  belong to the interval  $[-1, 0)$  (cf. the oppositions of Section 2.7) and therefore all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{u}^-, \varphi(-))$  belong to the interval  $[0, 1)$ .

**5.1.2. Lemma.** *The  $W(k)$ -submodule  $\mathfrak{u}^-$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the smallest direct summand of  $\mathfrak{g}$  that contains  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$  and is left invariant by  $\varphi(-)$ . We have  $\mathfrak{u}^- = \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$  if and only if all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$  are integral.*

*Proof:* We can assume that  $G$  is adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , and that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ . Based on the first paragraph of Section 4.2 (or on the equivalence 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.4 (a)), we can use the notations of Section 3.4. Thus the first part follows from (7). With the notations of Subsection 3.4.7, both statements of the second part of the Lemma are equivalent to the identity  $(I_1, |\mathcal{E}|) = (I, 1)$  (cf. (7)).  $\square$

**5.1.3. Lemma.** *The  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{W(k)}^*$  is cyclic.*

*Proof:* As  $L_G^0(\varphi)$  is the centralizer of  $T^{(0)}$  in  $G$  (cf. Subsection 4.1.7), the inner conjugation representation  $T^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\mathfrak{u}^*}$  has a trivial kernel. Thus we can identify  $T^{(0)}$  with a torus of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathfrak{u}^*}$ . The quadruples  $(\mathfrak{u}^-, \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi(-), T^{(0)})$  and  $(\mathfrak{u}^+, F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi, T^{(0)})$  are Sh-canonical lifts. Thus  $(\mathfrak{u}^+, F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$  and  $(\mathfrak{u}^-, \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi(-))$  are cyclic, cf. end of Theorem 1.4.3. Thus  $D_{W(k)}^*$  is cyclic, cf. Fact 2.8.1 (b).  $\square$

**5.1.4. Groups of automorphisms.** Each automorphism of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  normalizes  $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a, b)}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}^*$  and therefore it defines naturally automorphisms of both triples  $(\mathfrak{u}^+, F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$  and  $(\mathfrak{u}^-, \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi(-))$  and therefore also (cf. properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c)) of both  $D_{W(k)}^+$  and  $D_{W(k)}^-$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_1^*$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}_0^*$ ) be the image of the group of automorphisms (resp. of inner automorphisms) of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  in the group  $\mathfrak{A}_2^* := \mathrm{Aut}(D_{W(k)}^*)$ . For  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  we refer to  $\mathfrak{A}_i^*$  as the  *$i$ -th group of automorphisms* of  $D_{W(k)}^*$  with respect to  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . We have  $\mathfrak{A}_0^* \leq \mathfrak{A}_1^* \leq \mathfrak{A}_2^*$ .

## 5.2. Minimal decompositions

Until Section 5.4 we will assume that  $G$  is adjoint, that  $I = S(1, n)$ , and that  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ . We use the notations of Section 3.4 introduced before Subsection 3.4.11. In particular,  $e \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  (see Subsection 3.4.4),  $\ell$  is the rank of the Lie type  $\mathcal{X}$  of every simple factor  $G_i$  of  $G$ , for each  $i \in \tilde{I}_1 \subseteq \tilde{I} = S(1, en)$  we have a semisimple element  $l_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$  (see Section 3.4 and Subsection 3.4.4), for each  $i \in I$  the Lie algebra of  $T_i := G_i \cap T$  is denoted as  $\mathfrak{t}_i$ , for each  $i \in I$  we have a root decomposition  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{t}_i \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_i} \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha)$  (see Subsection 3.4.3), and for each  $i \in I$  we have a standard base  $\Delta_i = \{\alpha_1(i), \dots, \alpha_\ell(i)\}$  of  $\Phi_i$  (see Subsection 3.4.5). Among the possible values of the pair  $(\mathcal{X}, e)$ , we single out the set of exceptional cases

$$\mathfrak{E} := \{(A_\ell, 2) | \ell \in 2\mathbf{N}\}.$$

**5.2.1. Proposition.** *There exists a unique direct sum decomposition*

$$(23) \quad (\mathfrak{u}^*, \varphi(*)) = \bigoplus_{j \in J} (\mathfrak{u}_j^*, \varphi(*))$$

such that the following six properties hold:

(i) if  $(\mathcal{X}, e) \notin \mathfrak{E}$ , then for all elements  $j \in J$  the direct summand  $\mathfrak{u}_j^*$  of  $\mathfrak{u}^*$  is the Lie algebra of a closed subgroup scheme  $U_j$  of  $U_G^*(\varphi)$  that is a product of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  subgroup schemes of  $G$  normalized by  $T$ ; if  $(\mathcal{X}, e) \in \mathfrak{E}$ , then for all  $j \in J$  the direct sum  $\mathfrak{u}_j^* \oplus [\mathfrak{u}_j^*, \mathfrak{u}_j^*]$  is the Lie algebra of a unipotent, smooth, closed subgroup scheme  $U_j$  of  $U_G^*(\varphi)$  normalized by  $T$  and of nilpotent class at most 2 and moreover, if this class is 2 (i.e., if  $[\mathfrak{u}_j^*, \mathfrak{u}_j^*] \neq 0$ ), then there exists an element  $j' \in J$  with the property that  $\mathfrak{u}_{j'}^* = [\mathfrak{u}_j^*, \mathfrak{u}_j^*]$ ;

(ii) for all elements  $j_1, j_2 \in J$  with the property that  $[\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^*, \mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^*] \neq 0$ , there exists a unique element  $j_3 \in J \setminus \{j_1, j_2\}$  such that we have  $[\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^*, \mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^*] \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_{j_3}^*$ ;

(iii) all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^*, \varphi(*))$  are equal and belong to the interval  $(0, 1]$  if  $* = +$  and to the interval  $[0, 1)$  if  $* = -$ ;

(iv) for each element  $j \in J$  there exists an element  $t_j \in \text{Lie}(T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)})$  whose action on every intersection  $\mathfrak{u}_j^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$  with  $i \in I$ , has only one eigenvalue that belongs to  $\mathbf{G}_m(W(k))$ ; if  $e = 1$ , then there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -basis for  $\text{Lie}(T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)})$  formed by elements whose actions on every  $\mathfrak{u}_j^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$  have only one eigenvalue, for all pairs  $(j, i) \in J \times I$ ;

(v) there exists a total ordering (to be denoted as  $\leq$ ) on the set  $J$  of indices, with the property that for each element  $j_1 \in J$  the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{j \leq j_1} \mathfrak{u}_j^*$  is the Lie algebra of a connected, smooth, unipotent, normal, closed subgroup scheme of  $U_G^*(\varphi)$ ;

(vi) if  $(\mathfrak{u}^*, \varphi(*)) = \bigoplus_{j' \in J'} (\mathfrak{u}_{j'}^*, \varphi(*))$  is another decomposition such that the above condition (i) holds, then for each element  $j' \in J'$  there exists an element  $j \in J$  such that we have  $\mathfrak{u}_{j'}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_j^*$ .

*Proof:* For each root  $\alpha \in \Phi_i^*$  we write  $\alpha = * \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} c_s(\alpha) \alpha_s(i)$ , where  $c_s(\alpha) \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let

$$\Phi_i^*(\mathcal{E}) := \{\alpha \in \Phi_i^* | \text{there is } x \in \mathcal{E} \text{ with } c_x(\alpha) \neq 0\}.$$

We have  $(\Phi_i^*(\mathcal{E}) + \Phi_i^*(\mathcal{E})) \cap \Phi_i \subseteq \Phi_i^*(\mathcal{E})$  i.e.,  $\Phi_i^*(\mathcal{E})$  is a closed subset of  $\Phi_i$ . From (7) we get that

$$\mathfrak{u}^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_i = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_i^*(\mathcal{E})} \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha).$$

To define a direct summand  $\mathfrak{u}_j^*$  of  $\mathfrak{u}^*$  that is a  $T$ -module, it is enough to describe for each  $i \in I$  the subset  $\Phi_i^*(j)$  of  $\Phi_i^*(\mathcal{E})$  such that we have

$$\mathfrak{u}_j^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_i = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_i^*(j)} \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha).$$

Let  $J^*$  be the set of orbits of the permutation of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \Phi_i^*(\mathcal{E})$  defined via restriction by the permutation  $\Gamma_{\Phi}$  of Subsection 3.4.3. We identify  $J^*$  with the set  $\overline{J}^*$  of orbits of the permutation of  $\Phi_1^*(\mathcal{E})$  defined via restriction by the permutation  $\Gamma_{\Phi_1}$  of Subsection 3.4.4. The multiplication of roots by  $-1$  defines bijections  $J^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} J^-$  and  $\overline{J}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{J}^-$  and therefore for simplicity we will take  $J := J^+$  and  $\overline{J} := \overline{J}^+$ . Let  $\overline{j} \in \overline{J}$  be the image of an arbitrary element  $j \in J$  under the natural bijection  $J \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{J}$ . For  $j \in J$  let  $\Phi_i^+(j) := \{\alpha \in \Phi_i^*(\mathcal{E}) \mid \alpha \in j\}$ , let  $\Phi_i^-(j) := -\Phi_i^+(j)$ , and let  $\mathfrak{u}_j^* := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_i^*(j)} \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha)$ .

If  $(\mathcal{X}, e) \notin \mathfrak{E}$ , then we have  $(\Phi_i^*(j) + \Phi_i^*(j)) \cap \Phi_i^* = \emptyset$ . If  $(\mathcal{X}, e) \in \mathfrak{E}$ , then either  $(\Phi_i^*(j) + \Phi_i^*(j)) \cap \Phi_i^* = \emptyset$  or there exists an element  $j' \in J$  such that we have identities  $(\Phi_i^*(j) + \Phi_i^*(j)) \cap \Phi_i^* = \Phi_i^*(j')$  and  $(\Phi_i^*(j') + \Phi_i^*(j)) \cap \Phi_i^* = (\Phi_i^*(j') + \Phi_i^*(j')) \cap \Phi_i^* = \emptyset$ . To check all these properties, we can assume that  $i = 1$  and thus we can work only with  $\mathfrak{u}_j^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{u}_{j'}^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ ; thus all these properties follow easily from [Bou2, plates I to VI]. We detail here only the most complicated case when  $(\mathcal{X}, e) = (A_\ell, 2) \in \mathfrak{E}$ . We will work with  $i = 1$  and the set of orbits  $\overline{J}$ . We recall that we drop the lateral right index (1) from the notation of the roots in  $\Phi_1$ , cf. Subsection 3.4.5. The orbit  $\overline{j}$  has one or two elements. If  $\overline{j}$  has only one element, then obviously  $(\Phi_1^*(j) + \Phi_1^*(j)) \cap \Phi_1^* = \emptyset$  as  $2\Phi_1^* \cap \Phi_1^* = \emptyset$ . If  $\overline{j}$  has two elements, then we can write  $\overline{j} = \{\sum_{s=i_1}^{i_2} \alpha_s, \sum_{s=\ell+1-i_2}^{\ell+1-i_1} \alpha_s\}$ , where  $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \ell$  and  $i_1 < \ell + 1 - i_2$ . If  $2i_2 \neq \ell$ , then  $(\Phi_1^*(j) + \Phi_1^*(j)) \cap \Phi_1^* = \emptyset$ . If  $2i_2 = \ell$ , then  $(\Phi_1^*(j) + \Phi_1^*(j)) \cap \Phi_1^* = \{\sum_{s=i_1}^{s=\ell+1-i_1} \alpha_s\}$ ; as  $\overline{j}$  we can take  $\{\sum_{s=i_1}^{s=\ell+1-i_1} \alpha_s\}$  and obviously both sets  $(\Phi_i^*(j') + \Phi_i^*(j)) \cap \Phi_i^*$  and  $(\Phi_i^*(j') + \Phi_i^*(j')) \cap \Phi_i^*$  are empty.

At the level of Lie algebras the previous paragraph gets translated as follows. The direct summand  $\mathfrak{u}_j^*$  of  $\mathfrak{u}^*$  is a commutative Lie subalgebra if  $(\mathcal{X}, e) \notin \mathfrak{E}$ . If  $(\mathcal{X}, e) \in \mathfrak{E}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}_j^*$  is not a commutative Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{u}^*$ , then there exists an element  $j' \in J$  such that we have an inclusion  $[\mathfrak{u}_j^*, \mathfrak{u}_{j'}^*] \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_{j'}^*$ ; this inclusion is a  $W(k)$ -linear isomorphism (cf. Chevalley rule; see the property 3.6.1 (b) for the case  $i = 1$ ). If  $\mathfrak{u}_j^*$  is (resp. is not) a commutative Lie algebra, then  $\Phi_i^*(j)$  (resp. then the union  $\Phi_i^*(j) \cup \Phi_i^*(j')$ ) is a closed subset of  $\Phi_i^*$ . Thus the existence of  $U_j$  follows from [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Cor. 5.6.5]. Therefore (i) holds.

It is easy to check based on [Bou2, plates I to VI] that (ii) holds. For instance, if  $e = 2$  and  $\mathcal{X} = E_6$ , then (ii) is a consequence of the fact that there exists no root  $\alpha \in \Phi_1^*$  such that we have  $\alpha + \Gamma_{\Phi_1}(\alpha) \in \Phi_1^*$ ; this is so as there exists no root  $\alpha \in \Phi_1^*$  such that we have  $c_4(\alpha), c_2(\alpha) \in \{0, 2\}$  and  $c_4(\alpha) + c_2(\alpha) > 0$ .

Formula (6a) implies that all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^*, \varphi(*))$  are equal. The part of (iii) involving intervals was already explained before Lemma 5.1.2. Thus (iii) holds. As  $T^{(0)}$  is generated by the images of  $\sigma_\varphi^m(\mu)$ 's with  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ , the projection of  $\mathfrak{t}^{(0)}$  on



$\mathfrak{g}_1$  has  $\mathcal{B}_{01} := \{\varphi^{1-i}(l_i) | i \in \tilde{I}_1\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_1$  as a  $W(k)$ -basis. We take  $t_j$  to be fixed by  $\varphi$  and such that its component  $t_{j,1}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is a  $\mathbf{G}_m(W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{en}}))$ -linear combination of elements of  $\mathcal{B}_{01}$ . We can choose these coefficients such that (iv) holds. We detail here only the cases  $e \in \{1, 3\}$  as the case  $e = 2$  is very much the same. If  $e = 1$  we take  $t_{j,1}$  to be an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{B}_{01}$  that acts identically on  $\mathfrak{u}_j^-$ . Every  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -basis for  $\text{Lie}(T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)})$  formed by elements whose components in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  are  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -linear combinations of elements of  $\mathcal{B}_{01}$ , satisfies the extra requirement of (iv) for  $e = 1$ . If  $e = 3$ , we take  $t_j$  such that we have  $t_{j,1} = cl_1 + \sigma^n(c)\varphi^n(l_1) + \sigma^{2n}(c)\varphi^{2n}(l_1)$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{G}_m(W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{3n}}))$ . We can take  $c = 1$  except when  $p \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $l_1 + \varphi^n(l_1) + \varphi^{2n}(l_1)$  acts on  $\mathfrak{u}_j^-$  via the multiplication with  $p$ . If  $p = 2$  we require an element  $c$  such that both  $c + \sigma^n(c)$  and  $c + \sigma^{2n}(c)$  (and therefore implicitly also  $\sigma^n(c) + \sigma^{2n}(c)$ ) belong to  $\mathbf{G}_m(W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{3n}}))$ . If  $p = 3$  we require an  $c$  such that  $c + \sigma^n(c) + \sigma^{2n}(c) \in \mathbf{G}_m(W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{3n}}))$ . Obviously such elements  $c \in \mathbf{G}_m(W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{en}}))$  do exist.

Let  $t_{01}$  be the sum of the elements of  $\mathcal{B}_{01}$ . Let  $a_j \in \mathbf{N}$  be the absolute value of the only eigenvalue of the action of  $t_{01}$  on  $\mathfrak{u}_j^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ . This makes sense i.e., we do have only one such eigenvalue which is positive, as for each root  $\alpha \in \bar{j}$  the sum  $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} c_x(\alpha)$  depends only on  $\bar{j}$  and not on  $\alpha$  (cf. constructions) and as the semisimple element  $l_i$  acts identically on  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}_i)$  (see paragraph before Subsection 3.4.1). We choose a total ordering of  $J$  such that for two elements  $j_1, j_2 \in J$ , the strict inequality  $a_{j_1} < a_{j_2}$  implies  $j_1 > j_2$ . If  $j_1, j_2$ , and  $j_3$  are as in (ii), then  $a_{j_3} = a_{j_1} + a_{j_2}$ . Thus for each element  $j_1 \in J$ , the set  $\cup_{j \leq j_1} \Phi_i^*(j)$  is a closed subset of  $\Phi_i$ . Therefore (v) follows from [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Cor. 5.6.5].

The minimality part of (vi) is implied by the fact that for each element  $j \in J$  the set  $\cup_{i=1}^n \Phi_i^*(j)$  is permuted transitively by  $\Gamma_\Phi$ .  $\square$

**5.2.2. Simple properties.** (a) If the group scheme  $U_j$  is (resp. is not) commutative, then we say  $j$  is of *type 1* (resp. of *type 2*). The element  $j \in J$  is of type 2 if and only if  $(\mathcal{X}, e) \in \mathfrak{E}$  and we have  $\bar{j} = \{\sum_{s \in S(i_1, \frac{\ell}{2})} \alpha_s, \sum_{s \in S(\frac{\ell}{2}+1, \ell+1-i_1)} \alpha_s\}$  for some number  $i_1 \in S(1, \frac{\ell}{2})$ , cf. proof of Proposition 5.2.1. If  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  have the same type, then either  $[\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^*, \mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^*] = 0$  or the element  $j_3 \in J$  as in the property 5.2.1 (ii) is of type 1. If  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  have distinct types and if  $[\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^*, \mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^*] \neq 0$ , then the element  $j_3 \in J$  as in the property 5.2.1 (ii) can be of either type 1 or type 2. For instance, if  $\mathcal{X} = A_4$ ,  $e = 2$ ,  $\bar{j}_1 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_4\}$ , and  $\bar{j}_2 = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ , then  $\bar{j}_3 := \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_3 + \alpha_4\}$ ,  $j_1$  is of type 1 and  $j_2$  and  $j_3$  are of type 2.

(b) For  $i \in I$  the image of  $T^{(0)}$  in  $G_i$  has rank  $|\mathcal{E}|$ . To check this we can assume that  $i = 1$ . But this case follows from the fact that the  $W(k)$ -basis  $\mathcal{B}_{01}$  of the proof of Proposition 5.2.1 has  $|\mathcal{E}|$  elements. However, often the rank of  $T^{(0)}$  is less than  $n|\mathcal{E}|$ .

If  $|\mathcal{E}| = 1$  and if  $t \in \text{Lie}(T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)})$  generates a direct summand of  $\text{Lie}(T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)})$ , then the action of  $t$  on  $\mathfrak{u}^-$  is a  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism. To check this, we first remark that the component of  $t$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is a  $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ -multiple of  $l_1$  and moreover we have  $[l_1, x] = x$  if  $x \in \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \mathfrak{g}_1 = \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$  (as  $|\mathcal{E}| = 1$  this last identity follows from (7)). Thus  $[t, \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \mathfrak{g}_1] = \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$  and therefore (as  $t$  is fixed by  $\varphi$ ) we have  $[t, \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \mathfrak{g}_i] = \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

(c) Let  $D_{j, W(k)}^+$  and  $D_{j, W(k)}^-$  be the  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné modules are  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^+, \mathfrak{u}_j^+ \cap F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$  and  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^-, \mathfrak{u}_j^- \cap \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi(-))$  (respectively). To

the decomposition (23) corresponds naturally a product decomposition

$$D_{W(k)}^* = \prod_{j \in J} D_{j, W(k)}^*.$$

**5.2.3. Remark.** The direct summands  $\mathfrak{u}_j^*$  of  $\mathfrak{u}^*$  are normalized by every inner automorphism of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  defined by an element of  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . The torus  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  and therefore also the decomposition (23) is uniquely determined up to inner automorphisms of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . If  $e \in \{1, 3\}$ , then we have a variant of Proposition 5.2.1 in which properties 5.2.1 (i) to (v) hold and the property 5.2.1 (vi) gets replaced by the following maximal property:

**(vi')** *if  $(\mathfrak{u}^*, \varphi(*)) = \oplus_{j' \in J'} (\mathfrak{u}_{j'}^*, \varphi(*))$  is another decomposition such that properties 5.2.1 (i) and (iv) hold, then for each element  $j' \in J'$  there exists an element  $j \in J$  such that we have  $\mathfrak{u}_{j'}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_j^*$ .*

For  $e = 3$  we refer to Example 5.3.6 below. If  $e = 1$ , then due to the property 5.2.1 (iv), we can take  $J'$  to be the set of all functions  $c : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  for which there exists a root  $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+(\mathcal{E})$  such that we have  $c(x) = c_x(\alpha)$  for all elements  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ . Accordingly, we can take  $\Phi_i^+(c) := \{\alpha \in \Phi_i^+ | c_x(\alpha) = c(x) \forall x \in \mathcal{E}\}$ .

Such maximal decompositions have the advantages that involve sets  $J$  with a smaller number of elements and that they are normalized by each inner automorphism of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . But from the point of view of refinements and of treating all cases at once, it is more adequate to work with minimal decompositions instead of maximal decompositions.

**5.2.4. Duality.** The non-degenerate Killing form  $\mathfrak{K}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  induces a bilinear form

$$b_j : \mathfrak{u}_j^- \otimes \mathfrak{u}_j^+ \rightarrow W(k).$$

If  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_i$  and  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ , then we have  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha), \mathfrak{g}_i(\beta)) = 0$  (cf. [Hu, Ch. II, Subsect. 8.1, Prop.] applied over  $\overline{B(k)}$ ). Thus  $b_j$  factors through a bilinear form

$$\oplus_{i \in I} \oplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_i^+(j)} \mathfrak{g}_i(-\alpha) \otimes_{W(k)} \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha) \rightarrow W(k).$$

All roots in  $\Phi_i^*(j)$  are permuted by  $\text{Aut}(\Phi_i)$  and thus have equal length. Thus all roots in  $\Phi_i^*(j)$  are permuted transitively by the Weyl subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Phi_i)$ , cf. [Hu, Ch. II, Subsect. 10.4, Lemma C]. This implies that there exists a non-negative integer  $n_j$  such that each bilinear form  $\mathfrak{g}_i(-\alpha) \otimes_{W(k)} \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha) \rightarrow W(k)$  with  $\alpha \in \Phi_i^+(j)$ , is  $p^{n_j}$  times a perfect bilinear form (i.e., it gives birth naturally to a  $W(k)$ -monomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}_i(-\alpha) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha), W(k))$  whose image is  $p^{n_j} \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha), W(k))$ ). Therefore we can write  $b_j = p^{n_j} b_j^0$ , where  $b_j^0 : \mathfrak{u}_j^- \otimes \mathfrak{u}_j^+ \rightarrow W(k)$  is a perfect bilinear form. Let  $s_j^+ : \mathfrak{u}_j^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{u}_j^-, W(k))$  and  $s_j^- : \mathfrak{u}_j^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{u}_j^+, W(k))$  be the isomorphisms defined naturally by  $b_j^0$ .

For all elements  $x \in \mathfrak{u}_j^-$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{u}_j^+$  we have  $b_j^0(\varphi(-)(x), \varphi(y)) = p\sigma(b_j^0(x, y))$ . Thus, as  $\mathfrak{K}$  is fixed by  $G$  and therefore by  $\text{Im}(\mu)$ , the perfect bilinear morphism of filtered Dieudonné modules

$$b_j^0 : (\mathfrak{u}_j^-, \mathfrak{u}_j^- \cap \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi(-)) \otimes (\mathfrak{u}_j^+, \mathfrak{u}_j^+ \cap F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi) \rightarrow (W(k), W(k), p\sigma)$$

defines naturally (via  $s_j^-$ ) an isomorphism between  $(D_{j,W(k)}^+)^t$  and  $D_{j,W(k)}^-$ .

**5.2.5. Commutating maps.** Let

$$J_3 := \{(j_1, j_2, j_3) \in J^3 \mid 0 \neq [\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^-, \mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^-] \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_{j_3}^-\}.$$

We can have an element  $(j_1, j_1, j_3) \in J_3$  if and only if  $(\mathcal{X}, e) \in \mathfrak{E}$ . For  $(j_1, j_2, j_3) \in J_3$  we consider the Lie bracket bilinear form  $\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^- \otimes_{W(k)} \mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^- \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_{j_3}^-$ ; it is non-zero (cf. property 3.6.1 (a)). Passing to duals and using the isomorphisms  $s_{j_i}^+$ 's, we get a cobilinear (non-zero) morphism

$$(24) \quad c_{j_1, j_2} : (\mathfrak{u}_{j_3}^+, \mathfrak{u}_{j_3}^+ \cap F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^+, \mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^+ \cap F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} (\mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^+, \mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^+ \cap F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$$

of filtered Dieudonné modules.

**5.2.6. Standard reductive subgroup schemes.** Let  $j \in J$ . It is easy to check that  $[\mathrm{Lie}(U_j^+), \mathrm{Lie}(U_j^-)] \subseteq \mathrm{Lie}(T)$ . Thus the direct sum

$$\mathrm{Lie}(T) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(U_j^+) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(U_j^-)$$

is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which contains  $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$  and therefore which is normalized by  $T$ . Let  $G_j$  be the unique reductive, closed subgroup scheme of  $G$  that contains  $T$  and whose Lie algebra is this last direct sum, cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Def. 5.4.2, Thm. 5.4.7, and Prop. 5.10.1]. The triple

$$(M, \varphi, G_j)$$

is an Sh-ordinary  $p$ -divisible object (cf. Subsection 4.3.2).

For  $j \in J$  and  $i \in I$  we have  $|\Phi_i^*(j)| \leq e$ . Moreover, if  $(\mathcal{X}, e) \notin \mathfrak{E}$  (resp. if  $(\mathcal{X}, e) \in \mathfrak{E}$ ), then for each number  $i \in S(1, n)$  the adjoint group scheme of  $G_j \cap G_i$  is a  $\mathbf{PGL}_2^{e_j}$  group scheme with  $e_j \in S(1, e)$  (resp. is either a  $\mathbf{PGL}_2$  or a  $\mathbf{PGL}_2^2$  or a  $\mathbf{PGL}_3$  group scheme). As  $j$  is an orbit of  $\Gamma_\Phi$ , the simple factors of  $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{j,B(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}})$  are permuted transitively by  $\varphi$ .

For the rest of this Section we present four examples.

**5.2.7. Example.** Let  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  be the standard ordered  $W(k)$ -basis for  $W(k)^n$ . We consider the case when  $\mathcal{E}$  has only one element  $x$ . Thus  $e = 1$  and we have  $\Gamma_\Phi(\alpha_s(i)) = \alpha_s(i+1)$  for all pairs  $(i, s) \in I \times S(1, \ell)$  (see (6a) and Subsection 3.4.5). Let  $f : \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the function that takes the modulo  $n$  class  $[i]$  defined by  $i \in I$  to 1 if and only if  $\eta_i \neq 0$  (i.e., if and only if  $\eta_i = x$ ). Let  $d \in S(1, n)$  be such that the modulo  $n$  class  $[d]$  is the period of  $f$ ; it is a divisor of  $n$ . Let  $D_1$  be the circular  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(W(k)^n, F_1^1, \varphi_n)$ , where  $\varphi_n$  takes  $e_i$  to  $p^{f([i])}e_{i+1}$  with  $e_{n+1} := e_1$  and where  $F_1^1 := \bigoplus_{i \in S(1, n), f([i])=1} W(k)e_i$ . Let  $D_0$  be the circular indecomposable  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(W(k)^d, F_0^1, \varphi_d)$ , where  $\varphi_d$  takes the  $d$ -tuple  $(e_1, \dots, e_d)$  to the  $d$ -tuple  $(p^{f([1])}e_2, \dots, p^{f([d])}e_1)$  and where  $F_0^1 := \bigoplus_{i \in S(1, d), f([i])=1} W(k)e_i$ .

From (6a) we get that  $D_{W(k)}^+$  is a direct sum of  $\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(F^1(\mathfrak{g}_1))$  copies of  $D_1$ . More precisely, each  $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$  that contains  $\alpha_x$  in its expression defines an orbit  $\{\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(n)\}$

of  $J$  to which corresponds uniquely one such copy of  $D_1$ . Let  $T_n$  be the maximal torus of  $\mathbf{GL}_{W(k)^n}$  that normalizes all  $W(k)e_i$ 's with  $i \in S(1, n)$ . We know that  $(W(k)^n, F_1^1, \varphi_n)$  is a direct sum of  $\frac{n}{d}$  copies of  $(W(k)^d, F_0^1, \varphi_d)$ , cf. Subsection 4.1.1 applied to  $(W(k)^n, F_1^1, \varphi_n, T_n)$ . Thus  $D_1$  is a direct sum of  $\frac{n}{d}$  copies of  $D_0$ , cf. properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c). Thus

$$D_{W(k)}^+ = D_0^{\frac{\mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(F^1(\mathfrak{g}_1))}{d}}.$$

If  $(f[1], \dots, f[d])$  is up to a cyclic permutation  $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$  (resp.  $(1, \dots, 1, 0)$ ), then  $D_0$  is the unique circular indecomposable  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  of height  $d$  and Newton polygon slope  $\frac{1}{d}$  (resp. and Newton polygon slope  $\frac{d-1}{d}$ ) and we denote it by  $D_{\frac{1}{d}}$  (resp. by  $D_{\frac{d-1}{d}}$ ). If  $d = 4$  and  $(f[1], \dots, f[4])$  is up to a cyclic permutation  $(1, 1, 0, 0)$ , then  $D_0$  is the unique circular indecomposable  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  of height 4 and Newton polygon slope  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

**5.2.8. Example.** We consider the case when  $n = 1$ ,  $e = 2$ ,  $\mathcal{X} = D_\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 4$ , and  $\mathcal{E} = \{\ell - 1, \ell\}$ . For what follows, it is irrelevant if  $\eta_1$  is  $\ell - 1$  or  $\ell$ . The number of roots in  $\Phi_1^+$  that contain the sum  $\alpha_{\ell-1} + \alpha_\ell$  in their expressions, is  $\frac{(\ell-2)(\ell-1)}{2}$ . The number of roots in  $\Phi_1^+$  that contain  $\alpha_{\ell-1}$  but do not contain  $\alpha_\ell$  in their expressions, is  $\ell - 1$ . See [Bou2, plate IV] for the last two sentences. Thus there exist  $\frac{(\ell-2)(\ell-1)}{2}$  (resp.  $\ell - 1$ ) orbits of  $\Gamma_{\Phi_1}$  (i.e., elements of  $\overline{J} = J$ ) that have only one element (resp. have two elements).

By the *standard trace form* on  $\mathfrak{g}$  we mean the form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  induced by the trace form on  $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$  associated to a standard simple  $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -module  $W$  of dimension  $2\ell$  (if  $\ell = 4$  we have three such standard simple  $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -modules; all of them give birth to the same standard trace form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). If  $\mathfrak{s}$  is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$  normalized by  $T_{B(k)}$ , then the  $\mathfrak{s}$ -module  $W$  is a direct sum of a trivial  $\mathfrak{s}$ -module of dimension  $2\ell - 4$  and of two copies of the standard irreducible  $\mathfrak{s}$ -module of dimension 2 (to check this, one can allow the case when  $\ell$  is 2 and can use the fact that the  $D_2$  Lie type is in fact the  $A_1 + A_1$  Lie type). This implies that the standard trace form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  induces a bilinear form  $\mathfrak{u}_j^- \otimes \mathfrak{u}_j^+ \rightarrow W(k)$  that is 2 times a perfect bilinear form. Let  $n'_j \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  be the greatest number such that  $p^{n'_j}$  divides  $\ell - 2$ . The Killing form  $\mathfrak{K}$  is  $2(\ell - 2)$  times the standard trace form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  (for the analogue of this over  $\mathbf{C}$  see [He, Ch. III, §8, (11)]). We conclude that if  $p \geq 3$  (resp. if  $p = 2$ ), then  $n_j = n'_j$  (resp.  $n_j = 2 + n'_j$ ).

Let  $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$  be as in the end of Example 5.2.7. We have a direct sum decomposition

$$D_{W(k)}^+ = \mu_{p^\infty}^{\frac{(\ell-2)(\ell-1)}{2}} \oplus D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\ell-1},$$

where to each  $j \in J$  with  $|j| = 1$  (resp.  $|j| = 2$ ) corresponds a copy of  $\mu_{p^\infty}$  (resp. of  $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ ).

**5.2.9. Example.** We consider the case when  $e = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{X} = A_\ell$ ,  $\ell \geq 3$ , and  $\mathcal{E} = S(1, \ell)$ . Thus  $n \geq \ell$ . We have  $P_G^+(\varphi) \cap G_1 = \cap_{x=1}^\ell P_x = B \cap G_1$ , cf. Corollary 3.6.3 for the first identity. As  $e = 1$ , each set  $\Phi_i^*(j)$  has only one root. Thus the derived group scheme of the intersection  $G_j \cap G_i$  is an  $\mathbf{SL}_2$  group scheme, for all  $j \in J$  and all  $i \in S(1, n)$ . The standard trace form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  induces a perfect bilinear form  $\mathfrak{u}_j^- \otimes \mathfrak{u}_j^+ \rightarrow W(k)$ . Moreover, the Killing

form  $\mathfrak{K}$  is  $2(\ell + 1)$  times the standard trace form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  (for the analogue of this over  $\mathbf{C}$  see [He, Ch. III, §8, (5)]). Thus the number  $n_j \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  of Subsection 5.2.4, is the greatest number such that  $p^{n_j} | 2(\ell + 1)$ . The number of positive roots in  $\Phi_i$  is  $\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}$  and therefore the height of  $D_{W(k)}^*$  is  $\frac{n\ell(\ell+1)}{2}$ . The dimension of  $D_k^+$  is the rank of  $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$  and therefore depends on the values of  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$  computed with multiplicities. If  $n = \ell \geq 4$ , then the isomorphism class of  $D_{W(k)}^+$  depends on the  $\eta$ -type  $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . Thus for simplicity we will consider here only the cases when  $n = \ell \in \{3, 4\}$ . To fix the notations, we can assume that  $\eta_1 = 1$ .

We first consider the case when  $n = \ell = 3$ . Thus  $|J| = |\overline{J}| = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{u}^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_1) = 6$ . If  $p = 2$ , then  $n_j = 3$ . If  $p > 2$ , then  $n_j = 0$ . We have two possibilities for the  $\eta$ -type:  $(1, 2, 3)$  and  $(1, 3, 2)$  (the first one relates to Example 1.4.6).

See end of Example 5.2.7 for  $D_{\frac{1}{3}}$  and  $D_{\frac{2}{3}}$ . We have a direct sum decomposition

$$D_{W(k)}^+ = \mu_{p^\infty}^3 \oplus D_{\frac{2}{3}}^2 \oplus D_{\frac{1}{3}}^3$$

(and therefore the dimension of  $D_k^+$  is 10). This decomposition corresponds to the following direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{u}^+ \cap \mathfrak{g}_1 = (\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_3})$$

left invariant by  $\varphi^3$ .

We now consider the case when  $n = \ell = 4$ . Thus  $|J| = |\overline{J}| = \text{rk}_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{u}^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_1) = 10$ . If  $p$  divides 10, then  $n_j = 1$ . If  $p > 5$  or if  $p = 3$ , then  $n_j = 0$ . We have six possibilities for the  $\eta$ -type  $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4) = (1, \eta_1, \eta_3, \eta_4)$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . We have a direct sum decomposition

$$D_{W(k)}^+ = \mu_{p^\infty}^4 \oplus D_{\frac{3}{4}}^2 \oplus D_{2,4}^{m_1} \oplus D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{m_2} \oplus D_{\frac{1}{4}}^4,$$

where  $m_1, m_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and where  $D_{\frac{1}{2}}, D_{\frac{1}{4}}, D_{2,4}$ , and  $D_{\frac{3}{4}}$  are as in the end of Example 5.2.7. If  $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_4)$  is  $(1, 2, 3, 4)$  or  $(1, 4, 3, 2)$ , then  $(m_1, m_2) = (3, 0)$  and the  $D_{2,4}^3$  factor of  $D_{W(k)}^+$  corresponds to the elements  $\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}, \{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}, \{\alpha_3 + \alpha_4\} \in \overline{J}$ . If  $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_4)$  is  $(1, 2, 4, 3)$  or  $(1, 3, 4, 2)$ , then  $(m_1, m_2) = (2, 2)$ , the  $D_{2,4}^2$  factor of  $D_{W(k)}^+$  corresponds to the elements  $\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}, \{\alpha_3 + \alpha_4\} \in \overline{J}$ , and the  $D_{\frac{1}{2}}^2$  factor of  $D_{W(k)}^+$  corresponds to the element  $\{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\} \in \overline{J}$ . If  $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_4)$  is  $(1, 3, 2, 4)$  or  $(1, 4, 2, 3)$ , then  $(m_1, m_2) = (1, 4)$ , the  $D_{2,4}$  factor of  $D_{W(k)}^+$  corresponds to the element  $\{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\} \in \overline{J}$ , and the  $D_{\frac{1}{4}}^4$  factor of  $D_{W(k)}^+$  corresponds to the elements  $\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}, \{\alpha_3 + \alpha_4\} \in \overline{J}$ . The dimension of  $D_k^+$  is 20.

**5.2.10. Example.** We consider the case when  $n = 1$ ,  $e = 2$ , and  $\mathcal{X} = A_2$ . Thus  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is a  $\mathbf{PGU}_3$  group scheme. Let  $j \in J = \overline{J}$ . Thus  $j$  is either  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$  or  $\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$ . We can assume that the  $\eta$ -type of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  is  $(1, 2)$ . Thus  $\varphi$  takes  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2}$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$  to  $p\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_2}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}$ , and  $p\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$  (respectively). Thus we have a direct sum decomposition  $D_{W(k)}^+ = \mu_{p^\infty} \oplus D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Moreover if  $j = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ , then  $G_j = G$  is a  $\mathbf{PGL}_3$  group scheme.

### 5.3. Formal Lie groups: the adjoint, cyclic case

Let  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{N}}$ ) be the category of abstract abelian (resp. of abstract nilpotent) groups. Let  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}$  be the category of sets. Let  $I_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{A}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}$  and  $I_{\mathfrak{N}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}$  be the functors that forget the group structures. Let  $\text{Art}(W(k))$  be the category of local, artinian  $W(k)$ -schemes that have  $k$  as their residue fields. We denote by  $Z$  an arbitrary object of  $\text{Art}(W(k))$ . We will use the setting of Section 5.2. We emphasize that in what follows all products indexed by elements  $j \in J$  are ordered in accordance with the ordering of  $J$  of the property 5.2.1 (v).

**5.3.1. The first formal Lie group.** By the first formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_1$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  we mean the formal Lie group over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$  of  $D_{W(k)}^+$ . Thus the contravariant functor defined by  $\mathcal{F}_1$  (and denoted also by  $\mathcal{F}_1$ )

$$\mathcal{F}_1 : \text{Art}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

is such that we have  $\mathcal{F}_1(Z) := \cup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \text{Hom}_Z(Z, D_Z^+[p^m])$ . The dimension of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is the dimension of  $D_k^+$  and therefore it is the rank of  $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$ .

For  $j \in J$  let  $\mathcal{F}_{1,j}$  be the formal Lie group over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$  of the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{j,W(k)}^+$  of the property 5.2.2 (c). We have a product decomposition

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}_{1,j}.$$

If  $U_j^-$  is (resp. is not) commutative, then  $\mathcal{F}_{1,j}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_{1,j} \times \mathcal{F}_{1,j'}$  with  $j'$  as in the property 5.2.1 (i)) is the first formal Lie group of  $(\text{Lie}(G_j^{\text{ad}}), \varphi, G_j^{\text{ad}})$ .

**5.3.2. Bilinear morphisms.** Let  $(j_1, j_2, j_3) \in J_3$ . It is known that to (24) corresponds naturally a (non-zero) bilinear commuting morphism

$$(25) \quad C_{j_1, j_2} : D_{j_1, W(k)}^+ \times_{W(k)} D_{j_2, W(k)}^+ \rightarrow D_{j_3, W(k)}^+.$$

As we do not know a reference for this result, for the rest of this paragraph we will present the very essence of its proof. Let  $\bar{c}_{j_1, j_2}$  be the morphism of  $F$ -crystals over  $k$  defined naturally by (24) by forgetting the filtrations. For  $s \in S(1, 3)$  and  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , we write  $D_{j_s, W(k)}^+[p^m] = \text{Spec}(R_{j_s})$ . Let  $I_{j_s}$  be the ideal of  $R_{j_s}$  that defines the identity section of  $D_{j_s, W(k)}^+[p^m]$ . We consider the homomorphism  $\bar{h}_{j_1, m} : \mathbf{Z}/p^m \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow D_{j_1, W(k)}^+[p^m]_{R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1}}$  over  $\text{Spec}(R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1})$  defined naturally by  $1_{R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1}}$ . By composing the extension of (24) (viewed without filtrations but with Verschiebung maps and connections) to  $R_{j_1}/p^m R_{j_1}$  with  $\mathbf{D}(\bar{h}_{j_1, m}) \otimes \mathbf{D}(1_{D_{j_2, W(k)}^+[p^m]_{R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1}}})$ , we get a morphism

$$\bar{h}_{j_1, j_2, j_3, m} : \mathbf{D}(D_{j_3, W(k)}^+[p^m]_{R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(D_{j_2, W(k)}^+[p^m]_{R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1}}).$$

As all Newton polygon slopes of the special fibre of  $D_{j_1, W(k)}^+$  are positive, the finite flat group scheme  $D_{j_1, W(k)}^+[p^m]_k$  is connected. From this and [Wa, 14.4] we get that  $R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1}$  is

a  $k$ -algebra of the form  $k[x_1, \dots, x_{u_{j_1}}]/(x_1^{n_{1,j_1}}, \dots, x_{u_{j_1}}^{n_{u_{j_1},j_1}})$ , where  $u_{j_1}, n_{1,j_1}, \dots, n_{u_{j_1},j_1} \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Thus the Dieudonné functor  $\mathbf{D}$  is fully faithful on finite, flat, commutative group schemes over  $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1}) = D_{j_1, W(k)}^+[p^m]_k$ , cf. [BM, Rm. 4.3.2 (i)]. Therefore we can write

$$\bar{h}_{j_1, j_2, j_3, m} = \mathbf{D}(\bar{c}_{j_1, j_2, j_3, m}),$$

where  $\bar{c}_{j_1, j_2, j_3, m} : D_{j_2, W(k)}^+[p^m]_{R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1}} \rightarrow D_{j_3, W(k)}^+[p^m]_{R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1}}$  is a homomorphism over  $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1})$ . It is defined naturally by a  $k$ -homomorphism  $R_{j_3}/pR_{j_3} \rightarrow R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1} \otimes_k R_{j_2}/pR_{j_2}$  which in fact also defines the special fibre of

$$C_{j_1, j_2}[p^m] : D_{j_1, W(k)}^+[p^m] \times_{W(k)} D_{j_2, W(k)}^+[p^m] \rightarrow D_{j_3, W(k)}^+[p^m].$$

As  $I_{j_1}$  modulo  $p$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $R_{j_1}/pR_{j_1}$ , the natural divided power structure of the ideal  $pI_{j_1}$  of  $R_{j_1}$  is nilpotent modulo  $p^m$ . Thus the Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory (applied in the context of  $\bar{c}_{j_1, j_2, j_3, m}$ ) allows us to construct the fibre of  $C_{j_1, j_2}[p^m]$  over  $W_m(k)$ . By taking  $m \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $C_{j_1, j_2}$ .

We denote also by  $C_{j_1, j_2} : \mathcal{F}_{1, j_1} \times \mathcal{F}_{1, j_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1, j_3}$  the bilinear morphism of formal Lie groups over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  that corresponds naturally to (25).

**5.3.3. Lemma.** *For this Lemma only, we will take  $k$  to be an arbitrary perfect field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $D_{W(k)}$  be an arbitrary  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  whose special fibre  $D$  does not have slope 0. Let  $r$  be the rank of  $D$ . Let  $d$  be the dimension of  $D$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_{D_{W(k)}} : \mathrm{Art}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  be the functor that takes  $Z \in \mathrm{Art}(W(k))$  to the abelian group of isomorphism classes of extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  (over  $Z$ ) through  $D_Z$  (i.e., of isomorphism classes of short exact sequences of the form  $0 \rightarrow D_Z \rightarrow \tilde{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$ ). Then  $\mathcal{E}_{D_{W(k)}}$  is represented by the formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_{D_{W(k)}}$  of  $D_{W(k)}$  (i.e., there exists a natural equivalence  $h_{D_{W(k)}} : \mathcal{F}_{D_{W(k)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{D_{W(k)}}$ ) and therefore it has dimension  $d$ .*

*Proof:* Each morphism  $m_Z : Z \rightarrow D_{W(k)}$  over  $W(k)$  defines naturally a homomorphism  $n_Z : \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow D_Z$  over  $Z$ . By pushing forward the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$  (viewed over  $Z$ ) via  $n_Z$ , we get a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow D_Z \rightarrow \tilde{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$  over  $Z$  to be denoted as  $h_{D_{W(k)}}(Z)(m_Z)$ . The association  $m_Z \rightarrow h_{D_{W(k)}}(Z)(m_Z)$  defines a natural transformation  $h_{D_{W(k)}} : \mathcal{F}_{D_{W(k)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{D_{W(k)}}$ . If  $h_{D_{W(k)}}(m_Z)$  splits, then  $n_Z$  extends to a homomorphism  $o_Z : \mathbf{Q}_p \rightarrow D_Z$ . But as  $Z \in \mathrm{Art}(W(k))$ , each such homomorphism  $o_Z$  is trivial and this implies that  $m_Z$  factors through the identity section of  $D_{W(k)}$ . Thus  $h_{D_{W(k)}}$  is a monomorphism natural transformation i.e., each homomorphism  $h_{D_{W(k)}}(Z) : \mathcal{F}_{D_{W(k)}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{D_{W(k)}}(Z)$  is a monomorphism.

It is well known that  $\mathcal{F}_{D_{W(k)}}$  is a formal Lie group over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  of dimension  $d$ . For the rest of this paragraph we check that  $\mathcal{E}_{D_{W(k)}}$  is also a formal Lie group over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  of dimension  $d$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}(D) : \mathrm{Art}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}$  and  $\mathcal{D}(D \oplus \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p) : \mathrm{Art}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{S}}$  be the functors that parameterize isomorphism classes of deformations of  $D$  and  $D \oplus \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  (respectively). Each deformation of  $D \oplus \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  over  $Z$  is naturally the extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  (over  $Z$ ) through a deformation of  $D$  over  $Z$ . This gives birth naturally to an epimorphism natural transformation  $\mathcal{D}(D \oplus \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{D}(D)$ . The pull back back of this last natural transformation via the trivial subfunctor  $\mathcal{D}(D)_{\mathrm{triv}}$  of  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  that defines trivial deformations

of  $D$ , is canonically identified with a natural transformation  $I_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \mathcal{E}_{D_{W(k)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(D)_{\text{triv}}$ . The last two sentences imply that  $\mathcal{E}_{D_{W(k)}}$  is representable by a formal Lie group over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ . The dimensions of  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(D \oplus \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)$  are  $d(r-d)$  and  $d(r+1-d)$  (respectively), cf. [Il, Thm. 4.8]. Thus the dimension of  $\mathcal{E}_{D_{W(k)}}$  is  $d(r+1-d) - d(r-d) = d$ .

As  $h_{D_{W(k)}}(\text{Spec}(k[x]/x^2))$  is an injective  $k$ -linear map between  $k$ -vector spaces of dimension  $d$ , it is a  $k$ -linear isomorphism. This implies that  $h_{D_{W(k)}}$  is a natural equivalence.  $\square$

**5.3.4. The second formal Lie group.** Let  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{|J|}$  be the elements of  $J$  listed increasingly. We now construct a second contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{F}_2 : \text{Art}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{N}}.$$

For  $j \in J$  let  $e_{j,Z}$  be the identity element of  $\mathcal{F}_{1,j}(Z)$  and let  $B_j(Z) := \cup_{j_1 \in J, j_1 \leq j} \mathcal{F}_{1,j_1}(Z)$ , the union being disjoint. Let  $\mathcal{F}_2(Z)$  be the quotient of the free group  $F(B_{s_{|J|}}(Z))$  on the set  $B_{s_{|J|}}(Z)$  by the following three types of relations:

- (a)  $x_1 x_2 = x_3$ , if there exists an element  $j \in J$  such that  $\mathfrak{u}_j^*$  is commutative and  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{F}_{1,j}(Z)$  satisfy the relation  $x_1 x_2 = x_3$ ;
- (b)  $e_{j_1,Z}^2 = e_{j_1,Z} = e_{j_2,Z}$  for all  $j_1, j_2 \in J$ ;
- (c)  $x_1 x_2 x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1} = x_3$ , if there exists a triple  $(j_1, j_2, j_3) \in J_3$  such that we have  $x_i \in \mathcal{F}_{1,j_i}(Z)$  for  $i \in S(1,3)$  and  $C_{j_1,j_2}(Z)(x_1, x_2) = x_3$ .

If  $Z \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$  is a morphism of  $\text{Art}(W(k))$ , then the homomorphisms  $\mathcal{F}_{1,j}(\tilde{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1,j}(Z)$  are compatible with the above type of relations and therefore define a homomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_2(\tilde{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2(Z)$ . Let  $x = (x_{s_1}, \dots, x_{s_{|J|}}) \in \mathcal{F}_1(Z)$ , where  $x_{s_i} \in \mathcal{F}_{1,s_i}(Z)$ . The map of sets

$$p_Z : \mathcal{F}_1(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2(Z)$$

that takes  $x$  to  $x_{s_1} x_{s_2} \cdots x_{s_{|J|}}$ , is a natural transformation between  $I_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $I_{\mathfrak{N}} \circ \mathcal{F}_2$  that in fact is an isomorphism. Thus  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is representable by a formal Lie group over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$  denoted also by  $\mathcal{F}_2$  and called the second formal Lie group of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{2,j}(Z)$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}_2(Z)$  generated by  $B_j(Z)$ . We get a subfunctor

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,j} : \text{Art}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{N}}$$

of  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . As  $p_Z(\prod_{j_1 \leq j} \mathcal{F}_{1,j_1}(Z)) = \mathcal{F}_{2,j}(Z)$ , this subfunctor is defined by a formal Lie subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}_2$  to be denoted also by  $\mathcal{F}_{2,j}$ . We get a filtration

$$(26) \quad 0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2,s_1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2,s_2} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2,s_{|J|}} = \mathcal{F}_2$$

by normal formal Lie subgroups. We have natural identifications  $\mathcal{F}_{2,s_1} = \mathcal{F}_{1,s_1}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{2,s_i}/\mathcal{F}_{2,s_{i-1}} = \mathcal{F}_{1,s_i}$ , with  $i \in S(2, |J|)$ .

**5.3.5. Remarks.** (a) The nilpotent class of  $\mathcal{F}_2(Z)$  is at most  $|\mathcal{E}|$ . If  $|\mathcal{E}| \geq 2$ , then it is easy to see that the identities of the property 3.6.1 (b) imply that there exist objects  $Z$  of  $\text{Art}(W(k))$  such that the nilpotent class of  $\mathcal{F}_2(Z)$  is  $|\mathcal{E}|$ .



(b) The filtration (26) depends on the ordering of  $J$ . The isomorphism class of the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{W(k)}^+$  as well as of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  depend only on the type of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  as defined in Subsection 3.4.9.

In the next two examples, the group law of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  (resp. of  $\mathcal{F}_2$ ) will be denoted additively (resp. multiplicatively). If  $[u_{j_1}, u_{j_2}] = 0$ , let  $C_{j_1, j_2} : \mathcal{F}_{1, j_1} \times \mathcal{F}_{1, j_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1, s_1}$  be the zero bilinear morphism.

**5.3.6. Example.** Let  $e = 3$ . The set  $J$  has five elements  $\{s_1, \dots, s_5\}$ . They can be described via  $\overline{J} = \{\overline{s}_1, \dots, \overline{s}_5\}$  as follows:  $\overline{s}_5 := \{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$ ,  $\overline{s}_4 := \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4\}$ ,  $\overline{s}_3 := \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4\}$ ,  $\overline{s}_2 := \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4\}$ , and  $\overline{s}_1 := \{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4\}$ . The set  $J$  has four possible orderings such that the property 5.2.1 (v) holds: they are defined by choosing which one among  $s_4$  and  $s_5$  and respectively among  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  is greater. Thus filtration (26) is not uniquely determined. The maximal decomposition mentioned in Remark 5.2.3 is defined naturally by the set with four elements  $J' := \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 \cup s_5\}$ .

For  $m \in S(1, 5)$  let  $x_m, y_m \in \mathcal{F}_{1, s_m}(Z)$ . Let  $z_m := x_m + y_m \in \mathcal{F}_{1, s_m}(Z)$ . Let  $C_{i_1, i_2} := C_{s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}}$ . The multiplication in  $\mathcal{F}_2(Z)$  is defined by the rule: the product  $p_Z(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)p_Z(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5)$  is

$$p_Z(z_1 + C_{4,3}(Z)(x_4, y_3) + C_{4,3}(Z)(x_4, C_{5,4}(Z)(x_5, y_4)), z_2 + C_{5,3}(Z)(x_5, y_3), z_3, z_4, z_5).$$

**5.3.7. Example.** We assume that  $|\mathcal{E}| = 2$ . Let  $x_j, y_j \in \mathcal{F}_{1, j}(Z)$ . Let  $z_j := x_j + y_j$ . Let  $J(2) := \{j \in J | a_j = 2\}$ , where  $a_j$  is as in the end of the proof of Proposition 5.2.1. We have  $J(2) = \{s_i | i \in S(1, |J(2)|)\}$ . The formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_{2, s_{|J(2)|}}$  is commutative; thus the rules  $x_{J(2)} := \sum_{j \in J(2)} x_j$ ,  $y_{J(2)} := \sum_{j \in J(2)} y_j$ , and  $z_{J(2)} := x_{J(2)} + y_{J(2)}$  define naturally elements of  $\mathcal{F}_{2, s_{|J(2)|}}(Z)$ . Let  $u_{J(2)}^* := \oplus_{j \in J(2)} u_j^*$ . As  $|\mathcal{E}| = 2$ , the Lie algebra  $u^*/u_{J(2)}^*$  is commutative. If  $p \geq 3$ , we introduce a new product formula on the set underlying  $\mathcal{F}_1(Z)$  by the rule

$$(27) \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{|J|}) \times (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{|J|}) = (\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_{|J(2)|}, z_{1+|J(2)|}, \dots, z_{|J|}),$$

where the  $\tilde{z}_j$ 's are defined by the following summation property

$$\sum_{j \in J(2)} \tilde{z}_j = \sum_{j \in J(2)} z_j + \sum_{j_1, j_2=1+|J(2)|}^{|J|} \frac{1}{2} C_{j_1, j_2}(Z)(x_{j_1}, y_{j_2}).$$

This new product is independent on the possible orderings of  $J$  and is preserved by inner automorphisms. It satisfies the relations of Subsection 5.3.4 and thus the resulting formal Lie group is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Formulas similar to (27) can be established for  $|\mathcal{E}| > 2$  and  $p \geq 3$ . Not to make this monograph too long, they will not be included here.

#### 5.4. Formal Lie groups: the general case

We do not assume any more that  $G$  is adjoint or that  $\Gamma$  is a cycle. All Subsections from 5.2 to 5.3.5 make sense in this generality: we only have to work with direct sums of

decompositions as in Proposition 5.2.1 (to each cycle of the permutation  $\Gamma$  of the set  $I$  corresponds a direct sum decomposition as in Proposition 5.2.1). In particular, we speak also about the first and second formal Lie groups  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . They depend only on the adjoint Shimura Lie  $F$ -crystal  $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi)$ .

**5.4.1. Functorial aspects.** Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a reductive, closed subgroup scheme of  $G$  obtained as in Subsection 4.3.2. Thus  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is an Sh-canonical lift. Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{F}^0(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), F^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \tilde{\mathfrak{u}}^*, \tilde{D}_{W(k)}^*, \tilde{\mathfrak{K}},$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$  be the analogues of  $\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{F}^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \mathfrak{u}^*, D_{W(k)}^*, \mathfrak{K},$  and  $\mathcal{F}_1$  (respectively) but obtained working with  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  instead of  $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$ . The natural inclusions

$$(\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}^-, \tilde{\mathfrak{u}}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \varphi(-)) \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{u}^-, \mathfrak{u}^- \cap F^0(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi(-)) \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}^+, F^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \varphi) \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{u}^+, F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$$

define respectively homomorphisms of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  (cf. properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c))

$$f^- : D_{W(k)}^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}_{W(k)}^- \quad \text{and} \quad f^+ : D_{W(k)}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{D}_{W(k)}^+.$$

Both  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  are epimorphisms and therefore their kernels are  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$ .

Let  $f_t^+ : \tilde{D}_{W(k)}^+ \hookrightarrow D_{W(k)}^+$  be the closed embedding defined naturally by the Cartier dual of  $f^-$  and by the duality properties of Subsection 5.2.4. We emphasize that the endomorphism

$$f^+ \circ f_t^+ : \tilde{D}_{W(k)}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{D}_{W(k)}^+$$

is not always an automorphism (and in particular it is not always  $1_{\tilde{D}_{W(k)}^+}$ ). This is so as in Subsection 5.2.4 we used Killing forms to identify positive  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  with Cartier duals of negative  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  and the restriction of  $\mathfrak{K}$  to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}^- \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{\mathfrak{u}}^+$  can be non-perfect even if  $\mathfrak{K}$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}$  are perfect. Both  $f^-$  and  $f^+$  are functorial but (due to the same reason)  $f_t^+$  is not functorial in general. Thus we will not stop here to get general functorial properties for second formal Lie groups. To  $f^+$  (resp. to  $f_t^+$ ) corresponds an epimorphism (resp. a closed monomorphism) denoted in the same way  $f^+ : \mathcal{F}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$  (resp.  $f_t^+ : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1$ ).

**5.4.2. Remarks.** (a) For each cyclic  $p$ -divisible group  $D_0$  over  $W(k)$  there exists an Sh-ordinary  $p$ -divisible object  $(M, \varphi, G)$  over  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is the formal Lie group of  $D_0$  and all simple factors of  $G^{\mathrm{ad}}$  are of  $B_1 = A_1$  Lie type. Using direct sums, to check this we can assume that  $D_0$  is circular indecomposable. Thus we can assume  $D_0$  is as in Example 5.2.7. But if in Example 5.2.7 we take  $\mathcal{X} = B_1 = A_1$  and  $d = n$ , then we have  $D_{W(k)}^+ = D_0$ .

We point out that this remark in fact classifies all possible first formal Lie groups  $\mathcal{F}_1$  over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  (of Sh-ordinary triples  $(M, \varphi, G)$  over  $k$ ), cf. Lemma 5.1.3.

(b) We have variants of Subsections 5.2.4 and 5.4.1 where instead of Killing forms we work with restrictions of the trace form on  $\mathrm{End}_{W(k)}(M)$ . The disadvantage (resp. the advantage) of this approach is that it depends on  $M$  and the numbers  $n_j$  of Subsection

5.2.4 tend to grow and often are hard to be computed (resp. is that the endomorphism  $f^+ \circ f_t^+$  of  $\tilde{D}_{W(k)}^+$  becomes a power of  $p$  times  $1_{\tilde{D}_{W(k)}^+}$ ).

(c) We could have worked out Section 5.3 using the slope decomposition of  $(\mathbf{u}^*, \varphi(*))$ . The advantage of this approach is that this decomposition is normalized by all automorphisms of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  and the resulting ascending slope filtration of  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is canonical. The disadvantage of this approach is that we lose properties listed in properties 5.2.1 (i) and (iv) and Subsection 5.2.6 and it is harder to formalize Subsection 5.2.4 as it can happen that there exist elements  $j_1, j_2 \in J$  such that  $n_{j_1} \neq n_{j_2}$  but the slopes of  $(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}^*, \varphi(*))$  and  $(\mathbf{u}_{j_2}^*, \varphi(*))$  are equal.

(d) If  $k \neq \bar{k}$ , then in general we can not get decompositions as in Section 5.2. However, we can still speak about the positive and negative  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  and about the first formal Lie group of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ . If all numbers  $n_j$  of Subsection 5.2.4 are equal or if there exists a maximal torus  $T$  of  $G$  whose Lie algebra is normalized by  $\varphi$ , then we can also speak about the second formal Lie group of  $(M, \varphi, G)$ .

## 6 Geometric theory: proofs of the Theorems 1.6.1 to 1.6.3

We recall that  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  is an arbitrary prime. The passage from the abstract theory of Section 1.4 to the geometric theory of Section 1.6 will involve two extra tools. The first tool is *Fontaine comparison theory* that relates the  $p$ -adic étale context of Subsection 1.5.2 to the crystalline context of Subsection 1.5.4 (see Section 6.1). The second tool is *Faltings deformation theory* of [Fa2, §7] that keeps track of how the Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystals  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  of Subsection 1.5.4 vary in terms of lifts  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  of points  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  (see Section 6.2). Its main role is to substitute the abstract “pseudo-deformations”  $\mathfrak{C}_{Y;m}$  used in Subsection 3.8.2. We also use Faltings deformation theory to show that the triple  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  of Subsection 1.5.4 depends only on  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  and not on its lift  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  (see Proposition 6.2.7 (d)). In Subsection 6.2.3 we extend Faltings deformation theory to a more general and axiomatized context of the so called *GD rings*. In Subsection 6.3 we put together Sections 1.4, 6.1, and 6.2 to prove Theorem 1.6.1. In Section 6.4 we introduce the language of PD hulls in order to prove a result (see Proposition 6.4.6) on the existence of lifts of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  attached to  $W(k)$ -valued lifts of  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ ; this result is also the very essence of the proof of Theorem 1.6.2. In Section 6.5 we prove Theorems 1.6.2 and 1.6.3; see Subsection 6.5.5 for the definition of the condition **W**.

In Subsections 6.1.1 to 6.1.4 we work with a potential standard Hodge situation  $(f, L, v)$ . From Subsection 6.1.5 until the end of the monograph we will assume that  $(f, L, v)$  is a standard Hodge situation in the sense of Definition 1.5.3.

The notations  $f : (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ ,  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ ,  $v$ ,  $k(v)$ ,  $O_{(v)}$ ,  $L$ ,  $L_{(p)} = L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ ,  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} = \mathbf{GSp}(L, \psi)(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ ,  $H_p = K_p \cap G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{Q}_p) = G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ ,  $r \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$ ,  $(v_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ ,  $(w_{\alpha}^A)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ ,  $L_p = L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbf{Z}_p = L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p$ ,  $\psi^*$ ,  $T_0$ ,  $B_0$ ,  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow T_{0, W(k(v))}$ ,  $M_0 = L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k(v)) = F_0^1 \oplus F_0^0$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_0 = (M_0, \varphi_0, G_{W(k(v))})$  will be as in Subsections 1.5.1 and 1.5.2. We recall that  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  is a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ , cf. assumption 1.5.1 (\*).

We refer to [Me], [Ka1], [De4], [BBM], [BM], and [Fa2, §7] for (principally quasi-polarized) filtered  $F$ -crystals over  $\tilde{R}/p\tilde{R}$  of (principally polarized) abelian schemes over  $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R})$ . Here  $\tilde{R}$  is as in Subsection 2.8.4.

### 6.1. Fontaine comparison theory

Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ . We fix an algebraic closure  $\overline{B(k)}$  of  $B(k)$ . Let  $\overline{W(k)}^{\wedge}$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of the normalization of  $W(k)$  in  $\overline{B(k)}$ . The group  $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k)) := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{B(k)}/B(k))$  acts naturally on  $\overline{W(k)}^{\wedge}$ . If  $p \geq 3$  (resp. if  $p = 2$ ) let  $B^+(W(k))$  be the Fontaine ring used in [Fa2, §4] (resp. used in [Fa2, §8] and obtained

using the 2-adic completion). The reason we do not use the divided power topology for  $p = 2$  is that (despite the claims of [Fa2, §8]) we could not convince ourselves that the resulting Fontaine ring has a natural Frobenius lift (to be compared with [Fa2, §4, p. 125] where the arguments refer only to odd primes). We recall that  $B^+(W(k))$  is a local, integral, commutative  $W(k)$ -algebra, endowed with a decreasing, exhaustive, and separated filtration  $(F^i(B^+(W(k))))_{i \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}}$ , with a Frobenius lift  $\mathfrak{L}$ , and with a natural  $\text{Gal}(B(k))$ -action (see also [Fo2, Ch. 2]). We also have a  $\text{Gal}(B(k))$ -invariant  $W(k)$ -epimorphism

$$s_{W(k)} : B^+(W(k)) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{W(k)}^\wedge$$

whose kernel has a natural divided power structure. Let  $V$  be a totally ramified discrete valuation ring extension of  $W(k)$ . Let  $e_V \in \mathbf{N}$  be the index of ramification of  $V$ .

We start with a point  $y : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $z : \text{Spec}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a lift of  $y$ . Let  $A = z^*(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $D_z$ ,  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ , and  $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  be as in Subsection 1.5.3 but for the time being we do not assume that conditions 1.5.3 (a) and (b) hold. Let  $\lambda_M$  (resp.  $\lambda_{D_z}$ ) be the principal quasi-polarization of  $(M, \varphi)$  (resp. of  $D_z$ ) defined by  $\lambda_A := z^*(\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$ . As  $\mathcal{A}$  has a level  $s$  symplectic similitude structure for all positive integers  $s$  relatively prime to  $p$ , the field  $k$  contains all  $s$ -th roots of unity and thus contains  $\mathbf{F}$ . Let

$$H^1 := H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{B(k)}}, \mathbf{Z}_p).$$

Let  $\lambda_{H^1} : H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} H^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$  be the perfect form that is the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -étale realization of  $\lambda_A$ . For Fontaine comparison theory we refer to [Fo1] to [Fo3], [Ts], and [Fa2]. From this theory applied to  $A$  we get the existence of a  $B^+(W(k))$ -monomorphism

$$i_A : M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))$$

that has the following four properties:

(a) It respects the tensor product filtrations (the filtration of  $H^1$  is defined by:  $F^1(H^1) = 0$  and  $F^0(H^1) = H^1$ ).

(b) It respects the Galois actions (the Galois action on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$  is defined naturally via  $s_{W(k)}$  and the fact that  $\text{Ker}(s_{W(k)})$  has a divided power structure).

(c) It respects the tensor product Frobenius (the Frobenius of  $H^1$  being  $1_{H^1}$ ).

(d) There exists an element  $\beta_0 \in F^1(B^+(W(k)))$  such that we have  $\mathfrak{L}(\beta_0) = p\beta_0$  and  $i_A$  becomes an isomorphism  $i_A[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$  after inverting  $\beta_0$ . Moreover  $i_A[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$  takes  $\lambda_M$  to a  $\mathbf{G}_m(B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta_0}])$ -multiple of  $\lambda_{H^1}$ .

The existence of  $i_A$  is a particular case of [Fa2, Thm. 7], cf. end of [Fa2, §8]. From the way [Fa2, §8] is stated, it is clear that loc. cit. also treats the prime 2. We point out that the ring  $R_V$  used in [Fa2, §8] for  $p = 2$  is not definable as in [Fa2, §2] but it is the ring  $Re_V$  of Subsection 2.8.7. As  $B^+(W(k))$  is a  $W(k)$ -algebra, we can define the crystalline cohomology group of the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_z$  of  $A$  relative to  $B^+(W(k))$  using the site  $CRIS(\text{Spec}(W(k))/\text{Spec}(W(k)))$  (cf. end of [Fa2, §8]). Working with this site, the

homology and the cohomology of the  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  over  $W(k)$  can be computed as in [Fa2, §6] (modulo powers of  $p$ , this is also completely detailed in [BM, Ch. 2]). Thus one constructs the  $B^+(W(k))$ -monomorphism  $i_A$  as in [Fa2, §6] even for  $p = 2$ .

We recall few complements on *de Rham cycles* as defined in [Bl]. For de Rham ring  $B_{dR}(V)$  and the de Rham conjecture we refer to [Fa1], [Fa2], [Fo2], [Fo3], and [Bl]. In what follows we only use the facts that  $B^+(W(k))$  is a  $W(k)$ -subalgebra of the commutative, integral ring  $B_{dR}(V)$  and that  $\beta_0$  is an invertible element of  $B_{dR}(V)$ . We have:

**6.1.1. Theorem.** *Let  $K := V[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Let  $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{N}(V)$ . Let  $\tilde{A} := \tilde{z}^*(\mathcal{A})$ . Then each Hodge cycle on  $\tilde{A}_K$  that involves no étale Tate twist is a de Rham cycle (i.e., under the isomorphism  $i_{\tilde{A}} \otimes B_{dR}(V)$  of the de Rham conjecture, its de Rham component is mapped to the  $p$ -component of its étale component).*

*Proof:* Based on the refinement [Va3, Principle 5.2.16] of the Principle B of [Bl, Thm. (3.1)], we can assume that  $\tilde{A}_K$  is definable over a number field contained in  $K$  (strictly speaking, in [Va3, Subsect. 5.2] an odd prime is used; however the proof of [Va3, Principle 5.2.16] applies to all primes). But in this case the Theorem is well known (for instance, see [Bl, Thm. (0.3)]).  $\square$

**6.1.2. Corollary.** *For all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  we have  $\varphi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$ .*

*Proof:* The cycle  $w_\alpha^A$  involves no étale Tate twist. Thus  $i_A[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$  takes  $t_\alpha$  to  $v_\alpha$ , cf. Theorem 6.1.1. Thus, as  $v_\alpha$  is fixed by  $1_{H^1} \otimes \mathfrak{L}$ , the Corollary follows.  $\square$

**6.1.3. Remark.** We have  $\varphi(\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k)})) = \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k)})$ , cf. Corollary 6.1.2. As in Subsection 3.1.7 we argue that the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$  factors through  $\tilde{G}$ . Thus the essence of the condition 1.5.3 (b) is that  $\tilde{G}$  is a reductive group scheme over  $W(k)$ .

**6.1.4. The group schemes  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^0$  and  $\tilde{G}^0$ .** Let  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^0$  be the subgroup of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  that fixes  $\psi$ . Let  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^0$  be the Zariski closure of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^0$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ . As the finite group  $Z(\mathbf{Sp}(W \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}, \psi)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_2$  is contained in the maximal compact subtorus of the image of every homomorphism  $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{R}}$  that is an element of  $X$ , the group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^0$  is connected. Therefore  $\text{Im}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^0 \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ab}})$  is a subtorus of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ab}}$  whose Zariski closure  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{0, \text{ab}}$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{ab}}$  is a torus over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  (cf. Fact 2.3.12 applied over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ ). As  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^0$  is the pull back of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{0, \text{ab}}$  via the natural epimorphism  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{ab}}$ , we have a short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{der}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^0 \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{0, \text{ab}} \rightarrow 1$ . This implies that  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^0$  is a reductive group scheme whose abelianization is exactly  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{0, \text{ab}}$ . We have a second short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^0 \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow 1$  and  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  acts on the  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -span of  $\psi$  via this  $\mathbf{G}_m$  quotient of it. Thus  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^0$  is the closed subgroup scheme of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  that fixes  $\psi$ .

Let  $\tilde{G}^0$  be the closed subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{G}$  that fixes  $\lambda_M$ . Arguments similar to the ones of the previous paragraph show that if  $\tilde{G}$  is a reductive group scheme over  $W(k)$ , then  $\tilde{G}^0$  is a reductive group scheme over  $W(k)$ .

**6.1.5. Simple facts.** Until the end we assume that  $(f, L, v)$  is a standard Hodge situation. Thus  $\tilde{G}$  is a reductive group scheme over  $W(k)$ . There exist non-canonical identifications  $H^1 = L_p^*$  under which (see Subsection 1.5.1):

– the perfect form  $\lambda_{H^1}$  on  $H^1$  is the perfect form  $\psi^*$  on  $L_p^*$  (defined by  $\psi$ ) and for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  the  $p$ -component of the étale component of  $w_\alpha := z_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}^*(w_\alpha^A)$  is  $v_\alpha$ .

Strictly speaking this holds if there exists an  $O_{(v)}$ -monomorphism  $e_{W(k)} : W(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ; but to define  $H^1$  we can assume that  $k$  is of countable transcendental degree and therefore that  $e_{W(k)}$  exists. Also Subsection 1.5.1 mentions a  $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ -multiple  $\beta_{(p)}$  of  $\psi^*$ ; but as the complex  $1 \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^0(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow 1$  is exact, we can assume that  $\beta_{(p)} = 1$ . Let

$$G := G_{W(k)}.$$

We fix a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  to be denoted as

$$\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}.$$

Until Section 6.2 we assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . We know that  $i_A \otimes B_{dR}(W(k))$  is an isomorphism that takes  $t_\alpha$  to  $v_\alpha$  (cf. Corollary 6.1.2) and that takes  $\lambda_M$  to a  $\mathbf{G}_m(B_{dR}(W(k)))$ -multiple of  $\lambda_{H^1} = \psi^*$  (cf. property 6.1 (d)). By composing  $i_A \otimes B_{dR}(W(k))$  with a  $B_{dR}(W(k))$ -linear automorphism of  $M \otimes_{W(k)} B_{dR}(W(k))$  defined by an element of  $\text{Im}(\mu)(B_{dR}(W(k)))$ , we get the existence of an isomorphism

$$(M \otimes_{W(k)} B_{dR}(W(k)), \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B_{dR}(W(k)), \psi^*, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}).$$

But the set  $H^1(B(k), \tilde{G}_{B(k)}^0)$  contains only the trivial class, cf. [Se2, Ch. II, §3, 3.3, c) and Ch. III, §2, case 2.3 c)]. Thus from the last two sentences we get the existence of an isomorphism

$$\rho : (M[\frac{1}{p}], (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

under which  $\lambda_M$  is mapped to  $\psi^*$ . In what follows, it is convenient to allow  $\rho$  to take  $\lambda_M$  to some  $\mathbf{G}_m(B(k))$ -multiple of  $\psi^*$ .

Let  $\tilde{g}_y := \rho \varphi \rho^{-1} (\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma)^{-1}$ . It is a  $B(k)$ -linear automorphism of  $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k)$  that fixes  $v_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . Thus we have

$$\tilde{g}_y \in G(B(k)).$$

Due to the property 1.5.2 (a), the cocharacters  $\rho \mu_{B(k)} \rho^{-1}$  and  $\mu_{0, B(k)}$  of  $G_{B(k)}$  become  $G(\mathbf{C})$ -conjugate over  $\mathbf{C}$ . As  $G_{B(k)}$  is split, we get that  $\rho \mu_{B(k)} \rho^{-1}$  and  $\mu_{0, B(k)}$  are  $G(B(k))$ -conjugate. Thus by replacing  $\rho$  with  $\rho \tilde{g}$ , where  $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}(B(k))$ , we can assume that we have

$$(28) \quad \rho \mu_{B(k)} \rho^{-1} = \mu_{0, B(k)} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{B(k)}.$$

Therefore we have  $\rho(F^1[\frac{1}{p}]) = F_0^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k)$ .

**6.1.6. The adjoint context.** Let  $\rho^{\text{ad}} : \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k)}^{\text{ad}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Lie}(G_{B(k)}^{\text{ad}})$  be the Lie isomorphism over  $B(k)$  that is defined by  $\rho$ . We have two hyperspecial subgroups of  $G(B(k))$ . The first one is  $\rho\tilde{G}(W(k))\rho^{-1} \leq G(B(k))$  and therefore it normalizes  $\rho(M)$ . The second one is  $G(W(k))$  and therefore it normalizes  $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k)$ . They are conjugate under an element  $i_y \in G^{\text{ad}}(B(k))$ , cf. [Ti2, end of Subsect. 2.5]. Thus we have an identity  $i_y \rho^{\text{ad}}(\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}})) i_y^{-1} = \text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})$ . Let  $\mu_{0,W(k)}^{\text{ad}} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}}$  and  $\mu_y^{\text{ad}} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}}$  be the cocharacters defined by  $\mu_{0,W(k)}$  and by the inner conjugate under  $i_y$  of  $\rho\mu\rho^{-1} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{\rho(M)}$  (respectively). As the generic fibres of  $\mu_{0,W(k)}^{\text{ad}}$  and  $\mu_y^{\text{ad}}$  are  $G^{\text{ad}}(B(k))$ -conjugate and as  $G^{\text{ad}}$  is split, the cocharacters  $\mu_{0,W(k)}^{\text{ad}}$  and  $\mu_y^{\text{ad}}$  are  $G^{\text{ad}}(W(k))$ -conjugate. Thus we can choose  $i_y$  such that it fixes  $\mu_{0,B(k)}$  i.e., such that  $\mu_0^{\text{ad}} = \mu_y^{\text{ad}}$ . The  $\sigma$ -linear Lie automorphism  $i_y \rho^{\text{ad}} \varphi(\rho^{\text{ad}})^{-1} i_y^{-1}$  of  $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})_{[\frac{1}{p}]}$  is of the form

$$g_y(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma),$$

where  $g_y \in G^{\text{ad}}(B(k))$ . But as  $\mu_0^{\text{ad}} = \mu_y^{\text{ad}}$ , from property 1.3.2 (a) we get that we have an identity  $(g_y(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma))^{-1}(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})) = (\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma)^{-1}(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}))$ . Thus  $g_y$  normalizes  $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})$ . But  $G^{\text{ad}}(W(k))$  is a maximal bounded subgroup of  $G^{\text{ad}}(B(k))$  (cf. [Ti2, Subsect. 3.2]) which is a subgroup of the normalizer of  $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})$  in  $G^{\text{ad}}(B(k))$ . Thus  $G^{\text{ad}}(W(k))$  is this last normalizer and therefore we have

$$g_y \in G^{\text{ad}}(W(k)).$$

**6.1.7. New tensors.** Until Subsection 6.1.9 we assume that the following two conditions hold:

- (i) there exists a maximal torus  $\tilde{T}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  such that the quadruple  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{T})$  is a Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal, and
- (ii) either  $p \geq 3$  or  $p = 2$  and  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral slopes.

Let  $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$  be the family of elements of  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{T})$  fixed by  $\varphi$ . As  $k = \bar{k}$ , their  $W(k)$ -span is  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{T})$  itself. Each  $t_\alpha$  is the crystalline realization of an endomorphism of  $D_z$  (for instance, cf. (ii) and properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c)). For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$ , let  $v_\alpha \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(H^1)$  be the element that corresponds to  $t_\alpha$  via Fontaine comparison theory for  $A$  (or for  $D_z$ ).

**6.1.8. Lemma.** *Let  $\kappa$  be the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -span of  $\{v_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0\}$ . Then  $\kappa$  is the Lie algebra of a maximal torus  $\tilde{T}_{\text{ét}}$  of the reductive, closed subgroup scheme  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{H^1} = \mathbf{GL}_{L_p^*}$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$  be such that  $t_\alpha$  is a regular element of  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k)})$ . Thus  $v_\alpha$  is a regular element of  $\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p})$ . Thus  $\kappa[\frac{1}{p}]$  is the Lie algebra of a maximal torus  $\tilde{T}_{\text{ét}, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ . Let  $\tilde{T}_{\text{ét}}$  be the Zariski closure of  $\tilde{T}_{\text{ét}, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ . Let  $\tilde{T}^{\text{big}}$  be a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  that contains  $\tilde{T}$  and such that  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{T}^{\text{big}})$  is an Sh-canonical lift, cf. Subsection 4.3.2. Let  $\kappa^{\text{big}}$  and  $\tilde{T}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{big}}$  be the analogues of  $\kappa$  and  $\tilde{T}_{\text{ét}}$  but obtained working with  $\tilde{T}^{\text{big}}$  instead of  $\tilde{T}$ . We know that  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{T}^{\text{big}})$  is  $W(k)$ -generated by projectors of  $M$  on rank 1 direct summands of it. Thus using  $W(k)$ -linear combinations of elements of  $\kappa^{\text{big}}$ , we can “capture” the rank



1 direct summands of  $L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k)$  that are normalized by  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t, W(k)}^{\text{big}}$ . Thus  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t, W(k)}^{\text{big}}$  is a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k)}$  and therefore  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t}^{\text{big}}$  is a torus. Thus  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t}$  is a torus over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , cf. Fact 2.3.12.

Obviously  $\kappa \subseteq \text{Lie}(\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t})$ . Every element  $v \in \text{Lie}(\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t})$  defines naturally an endomorphism of  $D_z$  and therefore it is of the form  $t_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$ . Thus  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t}) \subseteq \kappa$ . Therefore we have  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t}) = \kappa$ .  $\square$

The Zariski closure in  $\mathbf{GL}_{H^1}$  (resp. in  $\mathbf{GL}_M$ ) of the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_{H^1[\frac{1}{p}]}$  (resp. of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ ) that fixes  $v_\alpha$  (resp.  $t_\alpha$ ) for all  $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}} := \mathcal{J} \cup \mathcal{J}_0$ , is  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t}$  (resp. is  $\tilde{T}$ ).

**6.1.9. Two variants. (a)** If  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is an Sh-canonical lift, then condition 6.1.7 (i) holds (cf. Subsection 4.3.2). Moreover, even if the condition 6.1.7 (ii) does not hold, the subgroup  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{H^1[\frac{1}{p}]}$  is well defined (as Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory implies that for  $p = 2$  each  $p^2 t_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$  is the crystalline realization of a well defined endomorphism of  $D_z$ ) and (cf. the proof of Lemma 6.1.8) it is a torus over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .

**(b)** Let  $x \in X$ . Let  $T_x$  be a maximal torus of  $G_{\mathbf{R}}$  such that  $x : \text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{R}}$  factors through  $T_x$ . It is well known that  $T_x$  is the extension of a compact torus by  $\mathbf{G}_m = Z(\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi))$ , cf. [De3, axiom (2.1.1.2)] applied to the Shimura pair  $(\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$ . Let  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  be a maximal torus of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  whose extension to  $\mathbf{R}$  is  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{R})$ -conjugate to  $T_x$ , cf. [Ha, Lem. 5.5.3]. Thus there exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0$  factors through  $T_{\mathbf{R}}$ . We now assume that: (i)  $k = \mathbf{F}$ , and (ii)  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbf{F}))$  is such that via an  $O_{(v)}$ -embedding  $W(\mathbf{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , it defines the complex point  $[x_0, 1] \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C}) = G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \backslash (X \times G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)}))$  (see Subsection 1.5.1). Let  $\tilde{T}$  be the flat, closed subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{G}$  that corresponds to  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  via Fontaine comparison theory for  $A$ . The arguments of Lemma 6.1.8 can be reversed (i.e., we can pass from the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -étale context to the crystalline context instead of the other way round) to show that  $\tilde{T}$  is a torus of  $\tilde{G}$ . This works even for  $p = 2$  as each element of  $\text{Lie}(T_{\mathbf{Z}_p})$  does define naturally an endomorphism of  $D_z$ .

## 6.2. Deformation theory

We start with some notations that describe the concrete principally quasi-polarized  $F$ -crystals of the formal deformations of  $(A, \lambda_A) = z^*(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$  associated naturally to  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ . In Subsection 6.2.1 we introduce some abstract principally quasi-polarized  $F$ -crystals and then in Subsection 6.2.3 we recall a theorem of Faltings that allows us (see Subsection 6.2.6) to “relate” them to the concrete principally quasi-polarized  $F$ -crystals we have mentioned. Some conclusions are gathered in Propositions 6.2.7 to 6.2.9. For future references, in Subsection 6.2.3 we work in the axiomatized context of the class of the so called GD (good deformation) rings which “contains” the ring  $\tilde{R}$  of Subsection 2.8.4.

Let

$$d := \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad e := \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(S).$$

We denote also by  $z$  the two closed embeddings  $\text{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$  and  $\text{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{W(k)}$  defined naturally by  $z : \text{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $R$  (resp.  $R_1$ ) be the completion of the local ring of the  $k$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$  (resp. of  $\mathcal{M}_{W(k)}$ ) defined by  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ .

We identify  $R$  (resp.  $R_1$ ) with  $W(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  (resp. with  $W(k)[[w_1, \dots, w_e]]$ ) in such a way that the ideal

$$\mathcal{I} := (x_1, \dots, x_d) \subseteq R \quad (\text{resp. } \mathcal{I}_1 := (w_1, \dots, w_e) \subseteq R_1)$$

defines  $z$ . Let  $\Phi_R$  (resp.  $\Phi_{R_1}$ ) be the Frobenius lift of  $R$  (resp. of  $R_1$ ) that is compatible with  $\sigma$  and takes  $x_i$  to  $x_i^p$  (resp.  $w_i$  to  $w_i^p$ ) for  $i \in S(1, d)$  (resp. for  $i \in S(1, e)$ ). Let

$$i_1 : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_1), \quad m_R : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad m_{R_1} : \text{Spec}(R_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

be the natural morphisms. Thus  $m_{R_1} \circ i_1$  is the composite of  $m_R$  with the morphism  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $m_{R[\frac{1}{p}]} : \text{Spec}(R[\frac{1}{p}]) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$  be the morphism defined naturally by  $m_R$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{C}_R = (M_R, F_R^1, \varphi_R, \nabla_R, \lambda_{M_R})$$

be the principally quasi-polarized filtered  $F$ -crystal over  $R/pR$  of  $m_R^*(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$ . For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  let

$$t_\alpha^R \in \mathcal{T}(M_R[\frac{1}{p}])$$

be the de Rham component of  $m_{R[\frac{1}{p}]}^*(w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})$ .

We recall that  $\varphi_R$  acts naturally on  $(M_R[\frac{1}{p}])^*$  via the rule: if  $x \in (M_R[\frac{1}{p}])^*$  and if  $y \in M_R[\frac{1}{p}]$ , then  $\varphi_R(x) \in (M_R[\frac{1}{p}])^*$  is such that  $\varphi_R(x)(\varphi_R(y)) = \Phi_R(x(y))$ ; here we denote also by  $\Phi_R$  the endomorphism of  $R[\frac{1}{p}]$  defined by  $\Phi_R$ . Also  $\varphi_R$  acts naturally in the usual tensor way on  $\mathcal{T}(M_R[\frac{1}{p}])$ . We apply Corollary 6.1.2 to the composite of a Teichmüller lift

$$z_{\text{gen}} : \text{Spec}(W(k_1)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$$

with  $m_R$ , where  $k_1$  is an algebraically closed field and where the special fibre of  $z_{\text{gen}}$  is dominant. We get that the tensor  $t_\alpha^R \in \mathcal{T}(M_R[\frac{1}{p}])$  is fixed by  $\varphi_R$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{C}_{R_1} = (M_{R_1}, F_{R_1}^1, \varphi_{R_1}, \nabla_{R_1}, \lambda_{M_{R_1}})$$

be the principally quasi-polarized filtered  $F$ -crystal over  $R_1/pR_1$  of the pull back through  $m_{R_1} : \text{Spec}(R_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  of the universal principally polarized abelian scheme over  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**6.2.1. An explicit deformation of  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ .** Let  $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$  be the direct sum decomposition normalized by  $\mu$ . Let  $N_1$  be the unipotent radical of the maximal parabolic subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GSp}(M, \lambda_M)$  that is the normalizer of  $F^0$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}(M, \lambda_M)$ . In other words,  $N_1$  is the maximal connected, unipotent, commutative, smooth, closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GSp}(M, \lambda_M)$  such that the composite cocharacter  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{GSp}(M, \lambda_M)$  acts on  $\text{Lie}(N_1)$  via the identity character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ . The relative dimension of  $N_1$  is  $e$  and  $N_1$  acts trivially on both  $F^0$  and  $M/F^0$ . The intersection

$$N_0 := N_1 \cap \tilde{G}$$

is the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup scheme  $\tilde{Q}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  that is the normalizer of  $F^0$  in  $\tilde{G}$  (see Subsection 2.5.5). We identify the spectrum of the local ring of the completion of  $N_1$  along its identity section with  $\text{Spec}(R_1)$  in such a way that the spectrum of the local ring of the completion of  $N_0$  along its identity section becomes the closed subscheme

$$\text{Spec}(R_0)$$

of  $\text{Spec}(R_1)$  defined by the ideal  $(w_{d+1}, \dots, w_e)$ ; we also identify  $R_0$  with  $W(k)[[w_1, \dots, w_d]]$ . Let

$$\mathcal{I}_0 := (w_1, \dots, w_d) \subseteq R_0 = W(k)[[w_1, \dots, w_d]].$$

Let  $\Phi_{R_0}$  be the Frobenius lift of  $R_0$  defined by  $\Phi_{R_1}$  (via passage to quotients or via restriction to  $R_0$  viewed as a  $W(k)$ -subalgebra of  $R_1$ ); for  $i \in S(1, d)$  we have  $\Phi_{R_0}(w_i) = w_i^p$ .

Following [Fa2, §7], let

$$\mathfrak{C}_1 := (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_1, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_1, g_{R_1}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_1}), \nabla_1, \lambda_M)$$

be the principally quasi-polarized filtered  $F$ -crystal over  $R_1/pR_1$  defined by the natural universal morphism  $g_{R_1} : \text{Spec}(R_1) \rightarrow N_1$  (that identifies  $\text{Spec}(R_1)$  with the spectrum of the local ring of the completion of  $N_1$  along its identity section). The connection  $\nabla_1$  on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_1$  is uniquely determined (cf. Fact 2.8.5) by the requirement that  $g_{R_1}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_1})$  is horizontal with respect to  $\nabla_1$  (in the sense of Subsection 2.8.4) and this explains why indeed  $\lambda_M$  defines a principal quasi-polarization of  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_1, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_1, g_{R_1}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_1}), \nabla_1)$ . We recall that the existence of  $\nabla_1$  is guaranteed by [Fa2, Thm. 10]. Let

$$\mathfrak{C}_0 := (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0}), \nabla_0, \lambda_M)$$

be the pull back of  $\mathfrak{C}_1$  to  $R_0/pR_0$ . Thus  $g_{R_0} : \text{Spec}(R_0) \rightarrow N_0$  is the natural universal morphism (that identifies  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$  with the spectrum of the local ring of the completion of  $N_0$  along its identity section). We denote also by  $\nabla_0$  the connection on  $\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0[\frac{1}{p}])$  induced naturally by  $\nabla_0$ .

**6.2.2. Proposition.** *The following three things hold:*

- (a) *for each element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  we have  $\nabla_0(t_\alpha) = 0$ ;*
- (b) *each tensor  $t_\alpha$  belongs to the  $F^0$ -filtration of  $\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0[\frac{1}{p}])$  defined by  $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_0[\frac{1}{p}]$ ;*
- (c) *the Kodaira–Spencer map*

$$\mathcal{K}_0 : (\oplus_{i=1}^d R_0 dw_i)^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_0}(F^1 \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} R_0, (M/F^1) \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} R_0) = \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} R_0$$

*of  $\nabla_0$  is injective and its image is the direct summand of  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} R_0$  that is (naturally identified with) the  $R_0$ -module  $\text{Lie}(N_0) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$ .*

*Proof:* The tensor  $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0[\frac{1}{p}])$  is fixed by  $g_{R_0}$  and  $\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0}$  and thus also by  $g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0})$ . Therefore we have  $\nabla_0(t_\alpha) = (g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0}) \otimes d\Phi_{R_0})(\nabla_0(t_\alpha))$ . As

$d\Phi_{R_0}(dw_i) = pw_i^{p-1}dw_i$ , by induction on  $q \in \mathbf{N}$  we get that the following belongness relation  $\nabla_0(t_\alpha) \in (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0[\frac{1}{p}]) \otimes_{R_0[\frac{1}{p}]} \oplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{I}_0^q[\frac{1}{p}]dw_i$  holds. As  $R_0$  is complete with respect to the  $\mathcal{I}_0$ -topology, we get that  $\nabla_0(t_\alpha) = 0$ . Thus (a) holds. Part (b) is obvious.

We check (c). Let the flat connection  $\nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R_0}$  on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$  be as in Subsection 2.8.4. As  $\Phi_{R_0}(\mathcal{I}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_0^2$ , the connection  $\nabla_0$  is modulo  $\mathcal{I}_0^{p-1}$  of the form  $\nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R_0} - g_{R_0}^{-1}dg_{R_0}$ . Thus, as  $g_{R_0}$  is a universal morphism, we get that  $\mathcal{K}_0$  modulo  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is injective. As for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  we have  $\nabla_0(t_\alpha) = 0$ ,  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_0)$  is included in the image of  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$  in

$$\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} R_0 = (\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)/F^0(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M))) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0,$$

where  $F^0(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)) := \{x \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M) | x(F^1) \subseteq F^1\}$ . As we have a direct sum decomposition  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}) = \text{Lie}(N_0) \oplus F^0(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)) \cap \text{Lie}(\tilde{G})$  (cf. property 1.3.2 (b)),  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_0)$  is included in the direct summand of  $\text{Hom}_{W(k)}(F^1, F^0) \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} R_0$  that is (naturally identified with) the  $R_0$ -module  $\text{Lie}(N_0) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$ . As  $\mathcal{K}_0$  modulo  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is injective, by reasons of ranks we get that  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_0)$  is in fact the last mentioned direct summand. Thus (c) holds.  $\square$

**6.2.3. GD rings.** By a GD ring over  $W(k)$  we mean a triple

$$(C, \Phi_C, (I_q)_{q \in \mathbf{N}}),$$

where  $C$  is a commutative  $W(k)$ -algebra,  $\Phi_C$  is a Frobenius lift of  $C$ , and  $(I_q)_{q \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a decreasing filtration of  $C$  by ideals such that the following four axioms hold:

- (a) we have  $C = \text{proj.lim.}_{q \rightarrow \infty} C/I_q$  and  $C/I_1 = W(k)$ ;
- (b) for all positive integers  $q$  we have  $\Phi_C(I_q) \cup I_q^2 \subseteq I_{q+1}$ ;
- (c) for all positive integers  $q$  the  $W(k)$ -module  $I_q/I_{q+1}$  is either 0 or torsion free;
- (d) all  $W(k)$ -algebras  $C$  and  $C/I_q$ 's are  $p$ -adically complete; here  $q$  is an arbitrary positive integer.

Above GD stands for good deformation and this terminology is justified by Theorem 6.2.4 below. We consider a pair  $(\mathfrak{C}_C, (t_\alpha^C)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ , where  $\mathfrak{C}_C = (M_C, F_C^1, \varphi_C, \nabla_C, \lambda_C)$  and where  $(t_\alpha^C)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  is a family of tensors of  $\mathcal{T}(M_C[\frac{1}{p}])$ , such that the following five things hold:

- (i)  $M_C$  is a free  $C$ -module of finite rank,  $\nabla_C$  is a connection on  $M_C$ ,  $F_C^1$  is a direct summand of  $M_C$ ,  $\varphi_C$  is a  $\Phi_C$ -linear endomorphism of  $M_C$  with the property that  $M_C$  is  $C$ -generated by  $\varphi_C(M_C + \frac{1}{p}F_C^1)$ , and  $\lambda_C$  is a perfect alternating form on  $M_C$  with the property that for all elements  $x, y \in M_C$  we have  $\lambda_C(\varphi_C(x) \otimes \varphi_C(y)) = p\Phi_C(\lambda_C(x, y))$ ;
- (ii) each tensor  $t_\alpha^C$  is fixed by the  $\Phi_C$ -linear endomorphism of  $\mathcal{T}(M_C[\frac{1}{p}])$  defined by  $\varphi_C$  and belongs to the  $F^0$ -filtration of  $\mathcal{T}(M_C[\frac{1}{p}])$  defined by  $F_C^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ ;
- (iii) the reduction modulo  $I_1$  of  $(M_C, F_C^1, \varphi_C, \lambda_C, (t_\alpha^C)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  is  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ ;
- (iv) the quadruple  $(M_C, \varphi_C, \nabla_C, \lambda_C)$  is the evaluation at the thickening associated naturally to the closed embedding  $\text{Spec}(C/pC) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(C)$  of a principally quasi-polarized  $F$ -crystal over  $C/pC$ .

Let  $(\Omega_{C/W(k)})^\wedge$  be as in Subsection 2.8.4. We recall that (iv) implies that the connection  $\nabla_C : M_C \rightarrow M_C \otimes_C (\Omega_{C/W(k)})^\wedge$  is integrable, nilpotent modulo  $p$  and that  $\Phi_C$  is horizontal with respect to  $\nabla_C$  (in the sense of Subsection 2.8.4). We now include a refinement of a weaker version of a result of Faltings (see [Fa2, §7]: in [Fa2, §7] it is considered the particular case when one has  $I_q = C_1^q$  and  $C \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{R}$ , with  $\tilde{R}$  as in Subsection 2.8.4; moreover loc. cit. also proves the existence and the uniqueness of  $\nabla_C$ ).

**6.2.4. Theorem.** *Let the triple  $(C, \Phi_C, (I_q)_{q \in \mathbf{N}})$  be a GD ring. Let the pair  $(\mathfrak{C}_C, (t_\alpha^C)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  be such that conditions 6.2.3 (i) to (iv) hold. Then there exists a unique morphism  $e_C : \text{Spec}(C) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes that has the following two properties:*

(a) *at the level of rings, the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is mapped to  $I_1$ ;*

(b) *there exists an isomorphism  $e_C^*(\mathfrak{C}_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{C}_C, (t_\alpha^C)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  which modulo  $I_1$  is the identity automorphism of  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ .*

*Proof:* Due to axioms 6.2.3 (a) and (b), it is enough to show by induction on  $q \in \mathbf{N}$  that there exists a unique morphism  $e_{C,q} : \text{Spec}(C/I_q) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes such that at the level of rings  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is mapped to  $I_1/I_q$  and the analogue of (b) holds for  $e_{C,q}$ . This is so as the axiom 6.2.3 (b) implies that there exists no non-trivial automorphism of  $e_{C,q}^*(\mathfrak{C}_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  which modulo  $I_1/I_q$  is defined by  $1_M$ . Obviously  $e_{C,1}$  exists and is unique. The inductive passage from  $q$  to  $q+1$  goes as follows. We can assume that  $I_q \neq I_{q+1}$ . We first choose  $e_{C,q+1} : \text{Spec}(C/I_{q+1}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  to be an arbitrary lift of  $e_{C,q}$ . Let  $J_q := I_q/I_{q+1}$ ; it is a torsion free  $W(k)$ -module (cf. axiom 6.2.3 (c)). We have  $J_q^2 = 0$ , cf. axiom 6.2.3 (b). We endow  $J_q$  with the trivial divided power structure; thus  $J_q^{[2]} = 0$ . It is known that  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0}), \nabla_0)$  is the filtered  $F$ -crystal of a  $p$ -divisible group over  $\text{Spf}(R_0)$  that lifts the  $p$ -divisible group over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$  defined by  $D_z$  (see [Fa2, Thm. 10]). Thus, as the ideals  $\mathcal{I}_0^n/\mathcal{I}_0^{n+1}$  with  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  are equipped naturally with the trivial nilpotent divided power structure, from Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory we get that  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  is the principally quasi-polarized filtered  $F$ -crystal of a principally quasi-polarized  $p$ -divisible group over  $\text{Spf}(R_0)$  which modulo  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is the principally quasi-polarized  $p$ -divisible group over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$  defined by  $(D_z, \lambda_{D_z})$ . Therefore from the property 6.2.3 (iv) and from Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory we get that  $e_{C,q+1}^*(\mathfrak{C}_0)$  is naturally identified with

$$(M_C/I_{q+1}M_C, F_{C;q+1}^1, \varphi_C, \nabla_C, \lambda_C),$$

where we denote also by  $(\varphi_C, \nabla_C, \lambda_C)$  its reduction modulo  $I_{q+1}$  and where  $F_{C;q+1}^1$  is a direct summand of  $M_C/I_{q+1}M_C$  which modulo  $J_q$  is  $F_C^1/I_qF_C^1$ . Let  $\tilde{G}_{q+1}$ ,  $\tilde{N}_{0;q+1}$ , and  $\tilde{N}_{1;q+1}$  be the closed subgroup schemes of  $\mathbf{GL}_{M_C/I_{q+1}M_C}$  that correspond to  $\tilde{G}_{R_0/\mathcal{I}_0^{q+1}}$ ,  $N_{0,R_0/\mathcal{I}_0^{q+1}}$ , and  $N_{1,R_0/\mathcal{I}_0^{q+1}}$  (respectively) through this last identification  $\mathbf{J}_{q+1}$ . Let  $t_{\alpha,q+1}^C$  be the reduction modulo  $I_{q+1}$  of  $t_\alpha^C$ . Under  $\mathbf{J}_{q+1}$ , the tensor  $t_{\alpha,q+1} := (e_{C,q+1})^*(t_\alpha)$  is  $t_{\alpha,q+1}^C$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . This is so as (cf. the inclusion  $\Phi_C(I_q) \subseteq I_{q+1}$  of the axiom 6.2.3 (b)) we have:

$$t_{\alpha,q+1} - t_{\alpha,q+1}^C = \varphi_C(t_{\alpha,q+1} - t_{\alpha,q+1}^C) \in \varphi_C(I_q M_C[\frac{1}{p}]/I_{q+1} M_C[\frac{1}{p}]) = 0.$$

As  $F_{C;q+1}^1$  and  $F_C^1/I_{q+1}F_C^1$  are maximal isotropic  $C/I_{q+1}$ -submodules of  $M_C/I_{q_1}M_C$  with respect to  $\lambda_C$  that are congruent modulo  $J_q$ , there exists a unique element

$$h \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{N}_{1;q+1}(C/I_{q+1}) \rightarrow \tilde{N}_{1;q+1}(C/I_q))$$

such that we have  $h(F_{C;q+1}^1) = F_C^1/I_{q+1}F_C^1$ . We check using purely group theoretical properties that in fact we have

$$(29) \quad h \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{N}_{0;q+1}(C/I_{q+1}) \rightarrow \tilde{N}_{0;q+1}(C/I_q)).$$

Let  $\mathcal{T}(M) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \tilde{F}^i(\mathcal{T}(M))$  be the direct sum decomposition such that  $\beta \in \mathbf{G}_m(W(k))$  acts on  $\tilde{F}^i(\mathcal{T}(M))$  via  $\mu$  as the multiplication with  $\beta^{-i}$ . Let  $\tilde{F}^i(\mathcal{T}(M_C/I_{q+1}M_C))$  be the direct summand of  $\mathcal{T}(M_C/I_{q+1}M_C)$  that corresponds naturally to  $\tilde{F}^i(\mathcal{T}(M))$  via  $J_{q+1}$ . As  $t_\alpha \in \tilde{F}^0(\mathcal{T}(M))[\frac{1}{p}]$ , we have  $t_{\alpha,q+1} \in \tilde{F}^0(\mathcal{T}(M_C/I_{q+1}M_C))[\frac{1}{p}]$ . For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  the tensor  $t_{\alpha,q+1}$  belongs to the  $F^0$ -filtration of  $\mathcal{T}(M_C/I_{q+1}M_C[\frac{1}{p}])$  defined by  $F_C^1/I_{q+1}F_C^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ , cf. the property 6.2.3 (i). As  $h^{-1}(F_C^1/I_{q+1}F_C^1) = F_{C;q+1}^1$ , we get that

$$h^{-1}(t_{\alpha,q+1}) \in \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \tilde{F}^i(M_C/I_{q+1}M_C)[\frac{1}{p}].$$

As  $h^{-1}(t_{\alpha,q+1})$  has a zero component in  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}(M_C/I_{q+1}M_C))[\frac{1}{p}]$ , the element

$$h^{-1} - 1_{M_C/I_{q+1}M_C} \in \text{Lie}(\tilde{N}_{1;q+1}) \subseteq \tilde{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}(M_C/I_{q+1}M_C))$$

annihilates  $t_{\alpha,q+1} \in \tilde{F}^0(\mathcal{T}(M_C/I_{q+1}M_C))[\frac{1}{p}]$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ ; this implies that we have  $h^{-1} - 1_{M_C/I_{q+1}M_C} \in \text{Lie}(\tilde{N}_{1;q+1}) \cap \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{q+1})$  and thus (as  $J_q$  is a torsion free  $W(k)$ -module) that  $h^{-1} - 1_{M_C/I_{q+1}M_C} \in J_q(\text{Lie}(\tilde{N}_{1;q+1}) \cap \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{q+1}))$ . As  $N_0 = N_1 \cap \tilde{G}$ , we also have  $\tilde{N}_{0;q+1} = \tilde{N}_{1;q+1} \cap \tilde{G}_{q+1}$ . This implies that  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{N}_{0;q+1}) = \text{Lie}(\tilde{N}_{1;q+1}) \cap \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{q+1})$  and therefore that  $J_q \text{Lie}(\tilde{N}_{0;q+1}) = J_q(\text{Lie}(\tilde{N}_{1;q+1}) \cap \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{q+1}))$ . Thus we have the relation  $h^{-1} - 1_{M_C/I_{q+1}M_C} \in J_q \text{Lie}(\tilde{N}_{0;q+1})$ . This implies that property (29) holds.

From the description of  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_0)$  (see Proposition 6.2.2 (c)), we get that for each element  $\tilde{h} \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{N}_{0;q+1}(C/I_{q+1}) \rightarrow \tilde{N}_{0;q+1}(C/I_q))$ , there exists a unique lift  $e_{C,q+1,\tilde{h}} : \text{Spec}(C/I_{q+1}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $e_{C,q}$  such that the role of  $h$  gets replaced by the one of  $h\tilde{h}$ . Thus our searched for lift  $e_{C,q+1}$  is  $e_{C,q+1,h^{-1}}$  and it is unique. This ends the induction and therefore also the proof.  $\square$

**6.2.5. Complements to 6.2.3 and 6.2.4. (a)** If  $I_q/I_{q+1}$  is a free  $W(k)$ -module of finite rank, then property (29) can be also argued as in [Fa2, §7, Rm. iii], p. 136] at the level of strictness of filtrations between morphisms of the category  $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$  of [Fa1]. Moreover, the proof of [Fa2, Thm. 10] can be used to show that  $\nabla_C$  is unique and that Theorem 6.2.4 holds without assuming that the property 6.2.3 (iv) holds.

**(b)** We list few standard examples of GD rings. We first remark that if  $(C, \Phi_C, (I_q)_{q \in \mathbf{N}})$  is a GD ring, then for each positive integer  $l$  the triple  $(C/I_l, \Phi_{C/I_l}, (I_q + I_l/I_l)_{q \in \mathbf{N}})$  is also

a GD ring; here  $\Phi_{C/I_l}$  is the Frobenius lift of  $C/I_l$  induced naturally by  $\Phi_C$  (cf. axiom 6.2.3 (b)). Let now  $\tilde{R}$ ,  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}$ ,  $\tilde{R}^{PD}$ ,  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^{[l]}$  (with  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ ) be as in Subsection 2.8.6. If  $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{I}}^2$ , then the triples  $(\tilde{R}, \Phi_{\tilde{R}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^q)_{q \in \mathbf{N}})$  and  $(\tilde{R}^{PD}, \Phi_{\tilde{R}^{PD}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^{[q]})_{q \in \mathbf{N}})$  are the most basic examples of GD rings.

(c) In this monograph, without any extra comment, we will apply Theorem 6.2.4 to GD rings  $(C, \Phi_C, (I_q)_{q \in \mathbf{N}})$  of the form  $(\tilde{R}, \Phi_{\tilde{R}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{I}}^q)_{q \in \mathbf{N}})$  but we will always mention only  $(\tilde{R}, \Phi_{\tilde{R}}, \tilde{\mathcal{I}})$ .

**6.2.6. First applications of 6.2.4.** From Theorem 6.2.4 applied to  $(R, \Phi_R, \mathcal{I})$ , we get the existence of a unique morphism

$$e_0 : \operatorname{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R_0)$$

of  $W(k)$ -schemes that has the following two properties:

(a) At the level of rings the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is mapped to  $\mathcal{I}$ .

(b) We have an isomorphism  $e_0^*(\mathfrak{C}_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{C}_R, (t_\alpha^R)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  which modulo  $\mathcal{I}$  is the identity automorphism of  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ .

A similar argument shows the existence of a unique endomorphism  $e_1 : \operatorname{Spec}(R_1) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R_1)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes that at the level of rings maps  $\mathcal{I}_1$  to  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and we have an isomorphism  $e_1^*(\mathfrak{C}_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{C}_{R_1}$  which modulo  $\mathcal{I}_1$  is the identity automorphism of  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \lambda_M)$ . As the Kodaira–Spencer map of  $\nabla_{R_1}$  is injective,  $e_1$  is a  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism. Due to the uniqueness part of Theorem 6.2.4, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Spec}(R) & \xrightarrow{e_0} & \operatorname{Spec}(R_0) \\ \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow i_{01} \\ \operatorname{Spec}(R_1) & \xrightarrow{e_1} & \operatorname{Spec}(R_1), \end{array}$$

where  $i_{01}$  is the natural closed embedding and where  $i_1$  is the natural morphism (see the second paragraph of Section 6.2).

**6.2.7. Proposition.** *The following four things hold:*

(a) *the morphism  $e_0 : \operatorname{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes is an isomorphism;*

(b) *the Kodaira–Spencer map of  $\nabla_R$  is injective;*

(c) *the natural morphism  $i_1 : \operatorname{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R_1)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes is a closed embedding;*

(d) *the tensors  $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$  ( $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ ) and therefore also  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  depend only on the point  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  and not on the lift  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  of  $y$ .*

*Proof:* We recall that  $\mathcal{N}$  is the normalization of the Zariski closure of  $\operatorname{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)/H_p$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{O_{(v)}}$ . The morphism  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{O_{(v)}}$  of  $O_{(v)}$ -schemes is finite, cf. [Va3, proof of Prop. 3.4.1]. Thus  $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$  is the normalization of the Zariski closure of  $(\operatorname{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)/H_p)_{B(k)}$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{W(k)}$  and the morphism  $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{W(k)}$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes is finite. Therefore  $i_1$  is a

finite morphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes and its fibre over  $B(k)$  is a closed embedding. As  $e_1$  is an automorphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes and as  $i_{01}$  is a closed embedding, we get that  $e_0$  is a finite morphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes and that  $e_{0,B(k)}$  is a closed embedding. As  $R_0$  and  $R$  are regular local rings of equal dimension, we get that  $e_0$  is a birational, finite morphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes. As  $R_0$  is normal, we conclude that in fact  $e_0$  is an isomorphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes. Thus (a) holds. As  $e_0$  is an isomorphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes and as the Kodaira–Spencer map  $\mathcal{K}_0$  of Proposition 6.2.2 (c) is injective, we get that (b) holds. As  $i_{01}$  is a closed embedding and as  $i_1 = e_1^{-1} \circ i_{01} \circ e_0$ , we get that  $i_1$  is a closed embedding. Thus (c) holds.

As  $e_0$  is an isomorphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes and due to the property 6.2.6 (b), the pull back of  $(\mathfrak{C}_R, (t_\alpha^R)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  via each  $W(k)$ -valued point  $\tilde{z}$  of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is of the form  $(M, \tilde{F}^1, \varphi, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ . Thus (d) also holds.  $\square$

Let  $(M_{\text{gen}}, F_{\text{gen}}^1, \varphi_{\text{gen}}, \lambda_{M_{\text{gen}}}, (t_\alpha^{\text{gen}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) := z_{\text{gen}}^*(M_R, F_R^1, \varphi_R, \lambda_{M_R}, (t_\alpha^R)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ , where the Teichmüller lift  $z_{\text{gen}} : \text{Spec}(W(k_1)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\tilde{R})$  is as in Subsection 6.2.3. See Subsection 6.1.4 for the reductive, closed subgroup scheme  $\tilde{G}^0$  of  $\tilde{G}$ .

**6.2.8. Proposition.** *We assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . Let  $y_1 \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  be such that it factors through the same connected component of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  as  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  does. Let  $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  be a lift of  $y_1$ . We consider the analogue  $(M_1, F_1^1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  of  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G}, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  obtained by working with  $z_1$  instead of  $z$ . Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$j_{z,z_1} : (M, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

that takes  $F^1$  onto  $F_1^1$ . In particular, we can identify naturally:

- (i)  $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_1$ , uniquely up to  $\tilde{G}(W(k))$ -conjugation, and
- (ii)  $(M_1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) = (M, \tilde{g}_1 \varphi, \tilde{G}, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  for some element  $\tilde{g}_1 \in \tilde{G}^0(W(k))$ .

*Proof:* There exists an isomorphism

$$j_z : (M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{\text{gen}}, \lambda_{M_{\text{gen}}}, (t_\alpha^{\text{gen}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

that takes  $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$  onto  $F_{\text{gen}}^1$ , cf. the definition of  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  and the property 6.2.6 (b).

Working with  $z_1$ , let  $z_{1,\text{gen}}, (M_{1,\text{gen}}, F_{1,\text{gen}}^1, \varphi_{1,\text{gen}}, \lambda_{M_{1,\text{gen}}}, (t_{1,\alpha}^{\text{gen}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ , and

$$j_{z_1} : (M_1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{1,\text{gen}}, \lambda_{M_{1,\text{gen}}}, (t_{1,\alpha}^{\text{gen}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

be the analogues of  $z_{\text{gen}}, (M_{\text{gen}}, F_{\text{gen}}^1, \varphi_{\text{gen}}, \lambda_{M_{\text{gen}}}, (t_\alpha^{\text{gen}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}, \lambda_{M_{\text{gen}}})$ , and  $j_z$  (respectively). We choose  $z_{\text{gen}}$  and  $z_{1,\text{gen}}$  such that their special fibres coincide. Thus we have a natural isomorphism  $j_{\text{gen}} : (M_{\text{gen}}, \varphi_{\text{gen}}, \lambda_{M_{\text{gen}}}, (t_\alpha^{\text{gen}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{1,\text{gen}}, \varphi_{1,\text{gen}}, \lambda_{M_{1,\text{gen}}}, (t_{1,\alpha}^{\text{gen}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ , cf. also Proposition 6.2.7 (d). Thus  $F_{\text{gen}}^1$  and  $j_{\text{gen}}^{-1}(F_{1,\text{gen}}^1)$  are two lifts of the Shimura  $F$ -crystal  $(M_{\text{gen}}, \varphi_{\text{gen}}, \tilde{G}_{\text{gen}})$ , where  $\tilde{G}_{\text{gen}} := j_z \tilde{G}_{W(k_1)} j_z^{-1} \leq \mathbf{GL}_{M_{\text{gen}}}$ . Thus  $F_{\text{gen}}^1$  and  $j_{\text{gen}}^{-1}(F_{1,\text{gen}}^1)$  are  $\tilde{G}_{\text{gen}}(W(k_1))$ -conjugate (see Lemma 3.1.3) and therefore also  $\tilde{G}_{\text{gen}}^0(W(k_1))$ -conjugate,



where  $\tilde{G}_{\text{gen}}^0$  is the reductive, closed subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{G}_{\text{gen}}$  that fixes  $\lambda_{M_{\text{gen}}}$ . Thus we can choose  $j_{\text{gen}}$  such that  $F_{\text{gen}}^1 = j_{\text{gen}}^{-1}(F_{1,\text{gen}}^1)$ . Thus we have an isomorphism

$$j_{z_1}^{-1} \circ j_{\text{gen}} \circ j_z : (M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

that takes  $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$  onto  $F_1^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$ . Therefore  $j_{z,z_1}$  exists in the faithfully flat topology of  $W(k)$ .

By multiplying each  $v_\alpha$  with  $p^a$  with  $a \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $a \gg 0$ , we can assume that we have  $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M)$  and  $t_{1,\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}(M_1)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be the  $W(k)$ -scheme that parameterizes isomorphisms between  $(M, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  and  $(M_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  that take  $F^1$  onto  $F_1^1$ . The normalizer  $\tilde{P}^0$  of  $F^1$  in  $\tilde{G}^0$  is a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{G}^0$  and thus it has connected fibres. The group scheme  $\tilde{P}^0$  acts from the left naturally on the Zariski closure  $\mathfrak{P}^0$  of  $\mathfrak{P}_{B(k)}$  in  $\mathfrak{P}$ . From the last sentence of the previous paragraph, we get that  $\mathfrak{P}^0$  is a right torsor of  $\tilde{P}^0$ . As  $k = \bar{k}$ , this torsor is trivial and therefore has  $W(k)$ -valued points.

Thus  $j_{z,z_1}$  exists. As  $j_{z,z_1}$  is unique up to its left composites with elements of  $\tilde{P}^0(W(k))$ , we get that (i) holds. As  $j_{z,z_1}(F^1) = F_1^1$ ,  $\tilde{g}_1 := j_{z,z_1}^{-1} \varphi_1 j_{z,z_1} \varphi^{-1}$  is an element of  $\mathbf{GL}_M(W(k))$ . But  $\tilde{g}_1$  fixes  $t_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . Therefore we have  $\tilde{g}_1 \in \tilde{G}(W(k))$ . Moreover, as  $\lambda_M$  and  $\lambda_{M_1}$  are principal quasi-polarizations of  $(M, \varphi)$  and  $(M_1, \varphi_1)$  (respectively) and as  $j_{z,z_1}$  takes  $\lambda_M$  to  $\lambda_{M_1}$ , we get that  $\tilde{g}_1$  fixes  $\lambda_M$ . Thus  $\tilde{g}_1 \in \tilde{G}^0(W(k))$  and therefore (ii) holds.  $\square$

**6.2.9. Proposition.** *We assume that the Newton polygon of  $(M, \varphi)$  is the same as the Newton polygon of  $(M_{\text{gen}}, \varphi_{\text{gen}})$ . Then  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is Sh-ordinary.*

*Proof:* To prove this, we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$  (cf. Fact 3.2.1 (a)). Due to the existence of  $j_z$  (see proof of Proposition 6.2.8), we can identify  $(M_{\text{gen}}, \varphi_{\text{gen}}, \tilde{G}_{\text{gen}})$  with  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g_{\text{gen}}(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{k_1}), \tilde{G}_{W(k_1)})$  for some element  $g_{\text{gen}} \in \tilde{G}(W(k_1))$ . To show that  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is Sh-ordinary it suffices to show that  $(M_{\text{gen}}, \varphi_{\text{gen}}, \tilde{G}_{\text{gen}})$  is Sh-ordinary, cf. the equivalence 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (b) (see Theorem 1.4.1). Let  $\tilde{d}$  be the relative dimension of the reductive group scheme  $\tilde{G}^0$ . Let  $R_2$  be the local ring of the completion of  $\tilde{G}^0$  along the identity section. As  $N_0$  is a closed subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{G}^0$ , we have a natural closed embedding  $i_{02} : \text{Spec}(R_0) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(R_2)$ . We identify  $R_2$  with  $W(k)[[w_1, \dots, w_{\tilde{d}}]]$  in such a way that the ideal  $(w_{d+1}, \dots, w_{\tilde{d}})$  of  $R_2$  defines the closed subscheme  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $\text{Spec}(R_2)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{I}_2 := (w_1, \dots, w_{\tilde{d}})$  is the ideal of  $R_2$  that defines the identity section of  $\tilde{G}^0$ ; we have  $R_2/\mathcal{I}_2 = W(k)$ . Let  $\Phi_{R_2}$  be the Frobenius lift of  $R_2$  that is compatible with  $\sigma$  and takes each  $w_i$  to  $w_i^p$  for  $i \in S(1, \tilde{d})$ . Let  $g_{R_2} : \text{Spec}(R_2) \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  be the universal element. Let  $\nabla_2$  be the unique connection on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_2$  such that

$$\mathfrak{C}_2 = (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_2, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_2, g_{R_2}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_2}), \nabla_2, \lambda_M)$$

is a principally quasi-polarized filtered  $F$ -crystal over  $R_2/pR_2$  (one argues the existence of  $\nabla_2$  having the desired properties in the same way we argued its analogue for  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  in Subsection 6.2.1). From Theorem 6.2.4 applied to  $(R_2, \Phi_{R_2}, \mathcal{I}_2)$ , we deduce the existence of a unique morphism  $e_2 : \text{Spec}(R_2) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes which:

(i) at the level of rings maps  $\mathcal{I}_0$  to  $\mathcal{I}_2$ , and (ii) it is such that we have an isomorphism  $e_2^*(\mathfrak{C}_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{C}_2, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  that modulo  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is the identity automorphism of  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ .

Let  $k_2$  be the algebraic closure of the field of fractions of  $R_2/pR_2$ . Let  $\overline{g_2}^{\text{ad}} \in \tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}(k_2)$  be the image in  $\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}(k_2)$  of the pull back of  $g_{R_2}$  to  $k_2$ . As  $\overline{g_2}^{\text{ad}}$  dominates  $\tilde{G}_k^{\text{ad}}$ , from Corollary 1.4.5 we get that the pull back  $\mathfrak{C}_{2,\text{gen}}$  of  $\mathfrak{C}_2$  via the dominant morphism  $\text{Spec}(k_2) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_2/pR_2)$  of  $k$ -schemes is an Sh-ordinary  $F$ -crystal; it is of the form  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_2), g_2(\varphi \otimes \sigma_{k_2}), \tilde{G}_{W(k_2)})$  for some element  $g_2 \in \tilde{G}(W(k_2))$ . Pulling back the isomorphism  $e_2^*(\mathfrak{C}_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{C}_2, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  via  $i_{02}$ , we get an isomorphism

$$(e_2 \circ i_{02})^*(\mathfrak{C}_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} i_{02}^*(\mathfrak{C}_2, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) = (\mathfrak{C}_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}).$$

Thus  $e_2 \circ i_{02} = 1_{\text{Spec}(R_0)}$ , cf. the uniqueness part of Theorem 6.2.4. Therefore the morphism  $\text{Spec}(R_2/pR_2) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R/pR)$  of  $k$ -schemes defined by  $e_2$  is dominant. This implies that the morphism  $\text{Spec}(R_2/pR_2) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R/pR)$  of  $k$ -schemes defined by  $e_0^{-1} \circ e_2$  is also dominant. From this and the fact that  $\mathfrak{C}_{2,\text{gen}}$  is Sh-ordinary, we get that  $(M_{\text{gen}}, \varphi_{\text{gen}}, \tilde{G}_{\text{gen}})$  is also Sh-ordinary.  $\square$

### 6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6.1

Let  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}^0$  be a connected component of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ . Its field of fractions contains  $\mathbf{F}$  (see Section 6.1) and therefore it is geometrically connected. Let  $\mathcal{O}^0$  be the maximal open subscheme of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}^0$  such that the Newton polygons of pull backs of  $\mathcal{A}$  through geometric points of it are all equal, cf. Grothendieck–Katz specialization theorem [Ka2, 2.3.1 and 2.3.2]. If  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is such that  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  factors through  $\mathcal{O}^0$  (i.e., belongs to  $\mathcal{O}^0(k)$ ), then  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is Sh-ordinary (cf. Proposition 6.2.9). To prove Theorem 1.6.1 we will need few additional results.

**6.3.1. Proposition.** *We assume that  $k = \bar{k}$  and that  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}^0(k)$ . Then there exists an isomorphism of adjoint Shimura Lie  $F$ -crystals*

$$I_y : (\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}), \varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_k)$$

that is defined by the composite of the Lie isomorphism  $\rho^{\text{ad}} : \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k)}^{\text{ad}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Lie}(G_{B(k)}^{\text{ad}})$  (see Subsection 6.1.6) with an inner Lie automorphism of  $\text{Lie}(G_{B(k)}^{\text{ad}})$  defined by an element  $h_y \in G^{\text{ad}}(W(k))i_y$  (see Subsection 6.1.6 for  $i_y \in G^{\text{ad}}(B(k))$ ). Therefore  $\mathbf{I}(M, \varphi, \tilde{G}) = \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{C}_0)$ .

*Proof:* As  $\text{Lie}(B_{0,W(k(v))})$  (resp.  $\text{Lie}(T_{0,W(k(v))})$ ) is taken by  $\varphi_0$  to (resp. onto) itself, as in Subsection 3.4.3 we argue that  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$  is Sh-ordinary. Let  $g_y \in G^{\text{ad}}(W(k))$  be as in Subsection 6.1.6. Both triples  $(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}), g_y(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma), G^{\text{ad}})$  and  $(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma, G^{\text{ad}})$  are Sh-ordinary, cf. Proposition 6.2.9 and Corollary 3.1.5 for the first one. As 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.4 (a) (see Theorem 1.4.4), we get that there exists  $j_y \in G^{\text{ad}}(W(k))$  that defines an inner isomorphism of the two triples. Thus we can take  $h_y := j_y i_y$ .  $\square$

**6.3.2. Proposition.** *We assume that  $k = \bar{k}$  and that  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}^0(k)$ . Then the Newton polygon  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is the same as the Newton polygon  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  of  $\mathcal{C}_0$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $n$  be a positive integer such that each Newton polygon slope of either  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  or  $\mathfrak{N}$ , belongs to  $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $M_0[\frac{1}{p}] = \oplus_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}} W(\gamma)(M_0[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_0)$  be the Newton polygon slope decomposition for  $(M_0[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_0)$ . Let  $\nu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{M_0[\frac{1}{p}]}$  be the Newton cocharacter such that  $\beta \in \mathbf{G}_m(B(k(v)))$  acts on  $W(\gamma)(M_0[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_0)$  by multiplication with  $\beta^{n\gamma}$ . As for each element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  we have  $\varphi_0(v_\alpha) = v_\alpha$ ,  $\nu_0$  fixes  $v_\alpha$  and therefore it factors through  $G_{B(k(v))}$ . We denote also by  $\nu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{B(k(v))}$  this factorization. Working with  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ , we similarly define a Newton cocharacter  $\nu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ . Let  $\nu_1 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{B(k)}$  be  $\rho\nu\rho^{-1}$ , where  $\rho$  is as in Subsection 6.1.5. Let  $\nu_{1,\text{ad}}$  and  $\nu_{0,B(k)\text{ad}}$  be the cocharacters of  $G_{B(k)}^{\text{ad}}$  defined by  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_{0,B(k)}$ . They are  $G^{\text{ad}}(B(k))$ -conjugate (cf. the existence of  $I_y$ ; see Proposition 6.3.1) and therefore their extensions to  $\overline{B(k)}$  are  $G(\overline{B(k)})$ -conjugate.

We check that the two cocharacters of  $G_{B(k)}^{\text{ab}}$  defined by  $\nu_{1,\overline{B(k)}}$  and  $\nu_{0,\overline{B(k)}}$  coincide. We first remark that they depend only on the  $\varphi^s$ - and the  $\varphi_0^s$ -conjugates of the cocharacters of  $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}^{\text{ab}}$  and  $G_{B(k)}^{\text{ab}}$  defined by  $\mu$  and  $\mu_0$  (respectively), where  $s$  runs through all positive integers. As we have  $\rho\mu_{B(k)}\rho^{-1} = \mu_{0,B(k)}$  and  $\rho\varphi\rho^{-1} = \tilde{g}_y(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma)$  (see Subsection 6.1.5), for each  $s \in \mathbf{N}$  the mentioned  $\varphi^s$ - and the  $\varphi_0^s$ -conjugates coincide under the canonical isomorphism  $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{B(k)}^{\text{ab}}$  defined by  $\rho$ . Thus indeed the two cocharacters of  $G_{B(k)}^{\text{ab}}$  defined by  $\nu_{1,\overline{B(k)}}$  and  $\nu_{0,\overline{B(k)}}$  coincide.

From the last two paragraphs we get that  $\nu_{1,\overline{B(k)}}$  and  $\nu_{0,\overline{B(k)}}$  are  $G(\overline{B(k)})$ -conjugate. This implies that we have an identity  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_0$  of Newton polygons.  $\square$

**6.3.3. Corollary.** *We assume that  $k = \bar{k}$  and that  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}^0(k)$ . We take  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  to be the canonical cocharacter of the Sh-ordinary  $F$ -crystal  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ . Let  $l_0$  be the standard generator of  $\text{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_m)$ . There exists a  $\overline{B(k)}$ -linear isomorphism*

$$h_z : M \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{B(k)} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} \overline{B(k)}$$

*that takes  $\varphi^s(d\mu(l_0))$  to  $\varphi_0^s(d\mu_0(l_0))$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ .*

*Proof:* The homomorphism  $G(\overline{B(k)}) \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}}(\overline{B(k)})$  is surjective and therefore there exists an element  $h'_y \in G(\overline{B(k)})$  that lifts the element  $h_y \in G^{\text{ad}}(\overline{B(k)})$  of Proposition 6.3.1. We now take  $h_z := h'_y \circ (\rho \otimes 1_{\overline{B(k)}}) : M[\frac{1}{p}] \otimes_{B(k)} \overline{B(k)} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} \overline{B(k)}$ .

The canonical cocharacters are unique, cf. Subsection 4.1.4. The canonical cocharacter of  $\mathcal{C}_0$  factors through  $T_{0,W(k(v))}$  (cf. Subsection 4.3.2 applied over  $W(\mathbf{F})$ ) and therefore (cf. Lemma 3.1.1) it is  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(k(v))}$ . From the last two sentences and from Proposition 6.3.1, we get that  $h_z$  takes  $\varphi^s(d\mu(l_0))$  to an element  $l_0(s)$  of  $\text{Lie}(G_{\overline{B(k)}})$  that has the same image in  $\text{Lie}(G_{\overline{B(k)}}^{\text{ad}})$  as  $\varphi_0^s(d\mu_0(l_0))$ , for all  $s \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . But for  $s \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  the images of  $l_0(s)$  and  $\varphi_0^s(d\mu_0(l_0))$  in  $\text{Lie}(G_{\overline{B(k)}}^{\text{ab}})$  coincide (cf. proof of Proposition 6.3.2). Thus we have  $l_0(s) = \varphi_0^s(d\mu_0(l_0))$ , for all  $s \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**6.3.4. Fact.** *The Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal  $(M, F^1, \varphi, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  attached to  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  depends only on the  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ -orbit of  $z$ . Therefore the Newton polygon of  $(M, \varphi)$  depends also only on the  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ -orbit of  $z$ .*

*Proof:* It is well known that the right translations of  $\mathcal{N}$  by elements of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  correspond to passages to prime to  $p$  isogenies between abelian schemes (see [Va3, Subsect. 4.1] for the case of complex points). From this the Fact follows.  $\square$

**6.3.5. End of proof of Theorem 1.6.1.** We take  $\mathcal{O}$  to be the union of  $\mathcal{O}^0$ 's, where  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}^0$  runs through all connected components of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}$  is a Zariski dense, open subscheme of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ . From Proposition 6.3.2 we get that  $\mathcal{O}$  is the maximal open subscheme of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  such that the Newton polygons of pull backs of  $\mathcal{A}$  through geometric points of it, are all equal to  $\mathfrak{N}_0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}$  is  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ -invariant, cf. Fact 6.3.4. To prove Theorem 1.6.1 we can assume  $k = \bar{k}$ , cf. Fact 3.2.1 (a) in connection to the statement 1.6.1 (a). We already know that if  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k)$ , then  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is Sh-ordinary (see beginning of Section 6.3). If  $y \in \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}^0(k)$  and if  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is Sh-ordinary, then from the equivalence 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (b) we get that for every element  $\tilde{g}_1 \in \tilde{G}(W(k))$  the Newton polygon of  $(M, \tilde{g}_1\varphi)$  is above the Newton polygon of  $(M, \varphi)$ ; from this and the property 6.2.8 (ii) we get that the Newton polygon of  $(M, \varphi)$  is below all Newton polygons of pulls back of  $\mathcal{A}$  through arbitrary  $k$ -valued points of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}^0$  and thus we get that  $y \in \mathcal{O}^0(k)$ . We conclude that for a point  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ , its attached Shimura  $F$ -crystal  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is Sh-ordinary if and only if we have  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(k)$ .

If  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k)$ , then the statement 1.6.1 (c) holds (cf. Proposition 6.3.1). If the statement 1.6.1 (c) holds, then  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is Sh-ordinary (as 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.4 (b) and as  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$  is Sh-ordinary). If the statement 1.6.1 (c) holds, then by applying the equivalence 1.4.4 (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.4 (a) to the context of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}}), h(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma), G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}})$  with  $h \in \{g_y, 1_{\text{Lie}(G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}})}\}$ , we get that there exists an isomorphism  $I_y$  as in Proposition 6.3.1; thus 1.6.1 (c)  $\Rightarrow$  1.6.1 (d). If the statement 1.6.1 (d) holds, then by applying the equivalence 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.1 (b) to the same context we get that  $(\text{Lie}(G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}}), g_y(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma), G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}})$  is Sh-ordinary and thus  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is Sh-ordinary (cf. Corollary 3.1.5). If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 2$ , then by applying Theorem 1.4.2 to the same context, we get that the statement 1.6.1 (e) holds if and only if  $(\text{Lie}(G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}}), g_y(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma), G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}})$  is Sh-ordinary (i.e., if and only if  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is Sh-ordinary, cf. Corollary 3.1.5).

Thus  $\mathcal{O}$  has all properties required in order that the first two paragraphs of Theorem 1.6.1 hold. The last paragraph of Theorem 1.6.1 follows from the property 6.2.8 (ii) and the equivalence 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.4 (a). This ends the proof of Theorem 1.6.1.  $\square$

#### 6.4. The existence of geometric lifts

We recall that  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is a lift of  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  whose attached Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal is  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ . See Subsection 6.2.1 for the closed subgroup scheme  $N_0$  of  $\tilde{G}$ . In this Section we use the language of PD hulls in order to prove a converse (see Proposition 6.4.6) to the below Fact.

**6.4.1. Fact.** *Let  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ . Let  $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  be another lift of  $y$ . Let  $(M, F_1^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  be the Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal attached to  $z_1$ . Then there exists unique element  $n_1 \in \text{Ker}(N_0(W(k)) \rightarrow N_0(k))$  such that we have  $F_1^1 = n_1(F^1)$ .*

*Proof:* The tensor  $t_\alpha$  corresponds to  $v_\alpha$  via Fontaine comparison theory of  $z_1^*(\mathcal{A})$ , cf. Proposition 6.2.7 (d). Thus the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter  $\mu_1$  of  $(M, F_1^1, \varphi)$

fixes  $t_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  (cf. the functorial aspects of [Wi, p. 513]); therefore  $\mu_1$  factors through  $\tilde{G}$ . Thus  $\mu_1$  is a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  and  $F_1^1$  is a lift of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ . Therefore the Fact follows from Corollary 3.1.4.  $\square$

**6.4.2. On PD hulls.** For  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  let  $\mathcal{I}^{[l]}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}_0^{[l]}$ ) be the  $l$ -th ideal of the divided power filtration of  $R^{PD}$  (resp. of  $R_0^{PD}$ ) defined by  $\mathcal{I}$  (resp. by  $\mathcal{I}_0$ ); see Subsection 2.8.4. Let  $\Phi_{R^{PD}}$  be the Frobenius lift of  $R^{PD}$  defined by  $\Phi_R$ . Let the flat connection  $\nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R^{PD}}$  on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{PD}$  be as in Subsection 2.8.4. As the maximal ideal of  $R^{PD}$  has a divided power structure, the pull back of  $(M_R, \varphi_R, \nabla_R, \lambda_{M_R}, (t_\alpha^R)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  to  $R^{PD}/pR^{PD}$  can be identified with  $(M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{PD}, \varphi \otimes \Phi_{R^{PD}}, \nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R^{PD}}, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  (see [BBM] and [BM]). No element of  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} \mathcal{I}^{[1]}$  is fixed by  $\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R^{PD}}$ . Thus there exists a unique isomorphism (identification)

$$J_y : (M_R \otimes_R R^{PD}, \varphi_R \otimes \Phi_{R^{PD}}, \nabla_R, \lambda_{M_R}, (t_\alpha^R)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{PD}, \varphi \otimes \Phi_{R^{PD}}, \nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R^{PD}}, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

which modulo  $\mathcal{I}^{[1]}$  is the identity automorphism of  $(M, \varphi, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ . Here we denote also by  $\nabla_R$  the connection on  $M_R \otimes_R R^{PD}$  that is defined naturally by  $\nabla_R$ .

Let  $\tilde{P}^{\text{big}}$  be the maximal parabolic subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  that normalizes  $F^1$ . See Section 6.2 for the direct summand  $F_R^1$  of  $M_R$ . Let  $F_{R^{PD}}^1 := J_y(F_R^1 \otimes_R R^{PD})$ ; it is a direct summand of  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{PD}$ . The product morphism  $N_1 \times_{W(k)} (\tilde{P}^{\text{big}} \cap \mathbf{GSp}(M, \lambda_M)) \rightarrow \mathbf{GSp}(M, \lambda_M)$  is an open embedding (cf. proof of Lemma 3.1.2). Thus, as  $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R^{PD}$  and  $F_{R^{PD}}^1$  are maximal isotropic  $R^{PD}$ -submodules of  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{PD}$  with respect to  $\lambda_M$  which modulo the maximal ideal  $(p, \mathcal{I}^{[1]})$  of  $R^{PD}$  coincide, there exists a unique element  $n_1^{PD} \in N_1(R^{PD})$  such that we have  $n_1^{PD}(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R^{PD}) = F_{R^{PD}}^1$ .

Each  $W(k)$ -valued point  $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  that lifts  $y$ , factors through the natural composite morphism  $\text{Spec}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes; thus we also view  $z_1$  as a  $W(k)$ -valued point of  $\text{Spec}(R^{PD})$ . The Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal attached to  $z_1$  is  $(M, n_1(F^1), \varphi, \tilde{G})$ , where  $n_1 := n_1^{PD} \circ z_1 \in N_1(W(k))$ . From Fact 6.4.1 and the uniqueness part of Corollary 3.1.4 applied to  $(M, \varphi, \mathbf{GL}_M)$ , we get that in fact we have  $n_1 \in \text{Ker}(N_0(W(k)) \rightarrow N_0(k)) \leq N_0(W(k))$ . As the  $W(k)$ -valued points of  $\text{Spec}(R^{PD})$  are Zariski dense, we conclude that in fact

$$n_1^{PD} \in N_0(R^{PD}).$$

As for all positive numbers  $n$  the  $W_n(k)$ -algebra  $R^{PD}/p^n R^{PD}$  is the inductive limit of its artinian local  $W_n(k)$ -subalgebras, we get that  $n_1^{PD}$  factors through the spectrum  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$  of the local ring of the completion of  $N_0$  along its identity section. This implies that  $n_1^{PD}$  factors through  $\text{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$  and therefore it gives birth to a morphism

$$(30) \quad \mathfrak{F}_0 : \text{Spec}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$$

of  $W(k)$ -schemes. We can identify  $R^{PD}/\mathcal{I}^{[p]}$  with  $R/(\mathcal{I}^p)$  and  $R_0^{PD}/\mathcal{I}_0^{[p]}$  with  $R_0/(\mathcal{I}_0^p)$ . As  $n_1^{PD} \circ z$  is  $1_M$ , the  $W(k)$ -homomorphism  $R_0^{PD} \rightarrow R^{PD}$  that defines  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ , takes  $\mathcal{I}_0^{[1]}$  to  $\mathcal{I}^{[1]}$ . Thus we can speak about the dual

$$\mathfrak{F}_{00} : \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0/\mathcal{I}_0^2$$

of the  $W(k)$ -linear tangent map of  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  computed at  $z$ . The composite of the  $W(k)$ -linear isomorphism  $\mathcal{I}_0/\mathcal{I}_0^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$  defined by  $e_0$  with  $\mathfrak{F}_{00}$  is naturally defined by  $g_{R_0}^{-1} - 1_{M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0}$  modulo  $\mathcal{I}_0^2$  and thus it is a  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism. Thus  $\mathfrak{F}_{00}$  is a  $W(k)$ -linear isomorphism. It is well known that for  $p \geq 3$  this implies that  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  itself is an isomorphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes.

**6.4.3. Corollary.** *For every positive integer  $l$  the morphism*

$$\mathfrak{F}_{0,l}^{\frac{1}{p}} : \operatorname{Spec}(R^{PD}/\mathcal{I}^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R_0^{PD}/\mathcal{I}_0^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}])$$

*of  $B(k)$ -schemes defined naturally by  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ , is an isomorphism. Thus  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  is naturally the restriction of an isomorphism  $\operatorname{Spec}(B(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Spec}(B(k)[[w_1, \dots, w_d]])$  of  $B(k)$ -schemes.*

*Proof:* We have natural identifications  $R^{PD}/\mathcal{I}^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}] = B(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]/(x_1, \dots, x_d)^l$  and  $R_0^{PD}/\mathcal{I}_0^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}] = B(k)[[w_1, \dots, w_d]]/(w_1, \dots, w_d)^l$ . The tangent map of  $\mathfrak{F}_{0,l}^{\frac{1}{p}}$  is a  $B(k)$ -linear isomorphism as  $\mathfrak{F}_{00} : \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}_0/\mathcal{I}_0^2$  is a  $W(k)$ -linear isomorphism. Thus  $\mathfrak{F}_{0,l}^{\frac{1}{p}}$  is defined by a  $B(k)$ -epimorphism  $R_0^{PD}/\mathcal{I}_0^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow R^{PD}/\mathcal{I}^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}]$  which (by reasons of dimensions of  $B(k)$ -vector spaces) is in fact a  $B(k)$ -isomorphism. By taking the projective limit for  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , the Corollary follows.  $\square$

**6.4.4. On the case  $p = 2$ .** We refer to Section 6.1 with  $p = 2$ . Therefore  $i_A : M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))$  is the  $B^+(W(k))$ -linear monomorphism of the Fontaine comparison theory for  $A$ . The kernel of  $s_{W(k)} : B^+(W(k)) \rightarrow \overline{W(k)}^\wedge$  is  $F^1(B^+(W(k)))$  and therefore we can identify

$$gr^0 := F^0(B^+(W(k)))/F^1(B^+(W(k))) = B^+(W(k))/F^1(B^+(W(k)))$$

with  $\overline{W(k)}^\wedge$ . Thus  $gr^1 := F^1(B^+(W(k)))/F^2(B^+(W(k)))$  is naturally a  $\overline{W(k)}^\wedge$ -module; it is free of rank one. Let  $u_0$  be a generator of it. We choose  $\beta_0 \in F^1(B^+(W(k)))$  (see property 6.1 (d)) such that its image in  $gr^1$  is  $2u_0$  times an invertible element  $i_{\beta_0}$  of  $\overline{W(k)}^\wedge$  and moreover we have  $\beta_0 \in 2F^1(B^+(W(k)))$  (cf. [Fa2, §4, p. 125] and [Fo2, Subsect. 2.3.4]). The fact that  $\operatorname{Coker}(i_A)$  is annihilated by  $\beta_0$  (cf. property 6.1 (d)) implies (cf. also the strictness part of [Fa2, Thm. 5] and the invertibility of  $i_{\beta_0}$ ) the following Fact:

**6.4.5. Fact.** *We assume that  $p = 2$ . Let  $j_A : F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} gr^1 \oplus F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} gr^0 \rightarrow H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_2} gr^1$  be the  $\overline{W(k)}^\wedge$ -linear map induced by  $i_A$  at the level of one gradings. Then  $j_A$  is injective and  $\operatorname{Coker}(j_A)$  is annihilated by 2.*

**6.4.6. Proposition.** *Let  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ . Let  $\tilde{n} \in \operatorname{Ker}(N_0(W(k)) \rightarrow N_0(k))$ . We have:*

(a) *If  $p \geq 3$ , then there exists a unique lift  $z_{\tilde{n}} \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  of  $y$  whose attached Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal is  $(M, \tilde{n}(F^1), \varphi, \tilde{G})$ .*

(b) *If  $p = 2$ , then the number  $n_{\tilde{n}}$  of such lifts  $z_{\tilde{n}}$  is finite; if moreover  $k = \bar{k}$  (resp. if moreover  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral slopes), then we have  $n_{\tilde{n}} \geq 1$  (resp. we have  $n_{\tilde{n}} = 1$ ).*

*Proof:* The case  $p \geq 3$  is a direct consequence of the fact that  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  is an isomorphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes. Thus until the end of the proof we will take  $p = 2$ . Not to introduce extra notations, to prove that  $n_{\tilde{n}}$  is finite we can assume that  $\tilde{n} = 1_M$ . Let  $\tilde{A}$  be another abelian variety over  $W(k)$  that lifts  $A_k$  and whose Hodge filtration is  $F^1$ . Let  $i_{\tilde{A}}$  be the analogue of  $i_A$  but obtained working with  $\tilde{A}$  instead of with  $A$ . From Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory we get that the 2-divisible groups of  $A$  and  $\tilde{A}$  are isogenous (for instance we have such an isogeny whose crystalline realization is the multiplication by 4 endomorphism of  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$ ). Via such isogenies we can identify  $i_{\tilde{A}}[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$  with  $i_A[\frac{1}{\beta_0}]$ . From this and Fact 6.4.5, we get that  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{A}, \mathbf{Z}_2)$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -lattice of  $H^1[\frac{1}{2}]$  such that we have  $2H^1 \subseteq H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{A}, \mathbf{Z}_2) \subseteq \frac{1}{2}H^1$ . But the 2-divisible group of  $\tilde{A}$  is uniquely determined by  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{A}, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ , cf. [Ta1, Subsect. 4.2, Cor. 2]. Thus  $\tilde{A}$  itself is uniquely determined by  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{A}, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ , cf. Serre–Tate deformation theory. Therefore, as the number of such  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -lattices  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{A}, \mathbf{Z}_2)$  of  $H^1[\frac{1}{2}]$  is finite, the number  $n_{1_M}$  is finite.

We check that  $n_{\tilde{n}} \geq 1$  if  $k = \bar{k}$ . We prove by induction on  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  that there exists a  $W(k)$ -valued point  $\tilde{z}_l \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  that lifts  $y$  and such that its attached Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal is  $(M, F_l^1, \varphi, G)$ , where  $F_l^1$  and  $\tilde{n}(F^1)$  coincide modulo  $2^l$ . We will also show that we can assume that  $\tilde{z}_{l+1} \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is congruent to  $\tilde{z}_l$  modulo  $2^l$ . The case  $l = 1$  is obvious. The passage from  $l$  to  $l + 1$  goes as follows. Let  $\tilde{z}_{l,l}$  be the  $W_l(k)$ -valued point of  $\text{Spec}(R^{PD})$  (resp. of  $\text{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$ ) defined by  $\tilde{z}_l$  (resp. by  $\mathfrak{F}_0 \circ \tilde{z}_l$ ). The set of  $W_{l+1}(k)$ -valued points of  $\text{Spec}(R^{PD})$  (resp. of  $\text{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$ ) that lift  $\tilde{z}_{l,l}$ , is parameterized by the  $k$ -valued points of an affine space  $T_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}}$  (resp.  $T_{0,\tilde{z}_{l,l}}$ ) isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_k^d$ , the origin corresponding to  $\tilde{z}_l$  modulo  $2^{l+1}$ . Let

$$F_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}} : \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{0,\tilde{z}_{l,l}}$$

be the morphism of  $k$ -schemes defined naturally by (30). We check the following two properties:

- (a) the fibres of  $F_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}}$  are finite;
- (b) we can naturally view  $F_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}}$  as a homomorphism of affine groups.

Based on Proposition 6.2.7 (c), to check (a) we can assume that  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$ . Not to introduce extra notations, by modifying  $\tilde{z}_l$  accordingly we can also assume that we deal with the fibre of  $F_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}}$  over  $0 \in \mathcal{T}_{0,\tilde{z}_{l,l}}(k)$ . We show that the assumption that this fibre is infinite leads to a contradiction. This assumption implies the existence of an infinite number of non-isomorphic principally polarized abelian schemes over  $W(k)$  that lift  $(A, \lambda_A)_k$  and whose principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné filtered modules are  $(M, \tilde{n}(F^1), \varphi, \lambda_M)$ , cf. Grothendieck–Messing and Serre–Tate deformation theories. But this contradicts the relation  $n_{\tilde{n}} \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Thus (a) holds.

Not to introduce extra notations, to check (b) we can assume that  $\tilde{z}_l = z$  and thus that  $\tilde{n}$  is congruent to  $1_M$  modulo  $2^l$ . We have natural identifications  $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}} = \text{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_d]) = \mathbf{A}_k^d$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{0,\tilde{z}_{l,l}} = \text{Spec}(k[w_1, \dots, w_d]) = \mathbf{A}_k^d$ . The  $W(k)$ -homomorphism  $R_0^{PD} \rightarrow R^{PD}$  defined by  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  takes  $w_i$  to  $2^{l+1}s_{i,l} + u_{i,l}$ , where  $s_{i,l}, u_{i,l} \in R^{PD}$  and where  $u_{i,l}$  is a finite  $W(k)$ -linear combination of elements that are finite product of elements in the set

$\{\frac{x_j^{n_j}}{n_j!} | j \in S(1, d), n_j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . But  $\frac{2^{ln_j}}{n_j!}$  is always divisible by  $2^l$  and moreover it is divisible by  $2^{l+1}$  if  $n_j \notin \{2^{m_j} | m_j \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ . The  $W(k)$ -valued points of  $\text{Spec}(R^{PD})$  that lift  $\tilde{z}_{l,l}$  are in bijection to  $W(k)$ -epimorphisms  $W(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_d]] \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$  that map each  $x_i$  to  $2^l x_{i,l}$ , where  $x_{i,l} \in W(k)$ ; moreover, the  $k$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}} = \text{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_d])$  associated to such a  $W(k)$ -homomorphism  $W(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_d]] \rightarrow W(k)$ , is defined by the  $k$ -epimorphism  $k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \twoheadrightarrow k$  that maps each  $x_i$  to  $x_{i,l}$  modulo 2. From the last three sentences we get that the  $k$ -homomorphism  $k[w_1, \dots, w_d] \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  that defines  $F_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}}$ , maps each  $w_i$  to a  $k$ -linear combination of elements of the set  $\{x_j^{2^{m_j}} | j \in S(1, d), m_j \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ . This implies that we can naturally view  $F_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}}$  as a homomorphism of affine groups. Thus (b) holds.

From (a) and (b) we get that  $F_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}}$  is a finite morphism between  $d$ -dimensional varieties over  $k$ . Thus  $F_{\tilde{z}_{l,l}}(k)$  is surjective. Therefore there exists a  $W(k)$ -valued point  $\tilde{z}_{l+1} \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  which modulo  $2^l$  is congruent to  $\tilde{z}_l$  and whose attached Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal  $(M, F_{l+1}^1, \varphi, G)$  is such that  $F_{l+1}^1$  and  $\tilde{n}(F^1)$  coincide modulo  $2^{l+1}$ . This completes the induction.

Let  $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  be the limit  $W(k)$ -valued point of  $\tilde{z}_l$ 's. The Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal attached to  $\tilde{z}$  is  $(M, \tilde{n}(F^1), \varphi, \tilde{G})$  and  $\tilde{z}$  lifts  $y$ . Thus  $n_{\tilde{n}} \geq 1$  if  $k = \bar{k}$ .

To end the proof we are left to show that  $n_{\tilde{n}} = 1$  if  $p = 2$  and  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral slopes. As  $\mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is the subset of  $\mathcal{N}(W(\bar{k}))$  formed by  $W(k)$ -valued points fixed under the natural action of  $\text{Aut}_{W(k)}(W(\bar{k}))$  on  $\mathcal{N}(W(\bar{k}))$ , to check that  $n_{\tilde{n}} = 1$  we can assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . As  $k = \bar{k}$ , we have  $n_{\tilde{n}} \geq 1$ . As the natural morphism  $i_1 : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_1)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes is a closed embedding (see Proposition 6.2.7 (c)), each lift  $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  of  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  is uniquely determined by the lift  $D_{z_1}$  to  $W(k)$  of the 2-divisible group of  $A_k$  (here  $D_{z_1}$  is the 2-divisible group of  $z_1^*(\mathcal{A})$ ). But  $D_{z_1}$  is uniquely determined by its Hodge filtration, cf. property 1.2.1 (a). From the last two sentences we get that  $n_{\tilde{n}} \leq 1$ . Thus  $n_{\tilde{n}} = 1$ .  $\square$

## 6.5. Proofs of Theorems 1.6.2 and 1.6.3

In Subsections 6.5.1, 6.5.3, 6.5.4, 6.5.7, and 6.5.8 we prove Theorem 1.6.2, 1.6.3 (a), 1.6.3 (b), 1.6.3 (c), and 1.6.3 (d) (respectively). In Subsection 6.5.2 we recall few things on Hodge cycles to be used in Subsections 6.5.3 and 6.5.5. In Subsection 6.5.5 we define the condition **W** hinted at in Theorem 1.6.3 (c). See Examples 6.5.6 for two examples pertaining to the condition **W**. In this Section we assume that  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  is such that its attached Shimura  $F$ -crystal  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is U-ordinary. Let  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  be the Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal attached to a lift  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  of  $y$ .

**6.5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.6.2.** We prove Theorem 1.6.2. Thus, if  $p = 2$ , then either  $k = \bar{k}$  or  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral slopes. The U-canonical lift of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is unique, cf. statement 1.4.3 (b). Let  $\tilde{n} \in \text{Ker}(N_0(W(k)) \rightarrow N_0(k))$  be the unique element such that  $\tilde{n}(F^1)$  is the U-canonical lift of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ , cf. Corollary 3.1.4. If  $p = 2$  let  $n_{\tilde{n}} \in \mathbf{N}$  be as in Proposition 6.4.6 (b). If  $p \geq 3$ , let  $n_{\tilde{n}} := 1$  (cf. Proposition 6.4.6 (a)). We have  $n_y = n_{\tilde{n}}$ . Thus  $n_y \in \mathbf{N}$ . For the rest of this Subsection we assume that either  $p \geq 3$  or  $p = 2$  and  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral slopes. Thus  $n_y = n_{\tilde{n}} = 1$ , cf. Proposition 6.4.6 (b). As  $n_y = 1$ , to ease notations, we will assume that  $\tilde{n} = 1_M$ . Thus  $F^1$  is the U-canonical lift of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ .



Let  $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  be a lift of  $y$  such that its attached Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal  $(M, F_1^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  has the property that  $(M, F_1^1, \varphi)$  is a direct sum of filtered Dieudonné modules that have only one slope. From the uniqueness part of the statement 1.4.3 (d) we get that  $F_1^1 = F^1$  and therefore (as  $n_y = 1$ ) that  $z = z_1$ . From properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c) we get that  $D_{z_1} = D_z$  is a direct sum of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  that have only one slope. If  $k = \bar{k}$ , then  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$  is cyclic (cf. end of Theorem 1.4.3) and therefore  $D_{z_1} = D_z$  is cyclic (cf. Fact 2.8.1 (b)). This ends the proof of Theorem 1.6.2.  $\square$

**6.5.2. Complements on Hodge cycles.** For the rest of this Chapter we will consider the case when  $k = \mathbf{F}$  and  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is a U-canonical lift. We take  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  to be the canonical cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ ; it defines the lift  $F^1$  of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ . We fix an  $O_{(v)}$ -embedding  $e_{B(k)} : B(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and therefore we will speak about  $A_{\mathbf{C}} := A_{B(k)} \times_{B(k), e_{B(k)}} \mathbf{C}$ .

As  $A$  has level  $s$  symplectic similitude structure for all  $s \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $(s, p) = 1$ , the family of all Hodge cycles on  $A_{\mathbf{C}}$  that involve no Tate twist is the pull back of the family  $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^1}$  of all Hodge cycles on  $A_{B(k)}$  that involve no Tate twist (here  $\mathcal{J}^1$  is a set of indices that contains  $\mathcal{J}$ ; thus for each element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  we will have  $w_\alpha := z_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}^*(w_\alpha^A)$ ).

There exists a finite field  $k_1$  such that the triple  $(A, \lambda_A, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  is the pull back of a triple  $(\tilde{A}, \lambda_{\tilde{A}}, (\tilde{w}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  over  $W(k_1)$ . This is a consequence of the facts that: (i)  $n_y \in \mathbf{N}$ , and (ii) under right translations by elements of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ , the U-canonical lifts are mapped to U-canonical lifts (cf. Fact 6.3.4). In simpler words, if  $H^{(p)}$  is a compact, open subgroup of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  such that  $(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda, (w_\alpha^A)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  is the pull back of an analogous triple over  $\mathcal{N}/H^{(p)}$ , then there exists a finite field  $k_1$  such that the  $W(k)$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{N}/H^{(p)}$  defined by  $z$  factors through a  $W(k_1)$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{N}/H^{(p)}$ .

We can also assume that  $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^1}$  is the pull back of a family  $(\tilde{w}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^1}$  of Hodge cycles on  $\tilde{A}_{B(k_1)}$  (and therefore also that  $\text{End}(A_{\mathbf{C}}) = \text{End}(A_{B(k_1)})$ ). We recall the standard argument for this. Always there exists a finite field extension  $\tilde{B}(k_1)$  of  $B(k_1)$  such that  $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^1}$  is the pull back of a family of Hodge cycles on  $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{B}(k_1)}$ , cf. [De5, Prop. 2.9 and Thm. 2.11]. But for every prime  $l \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{p\}$ , we can assume that  $\tilde{B}(k_1)$  is included in the composite field of the field extensions of  $B(k_1)$  that are the fields of definition of the points in the set  $\cup_{s \in \mathbf{N}} \tilde{A}_{B(k_1)}[l^s](\overline{B(k_1)})$ . Thus we can assume that  $\tilde{B}(k_1)$  is unramified over  $B(k_1)$ . Thus by enlarging the finite field  $k_1$  we can assume that  $B(k_1) = \tilde{B}(k_1)$ .

**6.5.3. Proof of 1.6.3 (a).** Let  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}_{W(k_1)})$  be the Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal over  $k_1$  attached naturally to the pair  $(\tilde{A}, (\tilde{w}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ ; its extension to  $k$  is  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  and thus it is a U-canonical lift (cf. Fact 3.2.1 (a)). For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^1$  let  $\tilde{t}_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(\tilde{M}[\frac{1}{p}])$  be the de Rham component of  $\tilde{w}_\alpha$ . We consider the classical Hodge decomposition

$$(31a) \quad \tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k_1)} \mathbf{C} = M \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbf{C} = H_{dR}^1(A_{\mathbf{C}}/\mathbf{C}) = H^1(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} = F^{1,0} \oplus F^{0,1}.$$

We have  $F^{1,0} = F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbf{C} = \tilde{F}^1 \otimes_{W(k_1)} \mathbf{C}$ . Let  $\mu_{A_{\mathbf{C}}} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{H^1(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}}$  be the Hodge cocharacter that defines the decomposition (31a). To ease notations, we denote by  $G_A$  the Mumford–Tate group of  $A_{\mathbf{C}}$  (i.e., the smallest subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_{H^1(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})}$  with the property that  $\mu_{A_{\mathbf{C}}}$  factors through  $G_{A, \mathbf{C}}$ ) and we denote by  $\tilde{G}_A$  the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{M}[\frac{1}{p}]}$

that fixes  $t_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^1$ . The group  $\tilde{G}_A$  is a form of  $G_{A,B(\tilde{k}_1)}$  (see [De5]) and thus it is connected. As  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^1$ , we have  $\tilde{G}_{A,B(k)} \leq \tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ .

Let  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $k_1 = \mathbf{F}_{p^l}$ . If  $p = 2$  let  $\varepsilon := 4$  and if  $p \geq 3$  let  $\varepsilon := 1$ . Let

$$\pi := (\tilde{\varphi})^l \in \mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{M}}(B(k_1)).$$

It is well known that  $\pi$  is the crystalline realization of the Frobenius endomorphism of  $\tilde{A}_{k_1}$ . As in Corollary 6.1.2, for each element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^1$  we have  $\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{t}_\alpha) = \tilde{t}_\alpha$ . Thus  $\pi \in \tilde{G}_A(B(k_1))$ . Let  $C_\pi$  (resp.  $C_\pi^{\text{big}}$ ) be the identity component of the centralizer of  $\pi$  in  $\tilde{G}_{B(k_1)}$  (resp. in  $\mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{M}[\frac{1}{p}]}$ ). The Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}(C_\pi^{\text{big}})$  is fixed by  $\pi$  and thus it is  $B(k_1)$ -generated by  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -linear combinations of endomorphisms of  $\tilde{A}_{k_1}$  and therefore by elements fixed by  $\tilde{\varphi}$  (cf. [Ta2]). Thus  $\text{Lie}(C_\pi^{\text{big}}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(L_{\mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{M}}}^0(\tilde{\varphi})_{B(k_1)})$ . As  $\text{Lie}(C_\pi) \subseteq \text{Lie}(C_\pi^{\text{big}})$ , we conclude that

$$\text{Lie}(C_\pi) \subseteq \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{B(k_1)}) \cap \text{Lie}(L_{\mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{M}}}^0(\tilde{\varphi})_{B(k_1)}) = \text{Lie}(L_{\tilde{G}_{W(k_1)}}^0(\tilde{\varphi})_{B(k_1)}).$$

Thus  $C_\pi$  is a subgroup of  $L_{\tilde{G}_{W(k_1)}}^0(\tilde{\varphi})_{B(k_1)}$  (cf. Lemma 2.3.6) and therefore it normalizes  $\tilde{F}^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Thus  $\varepsilon\pi$  is the crystalline realization of an endomorphism of  $\tilde{A}$ , cf. Serre–Tate and Grothendieck–Messing deformation theories. Therefore we have  $\varepsilon\pi = \tilde{t}_\alpha$  for some element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^1$ . This implies that  $\tilde{G}_A$  is a subgroup of  $C_\pi$  and therefore also of  $L_{\tilde{G}_{W(k_1)}}^0(\tilde{\varphi})_{B(k_1)}$ . The canonical cocharacter  $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{W(k_1)}$  of  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}_{W(k_1)})$  factors through  $Z^0(L_{\tilde{G}_{W(k_1)}}^0(\tilde{\varphi}))$  and  $\mu = \tilde{\mu}_{W(k)}$  factors through  $Z^0(L_{\tilde{G}}^0(\varphi))$ , cf. Subsection 4.1.4. From the functorial aspects of [Wi, p. 513], we get that  $\tilde{\mu}_{B(k_1)}$  fixes each  $\tilde{t}_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^1$  and thus that  $\tilde{\mu}_{B(k_1)}$  factors through  $\tilde{G}_A$ . As  $\tilde{G}_A \leq C_\pi \leq L_{\tilde{G}_{W(k_1)}}^0(\tilde{\varphi})_{B(k_1)}$  and as  $\tilde{\mu}$  factors through  $Z^0(L_{\tilde{G}_{W(k_1)}}^0(\tilde{\varphi}))$ , we conclude that:

(a) the cocharacter  $\tilde{\mu}_{B(k_1)} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{B(k_1)}$  factors through the centers of both groups  $\tilde{G}_A$  and  $C_\pi$ .

Thus, as  $\mu_{A_{\mathbf{C}}}$  factors through  $\tilde{G}_{A,\mathbf{C}}$ , it commutes with  $\mu_{\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{\mu}_{\mathbf{C}}$ . The commuting cocharacters  $\mu_{A_{\mathbf{C}}}$  and  $\mu_{\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{\mu}_{\mathbf{C}}$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k_1)} \mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{GL}_{H^1(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}}$  act in the same way on  $F^{1,0}$  and  $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k_1)} \mathbf{C}/F^{0,1}$  and therefore they coincide. Thus  $\mu_{A_{\mathbf{C}}} : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow G_{A,\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{G}_{A,\mathbf{C}}$  commutes with  $\tilde{G}_{A,\mathbf{C}}$  i.e., it factors through  $Z^0(\tilde{G}_{A,\mathbf{C}}) = Z^0(G_{A,\mathbf{C}}) = Z^0(G_A)_{\mathbf{C}}$ . Therefore by the very definition of  $G_A$ , we have  $G_A = Z^0(G_A)$  i.e.,  $G_A$  is a torus. Thus the centralizer of  $G_A$  in  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(H^1(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}))$  is a semisimple  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra  $E_{A_{\mathbf{C}}}$  that has commutative, semisimple  $\mathbf{Q}$ -subalgebras of rank  $2r = 2 \dim(A_{\mathbf{C}})$ . But  $E_{A_{\mathbf{C}}} = \text{End}(A_{\mathbf{C}}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ , cf. the classical Riemann theorem of [De2, Thm. 4.7]. Therefore  $A_{\mathbf{C}}$  has complex multiplication. As  $\text{End}(A_{\mathbf{C}}) = \text{End}(A_{B(k_1)})$  (cf. last paragraph of Subsection 6.5.2), all abelian schemes  $A_{B(k)}$ ,  $A$ , and  $\tilde{A}$  have complex multiplication. Thus Theorem 1.6.3 (a) holds.  $\square$

**6.5.4. Proof of 1.6.3 (b).** We check the statement 1.6.3 (b). As  $c_{\mathbf{F}}$  is an endomorphism of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ , it is also an endomorphism of  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  (cf. Subsection 1.3.7). Thus, if  $p = 2$  and  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral slopes (resp. if  $c_{\mathbf{F}}$  has a 0 image in  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M/4M)$ ),

then from the property 1.2.1 (c) (resp. from Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory) we get that  $c_{\mathbf{F}}$  is the crystalline realization of an endomorphism of  $D_z$ . Therefore  $e_{\mathbf{F}}$  lifts to an endomorphism of  $A$ , cf. Serre–Tate deformation theory. Thus Theorem 1.6.3 (b) holds.  $\square$

**6.5.5. Condition W.** We use the notations of the first paragraph of Theorem 1.6.3 (c) in order to define the condition **W**. Let  $K := V[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Let  $A_0 := z_0^*(\mathcal{A})$ . Let  $F_0^1$  be the Hodge filtration of  $H_{dR}^1(A_0/V)$  defined by  $A_0$ . We will use the canonical and functorial  $K$ -linear isomorphism (see [BO, Thm. 1.3])  $\rho_0 : H_{dR}^1(A_0/V)[\frac{1}{p}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} K$ . We recall how  $\rho_0$  is constructed. Let  $e_V \in \mathbf{N}$ , the closed embedding  $c_V : \text{Spec}(V) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(Re_V)$ , and  $I_{e_V} \subseteq Re_V$  be as in Subsection 2.8.7. Let  $v_0 \in \mathcal{N}(Re_V)$  be a lift of  $z_0 \in \mathcal{N}(V)$ , cf. Subsection 2.8.7; thus  $z_0 = v_0 \circ c_V$ . Let  $(M_{0,Re_V}, F_{0,Re_V}^1, \Phi_{0,Re_V}, \nabla_{0,Re_V}, \lambda_{M_{0,Re_V}}, (t_{0,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  be the pull back of the pair  $(\mathfrak{C}_R, (t_{\alpha}^R)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  introduced in Section 6.2 via the morphism  $\text{Spec}(Re_V) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes defined by  $v_0$ . Thus  $M_{0,Re_V}$  is a free  $Re_V$ -module of rank  $2r$ ,  $F_{0,Re_V}^1$  is a direct summand of it of rank  $r$ , etc. Let  $\nabla_{\text{triv}}^{Re_V}$  be as in Subsection 2.8.4. It is known that there exist isomorphisms

$$J_0 : (M_{0,Re_V}[\frac{1}{p}], \Phi_{0,Re_V}, \nabla_{0,Re_V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi \otimes \Phi_{Re_V}, \nabla_{\text{triv}}^{Re_V})$$

of  $F$ -isocrystals over  $Re_V/pRe_V$  (to be compared with [Fa2, beginning of §6] and [BO, Thm. 1.3]). No element of  $\mathcal{T}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} I_{e_V}[\frac{1}{p}]$  is fixed by  $p^s \varphi \otimes \Phi_{Re_V}$ , where  $s \in \{0, 1\}$ . Thus there exists a unique such isomorphism  $J_0$  whose pull back to an isomorphism of  $F$ -isocrystals over  $k$  is defined by  $1_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ ; moreover  $J_0$  takes  $\lambda_{M_{0,Re_V}}$  to  $\lambda_M$  and  $t_{0,\alpha}$  to  $t_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . Let  $I_V$  be the ideal of  $Re_V$  that defines the closed embedding  $c_V$ . The isomorphism  $\rho_0$  of (31b) is obtained from  $J_0$  modulo  $I_V[\frac{1}{p}]$  via the canonical identification  $M_{0,Re_V}/I_V M_{0,Re_V} = H_{dR}^1(A_0/V)$ ; it is canonical as the triple  $(M_{0,Re_V}[\frac{1}{p}], \Phi_{0,Re_V}, \nabla_{0,Re_V})$  depends only on the abelian scheme  $A_{0,V/pV}$ .

We get that for every element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  the de Rham realization  $t_{0,\alpha}$  of the Hodge cycle  $z_{0,E(G_{\mathbf{Q}},X)}^*(w_{\alpha}^A)$  on  $A_{0,K}$ , is mapped under  $\rho_0$  to  $t_{\alpha}$ . Thus  $\rho_0$  give birth to an isomorphism (to be viewed in what follows as an identification)

$$(31b) \quad \rho_0 : (H_{dR}^1(A_0/V)[\frac{1}{p}], (t_{0,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} K, (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}).$$

In this paragraph we check that there exists an element  $m_0 \in \tilde{G}(K)$  such that we have  $F_0^1[\frac{1}{p}] = m_0(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} K)$ . We can redefine  $(M_{0,Re_V}, F_{0,Re_V}^1, \Phi_{0,Re_V}, \nabla_{0,Re_V}, \lambda_{M_{0,Re_V}}, (t_{0,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  as the pull back of the pair  $(\mathfrak{C}_0, (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  introduced in Subsection 6.2.1 via the morphism  $\text{Spec}(Re_V) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes defined by  $v_0$  and by the isomorphism  $e_0 : \text{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes, cf. property 6.2.6 (b). Therefore we have a natural isomorphism

$$J'_0 : (M \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V, \lambda_M, (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{0,Re_V}, F_{0,Re_V}^1, \lambda_{M_{0,Re_V}}, (t_{0,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}).$$

Thus we can take  $m_0$  to be the reduction modulo  $I_V[\frac{1}{p}]$  of  $J_0 \circ (J'_0 \otimes 1_{Re_V[\frac{1}{p}]}) \in \tilde{G}(Re_V[\frac{1}{p}])$ .

By enlarging the finite fields  $k_1$  and  $k_0$ , we can assume that  $k_0 = k_1$ , that  $\tilde{A}_{k_1}$  is as in Subsection 6.5.3, and that the natural number  $t$  of Theorem 1.6.3 (c) is 1. Thus we can use the notations of Subsection 6.5.3. As  $\tilde{A}_{k_1}$  is as in Subsection 6.5.3, the crystalline realization of  $p^s \Pi = p^s \Pi^t \in \text{End}(\tilde{A}_{k_1})$  is  $p^s \pi$ . As  $p^s \Pi$  lifts to an endomorphism of  $A_0$ , the functorial aspect of  $\rho_0$  implies that the element

$$(31c) \quad p^s \pi \in \tilde{G}(K) \leq \mathbf{GL}_{H_{dR}^1(A_0/V)}(K) \text{ normalizes } F_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right] = m_0(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} K).$$

As  $\text{End}(\tilde{A}_{k_1}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$  is a semisimple  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra whose center contains  $\Pi$  (see [Ta2, Thm. 1]) and which acts naturally on  $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ ,  $\pi$  is a semisimple element of  $\tilde{G}_{B(k_1)}(B(k_1))$ . Thus  $C_\pi$  is a reductive group over  $B(k_1)$ . By enlarging the finite field  $k_1$  we can also assume that  $\pi \in Z^0(C_\pi)(B(k_1))$ . Let  $T_\pi$  be a maximal torus of  $C_\pi$ ; we have  $\pi \in T_\pi(B(k_1))$ . Let  $\tilde{K}$  be a finite field extension of  $K$  such that  $T_{\pi, \tilde{K}}$  is a split torus. Let  $\tilde{P}$  be the normalizer of  $F^1$  in  $\tilde{G}$ ; it is a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{G}$  (cf. Subsection 2.5.3). As  $C_\pi$  is centralized by  $\tilde{\mu}_{B(k_1)}$  (cf. property 6.5.3 (a)),  $C_{\pi, \tilde{K}}$  normalizes  $N_{0, \tilde{K}}$ . Thus  $T_{\pi, \tilde{K}}$  (and therefore also  $\pi$ ) normalizes  $N_{0, \tilde{K}}$ . From the classical Bruhat decomposition for  $\tilde{G}(\tilde{K})/\tilde{P}(\tilde{K})$ , we get that we can write (cf. [Ja, Part II, 13.8])

$$m_0 = w_0 n'_0 p_0,$$

where  $(p_0, n'_0) \in \tilde{P}(\tilde{K}) \times N_0(\tilde{K})$  and where  $w_0 \in \tilde{G}(\tilde{K})$  normalizes  $T_{\pi, \tilde{K}}$ . We have (cf. (31c))

$$(31d) \quad \pi w_0 n'_0 (F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{K}) = (p^s \pi) w_0 n'_0 p_0 (F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{K}) = w_0 n'_0 (F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{K}).$$

Thus  $n'^{-1}_0 w_0^{-1} \pi w_0 n'_0$  normalizes  $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{K}$  and therefore it belongs to  $\tilde{P}(\tilde{K})$ . Let  $\pi_0 := w_0^{-1} \pi w_0 \in T_\pi(\tilde{K})$ . As  $n'^{-1}_0 \pi_0 n'_0 \pi_0^{-1} \pi_0 \in \tilde{P}(\tilde{K})$  and  $\pi_0 \in \tilde{P}(\tilde{K})$ , we get that  $n'^{-1}_0 \pi_0 n'_0 \pi_0^{-1} \in \tilde{P}(\tilde{K})$ . But as  $T_{\pi, \tilde{K}}$  normalizes  $N_{0, \tilde{K}}$ , we have  $n'^{-1}_0 \pi_0 n'_0 \pi_0^{-1} \in N_0(\tilde{K})$ . Thus the element  $n'^{-1}_0 \pi_0 n'_0 \pi_0^{-1} \in (N_0 \cap \tilde{P})(\tilde{K}) = \{1_{M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{K}}\}$  is the identity. Therefore  $\pi_0$  commutes with  $n'_0$ . For  $u \in \mathbf{N}$  there exists a unique element  $n'_{0,u} \in N_0(\tilde{K})$  such that  $n'_0 = n'_{0,u}$ . Due to the uniqueness of  $n'_{0,u}$  and the fact that  $T_{\pi, \tilde{K}}$  normalizes  $N_{0, \tilde{K}}$ , we get that  $\pi_0$  and  $u'_{0,u}$  commute. Thus, as the centralizer of  $\pi_0$  in  $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{K}}$  has a finite number of connected components, we conclude that all these elements  $n'_{0,u}$  and therefore also  $n'_0$  belong to  $w_0^{-1} C_{\pi, \tilde{K}}(\tilde{K}) w_0$ . Here is the condition **W** for  $z_0$ .

**Condition W for  $z_0$ .** Up to a replacement of  $k_0$  by a finite field extension  $k_1$  of it (and therefore also up to a corresponding replacement of  $t$ ), there exists a maximal torus  $T_\pi$  of the reductive group  $C_\pi$  over  $B(k_1)$  and there exist elements  $s_1 = p^s \pi, s_2, \dots, s_a \in T_\pi(B(k_1))$  (with  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ ) for which the following two axioms hold:

- (i) each  $s_i$  with  $i \in \{1, \dots, a\}$  is the crystalline realization of an endomorphism of  $A_0$ ;
- (ii) the identity component of the centralizer  $S_\pi$  of the semisimple elements  $s_1, \dots, s_a$  in  $\tilde{G}_{B(k_1)}$ , has the property that  $Z^0(C_\pi)$  is a subgroup of the center of  $w_0^{-1} S_\pi w_0$ .

**6.5.6. Examples.** (a) We check that if  $w_0$  is the identity element, then the condition **W** for  $z_0$  holds. We take  $a = 1$ . The centralizer of  $p^s\pi = s_1 = w_0^{-1}s_1w_0$  in  $\tilde{G}_{B(k_1)}$  has  $S_\pi = C_\pi$  as its identity component and therefore  $Z(w_0^{-1}S_\pi w_0) = Z(C_\pi)$  contains  $Z^0(C_\pi)$ . Thus the condition **W** for  $z_0$  holds.

(b) If  $V = W(\mathbf{F})$ , then we can assume that  $m_0 \in \text{Ker}(N_0(W(k)) \rightarrow N_0(k))$  (cf. Fact 6.4.1) and thus we can take  $w_0$  to be the identity element. Therefore the condition **W** holds for  $z_0$ , cf. (a).

**6.5.7. Proof of 1.6.3 (c).** We fix an embedding  $e_K : K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  that extends the embedding  $e_{B(\mathbf{F})} = e_{B(k)} : B(\mathbf{F}) = B(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  of Subsection 6.5.2. Let  $A_{0,\mathbf{C}} := A_{0,K} \times_{K,e_K} \mathbf{C}$ . We will use the classical Hodge decomposition for  $A_{0,\mathbf{C}}$

$$(31e) \quad M \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} \mathbf{C} = H_{dR}^1(A_0/V) \otimes_V \mathbf{C} = H^1(A_0(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} = F_0^{1,0} \oplus F_0^{0,1}.$$

Let  $\mu_{A_{0,\mathbf{C}}} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{H^1(A_0(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{GL}_{M \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} \mathbf{C}}$  be the Hodge cocharacter that defines the decomposition (31e); let  $G_{A_0}$  be the Mumford–Tate group of  $A_{0,\mathbf{C}}$ .

In Subsection 6.5.5 we have seen that  $n'_0$  centralizes  $\pi_0 = w_0^{-1}\pi w_0$ . A similar argument shows that  $n'_0$  centralizes each  $w_0^{-1}s_i w_0$  with  $i \in \{2, \dots, a\}$ . Thus  $w_0^{-1}S_\pi w_0$  centralizes  $n'_0$ . Therefore  $Z^0(C_\pi)$  centralizes  $n'_0$ , cf. axiom 6.5.5 (ii). As  $\mu_{\tilde{K}} = \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{K}}$  factors through the center of  $C_{\pi, \tilde{K}}$  (cf. property 6.5.3 (a)), we get that  $n'_0$  is fixed by  $\mu_{\tilde{K}}$  and thus it must be the identity element of  $N_0(\tilde{K})$ . From this, (31c), and (31d) we get that

$$(31f) \quad w_0(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{K}) = F_0^1 \otimes_K \tilde{K}.$$

We have  $G_{A_{0,\mathbf{C}}} \leq S_{\pi,\mathbf{C}}$  (to be compared with Subsection 6.5.3). Let  $e_{\tilde{K}} : \tilde{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be an embedding that extends  $e_K$ . The cocharacter  $w_0\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbf{C}}w_0^{-1} = w_0\mu_{\mathbf{C}}w_0^{-1}$  factors through the center of  $S_{\pi,\mathbf{C}}$  (cf. axiom 6.5.5 (ii)) and therefore commutes with  $\mu_{A_{0,\mathbf{C}}}$ . The commuting cocharacters  $w_0\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbf{C}}w_0^{-1} = w_0\mu_{\mathbf{C}}w_0^{-1}$  and  $\mu_{A_{0,\mathbf{C}}}$  act in the same way on  $F_0^1 \otimes_K \mathbf{C} = F_0^{1,0}$  (cf. (31f)) and therefore also on  $M \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} \mathbf{C}/F_0^{1,0}$ . Thus  $\mu_{A_{0,\mathbf{C}}} = w_0\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbf{C}}w_0^{-1} = w_0\mu_{\mathbf{C}}w_0^{-1}$ . Thus  $\mu_{A_{0,\mathbf{C}}}$  factors through  $w_0(Z^0(C_\pi))_{\mathbf{C}}w_0^{-1}$  and therefore (cf. axiom 6.5.5 (ii)) through the center of  $S_{\pi,\mathbf{C}}$ . Thus  $\mu_{A_{0,\mathbf{C}}}$  factors through  $Z^0(G_{A_{0,\mathbf{C}}})$ . As in the end of Subsection 6.5.3 we argue that this implies that  $G_{A_0}$  is a torus and that  $A_{0,\mathbf{C}}$  and  $A_0$  have complex multiplication. From this and Example 6.5.6 (b), we get that Theorem 1.6.3 (c) holds.  $\square$

**6.5.8. Proof of 1.6.3 (d).** We prove Theorem 1.6.3 (d); thus  $V = W(\mathbf{F})$ . We can assume that  $m_0 = n'_0 \in \text{Ker}(N_0(W(k)) \rightarrow N_0(k))$ , cf. Fact 6.4.1. Thus  $w_0$  is the identity element. From this and (31f) we get that  $F^1 = w_0(F^1) = F_0^1$ . Thus  $F^1 = F_0^1$ . Therefore  $z_0$  is a U-canonical lift.

Next we assume that  $p = 2$  and  $s = 0$ . Thus  $\Pi^t$  is the crystalline realization of an endomorphism of  $z_0^*(\mathcal{A})$ . By applying this with  $t$  being replaced by positive, integral multiples of it, we get that the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{z_0}$  of  $z_0^*(\mathcal{A})$  is a direct sum of an étale  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{00}$  and of a  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{01}$  whose special fibre has only positive Newton polygon slopes. But the filtered Dieudonné modules of  $D_{00}$  and  $D_{01}$  are cyclic (cf. end of Theorem 1.4.3 applied to the U-canonical lift  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ ) and therefore both  $D_{00}$  and  $D_{01}$  are cyclic (cf. Fact 2.8.1 (b)). Thus  $D_{z_0}$  is cyclic.

Let  $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  be another lift of  $y$  such that the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{z_1}$  is cyclic. From the uniqueness parts of 1.4.3 (c) and Fact 2.8.1 (a), we get that  $D_{z_1} = D_{z_0}$ . This implies that the composites of  $z_0$  and  $z_1$  with the morphism  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , give birth to the same  $W(k)$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{M}$ . From this and the fact that the morphism  $i_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes (see Section 6.2) is a closed embedding (see Proposition 6.2.7 (c)), we get that  $z_0 = z_1 \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$ . This ends the proof of Theorem 1.6.3 (d) and thus completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.3.  $\square$

## 7 Examples and complements

We recall that  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  is an arbitrary prime. In Chapters 7 to 9, the following notations  $f : (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$ ,  $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ ,  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ ,  $v$ ,  $k(v)$ ,  $O_{(v)}$ ,  $L$ ,  $L_{(p)} = L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ ,  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$ ,  $K_p = \mathbf{GSp}(L, \psi)(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ ,  $H_p = K_p \cap G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{Q}_p) = G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ ,  $r \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$ ,  $(v_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ ,  $(w_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{A}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ ,  $L_p = L \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_p$ ,  $\psi^*$ ,  $T_0$ ,  $B_0$ ,  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow T_{0, W(k(v))}$ ,  $M_0 = L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k(v)) = F_0^1 \oplus F_0^0$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_0 = (M_0, \varphi_0, G_{W(k(v))})$  will be as in Subsections 1.5.1 and 1.5.2. Until the end we will assume that  $(f, L, v)$  is a standard Hodge situation. Thus  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  is a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  and moreover the conditions 1.5.3 (a) and (b) hold. Let  $U_0^+ := U_{G_{W(k(v))}}^+(\varphi_0)$ ,  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ , and  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  be as in the beginning of Section 1.6. Let

$$q := [k(v) : \mathbf{F}_p].$$

Let  $k$  be a perfect field that contains  $k(v)$ . Let  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ . Let  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  be a lift of  $y$ . Let  $A$ ,  $D_z$ ,  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ , and  $(t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  be as in Subsection 1.5.3. Let  $\lambda_M : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \rightarrow W(k)$  be the perfect alternating form that is the de Rham realization of  $\lambda_A := z^*(\Lambda_A)$ . Let  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$  be the reflex field of the adjoint Shimura pair  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$  of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ .

In this Chapter we include examples and complements to Theorem 1.6.1 to 1.6.3. In Section 7.1 we include examples that pertain to the Newton polygon  $\mathfrak{N}_0$ . In Section 7.2 we include a modulo  $p$  interpretation of Theorem 1.6.1 similar to the one of the statement 1.4.4 (b). In Section 7.3 we present two examples on the  $p$ -ranks of pull backs of  $\mathcal{A}$  via Sh-ordinary points of  $\mathcal{N}(k)$ . In Section 7.4 we show that for  $p \geq 3$  two distinct points of  $\mathcal{O}$  can not map to the same point of  $\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$ . In Section 7.5 we take  $\mathrm{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  to be a *Hilbert–Blumenthal variety* of dimension 3 and we give examples of U-canonical lifts of  $\mathcal{N}$  that are not Sh-canonical lifts.

### 7.1. On the Newton polygon $\mathfrak{N}_0$

For  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  we have  $\varphi_0^l = (\prod_{i=1}^l \sigma_{k(v)}^i(\mu_0)(\frac{1}{p}))\sigma_{k(v)}^l$ , where  $\sigma_{k(v)}^i(\mu_0) : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(k(v))}$  is the  $\sigma_{k(v)}^i$ -conjugate of  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(k(v))}$  (see (21)). But  $\sigma_{k(v)}^q(\mu_0) = \mu_0$ . Therefore  $q$  times the slopes of  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  taken with multiplicities are the  $p$ -adic valuations taken with multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the element

$$\prod_{i=1}^q \sigma_{k(v)}^i(\mu_0)\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \in T_0(B(k(v))) \leq \mathbf{GL}_{M_0}(B(k(v))).$$

Thus all Newton polygon slopes of  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  have as denominators divisors of  $q$  i.e., are elements of the set  $\{0, \frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{q}, \dots, \frac{q-1}{q}, 1\}$ . Moreover, the Newton polygon slopes of  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  are only 0 and

1 if and only if for all  $i \in S(0, q-1)$  we have  $\sigma_{k(v)}^i(\mu_0) = \mu_0$  i.e., if and only if  $\mu_0$  is fixed by  $\sigma_{k(v)}$ . This is equivalent to  $\mu_0$  being defined over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  and therefore to the equality  $q = 1$  (cf. [Mi2, top of p. 504 and Cor. 4.7]). In most cases this was obtained first in [Va1, Rm. 5.4.3] (cf. also [Va3, Subsect. 1.6] and [We1]).

**7.1.1. Proposition.** *We assume that  $q = 1$ . If  $p \geq 3$  (resp. if  $p = 2$ ) and  $z$  is an Sh-canonical lift, then the abelian variety  $A_k$  is ordinary and  $A$  is (resp. is isogenous to) the canonical lift of  $A_k$ .*

*Proof:* As  $q = 1$ , all Newton polygon slopes of  $A_k$  are integers. Thus  $A_k$  is ordinary and we have  $M = W(0)(M, \varphi) \oplus W(1)(M, \varphi)$ . We also have  $F^1 = F^1 \cap W(0)(M, \varphi) \oplus F^1 \cap W(1)(M, \varphi)$ , cf. statement 1.4.3 (d). But as  $\varphi(F^1) \subseteq pM$  and  $\varphi(W(0)(M, \varphi)) = W(0)(M, \varphi)$ , we have  $F^1 \cap W(0)(M, \varphi) = 0$ . Thus  $F^1$  is a direct summand of  $W(1)(M, \varphi)$  and thus by reasons of ranks we have  $F^1 = W(1)(M, \varphi)$ . Therefore we have  $\varphi(F^1) = pF^1$  and thus  $F^1$  is the Hodge filtration of the canonical lift of  $A_k$ . Therefore for  $p \geq 3$  (resp. for  $p = 2$ ) the Proposition follows from the fact that  $F^1$  determines  $A$  uniquely (resp. from the first paragraph of the proof of Proposition 6.4.6).  $\square$

**7.1.2. Lemma.** *If  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  is a supersingular Newton polygon (i.e., if all its Newton polygon slopes are  $\frac{1}{2}$ ), then  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is a torus.*

*Proof:* The group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is a torus if and only if  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(X) = 0$ , cf. [De3, axiom (2.1.1.3)]. We have  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(X) = 0$  if and only if the cocharacter  $\mu_x : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$  factors through  $Z^0(G_{\mathbf{C}})$  (here  $x \in X$  and  $\mu_x$  is as in Subsection 1.5.1). The cocharacter  $\mu_x : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$  factors through  $Z^0(G_{\mathbf{C}})$  if and only if the cocharacter  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(k(v))}$  factors through  $Z^0(G_{W(k(v))})$  and therefore if and only if we have  $P_{G_{W(k(v))}}^+(\varphi_0) = G_{W(k(v))}$ . Thus  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is a torus if and only if  $P_{G_{W(k(v))}}^+(\varphi_0) = G_{W(k(v))}$ . If all Newton polygon slopes of  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  are  $\frac{1}{2}$ , then we have  $P_{\mathbf{GL}_{M_0}}^+(\varphi_0) = \mathbf{GL}_{M_0}$  and therefore we also have  $P_{G_{W(k(v))}}^+(\varphi_0) = G_{W(k(v))}$ ; thus  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is a torus.  $\square$

**7.1.3. Example.** We assume that  $q = 2$ . Thus the Newton polygon slopes of  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  belong to the set  $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  is uniquely determined by the Hasse–Witt invariant  $HW_0$  of  $(M_0, \varphi_0)$ . From Subsection 4.1.1 (with  $q = 2$ ) we get that if  $k = \bar{k}$  and if  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is an Sh-canonical lift, then  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$  is a direct sum of circular indecomposable Shimura  $F$ -crystals whose ranks have their least common multiple equal to  $q = 2$ . This implies that  $(M, \varphi)$  is the Dieudonné module of a product of  $r$  elliptic curves over  $k$ , at least one being (cf. Proposition 7.1.1) supersingular. From Lemma 7.1.2 we get: if  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is not a torus, then not all Newton polygon slopes of  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  are  $\frac{1}{2}$  and thus we have  $HW_0 \geq 1$ .

## 7.2. Modulo $p$ interpretation

Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\vartheta : M \rightarrow M$  be the Verschiebung map of  $\varphi$ . By the Dieudonné truncation modulo  $p^n$  of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G}, \lambda_M)$  we mean the quintuple

$$\mathbf{T}_n(y) := (M/p^n M, \varphi, \vartheta, \tilde{G}_{W_n(k)}, \lambda_M),$$

where for simplicity we denote also by  $\varphi$  and  $\vartheta$  their reductions modulo  $p^n$ .



Let  $(M_1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  be the analogue of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G}, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  but obtained working with another point  $y_1 \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  instead of with  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ . Let  $\mathbf{T}_n(y_1) := (M_1/p^n M_1, \varphi_1, \vartheta_1, \tilde{G}_{1,W_n(k)})$  be the Dieudonné truncation modulo  $p^n$  of  $(M_1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_1, \lambda_{M_1})$ .

By an *inner isomorphism* between  $\mathbf{T}_n(y)$  and  $\mathbf{T}_n(y_1)$  we mean a  $W_n(k)$ -linear isomorphism  $h : M/p^n M \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1/p^n M_1$  such that the following two conditions hold:

- (a) it lifts to an isomorphism  $j_0 : (M, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ ;
- (b) as  $\mathbf{Z}/p^n \mathbf{Z}$ -linear endomorphisms of  $M/p^n M$  we have  $h\varphi = \varphi_1 h$  and  $h\vartheta = \vartheta_1 h$ .

**7.2.1. Lemma.** *We assume that there exists an isomorphism*

$$j_0 : (M, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}).$$

(a) *Then  $\mathbf{T}_n(y)$  and  $\mathbf{T}_n(y_1)$  are inner isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphism  $j_1 : (M, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  such that we have  $j_1^{-1}\varphi_1 j_1 = g\varphi$ , where the element  $g \in \tilde{G}(W(k))$  is congruent to  $1_M$  modulo  $p^n$ .*

(b) *If  $\mathbf{T}_1(y)$  and  $\mathbf{T}_1(y_1)$  are inner isomorphic, then the FSHW maps of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  and  $(M_1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_1)$  are naturally identified via the isomorphism  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_1^{\text{ad}})$  defined by  $j_1$ , where  $j_1$  is as in (a) but for  $n = 1$ .*

*Proof:* We prove (a). The “if” part is trivial. We check the “only if” part. Thus we can assume that  $j_0$  modulo  $p^n$  defines an inner isomorphism  $h$  between  $\mathbf{T}_n(y)$  and  $\mathbf{T}_n(y_1)$ . Let  $g := j_0^{-1}\varphi_1 j_0 \varphi^{-1} \in \tilde{G}(B(k))$ . As  $h$  is an isomorphism,  $j_0 \mu j_0^{-1} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}_1$  is a Hodge cocharacter of  $(M_1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_1)$ . This implies that  $(g\varphi)^{-1}(M) = \varphi^{-1}(g(M))$  is  $\varphi^{-1}(M) = M + \frac{1}{p}F^1$ . Thus  $g$  normalizes  $M$  and therefore we have  $g \in \tilde{G}(W(k))$ .

Let  $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$  and  $N_0$  be as in Subsection 6.2.1. Let  $\sigma_\varphi := \varphi\mu(p)$ . Let  $g_0 := (\sigma_\varphi)^{-1}g\sigma_\varphi$ ; it is a  $\sigma$ -linear isomorphism of  $M$ . We have  $g_0 \in \tilde{G}(W(k))$ , cf. Lemma 2.4.6. As  $h$  is an inner isomorphism between  $\mathbf{T}_n(y)$  and  $\mathbf{T}_n(y_1)$ , the  $\sigma$ -linear (resp.  $\sigma^{-1}$ -linear) endomorphisms  $\varphi = \sigma_\varphi \mu(\frac{1}{p})$  and  $g\varphi = g\sigma_\varphi \mu(\frac{1}{p}) = \sigma_\varphi g_0 \mu(\frac{1}{p})$  (resp.  $\vartheta = p1_M \mu(p)\sigma_\varphi^{-1}$  and  $\vartheta g^{-1} = p1_M \mu(p)\sigma_\varphi^{-1}g^{-1} = p1_M \mu(p)g_0^{-1}\sigma_\varphi^{-1}$ ) coincide modulo  $p^n$ . Let  $g_{0,n} \in \tilde{G}(W_n(k))$  be the reduction modulo  $p^n$  of  $g_0$ . We have:

- (i) the element  $g_{0,n}$  fixes  $F^0/p^n F^0$  and it is congruent to  $1_{M/p^n M}$  modulo  $p^{n-1}$ ;
- (ii) there exists an inclusion  $(1_{M/p^n M} - g_{0,n}^{-1})(F^1/p^n F^1) \subseteq p^{n-1}F^0/p^n F^0$ .

Property (i) follows from the congruence modulo  $p^n$  of the mentioned  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphisms of  $M$ . Property (ii) follows from (i) and the congruence of  $p1_M \mu(p)$  and  $p1_M \mu(p)g_0^{-1}$  modulo  $p^n$ . From (i) and (ii) we get that  $g_{0,n} \in \text{Ker}(N_0(W_n(k)) \rightarrow N_0(W_{n-1}(k)))$ . Thus up to  $\text{Ker}(G_{W(k)}(W(k)) \rightarrow G_{W(k)}(W_n(k)))$ -multiples of  $\varphi_1$  and  $g$ , we can assume that  $g_0 \in N_0(W(k))$ . Thus we can write  $g_0 = 1_M + p^{n-1}u$ , where  $u \in \text{Lie}(N_0)$ . Let  $\tilde{g} := 1_M + p^n u \in N_0(W(k))$ . We compute

$$g = \sigma_\varphi g_0 \sigma_\varphi^{-1} = \varphi\mu(p)(1_M + p^{n-1}u)\mu(\frac{1}{p})\varphi^{-1} = \varphi(1_M + p^n u)\varphi^{-1} = \varphi\tilde{g}\varphi^{-1}.$$

Thus  $\tilde{g}\varphi = \tilde{g}g\varphi\tilde{g}^{-1}$ . As  $\tilde{g}$  and  $1_M$  are congruent modulo  $p^n$ , we can take  $j_1 := j_0\tilde{g}^{-1}$  (with  $\tilde{g}$  viewed as a  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism of  $M$ ). Therefore  $j_1$  exists. Thus (a) holds.

To check (b), we can assume that  $n = 1$  and that (cf. (a))  $g$  is congruent to  $1_M$  modulo  $p$ . Thus the  $FSHW$  maps of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  and  $(M, g\varphi, \tilde{G})$  coincide. Therefore the  $FSHW$  maps of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  and  $(M_1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_1)$  can be identified naturally via the Lie isomorphism  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_1^{\text{ad}})$  defined by  $j_1$ .  $\square$

**7.2.2. Corollary.** *We assume that  $k = \bar{k}$  and that  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  is Sh-ordinary. Let  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}^0$  be the connected component of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  through which  $y$  factors. Then a point  $y_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}^0(k)$  is Sh-ordinary if and only if  $\mathbf{T}_1(y_1)$  is inner isomorphic to  $\mathbf{T}_1(y)$ .*

*Proof:* There exist isomorphisms  $(M, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ , cf. property 6.2.8 (ii). Thus, as 1.6.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.6.1 (c), the “if” part follows from Lemma 7.2.1 (b). We now check the “only if” part. We will prove even more that in fact there exists an isomorphism  $j_1 : (M, \varphi, \tilde{G}, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ . From the last part of Theorem 1.6.1 we get that there exists an isomorphism  $j_0 : (M, \varphi, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \varphi_1, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ . The inverse image of  $\lambda_{M_1}$  via  $j_0$ , is a perfect alternating form  $\lambda'_M$  on  $M$ .

As there exist isomorphisms between  $(M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k), \psi^*, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  and both  $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  and  $(M_1[\frac{1}{p}], \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  (see Subsection 6.1.5 applied to  $y$  and  $y_1$ ), we get that  $\lambda'_M$  is a  $\mathbf{G}_m(B(k))$ -multiple of  $\lambda_M$ . As  $\lambda_M$  and  $\lambda'_M$  are both principal quasi-polarizations of  $(M, \varphi)$ , we get that in fact  $\lambda'_M$  is a  $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ -multiple  $\delta_0$  of  $\lambda_M$ . Let  $C_{0,\mathbf{Z}_p}$  be the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  form of  $L_{\tilde{G}}^0(\varphi)$  such that  $C_{0,\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is the group of inner automorphisms of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ , cf. Subsections 4.1.4 and 4.1.7. The group  $C_{0,\mathbf{Z}_p}$  acts on the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -span of  $\lambda_M$  via a surjective character  $\chi_0 : C_{0,\mathbf{Z}_p} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$ . As in Subsection 6.1.4 we argue that  $\text{Ker}(\chi_0)$  is a reductive subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ . This implies that the homomorphism  $\chi_0(\mathbf{Z}_p) : C_{0,\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is surjective. Let  $c_0 \in C_{0,\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  be such that  $\chi_0(\mathbf{Z}_p)(c_0) = \delta_0$ . Let  $j_1 := j_0 c_0$ ; it defines an isomorphism  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G}, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_1, \lambda_{M_1}, (t_{1,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ .  $\square$

### 7.3. Examples on $p$ -ranks

**7.3.1. Example.** We assume that there exists a simple factor  $G_0$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{ad}}$  such that the image of  $\mu_0 : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(k(v))}$  in each simple factor of  $G_{0,W(k(v))}$  is non-trivial. Then  $(\text{Lie}(G_{0,B(k(v))}), \varphi_0)$  has Newton polygon slope  $-1$  with positive multiplicity, cf. Fact 3.4.11. Thus  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  has the Newton polygon slopes 0 and 1 with positive multiplicities. Therefore if  $k = \bar{k}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k)$ , then the  $p$ -rank of  $y^*(\mathcal{A})$  is positive (cf. statement 1.6.1 (b)).

**7.3.2. Example.** For the rest of this Section, we will assume that the  $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ -module  $W \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$  is simple. From this assumption and the existence of Hodge cocharacters  $\mu_x : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$  that define Hodge  $\mathbf{Q}$ -structures on  $W$  of type  $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$  (see Subsection 1.5.1), we get that  $G_{\mathbf{R}}^{\text{ad}}$  has only one simple, non-compact factor. Let  $n$  be the number of simple factors of  $G_{\mathbf{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ . It is known that  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}$  is a simple  $\mathbf{Q}$ -group, cf. [Pi, Prop. 5.12]. This, the existence (of the inverses) of the cocharacters  $\mu_x$ , and the fact that the  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -module  $W$  is simple, are expressed in [Pi, Def. 4.1] by: the pair  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, G_{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{GL}_W)$  is a strong Mumford–Tate pair over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of weights  $\{0, 1\}$ . Thus  $n$  is odd and  $2r$  is an  $n$ -th power of a natural number, cf. [Pi, Props. 4.6 and 4.7]. Let  $G_0$  be the unique simple factor of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{ad}}$  such that the cocharacter  $\mu_{00} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{0,W(k(v))}$  defined naturally by  $\mu_0$  is non-trivial.

Let  $q_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $G_{0,\mathbf{F}}$  has  $q_0$  simple factors. Thus  $G_{0,\mathbf{F}_p}$  is the  $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_0}}/\mathbf{F}_p}$  of an absolutely simple, adjoint group  $G_{00,\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_0}}}$  over  $\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_0}}$  (cf. [Ti1, Subsubsection. 3.1.2]) and  $G_0$  is the  $\text{Res}_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_0}})/\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of an absolutely simple, adjoint group scheme  $G_{00}$  over  $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_0}})$  (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXIV, Prop. 1.21]). As  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ab}} = \mathbf{G}_m$ , we have  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ab}}, X^{\text{ab}}) = \mathbf{Q}$ . Thus  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}}) = E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ , cf. [De2, Subsect. 3.7 and Prop. 3.8]. Therefore from [Mi2, Prop. 4.6 and Cor. 4.7] we get that  $B(k(v))$  is the field of definition of the  $G_0(B(k(v)))$ -conjugacy class of  $\mu_{00}$ . Thus  $q_0$  divides  $q$ . But  $G_0$  splits over  $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{2q_0}})$ , cf. Subsection 3.4.4 and the fact that the existence of  $\mu_0$  implies (see [Se1, Cor. 2 of p. 182]) that  $G_{00}$  can not be of  ${}^3D_4$  Lie type. Therefore we have  $q \in \{q_0, 2q_0\}$ . If  $q = 2q_0$ , then there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $G_{\mathbf{C}}^{\text{ad}}$  is a  $\mathbf{PGL}_{2m+2}^n$  group (cf. [Pi, Table 4.2]).

**7.3.3. Proposition.** *We assume that the  $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ -module  $W \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$  is simple. Then the abelian varieties obtained by pulling back  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}}$  through geometric points of  $\mathcal{O}$ , have non-zero  $p$ -ranks: if  $q = q_0$  (resp. if  $q = 2q_0$ ), then these  $p$ -ranks are  $\frac{r}{2q-1}$  (resp. are  $\frac{r}{2q-1}(\frac{m+1}{2m+1})^q$ ). Therefore these  $p$ -ranks are 1 if and only if  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$  is a simple  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -group and  $G_{\mathbf{C}}^{\text{ad}}$  is a  $\mathbf{PGL}_2^n$  group.*

*Proof:* The  $G_{B(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}}$ -module  $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(\mathbf{F})$  is the tensor product of  $n$  simple  $G_{B(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}}$ -modules  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  such that: (i)  $\dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_i)$  does not depend on  $i \in S(1, n)$ , and (ii) each representation  $G_{B(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{V_i}$  is symplectic and at the level of Lie algebras is defined by an irreducible representation of a simple factor  $\mathfrak{f}_i$  of  $\text{Lie}(G_{B(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}})$ . Thus we can identify  $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(\mathbf{F})$  with  $V_1 \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} V_2 \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} \cdots \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} V_n$ . As  $\mathbf{G}_m$  acts through  $\mu_0$  on  $M_0$  non-trivially and via the trivial and the inverse of the identical character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ , there exists a unique number  $i_0 \in S(1, n)$  such that the cocharacter  $\mu_{0,B(\mathbf{F})}$  acts on  $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(\mathbf{F}) = V_1 \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} V_2 \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} \cdots \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} V_n$  via the single factor  $V_{i_0}$ ; thus we can identify  $\mu_{0,B(\mathbf{F})}$  with a cocharacter of  $\mathbf{GL}_{V_{i_0}}$ . Two distinct elements  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \text{Gal}(B(k(v))/\mathbf{Q}_p)$  can take under conjugation  $\mu_{0,B(k(v))}$  to cocharacters of  $G_{B(k(v))}$  whose extensions to  $B(\mathbf{F})$  factor through the same factor  $V_i$  of  $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(\mathbf{F}) = V_1 \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} V_2 \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} \cdots \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} V_n$  if and only if  $q = 2q_0$  and  $\theta_1 \theta_2^{-1}$  is the non-identity element  $\theta_0$  of  $\text{Gal}(B(k(v))/B(\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_0}})) = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ ; this is so as  $G_{00}$  is an absolutely simple, adjoint group scheme over  $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{q_0}})$  that splits over  $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^{2q_0}})$ . Let  $I_0 := \{i \in S(1, n) | \mathfrak{f}_i \subseteq \text{Lie}(G_{0,B(\mathbf{F})})\}$ ; thus  $i_0 \in I_0$ .

We first consider the case  $q_0 = q$ . For  $i \in S(1, n)$  let  $V_i = V_i^0 \oplus V_i^1$  be the direct sum decomposition normalized by all elements  $(\sigma_{k(v)}^s(\mu_0))_{B(\mathbf{F})}(\frac{1}{p})$ 's, where  $V_i^0$  (resp.  $V_i^1$ ) is the maximal  $B(\mathbf{F})$ -vector subspace of  $V_i$  on which each element  $(\sigma_{k(v)}^s(\mu_0))_{B(\mathbf{F})}(\frac{1}{p})$  acts trivially (resp. on which at least one of these elements  $(\sigma_{k(v)}^s(\mu_0))_{B(\mathbf{F})}(\frac{1}{p})$ 's does not act trivially). If  $i \notin I_0$ , then  $V_i^1 = 0$ . If  $i \in I_0$ , then  $\dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_i^0) = \dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_i^1)$ . We have

$$W(0)(M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(\mathbf{F}), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}}) = V_1^0 \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} V_2^0 \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} \cdots \otimes_{B(\mathbf{F})} V_n^0$$

and thus  $\dim_{B(k(v))}(W(0)(M_0, \varphi_0)) = \frac{1}{2^q} \dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_1)^n = \frac{\dim_{B(k(v))}(M_0[\frac{1}{p}])}{2^q} = \frac{r}{2^q-1}$ . If this dimension is 1, then  $2r = 2^q$  and therefore we must have  $q = n$  and  $\dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_i) = 2$  for all numbers  $i \in S(1, n)$ . Thus  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$  is a simple  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -group and  $G_{\mathbf{C}}^{\text{ad}}$  is a  $\mathbf{PGL}_2^n$  group.

We now consider the case  $q = 2q_0$ . The representations  $G_{B(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{V_i}$  are associated to the minuscule weight  $\varpi_{m+1}$  of the  $A_{2m+1}$  Lie type (of the simply connected semisimple

group cover of the simple factor of  $G_{B(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{ad}}$  whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{f}_i$ ). Thus the representations  $G_{B(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{V_i}$  are self-dual and have dimension  $\binom{2m+2}{m+1}$  (for instance, see [Pi, Table 4.2]; see [Bou2, plate I] for weights). We get a direct sum decomposition  $V_i = V_i^0 \oplus V_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus V_i^1$ , with  $V_i^0$  as above and, in case  $i \in I_0$ , with  $V_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $V_i^1$  normalized by all above mentioned elements and such that  $V_i^0 \oplus V_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the perpendicular of  $V_i^0$  in  $V_i$  with respect to any non-zero alternating form on  $V_i$  that is centralized by  $\mathfrak{f}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Im}(\mathfrak{f}_i \rightarrow \text{End}_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_i))$ . But  $\mu_{0,B(\mathbf{F})}$  (resp.  $(\theta_0(\mu_{0,B(k(v))}))_{B(\mathbf{F})}$ ) is associated to the first (resp. to the last) node of the Dynkin diagram of the  $A_{2m+1}$  Lie type, cf. [Pi, Table 4.2]. Thus we have a natural identification  $V_{i_0} = \bigwedge^{m+1}(B(\mathbf{F})^{2m+2})$  such that for the standard basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2m+2}\}$  for  $B(\mathbf{F})^{2m+2}$  we have:

(a) the cocharacter  $\mu_{0,B(\mathbf{F})}$  acts trivially on all vectors of  $V_{i_0}$  that are of the form  $e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m+1}}$  with  $1 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{m+1}$  and via the inverse of the identical character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$  on the  $B(k)$ -span of each vector of the form  $e_1 \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m+1}}$  with  $1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{m+1}$ ;

(b) the cocharacter  $(\theta_0(\mu_{0,B(k(v))}))_{B(\mathbf{F})}$  acts trivially on all vectors of  $V_{i_0}$  that are of the form  $e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_m} \wedge e_{2m+2}$  with  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m < 2m+2$  and via the inverse of the identical character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$  on the  $B(k)$ -span of each vector of the form  $e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{m+1}}$  with  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{m+1} < 2m+2$ .

Thus  $\{e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_m} \wedge e_{2m+2} \mid 1 < i_1 < \dots < i_m < 2m+2\}$  is a  $B(\mathbf{F})$ -basis for  $V_{i_0}^0$ . Therefore for each element  $i \in I_0$  we have  $\dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_i^0) = \dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_{i_0}^0) = \binom{2m}{m}$ . For  $i \notin I_0$ , we have  $V_i^{\frac{1}{2}} = V_i^1 = 0$  and  $\dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_i^0) = \binom{2m+2}{m+1}$ . Thus

$$\dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(W(0)(M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(\mathbf{F}), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}})) = \prod_{i=1}^n \dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_i^0) = \binom{2m}{m}^{q_0} \binom{2m+2}{m+1}^{n-q_0}$$

is at least  $2^n > 1$ . But  $2r = \binom{2m+2}{m+1}^n$  and therefore  $\binom{2m}{m}^{q_0} \binom{2m+2}{m+1}^{n-q_0} = \frac{r}{2^{q-1}} \binom{m+1}{2m+1}^q$ .

Thus regardless of what  $q \in \{q_0, 2q_0\}$  is, the Proposition follows from the statement 1.6.1 (b).  $\square$

**7.3.4. Remark.** If  $q = q_0$ , then as we have  $\dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_i^1) = \dim_{B(\mathbf{F})}(V_i^0)$  for all  $i \in I_0$ , the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope  $\frac{l}{q}$  for  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  is  $\frac{r \binom{q}{l}}{2^{q-1}}$ ; here  $l \in S(0, q)$ . If  $q = 2q_0$ , then we can express the multiplicity of the same Newton polygon slope as a sum of products of combinatorial numbers.

## 7.4. On the Sh-ordinary locus $\mathcal{O}$

Let  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{O}}$  be the open subscheme of  $\mathcal{N}$  that contains  $\mathcal{N}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$  and whose special fibre is  $\mathcal{O}$ . In this Section we prove the following result.

**7.4.1. Theorem.** *If  $p \geq 3$ , then  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{O}}$  is a locally closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{M}_{O(v)}$ .*

*Proof:* The morphism  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{O(v)}$  is a formal immersion at all geometric points of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  (cf. proof of Proposition 6.2.7 (a)) and defines a closed embedding morphism  $\mathcal{N}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)} \hookrightarrow$

$\mathcal{M}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$ . Thus we only have to show that  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{O(v)}$  is injective on  $\mathbf{F}$ -valued points. Let  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{O}}(W(\mathbf{F}))$  be two Sh-canonical lifts whose special fibres  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{F})$  map to the same point  $y \in \mathcal{M}_{O(v)}(\mathbf{F})$ . To end the proof it is enough to show that  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  coincide.

For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  let  $(A_i, \lambda_{A_i}) := z_i^*(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$ . We identify  $(A_1, \lambda_{A_1})_{\mathbf{F}}$  with  $(A_2, \lambda_{A_2})_{\mathbf{F}}$ . We check that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are the same lift of  $A_{1, \mathbf{F}} = A_{2, \mathbf{F}}$ . Let  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $(A_i, \lambda_{A_i})$  is the pull back to  $W(\mathbf{F})$  of a principally polarized abelian variety  $(A_{0,i}, \lambda_{A_{0,i}})$  over  $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^l})$ , we have  $(A_{0,1}, \lambda_{A_{0,1}})_{\mathbf{F}_{p^l}} = (A_{0,2}, \lambda_{A_{0,2}})_{\mathbf{F}_{p^l}}$ , and moreover all Hodge cycles on  $A_{i,B}(\mathbf{F})$  are pull backs of Hodge cycles on  $A_{0,i,B}(\mathbf{F}_{p^l})$  (cf. Subsection 6.5.2). Let  $(\tilde{M}_0, \tilde{\varphi}_0)$  be the Dieudonné module of  $A_{0,1, \mathbf{F}_{p^l}} = A_{0,2, \mathbf{F}_{p^l}}$ . Let  $\tilde{F}_i^1$  be the Hodge filtration of  $\tilde{M}_0$  defined by  $A_{0,i}$ . The generic fibre of the inverse  $\tilde{\mu}_i$  of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(\tilde{M}_0, \tilde{F}_i^1, \tilde{\varphi}_0)$  factors through the center of the identity component of the centralizer of  $(\tilde{\varphi}_0)^l$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{M}_0[\frac{1}{p}]}$ , cf. property 6.5.3 (a). Therefore the generic fibres of  $\tilde{\mu}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_2$  commute. Thus  $\tilde{\mu}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_2$  commute. As  $\tilde{\mu}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_2$  are commuting Hodge cocharacters of  $(\tilde{M}_0, \tilde{\varphi}_0, \mathbf{GL}_{\tilde{M}_0})$ , they coincide (cf. Lemma 3.1.1). Thus we have  $\tilde{F}_1^1 = \tilde{F}_2^1$ . As  $p \geq 3$ , from Grothendieck–Messing and Serre–Tate deformation theories we get that  $A_{0,1} = A_{0,2}$ . Thus  $A_1 = A_2$  and therefore we also have  $\lambda_{A_1} = \lambda_{A_2}$ . As  $\mathcal{N}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{M}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$ , the generic fibres of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  coincide. This implies that  $z_1 = z_2$ .  $\square$

### 7.5. Example for U-ordinariness

We consider the case when  $f : (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$  is a standard embedding of a Hilbert–Blumenthal variety of dimension 3. Thus the group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}$  is simple over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , the group  $G_{\mathbf{R}}^{\text{der}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{SL}_2^3$ , and we have  $r = 3$ . We also assume that our prime  $p$  is such that  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{der}}$  is the  $\text{Res}_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})/\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of an  $\mathbf{SL}_2$  group scheme. Thus  $G_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})}^{\text{der}}$  is a product  $G_1 \times_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})} G_2 \times_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})} G_3$  of three copies of  $\mathbf{SL}_2$  group schemes. Moreover  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is generated by  $Z(\mathbf{GL}_{M_0})$  and  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{der}}$ . We have  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) = \mathbf{Q}$  and thus  $k(v) = \mathbf{F}_p$  and  $q = 1$ . As  $k(v) = \mathbf{F}_p$ , we have  $M_0 = L_p^*$ ; thus for the sake of uniformity of notations, in this section we will use  $L_p^*$  instead of  $M_0$ .

Let  $T_0$  and  $B_0$  be as in Subsection 1.5.2. For  $i \in S(1, 3)$  let  $\omega_i \in G_i(W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3}))$  be an element that normalizes  $T_{0, W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})} \cap G_i$  and such that it takes  $B_{0, W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})} \cap G_i$  to its opposite with respect to  $T_{0, W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})} \cap G_i$ . Let

$$\omega \in \{(\omega_1, \omega_2, 1_{L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})}), (\omega_1, 1_{L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})}, \omega_3), (1_{L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})}, \omega_2, \omega_3)\} \subseteq G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})).$$

We have  $L_{G_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})}}^0(\omega(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}_{p^3}})) = T_{0, W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})}$  (to be compared with Subsection 4.3.5). Thus, as  $T_0$  normalizes  $F_0^1$ , the quadruple

$$\mathcal{C}_{\omega} := (L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3}), F_0^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3}), \omega(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}_{p^3}}), G_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})})$$

is a U-canonical lift. It is not an Sh-canonical lift as  $P_{G_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})}}^+(\omega(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}_{p^3}}))$  is not contained in the normalizer  $B_{0, W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})}$  of  $F_0^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})$  in  $G_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^3})}$ .

**7.5.1. Proposition.** *There exist  $W(\mathbf{F})$ -valued points  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbf{F}))$  whose attached Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystals are isomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}_\omega \otimes \mathbf{F}$ . Thus each such  $z$  is a  $U$ -canonical lift that is not an  $Sh$ -canonical lift.*

*Proof:* Let  $L_p^\omega := \{x \in L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F}) \mid \omega(1_{L_p^*} \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}})(x) = x\}$ . It is a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  structure of  $L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F})$  constructed as in Section 2.4; we have  $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(L_p^\omega[\frac{1}{p}])$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . We denote also by  $\psi^*$  the perfect form on  $L_p^\omega$  defined by  $\psi^*$ . We check that there exist isomorphisms  $h : (L_p^\omega, \psi^*, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (L_p^*, \psi^*, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ . Such isomorphisms exist over  $W(k)$ . Thus the existence of  $h$  is parameterized by a torsor of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^0$  which (to be compared with the second paragraph of Section 4.2) is trivial. Therefore such isomorphisms  $h$  exist.

As  $\text{Lie}(T_{0,W(\mathbf{F})})$  is normalized by  $\omega(1_{L_p^*} \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}})$ , there exists a subtorus  $T_0^\omega$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_p^\omega}$  whose extension to  $W(\mathbf{F})$  is  $T_{0,W(\mathbf{F})}$  (cf. Lemma 2.4.1). Let  $T^\omega$  be a maximal torus of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  such that the  $\mathbf{R}$ -rank of  $T_{\mathbf{R}}^\omega$  is 1 and the isomorphism  $h : (L_p^\omega, \psi^*, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (L_p^*, \psi^*, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  takes  $T_0^\omega$  to  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^\omega$ , cf. [Ha, Lem. 5.5.3]. We fix an embedding  $e_{B(\mathbf{F})} : W(\mathbf{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . Let

$$\mu_\omega : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{C}}^\omega$$

be the cocharacter that corresponds to the cocharacter  $\mu_{0,W(\mathbf{F})}$  of  $T_{0,W(\mathbf{F})}^\omega$  via  $h$  and  $e_{B(\mathbf{F})}$ ; we have  $\mu_\omega := h_{\mathbf{C}} \mu_{0,\mathbf{C}} h_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}$ . The composite  $\mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$  of  $\mu_\omega$  with the natural monomorphism  $T_{\mathbf{C}}^\omega \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$  is  $G_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C})$ -conjugate to the cocharacters  $\mu_x : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{C}}$  of Subsection 1.5.1. Thus the subtorus  $C(\omega)$  of  $T_{\mathbf{C}}^\omega$  generated by  $Z(\mathbf{GL}_W)_{\mathbf{C}}$  and  $\text{Im}(\mu_\omega)$  is isomorphic to  $(\text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m)_{\mathbf{C}}$ . From this and our assumption on the  $\mathbf{R}$ -rank of  $T_{\mathbf{R}}^\omega$ , we get that  $C(\omega)$  is the extension to  $\mathbf{C}$  of a subtorus of  $T_{\mathbf{R}}^\omega$  that is isomorphic to  $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m$ . In other words,  $\mu_\omega$  is the Hodge cocharacter of a homomorphism  $h^\omega : \text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{R}}^\omega$ .

Let  $X^\omega$  be the  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{R})$ -conjugacy class of the composite of  $h^\omega$  with the monomorphism  $T_{\mathbf{R}}^\omega \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbf{R}}$ . As  $G_{\mathbf{R}}^{\text{ad}}$  is a  $\mathbf{PGL}_2^3$  group and due to the mentioned  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{C})$ -conjugacy property, the pair  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X^\omega)$  is a Shimura pair. Even more, we can identify naturally  $X$  and  $X^\omega$  with open closed subspaces of  $X^{\text{ad}}$ . But the connected components of  $X^{\text{ad}}$  are permuted transitively by  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ , cf. [Va3, Cor. 3.3.3]. Thus by replacing  $T^\omega$  with a  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ -conjugate of it, we can assume that  $X = X^\omega$ . We get an injective map of Shimura pairs

$$f^\omega : (T_{\mathbf{Q}}^\omega, \{h^\omega\}) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$$

that factors through  $f : (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$ . Let  $v^\omega$  be the prime of the reflex field  $E(T_{\mathbf{Q}}^\omega, \{h^\omega\})$  of  $(T_{\mathbf{Q}}^\omega, \{h^\omega\})$  such that the localization  $O_{(v^\omega)}$  of the ring of integers of  $E(T_{\mathbf{Q}}^\omega, \{h^\omega\})$  with respect to it is under  $e_{B(\mathbf{F})}$  a subring of  $W(\mathbf{F})$ . The smallest number  $s \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $(\omega(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}}))^s$  fixes under conjugation  $\mu_{0,W(\mathbf{F})} : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F})} = \mathbf{GL}_{L_p^\omega \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F})}$  is  $s = 3$ . Thus we have  $k(v^\omega) = \mathbf{F}_{p^3}$ .

The torus  $T^\omega$  is a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)$ . Thus  $T^\omega$  is the intersection of  $\mathbf{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)$  with the double centralizer of  $T^\omega$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_{(p)}}$ . Therefore the triple  $(f^\omega, L, v^\omega)$  is a standard moduli PEL situation. Let  $H_p^\omega$  be the unique hyperspecial subgroup of  $T_{\mathbf{Q}}^\omega(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}^\omega$  be the normalization of the Zariski closure of  $\text{Sh}(T_{\mathbf{Q}}^\omega, \{h^\omega\})/H_p^\omega$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{O_{(v^\omega)}}$ . As  $(f^\omega, L, v^\omega)$  is a standard Hodge situation (cf. Remark 1.5.5 (b)), there exist  $W(\mathbf{F})$ -valued points

$$z \in \text{Im}(\mathcal{N}^\omega(W(\mathbf{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbf{F}))).$$

Let  $\mathcal{J}^\omega := \mathcal{J} \cup \text{Lie}(T^\omega)$ . We can assume that for each element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J} \cap \text{Lie}(T^\omega)$  we have  $v_\alpha = \alpha$ . Accordingly for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^\omega \setminus \mathcal{J}$  we define  $v_\alpha := \alpha$ . Let  $\tilde{T}$  be the maximal torus of  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{T})$  is the Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal of  $z \in \mathcal{N}^\omega(W(\mathbf{F}))$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^\omega$  let  $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$  correspond to  $v_\alpha$  via Fontaine comparison theory for  $A$ . The extension to  $\mathbf{F}$  of the standard Shimura  $F$ -crystal of  $(f^\omega, L, v^\omega)$ , up to conjugation with  $h^{-1} \in G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(W(\mathbf{F}))$ , is identified with  $(L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F}), \omega(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}}), T_{0,W(\mathbf{F})})$ . As  $\tilde{T}$  is a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{GSp}(M, \lambda_M)$ , it is well known that there exist isomorphisms (this is also a particular case of Theorem 8.1.5 below)

$$\tilde{\rho} : (M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^\omega}, \lambda_M) \xrightarrow{\sim} (L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(\mathbf{F}), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^\omega}, \psi^*).$$

Thus, if we take the isomorphism  $\rho$  of Subsection 6.1.5 to be defined by  $\tilde{\rho}$ , then we have  $\rho\varphi\rho^{-1} = \tilde{g}_y\omega(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}})$ , where  $\tilde{g}_y \in T_0(W(\mathbf{F}))$ . But there exists  $\tilde{h}_y \in T_0(W(\mathbf{F}))$  that defines an inner isomorphism between  $(L_p^* \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbf{F}), \omega(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}}), T_{0,W(\mathbf{F})})$  and  $(L_p^* \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbf{F}), \tilde{g}_y\omega(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}}), T_{0,W(\mathbf{F})})$ , cf. the equivalence 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.4 (a). Therefore each  $W(\mathbf{F})$ -valued point  $z \in \text{Im}(\mathcal{N}^\omega(W(\mathbf{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbf{F})))$  has the property that its attached Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal is isomorphic to  $(L_p^* \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbf{F}), \tilde{g}_y\omega(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}}), G_{W(\mathbf{F})})$  and therefore also to  $\mathcal{C}_\omega \otimes \mathbf{F}$ .  $\square$

**7.5.2. Remark.** Proposition 7.5.1 and its proof apply also to the case when the role of  $\mathbf{SL}_2^3$  is replaced by the one of  $\mathbf{SL}_2^m$  with  $m \geq 4$  (to be compared with Subsection 4.3.5).

## 8 Two special applications

In this Chapter we include two special applications of Section 1.6. In Section 8.1 we include complements on a *motivic conjecture of Milne*. In Section 8.2 we apply the Zariski density part of Theorem 1.6.1 to prove the existence of integral canonical models of certain Shimura varieties in unramified mixed characteristic  $(0, p)$  with  $p \geq 3$ . All notations will be as in the first paragraph of Chapter 7. We recall that a reductive group over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is called *unramified* if it is quasi-split and splits over an unramified finite field extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .

### 8.1. Applications to a conjecture of Milne

In this Section we will assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ . Let  $H^1 := H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{B(k)}}, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Let  $\lambda_{H^1} : H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} H^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$  be the perfect form on  $H^1$  that is the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -étale realization of  $z^*(\Lambda_A)$ . Let  $t_\alpha$  (resp.  $w_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ ) be the de Rham (resp. the  $p$ -component of the étale) component of the Hodge cycle  $z_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}^*(w_\alpha^A)$  on  $A_{B(k)}$ . The following conjecture is only a refinement of [Va3, Conj. 5.6.6].

**8.1.1. Conjecture.** *There exists an isomorphism*

$$j_{W(k)} : (M, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k), \lambda_{H^1}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}).$$

We refer to this Conjecture as *Milne conjecture*, cf. [Mi2, §4, pp. 511–512], [Va3, Conj. 5.6.6], and an unpublished manuscript of Milne available at [www.arXiv.org](http://www.arXiv.org).

We say that *Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$*  if it holds for every such field  $k = \bar{k}$  and for every  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$ .

**8.1.2. Simple properties.** (a) To prove Conjecture 8.1.1 it is enough to show that there exists a faithfully flat, commutative  $W(k)$ -algebra  $C$  and an isomorphism  $j_C : (M \otimes_{W(k)} C, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} C, \lambda_{H^1}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ . One argues this in a way similar to the last two paragraphs of the proof of Proposition 6.2.8.

(b) The triple  $(H^1, \lambda_{H^1}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  can be identified with  $(L_p^*, \psi^*, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  (cf. Subsection 6.1.5) and therefore it does not depend on  $z$ . If we have an isomorphism  $(M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k), (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) = (L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ , then under it  $\lambda_M$  is mapped to a  $\mathbf{G}_m(W(k))$ -multiple of  $\psi^*$ ; but as we have a short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^0(W(k)) \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(W(k)) \rightarrow 1$  (cf. Subsection 6.1.5), we can assume this multiple is  $\psi^*$  itself. Thus  $j_{W(k)}$  exists if and only if there exists an isomorphism  $(M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k), (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) = (L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ .



(c) If Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$ , then (as  $k = \bar{k}$ ) the four statements 1.6.1 (a) to (d) are also equivalent to the following one:

(\*) *there exists an isomorphism  $(M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  that induces an isomorphism  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k = (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_k, G_{W(k)})$ .*

To check this, we first remark that an isomorphism  $j_{W(k)}$  as in Conjecture 8.1.1 allows us to assume that the isomorphism  $\rho : (M[\frac{1}{p}], (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  of Subsection 6.1.5 is such that  $\rho(M) = M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k)$ . Similar to Subsection 6.1.6 we argue that we can assume that  $\rho\mu\rho^{-1} = \mu_{0, W(k)}$  and thus that the element  $\tilde{g}_y$  of Subsection 6.1.5 belongs to  $G_{W(k)}(W(k))$ . Thus the equivalence 1.6.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (\*) follows from the equivalence 1.4.1 (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  1.4.4 (a) (see Theorem 1.4.4).

(d) We assume that Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$ , that for every element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  we have  $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(L_{(p)}^*)$ , and that  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is the subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GSp}(L_{(p)}^*, \psi^*)$  that fixes  $v_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  we have  $w_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(H^1)$  (cf. (b) and the relation  $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(L_{(p)}^*)$ ) and thus we also have  $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M)$  (as Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$ ). We use the notations of Section 7.2; thus  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $\mathbf{T}_n(y)$  and  $\mathbf{T}_n(y_1)$  are inner isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphism  $(M/p^n M, \varphi, \vartheta, \lambda_M) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_1/p^n M_1, \varphi_1, \vartheta_1, \lambda_{M_1})$  defined by an isomorphism  $M/p^n M \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1/p^n M_1$  that takes  $t_\alpha$  modulo  $p^n$  to  $t_{1, \alpha}$  modulo  $p^n$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ .

(e) The pair  $(M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  depends only on the  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ -orbit of the connected component of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  through which  $y$  factors, cf. property 6.2.8 (ii) and Fact 6.3.4. Thus from the Zariski density part of Theorem 1.6.1 and from (b), we get that to check Conjecture 8.1.1 for  $(f, L, v)$  we can assume that  $k = \mathbf{F}$  and that  $z$  is an Sh-canonical lift. Moreover, if the connected components of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  are permuted transitively by  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ , then to check Conjecture 8.1.1 for  $(f, L, v)$ , it suffices to show that  $j_{W(\mathbf{F})}$  exists for a unique (arbitrarily chosen)  $W(\mathbf{F})$ -valued point  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbf{F}))$ .

**8.1.3. Fact.** *If  $p \geq 3$  and  $q = 1$ , then Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$ .*

*Proof:* We can assume that  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is an Sh-canonical lift of  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ , cf. property 8.1.2 (e). Thus  $A_k$  is an ordinary abelian variety and  $A$  is the canonical lift of  $A_k$ , cf. Proposition 7.1.1. Let  $F^0$  be the direct supplement of  $F^1$  in  $M$  such that we have  $\varphi(F^0) = F^0$ . Let  $i_A$  and  $\beta_0$  be as in Section 6.1. As  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  is the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$ , it fixes  $F^0$ . We have (see either the constructions in [Fa2, §6] or [BM, Cor. 2.2.4] where the modulo  $p^n$  version of this is proved)

$$i_A(F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) \oplus \frac{1}{\beta_0} F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))) = H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)).$$

As  $F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) \oplus \frac{1}{\beta_0} F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) = \mu(\beta_0)(M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)))$ , we get the existence of  $j_{B^+(W(k))}$ . Thus the Fact follows from the property 8.1.2 (a).  $\square$

**8.1.4. A relative context.** We consider now the following situation. We assume that  $f : (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$  factors through an injective map of Shimura pairs

$$f_1 : (G_{1, \mathbf{Q}}, X_1) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$$

and that the Zariski closure  $G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  of  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_{(p)}}$  is a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ . As we have an injective map of Shimura pairs  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)$ , the reflex field  $E(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)$  of  $(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)$  is a subfield of  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ . Let  $v_1$  be the prime of  $E(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)$  that divides  $v$ . We list two statements:

(i) The triple  $(f_1, L, v_1)$  is a standard Hodge situation and Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for it;

(ii) One of the following two conditions holds:

- (ii.a) we have  $Z^0(G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}) = Z^0(G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}})$  and there exists a product decomposition  $G_{1,W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}} = \prod_{i=1}^n G_1^{(i)}$  such that for all numbers  $i \in S(1, n)$  there exists a closed embedding monomorphism  $G_1^{(i)} \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in I_i} \mathbf{GL}_{N_{i,j}}$ , where  $I_i$  is a finite set and where each  $N_{i,j}$  is a free  $W(\mathbf{F})$ -module of finite rank, with the property that the  $G_{\mathbf{F}}^{\text{der}}$ -module (and thus also the  $G_{1,\mathbf{F}}^{(i)}$ -module)  $N_{i,j}/pN_{i,j}$  is simple for all  $j \in I_i$ ;
- (ii.b) the group scheme  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  is the centralizer in  $G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  of a torus  $T_{1,\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  of  $G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  and either  $p \geq 3$  or  $p = 2$  and the Newton polygon  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  (of  $\mathcal{C}_0$ ) has no integral Newton polygon slopes.

**8.1.5. Theorem.** *If conditions 8.1.4 (i) and (ii) hold, then Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$ .*

*Proof:* We choose the family of tensors  $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  of  $\mathcal{T}(W^*)$  such that there exists a subset  $\mathcal{J}_1$  of  $\mathcal{J}$  with the property that  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}$  is the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_W$  that fixes  $v_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_1$ . Let  $O_{(v_1)}$  be the localization of the ring of integers of  $E(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)$  with respect to  $v_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_1$  be the normalization of the Zariski closure of  $\text{Sh}(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)/G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{O_{(v_1)}}$ . Let  $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1(W(k))$  be the composite of  $z$  with the natural morphism  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$ . Let  $V$  be a finite, discrete valuation ring extension of  $W(k)$  such that there exists an isomorphism

$$(32a) \quad j_V : (M \otimes_{W(k)} V, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} V, \lambda_{H^1}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_1}) = (L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} V, \psi^*, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_1}),$$

cf. condition 8.1.4 (i) and property 8.1.2 (b) (it is significantly more convenient here to work with a flexible  $j_V$  and not with a rigid  $j_{W(k)}$ ). Let  $K := V[\frac{1}{p}]$ . For each element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  let  $t'_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} K)$  be the tensor such that  $j_V$  takes  $t_\alpha$  to  $t'_\alpha$ . Thus for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_1$  we have  $t'_\alpha = v_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} K)$ . From the existence of an isomorphism  $\rho : (M[\frac{1}{p}], (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  (see Subsection 6.1.5), we get the existence of an element  $g \in G_{1,V}(K)$  such that we have  $g(t'_\alpha) = v_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  (equivalently for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_1$ ); more precisely, under the canonical identification  $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k) = L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} W(k)$ , we can take  $g = (\rho \otimes 1_K) \circ j_V^{-1} \in G_{1,V}(K)$ . If we have

$$(32b) \quad g \in G_V(K)G_{1,V}(V),$$

then by composing  $j_V$  with a  $V$ -linear automorphism of  $L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} V$  defined by an element of  $G_{1,V}(V)$  that corresponds to (32b), we get an isomorphism  $\tilde{j}_V : (M \otimes_{W(k)} V, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} V, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) = (L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} V, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ . As in the property 8.1.2 (b) we argue that we can assume that  $\tilde{j}_V$  takes  $\lambda_M$  to  $\lambda_{H^1} = \psi^*$ . Thus based on the property

8.1.2 (a), to end the proof of the Theorem we only have to show that  $g \in G_V(K)G_{1,V}(V)$  and therefore from now on we will ignore the alternating forms.

**Case A.** We first consider the case when the condition 8.1.4 (ii.a) holds. Let  $\mathcal{H}_1 := G_V(V)$ . As  $gj_V \tilde{G} j_V^{-1} g^{-1}$  is a reductive group scheme that extends  $G_K$ , the subgroup

$$\mathcal{H}_2 := \{h \in G_V(K) | h(g(L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} V)) = g(L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} V)\} = g\mathcal{H}_1 g^{-1} \leq G_V(K)$$

is hyperspecial. The hyperspecial subgroups  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  of  $G_V(K)$  are  $G_V^{\text{ad}}(K)$ -conjugate, cf. [Ti2, end of Subsect. 2.5]. Thus by replacing  $V$  with a finite, discrete valuation ring extension of it, we can assume that there exists an element  $g_1 \in G_V(K)$  such that we have  $g_1(\mathcal{H}_2)g_1^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_1$ . By replacing  $g$  with  $g_1 g$  we can assume that  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = g\mathcal{H}_1 g^{-1}$ .

In this and the next paragraph, we show that  $g$  normalizes  $\mathcal{H}_1^{(i)} := G_{1,V}^{(i)}(V)$  for all  $i \in S(1, n)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_2^{(i)} := g\mathcal{H}_1^{(i)}g^{-1}$ . Let  $G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{0,(i),\text{sc}}$  be the maximal direct factor of the simply connected semisimple group scheme cover  $G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{sc}}$  of  $G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}}$  such that the natural homomorphism  $G_{B(\mathbf{F})}^{0,(i),\text{sc}} \rightarrow G_{1,B(\mathbf{F})}^{(i)}$  has a finite kernel. Let  $G^{(i)}$  be the semisimple group scheme over  $W(\mathbf{F})$  that is the quotient of  $G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}}$  through the Zariski closure of  $\text{Ker}(G_{B(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}} \rightarrow G_{1,B(\mathbf{F})}^{(i)})$  in  $G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}}$ . We can redefine  $G^{(i)}$  as the quotient of  $G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{0,(i),\text{sc}}$  through a finite, flat subgroup scheme of  $Z(G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{0,(i),\text{sc}})$  and thus the existence of  $G^{(i)}$  is implied by [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Cor. 4.3.2]. Let  $\mathcal{H}^{(i)} := G^{(i)}(V)$ . It is the only hyperspecial subgroup of  $G^{(i)}(K)$  to which  $\mathcal{H}_1$  maps, cf. Lemma 2.3.10. As  $\mathcal{H}_1 = g\mathcal{H}_1 g^{-1}$ , we get  $\mathcal{H}^{(i)} = g\mathcal{H}^{(i)}g^{-1}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{H}_1^{(i)}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2^{(i)}$  are hyperspecial subgroups of  $G_1^{(i)}(K)$  that contain  $\mathcal{H}^{(i)}$ .

For  $j \in I_i$  and  $s \in \{1, 2\}$  let  $L_{i,j,s}$  be a  $V$ -lattice of  $N_{i,j} \otimes_{W(k)} K$  such that we have  $\mathcal{H}_s^{(i)} \leq \prod_{j \in I_i} \mathbf{GL}_{L_{i,j,s}}(V)$ , cf. [Ja, Part I, 10.4]. As  $\mathcal{H}^{(i)}$  normalizes  $\oplus_{j \in I_1} L_{i,j,s}$ , from [Va3, Prop. 3.1.2.1 a)] we get that  $\oplus_{j \in I_1} L_{i,j,s}$  is a  $G_V^{(i)}$ -module. The  $G_k^{(i)}$ -module  $N_{i,j} \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} k$  is irreducible (cf. condition 8.1.4 (ii.a)) and thus the  $G_k^{(i)}$ -module  $L_{i,j,s} \otimes_V k$  is isomorphic to  $N_{i,j} \otimes_{W(\mathbf{F})} k$  and therefore it is irreducible (cf. [Ja, Part I, 10.9]). Thus we have  $L_{i,j,1} = \pi_V^{m_{ij}} L_{i,j,2}$ , where  $m_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$  and where  $\pi_V$  is a fixed uniformizer of  $V$ . Therefore the product group  $\prod_{j \in I_i} \mathbf{GL}_{L_{i,j,s}}(V)$  does not depend on  $s$ . Thus we have  $\mathcal{H}_1^{(i)} = \mathcal{H}_2^{(i)}$  and therefore  $g$  normalizes  $\mathcal{H}_1^{(i)}$ .

Thus the image  $g^{\text{ad}}$  of  $g$  in  $G_{1,V}^{\text{ad}}(K)$  normalizes the unique hyperspecial subgroup  $G_{1,V}^{\text{ad}}(V)$  of  $G_{1,V}^{\text{ad}}(K)$  that contains  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{H}_1^{(i)} \rightarrow G_{1,V}^{\text{ad}}(K))$  for all  $i \in S(1, n)$  (for the uniqueness part, cf. Lemma 2.3.10 applied to the epimorphism  $G_{1,V}^{\text{der}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{1,V}^{\text{ad}}$ ). From [Va3, Prop. 3.1.2.1 a)] we get that  $g^{\text{ad}}$  defines via inner conjugation an automorphism of  $G_{1,V}^{\text{ad}}$ . Thus we have  $g^{\text{ad}} \in G_{1,V}^{\text{ad}}(V)$ . By replacing  $V$  with a finite, discrete valuation ring extension of it, we can assume that there exists an element  $g_2^{-1} \in G_{1,V}(V)$  such that its image in  $G_{1,V}^{\text{ad}}(V)$  is  $g^{\text{ad}}$ . By replacing  $g$  with  $gg_2$  we can assume that  $g^{\text{ad}}$  is the identity element i.e.,  $g \in Z(G_{1,V})(K)$ . By replacing  $V$  with a finite, discrete valuation ring extension of it we can write  $g = g_3 g_4$ , where  $g_3 \in Z^0(G_{1,V})(K) = Z^0(G_V)(K)$  and where  $g_4 \in Z(G_{1,V}^{\text{der}})(V)$ . Thus  $g \in G_V(K)G_{1,V}(V)$ .

**Case B.** We consider the case when the condition 8.1.4 (ii.b) holds. To show that Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$  we can assume that  $z$  is an Sh-canonical lift, cf. property 8.1.2 (e). We choose the set  $\mathcal{J}$  such that we have  $\mathcal{J} \supseteq \mathcal{J}_1 \cup \text{Lie}(T_{1, \mathbf{z}_{(p)}})$  and  $v_\alpha = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \text{Lie}(T_{1, \mathbf{z}_{(p)}})$ . There exists a maximal torus  $\tilde{T}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{T})$  is a Shimura  $F$ -crystal, cf. Subsection 4.3.2. Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  be the union of  $\mathcal{J}$  and of the set of all elements of  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{T})$  fixed by  $\varphi$ . For  $\alpha \in \text{Lie}(\tilde{T})$ , we define  $t_\alpha = \alpha$ . We can assume that for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J} \cap \text{Lie}(\tilde{T})$ , the two definitions of  $t_\alpha$  define the same tensor of  $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ . For  $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \setminus \mathcal{J}$ , let  $v_\alpha \in \text{End}(H^1)$  be the endomorphism that corresponds to  $t_\alpha$  via Fontaine comparison theory (see Subsection 6.1.7) and let  $t'_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{z}_p} K)$  be the tensor such that  $j_V$  takes  $t_\alpha$  to  $t'_\alpha$ . Thus we have  $g(t'_\alpha) = v_\alpha$ , for all  $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ . Moreover, the Zariski closure in  $\mathbf{GL}_{H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{z}_p} V} = \mathbf{GL}_{L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{z}_p} V}$  of the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_{H^1 \otimes_{\mathbf{z}_p} K} = \mathbf{GL}_{L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbf{z}_p} K}$  that fixes  $v_\alpha$  (resp.  $t'_\alpha$ ) for all  $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ , is a maximal torus  $T_1^{\acute{e}t}$  (resp.  $T_1^{\text{crys}}$ ) of  $G_V$  and therefore also of  $G_{1,V}$ . More precisely,  $T_1^{\acute{e}t}$  is the extension to  $V$  of the torus  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t}$  of Lemma 6.1.8 and  $T_1^{\text{crys}} := j_V \tilde{T} j_V^{-1}$ . Thus  $\text{Lie}(T_1^{\acute{e}t})$  (resp.  $\text{Lie}(T_1^{\text{crys}})$ ) is  $V$ -generated by the  $v_\alpha$ 's (resp. the  $t'_\alpha$ 's) with  $\alpha \in (\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \setminus \mathcal{J}_1) \cup \text{Lie}(T_{1, \mathbf{z}_{(p)}})$ . But  $T_1^{\acute{e}t}$  and  $T_1^{\text{crys}}$  are  $G_V(V)$ -conjugate, cf. Subsection 2.3.8 (d). Thus by replacing  $g$  with  $gg_1$ , where  $g_1 \in G_V(V) \leq G_{1,V}(V)$ , we can assume that  $T_1^{\acute{e}t} = T_1^{\text{crys}}$ . Due to the  $V$ -generation property of  $\text{Lie}(T_1^{\acute{e}t})$  and  $\text{Lie}(T_1^{\text{crys}})$ , we get that  $g$  normalizes  $T_1^{\acute{e}t} = T_1^{\text{crys}}$ . Thus  $g = g_2 g_3$ , where  $g_2 \in T_1^{\acute{e}t}(K) \leq G_V(K)$  and where  $g_3$  is a  $V$ -valued point of the normalizer of  $T_1^{\acute{e}t}$  in  $G_{1,V}$ . Therefore we have  $g \in G_V(K)G_{1,V}(V)$ .  $\square$

**8.1.6. Remarks.** (a) There exists a variant of the Case B of the proof of Theorem 8.1.5 which uses the fact that every two tori  $T(1)$  and  $T(2)$  of  $G_{1,V}$  with the properties that we have  $T(i) = Z^0(C(i))$  ( $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ), where  $C(i)$  is the centralizer of  $T(i)$  in  $G_{1,V}$ , and that their generic fibres are  $G_{1,V}(K)$ -conjugate, are  $G_{1,V}(V)$ -conjugate. This can be checked by reduction to the case of classical semisimple group schemes over  $V$ . Both this new approach and the proof of Theorem 8.1.5 under the condition 8.1.4 (ii.b), work even for  $p = 2$  provided the connected components of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  are permuted transitively by  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ . This is so as (cf. property 8.1.2 (e)) we can assume that  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is such that the variant 6.1.9 (b) applies to it.

(b) Let  $Y$  be an abelian variety over a number field  $E$ . We fix an embedding  $E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and we identify  $\overline{E}$  with  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ . Let  $(s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_Y}$  be the family of all Hodge cycles on  $Y$  that involve no Tate twist. To ease notations, we will assume that  $(s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_Y}$  is equal to the family of all Hodge cycles on  $A_{\overline{E}}$  that involve no Tate twist. Let  $L_Y := H_1(Y(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z})$ . Let  $W_Y := L_Y \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} = H_1(Y(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q})$ . For each element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_Y$  let  $s_\alpha^B$  be the Betti realization of  $s_\alpha$ ; thus  $s_\alpha^B$  is a tensor of the tensor algebra of  $W_Y^* \oplus W_Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_E$  be the ring of integers of  $E$ . Let  $m_Y \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $Y$  extends to an abelian scheme  $\mathcal{Y}$  over  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E[\frac{1}{m_Y}])$ . Let  $D_Y := H_{dR}^1(\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{O}_E[\frac{1}{m_Y}])$ . There exists a finite field extension  $E_1$  of  $E$  such that there exists an  $E_1$ -linear isomorphism  $i_{E_1} : W_Y^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} D_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E[\frac{1}{m_Y}]} E_1$  that takes  $s_\alpha^B$  to the de Rham component  $s_\alpha^{dR}$  of  $s_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_Y$ . This is a standard consequence of the fact that under the de Rham  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear isomorphism  $W_Y^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E[\frac{1}{m_Y}]} \mathbf{C}$ ,  $s_\alpha^B$  is mapped to  $s_\alpha^{dR}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_Y$  (cf. [De5, §1 and §2]). Let  $n_Y \in m_Y \mathbf{N}$  be such that we have

$i_{E_1}(L_Y^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} O_{E_1}[\frac{1}{n_Y}]) = D_Y \otimes_{O_E[\frac{1}{m_Y}]} O_{E_1}[\frac{1}{n_Y}]$ ; here  $O_{E_1}$  is the ring of integers of  $E_1$ .

We now fix  $f$  and  $L$  but we allow  $p$  to vary. The connected components of  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{C}}$  are permuted transitively by  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ , cf. [Va3, Lem. 3.3.2]. It is well known that this implies that for  $p \gg 0$  the connected components of  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$  are also permuted transitively by  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  (cf. [Va3, Rm. 3.4.6 and Cor. 3.4.7] and [Gr1, Thm. (9.7.7)]). Thus the Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$  if  $p \gg 0$  and if Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for one  $W(\mathbf{F})$ -valued point  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbf{F}))$ , cf. property 8.1.2 (e). Thus from the previous paragraph we get that Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$  provided  $p \gg 0$ .

**8.1.7. On applying 8.1.5.** The usefulness of Conjecture 8.1.1 stems from its motivic aspect that will be used in future work by Milne and us in connection to the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture and by us to achieve the project 1.9 (b). We include a practical form of Subsection 8.1.4 that suffices for applications to this last conjecture. We assume that the following three conditions hold:

- (i) the centralizer  $C_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_{(p)}}$  is a reductive group scheme;<sup>1</sup>
- (ii) we have  $Z^0(G_{\mathbf{Q}}) = Z^0(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}})$ , where  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}$  is the identity component of the centralizer  $D(\psi)_{\mathbf{Q}}$  of  $C_{\mathbf{Q}}$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi)$ ;
- (iii) if  $p = 2$ , then we have  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}} = D(\psi)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ .

Let  $X_1$  be the  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{R})$ -conjugacy class of monomorphisms  $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{1,\mathbf{R}}$  that contains  $X$  (i.e., contains the composite of any monomorphism  $\text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbf{R}}$  that is an element of  $X$  with the monomorphism  $G_{\mathbf{R}} \hookrightarrow G_{1,\mathbf{R}}$ ). We get an injective map  $f_1 : (G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$  of Shimura pairs through which  $f : (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$  factors, cf. [Va3, Rm. 4.3.12]. Let the prime  $v_1$  of  $E(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)$  be as in Subsection 8.1.4. The group scheme  $C_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  is the group scheme of invertible elements of the semisimple  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}_1$  of  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}(L_{(p)})$  whose elements are the elements of  $\text{Lie}(C_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}})$ . As  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is normalized by the involution  $'$  of Subsection 1.5.1, the Zariski closure  $G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  of  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_{(p)}}$  is a reductive group scheme. To check this can assume that  $\mathcal{B}_1[\frac{1}{p}]$  is simple and for this well known case we refer to [Ko2]. Thus the quadruple  $(f_1, L, v_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$  is a standard PEL situation which is as well a standard Hodge situation, cf. Remark 1.5.5 (b). Thus the condition 8.1.4 (i) holds if and only if Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f_1, L, v_1)$ .

The fact that Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f_1, L, v_1)$  is well known. For instance, due to existence of the isomorphism  $\rho$  of Subsection 6.1.5, the arguments of the proof of [Ko2, Lem. 7.2] apply but with  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  being replaced by  $B(k)$ . We now include a new self-contained and short argument for this. Let  $V, K$ , and  $\pi_V$  be as in the proof of Theorem 8.1.5. Let  $L_{0,V} := L_{(p)}^* \otimes_{W(k)} V = M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} V$ . We will work with an isomorphism  $\rho : (M[\frac{1}{p}], (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k), (v_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  that takes  $\lambda_M$  to  $\psi^*$  (see Subsection 6.1.5). Let  $L_V := \rho(M) \otimes_{W(k)} V$ . Thus  $L_V$  is a  $V$ -lattice of  $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} K$  that is normalized by  $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} V$ , that has the property that the Zariski closure of  $G_K$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_V}$  is a reductive group scheme  $(\rho \tilde{G} \rho^{-1})_V$ , and that is such that we have a perfect alternating form  $\psi^* :$

---

<sup>1</sup> Due to Lemma 2.3.11, this always holds but we will not stop to detail this here.

$L_V \otimes_V L_V \rightarrow V$ . We will show these three properties of  $L_V$  imply the existence of an element  $g \in G(K)$  such that we have  $g^{-1}(L_V) = L_{0,V}$ .

We can replace  $V$  by any finite, discrete valuation ring extension of it (to be compared with the property 8.1.2 (a)). Thus, as in the first paragraph of the Case A of the proof of Theorem 8.1.5, we argue that we can assume that ( $L_V$  is such that)  $G_V$  is the Zariski closure of  $G_K$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_V}$ . Let  $L_V = \bigoplus_{j \in \chi(T_{0,V})} L_V^j$  and  $L_{0,V} = \bigoplus_{j \in \chi(T_{0,V})} L_{0,V}^j$  be the direct sum decompositions such that the maximal torus  $T_{0,V}$  of  $G_V$  acts on  $L_V^j$  and  $L_{0,V}^j$  via the character  $j$  of  $T_{0,V}$ . The  $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} V$ -modules  $L_V^j$  and  $L_{0,V}^j$  are simple. Thus there exists  $m_j \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that we have  $L_V^j = \pi_V^{m_j} L_{0,V}^j$ . Let  $g \in \mathbf{GL}_{L_V}(K)$  be the semisimple element such that it acts on  $L_V^j$  as the multiplication with  $\pi_V^{-m_j}$ ; we have  $g^{-1}(L_V) = L_{0,V}$ . The element  $g$  centralizes  $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} V$ . Moreover, as  $\psi^*$  induces perfect forms on  $L_V$  and  $L_{0,V}$ ,  $g$  fixes  $\psi^*$ . As  $\pi_V^{m_j}$  is never a root of unity different from 1, the Zariski closure of the set  $\{g^m | m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_V[\frac{1}{p}]}$  is a torus of  $G_K$  (and not only a group of multiplicative type). Thus we have  $g \in G(K)$ . Therefore  $j_V := g^{-1} \circ (\rho \otimes 1_K)$  is an isomorphism as in (32a). Thus Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f_1, L, v_1)$ , cf. property 8.1.2 (a).

We conclude that the condition 8.1.4 (i) is implied by conditions (i) to (iii). We now check that the condition 8.1.4 (ii.a) also holds. The group scheme  $G_{1,W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}}$  is a product of semisimple group schemes that are  $\mathbf{SL}_n$  (with  $n \geq 2$ ),  $\mathbf{Sp}_{2n}$  (with  $n \geq 1$ ), or  $\mathbf{SO}_{2n}$  (with  $n \geq 2$ ) group schemes. For each such factor  $G_1^{(i)}$  of  $G_{1,W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}}$ , the  $G_1^{(i)}$ -module  $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbf{F})$  is a direct sum of trivial  $G_1^{(i)}$ -modules and of standard simple  $G_1^{(i)}$ -modules whose ranks are  $n$  for the case of  $\mathbf{SL}_n$  and are  $2n$  for the case of either  $\mathbf{Sp}_{2n}$  or  $\mathbf{SO}_{2n}$ . Let  $N_i$  be a direct summand of  $M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbf{F})$  that is a simple  $G_1^{(i)}$ -module. The resulting representation  $\rho_i : G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{N_i}$  is irreducible (over  $B(\mathbf{F})$  this is implied by the condition (ii)). Thus  $\rho_{i,B(\mathbf{F})}$  is a tensor product of irreducible representations associated to minuscule weights, cf. property 1.5.6 (ii); the number of the simple factors of the adjoint group of  $\text{Im}(G_{B(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{N_i[\frac{1}{p}]})$  is equal to the number of factors of the mentioned tensor product. From this and [Ja, Part I, 10.9], we get that the representation  $\rho_{i,\mathbf{F}}$  has a composite series whose factors are isomorphic with the factors of a composition series of the representation over  $\mathbf{F}$  which is a tensor product of representations of the form  $\rho_{\varpi,\mathbf{F}}$ , where  $\rho_{\varpi}$  is as in Lemma 2.3.11, and therefore which is a tensor product of irreducible representations (cf. Lemma 2.3.11). Thus the representation  $\rho_{i,\mathbf{F}}$  is irreducible. Therefore the condition 8.1.4 (ii.a) is also implied by conditions (i) to (iii). From Theorem 8.1.5 we get:

**8.1.8. Theorem.** *If conditions 8.1.7 (i) to (iii) hold, then Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$ .*

**8.1.9. Example.** Let  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  be a simple, adjoint Shimura pair of  $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n^{\mathbf{H}}$ , or  $D_n^{\mathbf{R}}$  Shimura type; see Subsection 1.5.6. Let  $v^{\text{ad}}$  be a prime of  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  that divides a prime  $p \geq 5$ . We assume that the group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$  is unramified. The constructions of [Va3, Subsects. 6.5 and 6.6.5] show that there exists a standard Hodge situation  $(f, L, v)$  such that the notations match (i.e.,  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  is the adjoint Shimura pair of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ , etc.) and

the representation  $G_{W(\mathbf{F})} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} W(\mathbf{F})}$  is a direct sum of irreducible representations whose restrictions to  $G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}}$  are associated to minuscule weights of some direct factor of the simply connected semisimple group scheme cover of  $G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{der}}$  that has an absolutely simple, adjoint. Thus  $L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbf{F}$  is a semisimple  $G_{\mathbf{F}}$ -module, cf. Lemma 2.3.8. Thus  $C_{\mathbf{F}}$  is reductive. Moreover  $C_{\mathbf{F}}$  and  $C_{B(\mathbf{F})}$  have equal dimensions. Thus  $C_{W(\mathbf{F})}$  is a reductive group scheme and therefore the condition 8.1.7 (i) holds. By replacing  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  with the larger group that is the subgroup of  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}$  generated by  $Z^0(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}})$  and by  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{der}}$  we can also assume that the condition 8.1.7 (ii) also holds: under this replacement, the Zariski closure  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_{(p)}}$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $Z^0(G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}) \times G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{der}}$  by a central finite, flat subgroup scheme isomorphic to  $Z^0(G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}) \cap G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{der}}$ , and thus  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}}$  continues to be a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Cor. 4.3.2]). As  $p \geq 5$ , the condition 8.1.7 (iii) is vacuous. Thus Conjecture 8.1.1 holds for  $(f, L, v)$ , cf. Theorem 8.1.8.

**8.1.10. Remark.** Subsections 8.1.2 to 8.1.9 are far from a complete proof of Conjecture 8.1.1. But they form the simplest approach to Conjecture 8.1.1, suffice for most applications (even for  $p = 2$ ), and are close in spirit to [Ko2, Lem. 7.2]. We refer to [Va7] (resp. to [Va8]) for a complete proof of Conjecture 8.1.1 for  $p \geq 3$  (resp. for all primes  $p \geq 2$ ), that uses much heavier crystalline cohomology theories.

## 8.2. Applications to integral canonical models

We consider a standard Hodge situation  $(f, L, v)$  together with a map  $(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1) \rightarrow (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  of Shimura pairs such that the following three conditions hold:

- (i) we have  $E(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1) = E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ ;
- (ii) the group  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}_p}$  is unramified;
- (iii) the homomorphism  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is an epimorphism whose kernel is a torus  $T_1$  with the property that the group  $H^1(E, T_{1,E})$  is trivial for every field  $E$  of characteristic 0.

Due to the condition (i) we identify  $v$  with a prime of  $E(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)$ . Let  $G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p}$  be a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  that extends  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}_p}$ , cf. [Ti2, Subsubsects. 1.10.2 and 3.8.1]. The normalization of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times_{\mathbf{Z}_p} G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{ab}}$  in  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}_p}$  is a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  that extends  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}_p}$ . Not to introduce extra notations, we will assume that this normalization is  $G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p}$  itself; thus we have an epimorphism  $G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ . Let  $H_{1,p} := G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . The Zariski closure of  $T_{1,\mathbf{Q}_p}$  in  $Z^0(G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p})$  (equivalently in  $G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ) is a torus over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  (cf. Fact 2.3.12). The quotient group scheme  $G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p}/T_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is reductive (cf. [DG, Vol. III, Exp. XXII, Cor. 4.3.2]) and has  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  as its generic fibre. The natural homomorphism  $G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p}/T_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is an isomorphism, cf. Lemma 2.3.9. Thus we have a short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow T_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow G_{1,\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \rightarrow 1$  and therefore  $H_{1,p}$  maps onto  $H_p$ . The holomorphic map  $X_1 \rightarrow X$  induced by the epimorphism  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is a bijection (cf. [Mi1, Lem. 4.12]) and thus an isomorphism. We have a functorial morphism  $\text{Sh}(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)/H_{1,p} \rightarrow \text{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)/H_1 = \mathcal{N}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$  of  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ -schemes (cf. [De2, Cor. 5.4]) that is pro-finite (as  $X \xrightarrow{\sim} X_1$ ). Therefore we can speak about the normalization  $\mathcal{N}_1$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  in (the ring of fractions of)  $\text{Sh}(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)/H_{1,p}$ .

**8.2.1. Lemma.** *Let  $s_2 : (T_2, \{h_2\}) \rightarrow (T_3, \{h_3\})$  be a map between two 0 dimensional Shimura pairs. We assume that  $T_2$  surjects onto  $T_3$  and that  $\text{Ker}(s_2)$  is  $T_1$ . Let  $T_{2,p}$  be a compact, open subgroup of  $T_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  that contains the unique hyperspecial subgroup  $T_{1,p}$  of  $T_1(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . Let  $T_{3,p}$  be the image of  $T_{2,p}$  in  $T_3(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . The functorial morphism  $\text{Sh}(T_2, \{h_2\}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(T_3, \{h_3\})$  (see [De2, Cor. 5.4]) gives birth naturally to a morphism  $s_{2,p} : \text{Sh}(T_2, \{h_2\})/T_{2,p} \rightarrow \text{Sh}(T_3, \{h_3\})_{E(T_2, \{h_2\})}/T_{3,p}$  of  $E(T_2, \{h_2\})$ -schemes. Then the map  $s_{2,p}(B(\mathbf{F})) : \text{Sh}(T_2, \{h_2\})/T_{2,p}(B(\mathbf{F})) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(T_3, \{h_3\})_{E(T_2, \{h_2\})}/T_{3,p}(B(\mathbf{F}))$  is onto.*

*Proof:* The groups  $T_2(\mathbf{Q})$ ,  $T_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , and  $T_{2,p}$  surject onto  $T_3(\mathbf{Q})$ ,  $T_3(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , and  $T_{3,p}$  (respectively). As we have  $T_1(\mathbf{Q}_p) = T_1(\mathbf{Q})T_{1,p}$  (cf. [Mi2, Lem. 4.10]), the homomorphism  $s_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  gives birth to an identification

$$(33) \quad T_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)/T_{2,p}T_2(\mathbf{Q}) = T_3(\mathbf{Q}_p)/T_{3,p}T_3(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Each  $B(\mathbf{F})$ -valued point of  $\text{Sh}(T_3, \{h_3\})_{E(T_2, \{h_2\})}/T_{3,p}$  factors through an  $F$ -valued point of  $\text{Sh}(T_3, \{h_3\})_{E(T_2, \{h_2\})}/T_{3,p}$  with  $F$  as a subfield of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ . Moreover, from the reciprocity map (see [Mi1, §1, pp. 163–164]) and the class field theory (see [Lan, Ch. XI, §4, Thm. 4]) we get that each  $F$ -valued point of  $\text{Sh}(T_3, \{h_3\})_{E(T_2, \{h_2\})}/T_{3,p}$  lifts to a point of  $\text{Sh}(T_2, \{h_2\})/T_{2,p}$  with values in an abelian extension of  $F$  which (due to (33)) is unramified above all primes of  $F$  that divide  $p$ . As  $B(\mathbf{F})$  has no unramified finite field extensions, we conclude that the map  $s_{2,p}(B(\mathbf{F}))$  is onto.  $\square$

**8.2.2. Proposition.** *The image  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{N}_1(W(\mathbf{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{F}))$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ .*

*Proof:* It suffices to show that every Sh-canonical lift  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbf{F}))$  belongs to the image  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{N}_1(W(\mathbf{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbf{F})))$ , cf. the Zariski density part of Theorem 1.6.1. We fix an  $O_{(v)}$ -embedding  $e_{B(\mathbf{F})} : B(\mathbf{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . Let  $T_3$  be the Mumford–Tate group of  $z^*(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbf{C}}$ . As  $z^*(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbf{C}}$  has complex multiplication (cf. Theorem 1.6.3 (a)), the group  $T_3$  is a torus. Let  $h_3 : \text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow T_{3,\mathbf{R}}$  be the homomorphism that defines the Hodge  $\mathbf{Q}$ -structure on the Betti homology and cohomology of  $z^*(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbf{C}}$ . We identify naturally the Shimura pair  $(T_3, \{h_3\})$  with a special pair of the Shimura pair  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$ . Let  $T_2$  be the inverse image of  $T_3$  in  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}$ . As  $X \xrightarrow{\sim} X_1$ , there exists a unique element  $h_2 \in X_1$  that maps to  $h_3 \in X$ ; thus the homomorphism  $h_2 : \text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow G_{1,\mathbf{R}}$  factors through  $T_{2,\mathbf{R}}$ . We have  $\text{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)/H_p(\mathbf{C}) = G_{\mathbf{Z}(p)}(\mathbf{Z}(p)) \backslash (X \times G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)}))$  (see Subsection 1.5.1). As  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  surjects onto  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ , up to  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$ -translations we can assume that  $z_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$  is a  $B(\mathbf{F})$ -valued point of  $\text{Sh}(T_3, \{h_3\})/T_{3,p}$ . As  $T_{1,\mathbf{Q}_p}$  is unramified,  $T_{1,\mathbf{Q}}$  extends to a torus  $T_{1,\mathbf{Z}(p)}$  over  $\mathbf{Z}(p)$  (cf. [Va3, Lem. 3.1.3]). But  $T_{1,\mathbf{Z}(p)}$  splits over a Galois cover of  $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{Z}(p))$ . Thus the reflex field  $E(T_1, \{h_1\})$  i.e., the field of definition of  $h_1$ , is unramified above  $p$ . But  $E(T_2, \{h_2\})$  is the composite field of  $E(T_1, \{h_1\})$  and  $E(T_3, \{h_3\})$  and therefore it is unramified above all primes of  $E(T_3, \{h_3\})$  that divide  $p$ . Thus we can view  $z_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$  as a  $B(\mathbf{F})$ -valued point of  $\text{Sh}(T_3, \{h_3\})_{E(T_2, \{h_2\})}/T_{3,p}$ . As we have a natural composite morphism  $\text{Sh}(T_2, \{h_2\})/T_{2,p} \rightarrow \text{Sh}(T_3, \{h_3\})_{E(T_2, \{h_2\})}/T_{3,p} \rightarrow \text{Sh}(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)_{E(T_2, \{h_2\})}/H_{2,p}$  of  $E(T_2, \{h_2\})$ -schemes, the point  $z_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}$  lifts to a  $B(\mathbf{F})$ -valued point of  $\text{Sh}(T_3, \{h_3\})_{E(T_2, \{h_2\})}/T_{3,p}$  (cf. Lemma 8.2.1) and therefore also of  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . This implies that  $z \in \text{Im}(\mathcal{N}_1(W(\mathbf{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbf{F})))$ .  $\square$



**8.2.3. Corollary.** *We recall that  $(f, L, v)$  is a standard Hodge situation. Let  $o$  be the order of the center  $Z(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{sc}})$  of the simply connected semisimple group cover  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{sc}}$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{der}}$ . We assume that  $p \geq 3$  and that  $o$  is a power of 2. Then the  $O_{(v)}$ -scheme  $\mathcal{N}_1$  together with the natural right action of  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  on it is an integral canonical model of  $(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1, H_{1,p}, v)$  in the sense of [Va3, Defs. 3.2.3 6) and 3.2.6].*

*Proof:* From [Va3, Rm. 6.2.3.1] and the assumption on  $o$ , we get that each connected component of  $\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathbf{C}} = \text{Sh}(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)_{\mathbf{C}}/H_{1,p}$  is a pro-finite Galois cover of a connected component of  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{C}} = \text{Sh}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)_{\mathbf{C}}/H_p$ , the Galois group being a  $2^m$ -torsion pro-finite group (for some fixed  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ). Moreover,  $\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathbf{C}}$  surjects onto  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{C}}$  (see [Mi1, Lem. 4.13]). The connected components of  $\mathcal{N}_{1,\mathbf{C}}$  are permuted transitively by  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  (see [Va3, Lem. 3.3.2]) and  $\mathcal{N}_{1,B(\mathbf{F})}$  has  $B(\mathbf{F})$ -valued points (cf. Proposition 8.2.2). We conclude that each connected component of  $\mathcal{N}_{1,B(\mathbf{F})}$  is geometrically connected and therefore a pro-finite Galois cover of a connected component of  $\mathcal{N}_{B(\mathbf{F})}$ , the Galois group being as above. In the proof of [Va3, Lem. 6.8.1] we checked that there exists no finite  $W(\mathbf{F})$ -monomorphism  $W(\mathbf{F})[[x_1, \dots, x_d]] \hookrightarrow E_1$  which by inverting  $p$  becomes an étale cover of degree 2, where  $E_1$  is a commutative, integral  $W(\mathbf{F})$ -algebra equipped with a section  $E_1 \twoheadrightarrow W(\mathbf{F})$ . From the last two sentences we get that the morphism  $\mathcal{N}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a pro-étale cover above every  $W(\mathbf{F})$ -valued point in  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{N}_1(W(\mathbf{F})) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbf{F})))$ . Thus, based on the classical purity theorem of [Gr2, Exp. X, Thm. 3.4 (i)] and on Proposition 8.2.2, we conclude that  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is a pro-étale cover of  $\mathcal{N}$ . But  $\mathcal{N}$  is a regular, formally smooth  $O_{(v)}$ -scheme (cf. Definition 1.5.3) and therefore  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is as well a regular, formally smooth  $O_{(v)}$ -scheme.

Let  $H^{(p)}$  be a compact, open subgroup of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a pro-étale cover of  $\mathcal{N}/H^{(p)}$  and the  $O_{(v)}$ -scheme  $\mathcal{N}/H^{(p)}$  is of finite type, cf. [Va3, proof of Prop. 3.4.1]. Let  $H_1^{(p)}$  be a compact, open subgroup of  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f^{(p)})$  that maps to  $H^{(p)}$ . It is easy to see that the quotient  $\mathcal{N}_1/H_1^{(p)}$  of  $\mathcal{N}_1$  by  $H_1^{(p)}$  exists: it is the normalization of  $\mathcal{N}/H^{(p)}$  in the ring of fractions of  $\mathcal{N}_{1,E(G_{\mathbf{Q}},X)}$ . From the last two sentences and the fact that  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is a pro-étale cover of  $\mathcal{N}$ , we get that  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is a pro-étale cover of  $\mathcal{N}_1/H_1^{(p)}$  and that  $\mathcal{N}_1/H_1^{(p)}$  is an étale cover of  $\mathcal{N}/H^{(p)}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{N}_1/H_1^{(p)}$  is a smooth  $O_{(v)}$ -scheme of finite type. Moreover, if  $H_1'^{(p)}$  is a compact open subgroup of  $H_1^{(p)}$ , then the natural epimorphism  $\mathcal{N}_1/H_1'^{(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1/H_1^{(p)}$  is an étale cover. Thus  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is a smooth integral model of  $\text{Sh}(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1)/H_{1,p}$  over  $O_{(v)}$  in the sense of either [Mi1, Def. 2.2] or [Va3, Def. 3.2.3 2)]. The  $O_{(v)}$ -scheme  $\mathcal{N}_1$  also has the extension property of [Va3, Def. 3.2.3 3)], cf. [Va3, Rm. 3.2.3.1 5)]. Thus  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is an integral canonical model of  $(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1, H_{1,p}, v)$ .  $\square$

**8.2.4. Applications to [Va3].** We continue to assume that  $p \geq 3$  and that the order  $o$  of Corollary 8.2.3 is a power of 2. This assumption on  $o$  holds if all simple factors of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  are of  $A_{2n-1}$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ ,  $D_n^{\mathbf{R}}$ , or  $D_n^{\mathbf{H}}$  type. We consider now a map  $(G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}, X_2) \rightarrow (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  of Shimura pairs such that the homomorphism  $G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{der}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{der}}$  is an isogeny, the group  $G_{2,\mathbf{Q}_p}$  is unramified over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , and there exists a hyperspecial subgroup  $H_{2p}$  of  $G_{2,\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  that maps to  $H_p$ . Let  $v_2$  be a prime of the reflex field  $E(G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}, X_2)$  of  $(G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}, X_2)$  that divides  $v$ . Let  $O_{(v_2)}$  be the localization of the ring of integers of  $E(G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}, X_2)$  with respect to  $v_2$ . We now choose the map of Shimura pairs  $(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1) \rightarrow (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  such that (besides conditions

8.2 (i) to (iii)) we also have  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{der}} = G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{der}}$ , cf. [Va3, Rm. 3.2.7 10)].

We check the following claim that the normalization of  $\mathcal{N}_{O_{(v_2)}}$  in  $\text{Sh}(G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}, X_2)/H_{2p}$  is: (i) a pro-étale cover of an open closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{N}_{O_{(v_2)}}$  and (ii) an integral canonical model of  $(G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}, X_2, X_2, v_2)$ . As  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is a pro-étale cover of  $\mathcal{N}$  and as we have  $E(G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}, X_2) \supseteq E(G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}, X_1) = E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)$  and  $G_{1,\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{der}} = G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{der}}$ , the claim follows from the proof of either [Va3, Lem. 6.2.3] or [Va6, Prop. 2.4.3], applied to quadruples of the form  $(G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}, X_2, H_{2p}, v_2)$  instead of to triples of the form  $(G_{2,\mathbf{Q}}, X_2, H_{2p})$ .

This claim proves [Va3, Thm. 6.1.2\*] in the cases when all simple factors of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  are of  $A_{2^n-1}$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ ,  $D_n^{\mathbf{R}}$ , or  $D_n^{\mathbf{H}}$  type. In particular, this completes the (last step of the) proof (see [Va3]) of the existence of integral canonical models of those Shimura varieties whose adjoints have all simple factors of some  $D_n^{\mathbf{H}}$  type ( $n \geq 4$ ) with respect to primes of characteristic at least 5, cf. [Va3, Subsects. 1.4, 6.1.2.1, 6.4.2, and 6.8.0].

**8.2.5. Remark.** Any attempt of handling [Va3, Thm. 6.1.2\*] only at the level of discrete valuation rings is pointless (see [Va3, Subsect. 6.2.7]); thus the proof of [Mo, Prop. 3.22] is wrong. This explains why the proof of [Va3, Thm. 6.1.2\*] was carried out in [Va3, Subsect. 6.8] only up to [Va3, Subsubsect. 6.8.6]. Also the descent arguments of [Mo, Prop. 3.10] are incorrect: the counterexample of [BLR, Ch. 6, Sect. 6.7] makes sense in mixed characteristic. These two errors of [Mo] can not be “patched”. Moreover, they also invalidate [Mo, Prop. 3.18 and Cor. 3.23] i.e., essentially all of [Mo, §3] that did not follow [Va3] and its preliminary versions. Thus the claim of [Mo, Rm. 3.24] of a “very different” presentation from the earlier versions of [Va3], is meaningless.

## 9 Generalization of the properties 1.1 (f) to (h) to the context of standard Hodge situations

In this Chapter we generalize properties 1.1 (f) to (h) to the geometric context of a standard Hodge situation  $(f, L, v)$ . In Sections 9.1 and 9.2 we generalize properties 1.1 (f) and (g) to the context of  $(f, L, v)$ . In Sections 9.4 to 9.8 we generalize the property 1.1 (h) to the context of  $(f, L, v)$ . Theorem 9.4 considers the case when the nilpotent class  $\mathfrak{I}_0$  of Section 1.6 is at most 1. In Section 9.8 we consider the case when  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ . Different notations and definitions needed to state and prove the results of Sections 9.4 to 9.8 are gathered in Section 9.3. In Sections 9.5 to 9.7 we include several complements to Section 9.2 and Theorem 9.4 that pertain to the case  $p = 2$ , to ramified valued points, to functorial aspects, etc.

We often identify a formal Lie group  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  with a quadruple of the form  $(\mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}), e_{\tilde{R}}, I_{\tilde{R}}, M_{\tilde{R}})$ , where  $e_{\tilde{R}} : \mathrm{Spf}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R})$ ,  $I_{\tilde{R}} : \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R})$ , and  $M_{\tilde{R}} : \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}) \times_{\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))} \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R})$  are morphisms of formal schemes over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  that satisfy the standard axioms of a group.

We will use all notations of the first paragraph of Chapter 7. Until the end we will assume that  $k = \bar{k}$ , that  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  is an Sh-ordinary point, and that  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is an Sh-canonical lift of  $y$ . Until the end let  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \hat{G}$  be the canonical cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, \hat{G})$  (see Subsection 4.1.4), let  $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$  be the direct sum decomposition normalized by  $\mu$ , let the group schemes  $P_{\hat{G}}^+(\varphi)$ ,  $U_{\hat{G}}^+(\varphi)$ ,  $L_{\hat{G}}^0(\varphi)$ ,  $P_{\hat{G}}^-(\varphi)$ , and  $U_{\hat{G}}^-(\varphi)$  be as in Section 2.6, and let

$$\mathfrak{u}^+ := \mathrm{Lie}(U_{\hat{G}}^+(\varphi)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{u}^- := \mathrm{Lie}(U_{\hat{G}}^-(\varphi)).$$

Let  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \dots < \gamma_c$  be the Newton polygon slopes of  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  listed increasingly. Let  $\gamma_0 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap (-\infty, \gamma_1)$ . We have a direct sum decomposition

$$(M, \varphi) = \bigoplus_{s=1}^c (W(\gamma_s)(M, \varphi), \varphi)$$

into  $F$ -crystals over  $k$  that have only one Newton polygon slope, cf. statements 1.4.3 (d) and 1.6.1 (b). Let

$$(34) \quad 0 = W_{\gamma_0}(M, \varphi) \subseteq W_{\gamma_1}(M, \varphi) \subseteq W_{\gamma_2}(M, \varphi) \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_{\gamma_c}(M, \varphi) = M$$

be the ascending Newton polygon slope filtration of  $(M, \varphi)$  (see Section 2.1 for notations). For  $s \in S(1, c)$  we identify naturally  $W(\gamma_s)(M, \varphi)$  with  $W_{\gamma_s}(M, \varphi)/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)$ .

We denote also by  $\varphi$  the  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism of  $M/W_{\gamma_s}(M, \varphi)$  induced by  $\varphi$ . Let  $\varphi(-)$  be the  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism of  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$  that takes  $x \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$  to  $p(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(px)$ .

Until the end, the following notations  $d \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $R = W(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$ ,  $R_0 = W(k)[[w_1, \dots, w_d]]$ ,  $\mathcal{I} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \subseteq R$ ,  $\Phi_R$ ,  $m_R : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ ,  $N_1$ ,  $N_0$ ,  $Q$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_0 = (w_1, \dots, w_d) \subseteq R_0$ ,  $\Phi_{R_0}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}_0 = (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0}), \nabla_0, \lambda_M)$ ,  $g_{R_0} \in N_0(R_0)$ , and  $e_0 : \text{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R_0)$  will be as in Subsections 6.2, 6.2.1, and 6.2.6. We get a morphism  $m_R \circ e_0^{-1} : \text{Spec}(R_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes. Until the end let  $\text{Spf}(R^{PD})$ ,  $\text{Spf}(R_0^{PD})$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}_0 : \text{Spec}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$  be as in Subsection 6.4.2. We identify naturally  $1_M$  with a  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued point of either  $\text{Spf}(R_0)$  or  $\text{Spf}(R_0^{PD})$ . The morphism  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  defines naturally a morphism of formal schemes

$$\mathfrak{F}_0^f : \text{Spf}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spf}(R_0^{PD}).$$

If  $p \geq 3$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  is an isomorphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes (cf. end of Subsection 6.4.2) and therefore also  $\mathfrak{F}_0^f$  is a formal isomorphism over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ . We consider the  $\Phi_{R_0}$ -linear endomorphism

$$\Phi := \varphi(-) \otimes \Phi_{R_0} : \text{End}_{W(k)}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0 \rightarrow \text{End}_{W(k)}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0.$$

Let  $(\mathfrak{D}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{D}})$  be the principally quasi-polarized  $p$ -divisible group over  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}})$ .

### 9.1. Generalization of the property 1.1 (f)

We have  $P_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi) \leq \tilde{Q}$ , cf. Proposition 4.3.1 (a); thus  $P_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$  normalizes  $F^0$ . At the level of unipotent radicals we have  $N_0 \triangleleft U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$ , cf. Proposition 2.3.3 applied over  $B(k)$ . But  $U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$  is also a closed subgroup scheme of the unipotent radical  $U_{\mathbf{GL}_M}^-(\varphi)$  of  $P_{\mathbf{GL}_M}^-(\varphi)$ . Thus  $N_0 \leq U_{\mathbf{GL}_M}^-(\varphi)$ . We have (cf. Example 2.6.4)

$$(35) \quad \text{Lie}(U_{\mathbf{GL}_M}^-(\varphi)) = \oplus_{s_1, s_2 \in S(1, c), s_1 < s_2} \text{Hom}_{W(k)}(W(\gamma_{s_2})(M, \varphi), W(\gamma_{s_1})(M, \varphi)).$$

We conclude that  $N_0$  normalizes the ascending filtration (34) and moreover it acts trivially on its factors. In particular, we have a filtration

$$W_{\gamma_1}(M, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0 \subseteq W_{\gamma_2}(M, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_{\gamma_c}(M, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0 = M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$$

left invariant by  $g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0})$ . We consider the  $p$ -divisible group over  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$

$$D(z) := (m_R \circ e_0^{-1})^*(\mathfrak{D}).$$

Let  $D(z)_{W(k)}$  be the pull back of  $D(z)$  to  $W(k)$  via the  $W(k)$ -epimorphism  $R_0 \twoheadrightarrow R_0/\mathcal{I}_0 = W(k)$ ; it is a  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  that is canonically identified with  $D_z$  and therefore whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$ . For  $s \in S(1, c+1)$  let  $D(z)_k^{(s)}$  be the  $p$ -divisible subgroup of the special fibre  $D(z)_k$  of  $D(z)_{W(k)}$  whose Dieudonné module

is  $(M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi), \varphi)$  (cf. classical Dieudonné theory; see [Fo1, Ch. III, Prop. 6.1 iii])). If  $s \in S(2, c+1)$ , then  $D(z)_k^{(s)}$  is a  $p$ -divisible subgroup of  $D(z)_k^{(s-1)}$  (cf. loc. cit.).

**9.1.1. Lemma.** *For  $s \in S(1, c+1)$  there exists a unique  $p$ -divisible subgroup  $D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  of  $D(z)_{W(k)}$  whose special fibre is  $D(z)_k^{(s)}$ .*

*Proof:* The uniqueness part is implied by Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory (we recall that the maximal ideal of  $W_2(k)$  has a canonical nilpotent divided power structure). As the cocharacter  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  factors through  $L_{\tilde{G}}^0(\varphi)$  (see Subsection 4.1.4) and therefore also through  $P_{\mathbf{GL}_M}^-(\varphi)$ , from Example 2.6.4 we get that the direct summand  $W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)$  of  $M$  is normalized by  $\mu$  and therefore the triple  $(M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi), F^1/F^1 \cap W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi), \varphi)$  is a filtered Dieudonné module. Therefore, if  $D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  exists, then its Hodge filtration is  $F^1/F^1 \cap W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)$ . Thus, if  $p \geq 3$  or if  $p = 2$  and  $\gamma_1 > 0$ , then the existence of  $D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  is well known (cf. properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c)). We now consider the case when  $p = 2$  and  $\gamma_1 = 0$ . Let  $D_{W(k)}^{(1)} := D(z)_{W(k)}$ . As  $D_{W(k)}^{(2)}$  we take the kernel of a natural epimorphism  $D(z)_{W(k)} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)^{m_1}$ , where  $m_1$  is the rank of  $W_{\gamma_1}(M, \varphi)$ . By induction on  $s \in S(3, c+1)$ , we construct  $D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  as a  $p$ -divisible subgroup of  $D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s-1)}$ : as  $D(z)_k^{(s-1)}$  is connected, the property 1.2.1 (c) implies the existence of a unique  $p$ -divisible subgroup  $D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  of  $D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s-1)}$  that lifts  $D(z)_k^{(s)}$ .  $\square$

**9.1.2. Proposition.** *There exists a decreasing Newton polygon slope filtration*

$$(36) \quad 0 = D(z)^{(c+1)} \hookrightarrow D(z)^{(c)} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow D(z)^{(2)} \hookrightarrow D(z)^{(1)} = D(z)$$

*of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$  such that for every number  $s \in S(1, c)$  the factor*

$$F(z)^{(s)} := D(z)^{(s)} / D(z)^{(s+1)}$$

*is the pull back of a  $p$ -divisible group  $F(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  over  $W(k)$  which is cyclic and whose fibre over  $k$  has all Newton polygon slopes equal to  $\gamma_s$ .*

*Proof:* From [Fa2, Thm. 10] and [dJ, Lem. 2.4.4] we get that there exists a  $p$ -divisible group  $D(z)^{(s)}$  over  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$  that lifts  $D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  and whose filtered  $F$ -crystal over  $R_0/pR_0$  is

$$((M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, (F^1/F^1 \cap W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0}), \nabla_0^{(s)}).$$

Here  $\nabla_0^{(s)}$  is the unique connection on  $(M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$  with respect to which  $g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0})$  is horizontal, cf. [Fa2, Thm. 10]. But the Dieudonné  $F$ -crystal  $\mathbf{D}(D(z)_{R_0/pR_0}^{(s)})$  is uniquely determined by this filtered  $F$ -crystal, cf. [BM, Prop. 1.3.3].

Thus  $D(z)_{R_0/pR_0}^{(s)}$  is uniquely determined, cf. [BM, Thm. 4.1.1] and the fact that  $\{w_1, \dots, w_d\}$  is a finite  $p$ -basis of  $R_0/pR_0$ . As the ideal  $p\mathcal{I}_0$  has a natural nilpotent divided power structure  $\delta_v$  modulo each ideal  $p\mathcal{I}_0^v$  (with  $v \in \mathbf{N}$ ) and as  $R_0 = \text{proj.lim.}_{v \in \mathbf{N}} R_0/p\mathcal{I}^v$ ,

from Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory we get that  $D(z)^{(s)}$  itself is uniquely determined.

We consider the  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism

$$a_M^{(s)} : M \oplus M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} M \oplus M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)$$

that takes  $(x, y) \in M \oplus M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)$  to  $(x, x^{(s)} + y)$ , where  $x^{(s)}$  is the image of  $x$  in  $M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)$ . As  $\nabla_0 \oplus \nabla_0^{(s)}$  is the unique connection on  $(M \oplus M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$  with respect to which  $g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0}) \oplus g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0})$  is horizontal (cf. Fact 2.8.5) and as  $a_M^{(s)} \otimes 1_{R_0}$  is fixed by  $g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0}) \oplus g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0})$ , the connection  $\nabla_0 \oplus \nabla_0^{(s)}$  on  $(M \oplus M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$  is fixed by  $a_M^{(s)} \otimes 1_{R_0}$ . This means that  $\nabla_0$  induces naturally a connection on  $W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$  and that  $\nabla_0^{(s)}$  is obtained from  $\nabla_0$  via a natural passage to quotients. Thus we have an epimorphism of  $F$ -crystals over  $R_0/pR_0$

$$e_M^{(s)} : (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0}), \nabla_0) \rightarrow ((M/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0}), \nabla_0^{(s)}).$$

From the fully faithfulness part of [BM, Thm. 4.1.1], we get the existence of a unique homomorphism  $e_{R_0/pR_0}^{(s)} : D(z)_{R_0/pR_0}^{(s)} \rightarrow D(z)_{R_0/pR_0}$  of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $R_0/pR_0$  such that  $\mathbf{D}(e_{R_0/pR_0}^{(s)})$  is defined naturally (cf. [BM, Prop. 1.3.3]) by  $e_M^{(s)}$ . Due to the existence of the nilpotent divided power structures  $\delta_v$ 's, from Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory and [dJ, Lem. 2.4.4] we get that  $e_{R_0/pR_0}^{(s)}$  and the embedding  $D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)} \hookrightarrow D(z)_{W(k)}$  lift uniquely to a homomorphism  $e^{(s)} : D(z)^{(s)} \rightarrow D(z)$ . From Nakayama lemma we get that  $e^{(s)}$  is a closed embedding. If  $s \in S(2, c+1)$ , then  $e_M^{(s)}$  factors through  $e_M^{(s-1)}$  and this implies that  $\mathbf{D}(e_{R_0/pR_0}^{(s)})$  factors through  $\mathbf{D}(e_{R_0/pR_0}^{(s-1)})$ . From this and the fully faithfulness part of [BM, Thm. 4.1.1], we get that  $e_{R_0/pR_0}^{(s)}$  factors through  $e_{R_0/pR_0}^{(s-1)}$ . From this and Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory applied as above, we get that  $e^{(s)}$  itself factors through  $e^{(s-1)}$ . Thus the Newton polygon slope filtration (36) exists.

All Newton polygon slopes of  $F(z)_k^{(s)} = D(z)_k^{(s)}/D(z)_k^{(s+1)}$  are equal to  $\gamma_s$ , cf. constructions. Let  $F(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  be the unique  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(W(\gamma_s)(M, \varphi), F^1 \cap W(\gamma_s)(M, \varphi), \varphi)$ , cf. properties 1.2.1 (b) and (c). From the cyclic part of Theorem 1.4.3 and Fact 2.8.1 (b) we get that  $F(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  is cyclic. The filtered  $F$ -crystal of  $F(z)^{(s)}$  is naturally defined by the triple  $(W(\gamma_s)(M, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, (F^1 \cap W(\gamma_s)(M, \varphi)) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, \varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0})$  and therefore, in the same way we argued the existence and the uniqueness of  $e^{(s)}$ , we get that  $F(z)^{(s)}$  is the pull back to  $R_0$  of  $F(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$ .  $\square$

The pull back of the descending Newton polygon slope filtration (36) via the isomorphism  $e_0 : \text{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes, is a descending Newton polygon slope filtration of  $m_R^*(\mathfrak{D})$  whose factors are the pull backs to  $R$  of  $F(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$ 's (with  $s \in S(1, c)$ );

this represents the geometric generalization of the property 1.1 (f) to the context of the standard Hodge situation  $(f, L, v)$ .

**9.1.3. Corollary.** *The connection  $\nabla_0$  on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$  is of the form  $\nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R_0} + \Theta_0$ , where  $\Theta_0 \in \mathfrak{u}^- \otimes_{W(k)} (\oplus_{i=1}^d R_0 dw_i)$  and where  $\nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R_0}$  is as in Subsection 2.8.4.*

*Proof:* Let  $\Theta_0 := \nabla_0 - \nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R_0} \in \text{End}_{W(k)}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} (\oplus_{i=1}^d R_0 dw_i)$ . As we have  $\nabla_0(t_\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  (cf. Proposition 6.2.2 (a)), we have  $\Theta_0 \in \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}) \otimes_{W(k)} (\oplus_{i=1}^d R_0 dw_i)$ . But for  $s \in S(1, c)$ , the connection  $\nabla_0$  induces a connection on  $W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$  (cf. proof of Proposition 9.1.2). As  $F(z)^{(s)}$  is the pull back to  $R_0$  of  $F(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  (cf. Proposition 9.1.2), the connections on  $(W_{\gamma_s}(M, \varphi)/W_{\gamma_{s-1}}(M, \varphi)) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$  induced by  $\nabla_0$  and  $\nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R_0}$ , are equal. The last two sentences imply that  $\Theta_0 \in \text{Lie}(U_{\mathbf{GL}_M}^-(\varphi)) \otimes_{W(k)} (\oplus_{i=1}^d R_0 dw_i)$ , cf. (35). But  $\mathfrak{u}^- = \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}) \cap \text{Lie}(U_{\mathbf{GL}_M}^-(\varphi))$  and thus we have  $\Theta_0 \in \mathfrak{u}^- \otimes_{W(k)} (\oplus_{i=1}^d R_0 dw_i)$ .  $\square$

**9.1.4. Proposition.** *The direct sum  $F(z)_{W(k)}^{(0)} := \oplus_{s=1}^c F(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)}$  is isomorphic to the unique cyclic  $p$ -divisible group  $F_{0, W(k)}^{(0)}$  over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), F_0^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_k)$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $l_0$  be the standard generator of  $\text{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_m)$ . The filtered Dieudonné modules of  $F(z)_{W(k)}^{(0)}$  and  $F_{0, W(k)}^{(0)}$  are  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$  and  $(M_0 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), F_0^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_k)$  (respectively) and therefore (to be compared with Subsection 4.1.1) they depend only on the iterates of  $d\mu(l_0)$  and  $d\mu_0(l_0)$  (respectively) under the actions of  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi_0$  (respectively). Thus from Corollary 6.3.3 we get that these two filtered Dieudonné modules are isomorphic. Therefore the Proposition follows from Fact 2.8.1 (b) applied to the direct summands of  $F(z)_{W(k)}^{(0)}$  and  $F_{0, W(k)}^{(0)}$  whose special fibres have only one Newton polygon slope.  $\square$

**9.1.5. Two Witt rings.** Let  $RP$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of the commutative  $W(k)$ -algebra obtained from  $R$  by adding the variables  $x_i^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$ ,  $i \in S(1, d)$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , that obey the following rules: they commute and we have  $(x_i^{\frac{1}{p^n}})^p = x_i^{\frac{1}{p^{n-1}}}$ . Thus  $RP/pRP = (R/pR)^{\text{perf}}$  and  $RP$  is ( $W(k)$ -isomorphic to) the ring  $W(RP/pRP)$  of Witt vectors with coefficients in the perfect ring  $RP/pRP$ . Let  $\Phi_{RP}$  be the canonical Frobenius lift of  $RP$ ; it is compatible with  $\sigma$  and takes each  $x_i^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$  to  $x_i^{\frac{1}{p^{n-1}}}$ . Similarly we define  $R_0P$  and its canonical Frobenius lift  $\Phi_{R_0P}$  starting from  $R_0$  and  $w_i$ 's (instead of  $R$  and  $x_i$ 's).

**9.1.6. Proposition.** *The principally quasi-polarized  $p$ -divisible group*

$$(m_R^*(\mathfrak{D}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{D}}))_{RP/pRP}$$

*is constant i.e., it is isomorphic to the pull back to  $RP/pRP$  of the principally quasi-polarized  $p$ -divisible group  $(m_R^*(\mathfrak{D}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{D}}))_k$  of  $(A, \lambda_A)_k$ .*

*Proof:* We first show that the pull back  $(\mathfrak{C}_{0P}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{C}_{0P}})$  to  $R_0P/pR_0P$  of the principally quasi-polarized  $F$ -crystal over  $R_0/pR_0$  defined by  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  is constant i.e., it is the pull back of a principally quasi-polarized  $F$ -crystal over  $k$ . As  $R_0P$  is the ring of Witt vectors with

coefficients in the perfect ring  $R_0P/pR_0P$ , we can speak about the action of  $(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0P})^{-1}$  on  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0P[\frac{1}{p}]$  and therefore also on the abstract group  $\mathbf{GL}_M(R_0P[\frac{1}{p}])$ . For each positive integer  $i$  let

$$g_{i,R_0P} := (\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0P})^{-i}(g_{R_0}) \in U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(R_0P).$$

The belongness part is due to the facts that  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{u}^-) \subseteq \mathfrak{u}^-$  and that  $g_{R_0} \in N_0(R_0) \triangleleft U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(R_0)$  modulo  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is  $1_M$ . As all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathfrak{u}^-, \varphi^{-1})$  are positive, the element

$$g_{\infty,R_0P} := \left( \prod_{i \in \mathbf{N}} g_{i,R_0P}^{-1} \right)^{-1} \in U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(R_0P)$$

is well defined and it fixes  $\lambda_M$ . We have an equality

$$g_{\infty,R_0P} g_{R_0} (\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0P})(g_{\infty,R_0P})^{-1} = \varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0P}$$

(to be compared with (19)). Thus the fact that  $(\mathfrak{C}_{0P}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{C}_{0P}})$  is constant follows once we remark that the  $p$ -adic completion  $\Omega_{R_0P/W(k)}^\wedge$  of  $\Omega_{R_0P/W(k)}$  is the trivial  $R_0P$ -module.

By pull back via the isomorphism  $\text{Spec}(RP/pRP) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R_0P/pR_0P)$  defined by  $e_0 : \text{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R_0)$ , we get that the principally quasi-polarized  $F$ -crystal over  $RP/pRP$  of  $(m_R^*(\mathfrak{D}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{D}}))_{RP/pRP}$  is constant. Thus  $\mathbf{D}((m_R^*(\mathfrak{D}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{D}}))_{RP/pRP})$  is as well constant i.e., it is isomorphic to the pull back to  $RP/pRP$  of  $\mathbf{D}((m_R^*(\mathfrak{D}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{D}}))_k)$ . The  $k$ -algebra  $RP/pRP$  is normal, integral, and perfect. Thus from [BM, Thm. 4.1.1] we get that  $(m_R^*(\mathfrak{D}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{D}}))_{RP/pRP}$  is isomorphic to the pull back to  $RP/pRP$  of  $(m_R^*(\mathfrak{D}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{D}}))_k$ .  $\square$

**9.1.7. On  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ .** In this Subsection we make explicit the construction of the morphism  $\mathfrak{F}_0 : \text{Spec}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes introduced in Subsection 6.4.2. Let  $e_0^{PD} : \text{Spec}(R^{PD}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$  be the isomorphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes defined naturally by the isomorphism  $e_0 : \text{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes (see Subsection 6.2.6 and Proposition 6.2.7 (a)). We now compute the endomorphism

$$\mathfrak{E}_0 := \mathfrak{F}_0 \circ (e_0^{PD})^{-1} : \text{Spec}(R_0^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$$

of  $W(k)$ -schemes.

Due to the property 6.2.6 (b),  $\mathfrak{E}_0$  is defined naturally by the unique isomorphism (37)

$$(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0^{PD}, g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0^{PD}}), \nabla_0, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0^{PD}, \varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0^{PD}}, \nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R_0^{PD}}, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

that lifts  $1_M$ , where  $g_{R_0} \in N_0(R_0) \leq N_0(R_0^{PD})$  (see Subsection 6.2.1) and where we denote also by  $\nabla_0$  its natural extension to a connection on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0^{PD}$ . As  $(\mathfrak{u}^-, \varphi(-))$  is a Dieudonné module and as  $g_{R_0} \in N_0(R_0) \triangleleft U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(R_0)$ , the following element

$$u_{R_0^{PD}} := \left( \prod_{i \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}} (\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0^{PD}})^i(g_{R_0}) \right)^{-1} \in \tilde{U}_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(R_0^{PD})$$



is well defined and we have an equality

$$u_{R_0^{PD}}(g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0^{PD}}))u_{R_0^{PD}}^{-1} = \varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0^{PD}}.$$

Thus the element  $u_{R_0^{PD}}$  defines the isomorphism (37). It also defines naturally a morphism

$$v_{R_0^{PD}} : \text{Spec}(R_0^{PD}) \rightarrow U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)/\tilde{P}^{\text{big}} \cap U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$$

of  $W(k)$ -schemes, where  $\tilde{P}^{\text{big}}$  is the normalizer of  $F^1$  in  $\mathbf{GL}_M$ . By identifying  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$  with the spectrum of the local ring of the completion of (the open subscheme  $N_0$  of)  $U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)/\tilde{P}^{\text{big}} \cap U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$  along the  $W(k)$ -section defined by  $1_M \in U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(W(k))$  and by remarking (as in Subsection 6.4.2) that  $v_{R_0^{PD}}$  factors through this spectrum and therefore also through  $\text{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$ , we get that  $v_{R_0^{PD}}$  factors naturally through an endomorphism  $\text{Spec}(R_0^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes which is precisely  $\mathfrak{E}_0$ .

## 9.2. Generalization of the property 1.1 (g)

Let  $V$  be a finite, discrete valuation ring extension of  $W(k)$ . Let  $K := V[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Let

$$\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{N}(V)$$

be a lift of  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k)$ . To generalize the property 1.1 (g) to the context of  $\tilde{z}$ , we can assume that  $k$  has a countable transcendental degree. Thus we can speak about a fixed  $\mathcal{O}_{(v)}$ -monomorphism  $e_K : K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . Let  $\overline{K} = \overline{B(k)}$  be the algebraic closure of  $K = e_K(K)$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $\text{Gal}(K) := \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ . As in the property 1.1 (g), the  $T_p^*$ 's will denote duals of Tate modules.

Based on Subsection 6.1.5 we will identify: (i)  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{z}^*(\mathcal{A})_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Z}_p) = T_p^*(\tilde{z}^*(\mathfrak{D})_{\overline{K}})$  with  $L_p^*$ , (ii)  $\mathbf{GL}_{T_p^*(\tilde{z}^*(\mathfrak{D})_{\overline{K}})}$  with  $\mathbf{GL}_{L_p^*}$ , and (iii) the maximal integral, closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_{T_p^*(\tilde{z}^*(\mathfrak{D})_{\overline{K}})}$  that fixes the  $p$ -component of the étale component of  $\tilde{z}_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}^*(w_{\alpha}^A)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ . We consider the  $p$ -adic Galois representation

$$\rho_{\tilde{z}} : \text{Gal}(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{T_p^*(\tilde{z}^*(\mathfrak{D})_{\overline{K}})}(\mathbf{Z}_p) = \mathbf{GL}_{L_p^*}(\mathbf{Z}_p).$$

Due to the identification of (iii), we have  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\tilde{z}}) \leq G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ .

The filtered Dieudonné module of  $F(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)} = D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s)} / D(z)_{W(k)}^{(s+1)}$  is cyclic (cf. Proposition 9.1.4) and it is  $(W(\gamma_s)(M, \varphi), F^1 \cap W(\gamma_s)(M, \varphi), \varphi)$ . Thus there exists a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{GL}_{W(\gamma_s)(M, \varphi)}$  that normalizes  $F^1 \cap W(\gamma_s)(M, \varphi)$  and whose Lie algebra is normalized by  $\varphi$ , cf. Lemma 2.8.2. Thus the  $p$ -adic Galois representation  $\rho_s : \text{Gal}(B(k)) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{T_p^*(F(z)_{\overline{K}}^{(s)})}$  of  $F(z)_{B(k)}^{(s)}$  factors through the group of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -valued points of a maximal torus  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{max}, (s)}$  of  $\mathbf{GL}_{T_p^*(F(z)_{\overline{K}}^{(s)})}$ . This holds even for  $p = 2$  due to the fact that  $F(z)_k^{(s)}$  has only one Newton polygon slope  $\gamma_s$  (to be compared with Lemma 6.1.7.1).

The Zariski closure of  $\text{Im}(\rho_s)$  in  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\max, (s)}$  has a connected fibre over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  (cf. [Wi, Prop. 4.2.3]) and therefore it is a subtorus  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0, s)}$  of  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\max, (s)}$  (cf. Fact 2.3.12).

The Zariski closure  $S_{\tilde{z}}$  of  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\tilde{z}})$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  normalizes  $T_p^*(\tilde{z}^*(e_0^*(D(z)^{(1)}/D(z)^{(s)}))_{\overline{K}})$  for all numbers  $s \in S(1, c)$ . The image of  $S_{\tilde{z}}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  in

$$\mathbf{GL}_{T_p^*(F(z)_{\overline{K}})^{(s)}} = \mathbf{GL}_{T_p^*(\tilde{z}^*(e_0^*(D(z)^{(1)}/D(z)^{(s+1)}))_{\overline{K}})/T_p^*(\tilde{z}^*(e_0^*(D(z)^{(1)}/D(z)^{(s)}))_{\overline{K}})}$$

is the torus  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0, s)}$ . Thus the group scheme  $S_{\tilde{z}}$  is solvable.

Let  $P_{\tilde{z}}^-$  be the maximal, flat, closed subgroup scheme of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  that normalizes the filtration  $(T_p^*(\tilde{z}^*(e_0^*(D(z)^{(1)}/D(z)^{(s)}))_{\overline{K}}))_{s \in S(1, c)}$  of  $T_p^*(\tilde{z}^*(\mathfrak{D}))_{\overline{K}} = L_p^*$ . We have  $S_{\tilde{z}} \leq P_{\tilde{z}}^-$ .

The Lie subalgebra  $\text{Lie}(P_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi))$  of  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$  is normalized by  $\varphi(-)$  and  $\mu$ . Thus

$$(\text{Lie}(P_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, (\text{Lie}(P_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)) \cap F^i(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)))_{i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, g_{R_0} \Phi, \nabla_0)$$

is a filtered  $F$ -subcrystal of

$$\text{End}(\mathfrak{C}_0)(1) := (\text{End}_{W(k)}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, (F^i(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0)_{i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}}, g_{R_0} \Phi, \nabla_0).$$

Here  $(F^i(\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)))_{i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}}$  is the intersection of the filtration of  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  defined by  $F^1$  with  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M) = M \otimes_{W(k)} M^*$ . Thus, as  $e_0$  is an isomorphism of  $W(k)$ -schemes,  $P_{\tilde{z}, \mathbf{Q}_p}^-$  corresponds via Fontaine comparison theory for the abelian variety  $A_{B(k)}$  to the parabolic subgroup  $P_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)_{B(k)}$  of  $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$  and therefore it is a parabolic subgroup of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ . As  $P_{\tilde{z}}^-$  is the Zariski closure of  $P_{\tilde{z}, \mathbf{Q}_p}^-$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ , it is a parabolic subgroup scheme of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  (cf. property 2.3.8 (a)).

The next Proposition is the geometric generalization of the property 1.1 (g) to the context of the standard Hodge situation  $(f, L, v)$ .

**9.2.1. Proposition.** *There exists a connected, solvable, smooth, closed subgroup scheme  $W_{\tilde{z}}$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  such that the image of  $\rho_{\tilde{z}} : \text{Gal}(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{L_p^*}$  is a subgroup of  $W_{\tilde{z}}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $U_{\tilde{z}}^-$  be the unipotent radical of  $P_{\tilde{z}}^-$ . Let  $W_{\tilde{z}}$  be the flat, closed subgroup scheme of  $P_{\tilde{z}}^-$  generated by  $S_{\tilde{z}}$  and  $U_{\tilde{z}}^-$ . We have a short exact sequence

$$(38) \quad 1 \rightarrow U_{\tilde{z}}^- \rightarrow W_{\tilde{z}} \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)} \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  is the closed, flat subgroup scheme of  $\prod_{s \in S(1, c)} T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0, s)}$  that is the Zariski closure of  $\prod_{s \in S(1, c)} \rho_s$ . But  $T_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^{(0)}$  is connected (cf. [Wi, Prop. 4.2.3]) and thus  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  is a torus (cf. Fact 2.3.12). Thus  $W_{\tilde{z}}$  is a connected, solvable, smooth, closed subgroup scheme of  $P_{\tilde{z}}$  and thus also of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ . But  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\tilde{z}}) \leq S_{\tilde{z}}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  and therefore we have  $\text{Im}(\rho_{\tilde{z}}) \leq W_{\tilde{z}}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ .  $\square$

**9.2.2. Lemma.** *We recall that  $z$  is a Sh-canonical lift. We have:*

(a) *The group  $S_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  is a torus.*

(b) If  $p \geq 3$  or if  $p = 2$  and  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral Newton polygon slopes, then  $S_z$  itself is a torus.

*Proof:* From [Wi, Prop. 4.2.3] we get that  $S_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  is connected. Let  $\tilde{T}$  be a maximal torus of  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{T})$  is an Sh-canonical lift, cf. Subsection 4.3.2. Let  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  be the torus of  $\mathbf{GL}_{H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\overline{B(k)}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)}$  that corresponds to  $\tilde{T}_{B(k)}$  via Fontaine comparison theory for  $A_{B(k)}$ , cf. variant 6.1.9 (a). As  $S_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p} \leq \tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t}$ , we get that  $S_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  is a torus. Thus (a) holds.

We check (b). The Zariski closure  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t}$  of  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is a torus, cf. Lemma 6.1.7.1. As  $S_z$  is the Zariski closure of the torus  $S_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  and thus in  $\tilde{T}_{\acute{e}t}$ , it is also a torus (cf. Fact 2.3.12).  $\square$

### 9.3. Basic notations and definitions

We now include few notations, definitions, and simple properties to be used in the next three Sections. The upper right index  $^{\wedge}$  will refer to the  $p$ -adic completion. As in Section 5.3, let  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{G}}$  be the category of abstract groups. Let  $\delta_p$  be the natural divided power structure of the ideal  $pW(k)$  of  $W(k)$ . We consider the small crystalline site

$$Cris(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$$

of  $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$  with respect to  $(\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)), pW(k), \delta_p)$ ; we recall that it is the full subcategory of  $CRIS(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$  whose objects are pairs  $(\mathrm{Spec}(k) \hookrightarrow Z, \delta_Z)$ , where  $Z = \mathrm{Spec}(R_Z)$  is a local  $W(k)$ -scheme whose residue field is  $k$  and which is annihilated by some positive, integral power of  $p$  and where  $\delta_Z$  is a divided power structure on the maximal ideal of  $R_Z$  which is compatible with  $\delta_p$ . We emphasize that  $Z$  has only one point (as the maximal ideal of  $R_Z$  is the nilradical of  $R_Z$ ).

**9.3.1. Definition.** By a *formal group on  $Cris(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$*  we mean a contravariant functor

$$Cris(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{G}}$$

that is representable by a quadruple  $(\mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}^{PD}), e_{\tilde{R}^{PD}}, I_{\tilde{R}^{PD}}, M_{\tilde{R}^{PD}})$ , where  $\tilde{R}^{PD}$  is as in Subsection 2.8.6 and the three morphisms  $e_{\tilde{R}^{PD}} : \mathrm{Spf}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}^{PD})$ ,  $I_{\tilde{R}^{PD}} : \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}^{PD}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}^{PD})$ , and  $M_{\tilde{R}^{PD}} : \mathrm{Spf}((\tilde{R}^{PD} \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{R}^{PD})^{\wedge}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}^{PD})$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes define the identity section, the inverse, and the multiplication of the group law (respectively).

We will often identify such a functor with the quadruple  $(\mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{R}^{PD}), e_{\tilde{R}^{PD}}, I_{\tilde{R}^{PD}}, M_{\tilde{R}^{PD}})$ .

**9.3.2. Example.** We recall that we identify  $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$  with the spectrum of the local ring of the completion of  $N_0$  along its identity section, cf. Subsection 6.2.1. Thus  $\mathrm{Spf}(R_0^{PD})$  extends uniquely to a quadruple

$$\mathcal{F}_0^{PD} := (\mathrm{Spf}(R_0^{PD}), 1_M, I_{R_0^{PD}}, M_{R_0^{PD}})$$

that defines a commutative formal group on  $Cris(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$  whose evaluation at the pair  $(\mathrm{Spec}(k) \hookrightarrow Z, \delta_Z)$  is the subgroup

$$\mathrm{Spec}(R_0^{PD})(Z) = \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)(Z) = \mathrm{Ker}(N_0(Z) \rightarrow N_0(k))$$

of  $N_0(Z)$ .

**9.3.3. Extra notations.** Let

$$\mathcal{D}_y := \mathrm{Spf}(R)$$

be the formal scheme defined by the completion  $R$  of the local ring of  $y$  in  $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$ . For a lift  $z_i \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  of  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k) = \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}(k)$ , with  $i$  as an index, we denote also by  $z_i$  the formal closed embeddings  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_y$  and  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(R^{PD})$  defined naturally by  $z_i$ .

Let  $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}} = \prod_{i \in I} \tilde{G}_i$  be the product decomposition into absolutely simple, adjoint group schemes over  $W(k)$ . Let  $S^-$  be the solvable, smooth, closed subgroup scheme of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$  such that  $(\mathrm{Lie}(S^-), \varphi(-))$  is the Dieudonné module of the standard non-positive  $p$ -divisible group of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi, \tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}})$  (see Definitions 5.1.1). Let  $\mathfrak{s}^- := \mathrm{Lie}(S^-)$ . Let

$$T^{(1)}$$

be the unique maximal torus of  $S^-$  whose Lie algebra is  $W(1)(\mathfrak{s}^-, \varphi(-)) = W(0)(\mathfrak{s}^-, \varphi)$  (i.e., whose Lie algebra is normalized by  $\varphi$ ). If the torus  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  is as in the proof of Proposition 9.2.1, then the torus  $T^{(1)}$  corresponds naturally to  $\mathrm{Im}(T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\mathrm{ad}})$  via Fontaine comparison theory with  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  coefficients for the abelian scheme  $A$ .

Let  $r^{(1)} \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  be the rank of  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)} := \mathrm{Lie}(T^{(1)})$ . We have  $U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi) \triangleleft S^-$  and  $S^-$  is the semidirect product of  $T^{(1)}$  and  $U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$ . Thus we can identify  $T^{(1)}$  with  $S^-/U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$ . Therefore we have a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{s}^- = \mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}$$

of  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}$ -modules. Let  $\tilde{F}^0 := \tilde{F}^0(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}))$  be the Lie algebra of the centralizer of  $\mu$  in  $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ .

Let  $D_{W(k)}^+$  and  $D_{W(k)}^-$  be the positive and the negative (respectively)  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  or equivalently of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi, \tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}})$  (see Definitions 5.1.1). From Proposition 6.3.1 we get that  $D_{W(k)}^+$  can be identified with the positive  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{0, W(k)}^+$  over  $W(k)$  of  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$ . Thus the first formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_1$  of  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$  (see Sections 5.3 and 5.4) is isomorphic to the formal Lie group of  $D_{W(k)}^+$ . Therefore our notations match with the ones of Section 1.7.

**9.3.4. On  $\mathfrak{F}_0^f$ .** Let  $z_i \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$ . Let  $n_i \in \mathrm{Ker}(N_0(W(k)) \rightarrow N_0(k))$  be the unique element such that the Shimura filtered  $F$ -crystal attached to  $z_i$  is  $(M, n_i(F^1), \varphi, \tilde{G})$ , cf. Fact 6.4.1. We refer to  $n_i$  as the *canonical unipotent element* of  $z_i$ .

From the very definition of the morphism  $\mathfrak{F}_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_0^{PD})$  of Subsection 6.4.2 of  $W(k)$ -schemes, we get that the map

$$\mathfrak{F}_0^f(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))) : \mathrm{Spf}(R^{PD})(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(R_0^{PD})(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))$$

takes  $z_i \in \mathrm{Spf}(R^{PD})(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))$  to the element of  $\mathrm{Spf}(R_0^{PD})(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))$  defined by  $n_i$ .

#### 9.4. Theorem (the existence of canonical formal Lie group structures for $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ )

We recall that  $k = \overline{k}$  and that  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is an *Sh-canonical lift*. If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ , then:

(a) *There exists a unique formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_z = (\mathcal{D}_y, z, I_R, M_R)$  whose pull back  $\mathcal{F}_z^{PD}$  via the morphism  $\mathrm{Spf}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_y$  is such that the morphism  $\mathfrak{F}_0^f : \mathrm{Spf}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(R_0^{PD})$  defines a homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{F}_z^{PD} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0^{PD}$$

*of formal groups on  $\mathrm{Cris}(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ .*

(b) *The formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_z$  is isomorphic to the first formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_1$  of  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$ .*

*Proof:* The case  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 0$  is trivial as we have  $\mathcal{D}_y = \mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ . Thus we can assume that  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 1$ . We prove (a). The uniqueness of  $M_R$  and  $I_R$  follows from Corollary 6.4.3. For instance, the uniqueness of  $I_R$  is implied by the following two facts:

(i) for each positive integer  $l$ , the isomorphism  $I_{R,l}^{\frac{1}{p}} : \mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD}/\mathcal{I}^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD}/\mathcal{I}^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}])$  defined by  $I_R$  is equal to  $\mathfrak{F}_{0,l}^{\frac{1}{p}} \circ I_{R_0,l}^{\frac{1}{p}} \circ (\mathfrak{F}_{0,l}^{\frac{1}{p}})^{-1}$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}_{0,l}^{\frac{1}{p}}$  is as in Corollary 6.4.3 and where  $I_{R_0,l}^{\frac{1}{p}} : \mathrm{Spec}(R_0^{PD}/\mathcal{I}_0^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Spec}(R_0^{PD}/\mathcal{I}_0^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}])$  is the isomorphism defined by  $I_{R_0^{PD}}$  of Example 9.3.2;

(ii)  $I_R$  is uniquely determined by  $(I_{R,l}^{\frac{1}{p}})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  (being a restriction of the automorphism of  $\mathrm{Spec}(B(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_d]])$  defined naturally by the inductive limit of the  $I_{R,l}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ 's).

We start the checking of the existence of  $M_R$  and  $I_R$ . We consider an isomorphism  $I_y : (\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma)$  of adjoint Shimura Lie  $F$ -crystals as in Proposition 6.3.1. We have  $I_y(\mathfrak{u}^+) = \mathrm{Lie}(U_{0,W(k)}^+)$ . The nilpotent class of  $U_{0,W(k)}^+$  is  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 1$ , cf. the very definition of  $\mathfrak{I}_0$  in Section 1.6. This implies that  $U_{0,W(k)}^+$  is commutative. Thus  $\mathfrak{u}^+$  is commutative. Due to the oppositions of Section 2.7,  $\mathfrak{u}^-$  is also commutative (cf. the property 3.6.1 (c)).

We have a short exact sequence of filtered  $F$ -crystals over  $R_0/pR_0$

$$(39) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{u}^- \otimes R_0, (\mathfrak{u}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0) \otimes R_0, \Phi, \nabla_0) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{s}^- \otimes R_0, (\mathfrak{s}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0) \otimes R_0, g_{R_0} \Phi, \nabla_0) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow (\mathfrak{t}^{(1)} \otimes R_0, \mathfrak{t}^{(1)} \otimes R_0, \Phi, \nabla_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

In (39) the tensorizations are over  $W(k)$  and the connections  $\nabla_0$  are the ones induced naturally (cf. Corollary 9.1.3) by the connection  $\nabla_0$  on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$  that defines the filtered  $F$ -crystal  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  over  $R_0/pR_0$ . As the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}^-$  is commutative, for the first and third factor we do not have to insert  $g_{R_0} \in U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(R_0)$ . As in Lemma 9.1.1 and Proposition 9.1.2 we argue that to (39) corresponds a short exact sequence

$$(40) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mu_{p^\infty}^{r^{(1)}} \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{big}} \rightarrow D_{R_0}^- \rightarrow 0$$

of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$  that is uniquely determined by the property that modulo  $\mathcal{I}_0$  it splits (this last condition holds automatically if either  $p \geq 3$  or  $p = 2$  and

( $\mathfrak{u}^-, \varphi(-)$ ) does not have Newton polygon slope 0). The push forward of (40) via an epimorphism  $e(1) : \mu_{p^\infty}^{r^{(1)}} \twoheadrightarrow \mu_{p^\infty}$  is of the form

$$(41) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mu_{p^\infty} \rightarrow D_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow D_{R_0}^- \rightarrow 0.$$

The Cartier dual of (41) is

$$(42) \quad 0 \rightarrow (D_{R_0}^-)^\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow D_{\text{ext}}^\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Such an epimorphism  $e(1) : \mu_{p^\infty}^{r^{(1)}} \twoheadrightarrow \mu_{p^\infty}$  is defined by an element

$$t(1) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}$$

that is fixed by  $\varphi$  (equivalently we have  $\varphi(-)(t(1)) = pt(1)$ ) and such that the  $W(k)$ -module

$$\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1) := W(k)t(1)$$

is a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}$ . We say  $e(1)$  is a good epimorphism if for all elements  $i \in I$  the component of  $t(1)$  in  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_i)$  generates a direct summand of  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_i)$  (i.e., the image  $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_i))$  is a direct summand of  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_i)$ ). As  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1)$  is a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}$ ,  $D_{\text{ext } k}$  is a minimal non-positive  $p$ -divisible group of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  in the sense of Definitions 5.1.1. From now on we will take  $e(1)$  to be a good epimorphism. This implies that the action of  $t(1)$  on  $\mathfrak{u}^-$  is a  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism, cf. property 5.2.2 (b).

We recall that  $N_0 \triangleleft U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$  (see Section 9.1) and that  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  normalizes both  $\text{Lie}(N_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{u}^-$ . Thus we have a direct sum decomposition  $\mathfrak{u}^- = \text{Lie}(N_0) \oplus (\mathfrak{u}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0)$ . Let  $U_{\tilde{G}}^{--}(\varphi)$  (resp.  $N_0^-$ ) be the unipotent radical of the maximal parabolic subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1)}$  (resp. of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\text{Lie}(N_0) \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1)}$ ) that normalizes  $\text{Lie}(N_0)$ . Thus  $U_{\tilde{G}}^{--}(\varphi)$  (resp.  $N_0^-$ ) acts trivially on  $\text{Lie}(N_0)$  and on  $\mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1)/\text{Lie}(N_0)$  (resp. and on  $(\text{Lie}(N_0) \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1))/\text{Lie}(N_0)$ ). We have a natural closed embedding monomorphism  $N_0^- \hookrightarrow U_{\tilde{G}}^{--}(\varphi)$ . As  $N_0$  acts on both  $\mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1)$  and  $\text{Lie}(N_0) \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1)$  via inner conjugation, we have a natural homomorphism

$$h_0 : N_0 \rightarrow N_0^-.$$

It is well known that  $dh_0 : \text{Lie}(N_0) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(N_0^-)$  is such that for  $x \in \text{Lie}(N_0)$  and  $y \in \text{Lie}(N_0) \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1)$  we have  $dh_0(x)(y) = [x, y]$ . Taking  $y = t(1)$  and using the fact that the action of  $t(1)$  on  $\mathfrak{u}^-$  (and therefore also on  $\text{Lie}(N_0)$ ) is a  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism, we get that the image of  $dh_0$  is a direct summand of the Lie algebra of  $\mathbf{GL}_{\text{Lie}(N_0) \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1)}$  and therefore also of  $\text{Lie}(N_0^-)$ . But both  $N_0$  and  $N_0^-$  have relative dimensions  $d$ . Thus  $dh_0$  is an isomorphism. This implies that the fibres of  $h_0$  are finite and therefore  $h_0$  is a quasi-finite morphism. But  $N_{0, B(k)}$  has no non-trivial finite subgroup. Thus  $h_{0, B(k)}$  is a closed embedding and therefore (by reasons of dimensions) an isomorphism. Thus  $h_0$  is also birational. From Zariski main theorem (see [Gr1, Thm. (8.12.6)]) we get that  $h_0$  is an open embedding. As  $N_0^-$  has connected fibres we get that  $h_0$  is an isomorphism.

As  $g_{R_0} \in N_0(R_0)$  is a universal element (see Section 6.2) and as  $h_0 : N_0 \rightarrow N_0^-$  is an isomorphism, the Kodaira–Spencer map of (41) is injective modulo  $\mathcal{I}_0$  (the argument for

this is the same as for  $\mathcal{K}_0$  in the proof of Proposition 6.2.2 (c)). Thus the image of the Kodaira–Spencer map of (41) is a direct summand (of the corresponding codomain) that has rank equal to  $d = \mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(\mathrm{Lie}(N_0)) = \mathrm{rk}_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{u}^-/(\mathfrak{u}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0))$  and therefore equal to the dimension of  $(D_{\tilde{k}}^-)^\mathfrak{t}$ . From this and the dimension part of Lemma 5.3.3, we get that (42) is the universal extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  by  $(D_{W(k)}^-)^\mathfrak{t}$ . Thus (41) (i.e., the Cartier dual of (42)) is the universal extension of  $D_{W(k)}^-$  by  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}$  and therefore  $\mathrm{Spf}(R_0)$  has a natural structure of a formal Lie group  $(\mathrm{Spf}(R_0), 1_M, I_{R_0}^{(1)}, M_{R_0}^{(1)})$  over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ .

We check that this formal Lie group structure on  $\mathrm{Spf}(R_0)$  does not depend on the choice of a good epimorphism  $e(1) : \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}^{r^{(1)}} \twoheadrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}$ . We consider another good epimorphism  $e(2) : \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}^{r^{(1)}} \twoheadrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}$  that is defined by an element  $t(2) \in \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}$  which satisfies the same conditions as  $t(1)$  does. Let  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(2) := W(k)t(2)$ . We get a  $W(k)$ -linear isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{i}_{1,2}^- : \mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(2)$$

via the rules:  $t(1)$  is mapped to  $t(2)$  and  $[t(1), x]$  is mapped to  $[t(2), x]$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{u}^-$ . Thus, as  $\mathfrak{i}_{1,2}^- \otimes 1_{R_0}$  commutes with  $g_{R_0}\Phi$ , it induces an isomorphism between the short exact sequence of filtered  $F$ -crystals over  $R_0/pR_0$  that defines (40) and the analogous short exact sequence of filtered  $F$ -crystals over  $R_0/pR_0$  we get by working with  $t(2)$  instead of  $t(1)$ . This implies that the isomorphism class of the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(D_{R_0}^-) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(D_{\mathrm{ext}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}) \rightarrow 0$  defined naturally by (40) does not depend on the choice of  $e(1)$  (cf. also [BM, Prop. 1.3.3]). From this, [BM, Thm. 4.1.1], and Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory, we get that the isomorphism class of (40) itself does not depend on  $e(1)$ . Thus the formal Lie group structure  $(\mathrm{Spf}(R_0), 1_M, I_{R_0}^{(1)}, M_{R_0}^{(1)})$  on  $\mathrm{Spf}(R_0)$  does not depend on the choice of a good epimorphism  $e(1)$ .

We know that  $(D_{W(k)}^-)^\mathfrak{t}$  is naturally identified with  $D_{W(k)}^+$ , cf. Subsection 5.2.4 and Section 5.4. Let  $(\mathcal{D}_y, z, I_R, M_R)$  be the formal Lie group structure on  $\mathcal{D}_y$  that is the transport of structure of  $(\mathrm{Spf}(R_0), 1_M, I_{R_0}^{(1)}, M_{R_0}^{(1)})$  via the isomorphism  $e_0^f : \mathrm{Spf}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Spf}(R_0)$  associated naturally to  $e_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ . As (42) is the universal extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  by  $(D_{W(k)}^-)^\mathfrak{t}$ , the formal Lie group  $(\mathrm{Spf}(R_0), 1_M, I_{R_0}^{(1)}, M_{R_0}^{(1)})$  over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  is naturally identified (cf. Lemma 5.3.3) with the formal Lie group of  $(D_{W(k)}^-)^\mathfrak{t}$  and therefore also of  $D_{W(k)}^+$ . Thus the formal Lie group  $(\mathcal{D}_y, z, I_R, M_R)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  i.e., (b) holds.

To end the proof of (a) we only have to check that the pull back  $\mathcal{F}_z^{PD}$  of  $(\mathcal{D}_y, z, I_R, M_R)$  via  $\mathrm{Spf}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_y$  is such that the morphism  $\mathfrak{F}_0^f$  defines a morphism  $\mathcal{F}_z^{PD} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0^{PD}$  that is a homomorphism of formal groups on  $\mathrm{Cris}(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ . Let  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{D}_y(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))$ . Let  $z_3 := z_1 + z_2 \in \mathcal{D}_y(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))$  be the sum with respect to  $M_R$ . Let  $z_4 := -z_1 \in \mathcal{D}_y(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))$  be the inverse of  $z_1$  with respect to  $I_R$ . Let  $\mathfrak{s}^-(1) := \mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1)$ . We consider the short exact sequence

$$(43) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{u}^-, \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0, \varphi(-)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{s}^-, \tilde{F}_i^1, \varphi(-)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}, \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}, \varphi(-)) \rightarrow 0$$

of filtered Dieudonné modules that is obtained by pulling back (39) via  $e_0 \circ z_i : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$  (the resulting connections being trivial, are ignored). Let

$$(44) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{u}^-, \mathfrak{u}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0, \varphi(-)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{s}^-(1), \tilde{F}_i^1(1), \varphi(-)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1), \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1), \varphi(-)) \rightarrow 0$$

be the short exact sequence obtained from (43) via a natural pull back through the monomorphism  $(\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1), \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1), \varphi(-)) \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}, \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}, \varphi(-))$  of filtered Dieudonné modules. Here  $\tilde{F}_i^1$  is a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{s}^-$  and  $\tilde{F}_i^1(1) := \tilde{F}_i^1 \cap \mathfrak{s}^-(1)$ .

For each number  $i \in S(1, 4)$  let  $n_i \in \text{Ker}(N_0(W(k)) \rightarrow N_0(k))$  be the canonical unipotent element of  $z_i$ , cf. Subsection 9.3.4. As both (39) and (43) are obtained naturally from  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  via passages to Lie subalgebras of  $\text{End}_{W(k)}(M)$  and to quotients, we get that  $\tilde{F}_i^1$  is the inner conjugate of  $\mathfrak{s}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0$  through  $n_i$  (equivalently, it is  $h_0(W(k))(n_i)(\tilde{F}_i^1)$ , where  $h_0(W(k)) : N_0(W(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} N_0(W(k))$  is the isomorphism defined by  $h_0$ ). Let  $s_i \in p\text{Lie}(N_0)$  be such that we have an equality  $n_i = 1_M + s_i$ . Let  $l_0 \in \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}$  be the image of the standard generator of  $\text{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_m)$  through  $d\mu$ . We denote by  $*(l_0) \in \mathfrak{s}^-$  the inner conjugate of  $l_0$  via an element  $*$  in  $N_0(W(k))$ ; we have  $*(l_0) = h_0(W(k))(*) (l_0) = l_0 + [* - 1_M, l_0]$ . Thus  $\tilde{F}_i^1$  is the 0 eigenspace of the action of  $n_i(l_0)$  on  $\mathfrak{s}^-$  (here we have 0 and not 1 as  $\varphi(-)$  is  $p$  times  $\varphi$ ). Let  $l_i := n_i(l_0) - l_0 = [s_i, l_0] \in \mathfrak{u}^- \subseteq \mathfrak{s}^-$ .

But (44) for  $i = 3$  is obtained by performing the following three operations in the order listed (cf. Yoneda addition law for short exact sequences):

( $\mathfrak{D}$ ) take the direct sum of (44) for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , take a pull back via the diagonal map  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}(1)$ , and take a push forward via the addition map  $\mathfrak{u}^- \oplus \mathfrak{u}^- \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}^-$ .

These three operations preserve the natural action of  $N_0$  on  $\mathfrak{s}^-$  and therefore they are defined by  $W(k)$ -linear maps that preserve the Lie brackets. Thus  $\tilde{F}_3^1(1)$  is the 0 eigenspace of the action on  $\mathfrak{s}^-(1)$  of

$$l_0 + l_1 + l_2 = l_0 + [s_1, l_0] + [s_2, l_0] = (1_M + s_1 + s_2)(l_0) = n_1 n_2(l_0).$$

Thus  $\tilde{F}_3^1(1) = n_3(\mathfrak{s}^-(1) \cap \tilde{F}^0)$  is  $n_1 n_2(\mathfrak{s}^-(1) \cap \tilde{F}^0)$ . As  $h_0$  is an isomorphism, we get that

$$(45) \quad n_3 = n_1 n_2.$$

By replacing the triple  $(n_3, n_1, n_2)$  with the triple  $(1_M, n_1, n_4)$ , we get that  $1_M = n_1 n_4$ ; thus we have  $n_4 = n_1^{-1}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{F}_0^f$  defines a morphism  $\mathcal{F}_z^{PD} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0^{PD}$  that induces a homomorphism of groups  $\mathcal{F}_z^{PD}(\text{Spf}(W(k))) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0^{PD}(\text{Spf}(W(k)))$ . As the  $W(k)$ -valued points of  $\text{Spec}(R^{PD})$  are Zariski dense, we conclude that  $\mathfrak{F}_0^f$  defines a morphism  $\mathcal{F}_z^{PD} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0^{PD}$  that is a homomorphism of formal groups on  $\text{Cris}(\text{Spec}(k)/\text{Spec}(W(k)))$ . Therefore (a) also holds.  $\square$

## 9.5. Complements

In this Section we include different complements to Section 9.2 and to Theorem 9.4. We first consider a product decomposition  $D_{W(k)}^+ = \prod_{j \in J} D_{j, W(k)}^+$  into  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  such that for each element  $j \in J$  the special fibre  $D_{j, k}^+$  of  $D_{j, W(k)}^+$  has only one Newton polygon slope  $\gamma_j^+ \in (0, 1]$  (see the property 5.2.2 (c) and Section 5.4). Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}_{1, j}$  be the corresponding product decomposition into formal Lie groups over  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$  (see Subsection 5.3.1 and 5.4). If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ , we consider the product decomposition  $\mathcal{F}_z = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}_{z, j}$  that corresponds to the isomorphism of Theorem 9.4 (b) and the product decomposition  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}_{1, j}$ .



**9.5.1. Proposition.** *Let  $m_0(1)$  be the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope 1 of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k(v))}^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi_0)$  (equivalently of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(U_0^+), \varphi_0)$ ). We assume that  $p = 2$  and  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ . Then the Sh-canonical lifts of  $y$  (when viewed as  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued points of  $\mathcal{D}_y$ ) are the  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued torsion points of  $\mathcal{F}_z$  and they are all of order 1 or 2. Moreover, the number  $n_y$  of Theorem 1.6.2 is  $2^{m_0(1)}$ .*

*Proof:* The subgroup

$$\mathfrak{T} := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{F}_z^{PD}(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0^{PD}(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))) \leq \mathcal{F}_z^{PD}(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))) = \mathcal{F}_z(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))$$

is finite (cf. Proposition 6.4.6) and the torsion subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}_0^{PD}(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))$  is trivial. Thus  $\mathfrak{T}$  is the torsion subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}_z^{PD}(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))$ . The  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued points of  $\mathcal{F}_z$  that correspond to Sh-canonical lifts of  $y$ , are precisely the elements of  $\mathfrak{T}$  (cf. Subsection 9.3.4). Thus  $n_y = |\mathfrak{T}|$ . We check that  $\mathfrak{T}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{m_0(1)}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{T}_j$  be the torsion subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j}(\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)))$ . We have  $\mathfrak{T} = \prod_{j \in J} \mathfrak{T}_j$ . Let  $z_j \in \mathfrak{T}_j$  be a non-identity element. To  $z_j$  corresponds a non-split short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow D_{j,W(k)}^+ \rightarrow D_{j,W(k)}^{+(0)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$  of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $W(k)$  (cf. Lemma 5.3.3) with the property that its corresponding short exact sequence of filtered Dieudonné modules splits. Thus the Newton polygon slope  $\gamma_j^+$  is 1, cf. property 1.2.1 (c). As  $\gamma_j^+ = 1$ ,  $D_{j,W(k)}^+$  is of multiplicative type and therefore  $\mathcal{F}_{1,j}$  is a formal torus. A one dimensional formal torus over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  has precisely two  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued torsion points. As  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{1,j}$ , we get that  $z_j$  is of order 2.

But  $m_0(1)$  is the multiplicity of the Newton polygon slope 1 of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi)$  (cf. Proposition 6.3.1) and thus it is the number of  $\mu_{p^\infty}$  copies of the decomposition (cf. Lemma 5.1.3) of  $D_{W(k)}^+$  into circular indecomposable  $p$ -divisible groups. Thus  $\prod_{j \in J, \gamma_j^+ = 1} \mathcal{F}_{z,j}$  is a  $m_0(1)$  dimensional formal torus over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{m_0(1)}$  and thus  $n_y = |\mathfrak{T}| = 2^{m_0(1)}$ .  $\square$

**9.5.2. Simple facts and remarks.** (a) The following five statements are equivalent:

- (i) we have  $N_0 = U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$ ;
- (ii) all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi)$  (equivalently of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}), \varphi)$ ) are integral;
- (iii) all Newton polygon slopes of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k(v))}^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi_0)$  (equivalently of  $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k(v))}), \varphi_0)$ ) are integral;
- (iv) the residue field  $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$  of the prime  $v^{\mathrm{ad}}$  of  $E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$  divided by  $v$ , is  $\mathbf{F}_p$ ;
- (v) the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{W(k)}^+$  (equivalently the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{0,W(k)}^+$  over  $W(k)$  of  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$ , cf. Proposition 6.3.1) is of multiplicative type.

The equivalence (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) follows from Proposition 6.3.1. The equivalence (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) is obtained as in the beginning of Section 7.1. The equivalences (iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) and (v)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (i) are trivial. Thus, if  $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathbf{F}_p$ , then  $(\mathcal{D}_y, z, I_R, M_R)$  is a formal torus over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ .

(b) We include an example. We consider the case when

$$G_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathrm{ad}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{SO}(2\ell + 1)_{\mathbf{R}}^2 \times_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{SO}(2, 2\ell - 1)_{\mathbf{R}}^2$$

and  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{ad}}$  is the Weil restriction of a split, absolutely simple, adjoint group scheme over  $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^4})$  (of  $B_\ell$  Lie type). Thus  $d = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(X) = 2(2\ell - 1)$  (see [He, Ch. X, §6, Table V]) and  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 1$  (see Subsections 3.4.7 and Corollary 3.6.3). The group scheme  $G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}}$  has four simple factors permuted by the automorphism of  $G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}}$  defined naturally by  $\sigma$  and denoted also by  $\sigma$ . If the two simple factors of  $G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}}$  in which  $\mu_{0,W(k)}$  has a non-trivial image, are not (resp. are) such that  $\sigma$  takes one directly to the other one, then from Example 5.2.7 applied with  $n = 4$  and  $d = 2$  (resp. and  $d = 1$ ), we get that  $D_{W(k)}^+$  is the direct sum of  $2(2\ell - 1)$  (resp. of  $2\ell - 1$ ) copies of the circular indecomposable  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$  (resp.  $D_{2,4}$ ) over  $W(k)$  introduced at the end of Example 5.2.7. Thus  $D_{W(k)}^+$  is self-dual and therefore isomorphic to  $D_{W(k)}^-$ .

(c) We can define directly the short exact sequence (42) as follows. Let  $E^{(1)}$  be a direct supplement of  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}$  in  $\text{Lie}(L_{\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}}^0(\varphi))$  normalized by  $\varphi$  and by a maximal torus of  $\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}$  that contains  $T^{(1)}$ . Let  $E^{-(1)} := \mathfrak{u}^- \oplus E^{(1)}$ . It is easy to check that  $N_0$  normalizes  $E^{-(1)}$  (the argument for this is similar to the one used in Subsection 1.2.5 to get an isomorphism  $q_1 : U_1^- \xrightarrow{\sim} U_1^{-+}$  and to the one used to check properties (15) and (16)). We have a short exact sequence compatible with  $\varphi$  of  $N_0$ -modules

$$(46) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Lie}(P_{\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}}^-(\varphi))/E^{-(1)} \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}})/E^{-(1)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}^+ \rightarrow 0.$$

By extending (46) to  $R_0$ , by allowing  $g_{R_0}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_0})$  to act on this extension, and by inserting the connections  $\nabla_0$  and the natural filtrations, we get the analogue of (39) that defines the Cartier dual of (40) modulo the identification of  $D_{W(k)}^+$  with  $(D_{W(k)}^-)^{\text{t}}$ .

(d) We assume that  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 1$ . If  $p = 2$  and  $z_1 \in \mathcal{D}_y(\text{Spf}(W(k)))$  defines an Sh-canonical lift of  $y$  different from  $z$ , then  $z_1$  is of order 2 (cf. Proposition 9.5.1) and therefore from the uniqueness part of Theorem 9.4 (a) we get that  $\mathcal{F}_{z_1}$  is obtained naturally from  $\mathcal{F}_z$  via a translation through  $z_1$ .

(e) Let  $p = 2$ . As  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$  is cyclic (cf. end of Theorem 1.4.3), there exists a unique cyclic  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{W(k)}^{\text{cyclic}}$  over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(M, F^1, \varphi)$  (cf. Fact 2.8.1 (a)). We decompose  $D_{W(k)}^{\text{cyclic}} = D^{0,\text{cyclic}} \oplus D_{W(k)}^{(0,1),\text{cyclic}} \oplus D_{W(k)}^{1,\text{cyclic}}$ , where  $D_{W(k)}^{0,\text{cyclic}}$  is étale,  $D_{W(k)}^{(0,1),\text{cyclic}}$  has no integral Newton polygon slopes, and  $D_{W(k)}^{1,\text{cyclic}}$  is of multiplicative type. Due to this decomposition and the property 1.2.1 (c),  $\lambda_M$  is the crystalline realization of a unique principal quasi-polarization of  $D_{W(k)}^{\text{cyclic}}$ . Each automorphism  $a_M$  of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  normalizes  $F^1$  (see Subsection 4.1.5); thus the property 1.2.1 (c) also implies that  $a_M$  is the crystalline realization of an automorphism of  $D_{W(k)}^{\text{cyclic}}$ . If the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_z$  of  $z^*(\mathcal{A})$  is isomorphic to  $D_{W(k)}^{\text{cyclic}}$ , then  $z$  is the unique Sh-canonical lift of  $y$  with this property (cf. Theorem 1.6.3 (d)). If we have a standard moduli PEL situation  $(f, L, v, \mathcal{B})$ , then  $\mathcal{N}$  is a moduli space of principally-polarized abelian varieties endowed with endomorphisms (see [Zi1], [RZ], and [Ko2]) and therefore from Serre–Tate deformation theory we get that  $z$  exists. One can use [Va7, Thm. 1.2] to show that in fact such a  $z$  always exists. If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 1$  and if such a  $z$  is assumed to exist, then as in the

classical context of Section 1.1 one works only with  $\mathcal{F}_z$  (i.e., if  $n_y > 1$  one disregards the  $\mathcal{F}_{z_1}$ 's, where  $z_1$  is as in (d)).

(f) For  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  let  $\mathfrak{A}_i^+$  be the  $i$ -th group of automorphisms of the positive  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{0,W(k)}^+$  over  $W(k)$  of  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$ , cf. Subsection 5.1.4. The group  $\mathfrak{A}_2^+ := \text{Aut}(D_{0,W(k)}^+)$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 1$ , then the isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_z \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_1$  of Theorem 9.4 (b) is canonical up to a composite with an automorphism of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  defined by an element of  $\mathfrak{A}_0^+$ , cf. Remark 5.2.3 and the proofs of Proposition 6.3.1 and Theorem 9.4; thus for  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  we can speak about the canonical subgroup  $\mathfrak{A}_{z,i}$  of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{F}_z$  that corresponds to  $\mathfrak{A}_i^+$ .

(g) We have natural homomorphisms

$$(47) \quad \text{End}(D_{0,W(k)}^+) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{End}(D_{0,k}^+) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{F}_1 \times_{\text{Spf}(W(k))} \text{Spf}(k))$$

that are unique up to conjugation through  $\mathfrak{A}_0^+$ . There exist endomorphisms  $e_0^{\text{Fr}} \in \text{End}(D_{0,k}^+)$  that are defined by endomorphisms  $c_0^{\text{Fr}}$  of  $(\text{Lie}(U_{0,W(k)}^+), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma)$  whose images are equal to  $(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma)(\text{Lie}(U_{0,W(k)}^+))$ . This is so as the fact that  $D_{0,W(k)}^+$  is cyclic (see Lemma 5.1.3 and 5.4) implies that there exists a  $W(k)$ -basis  $\mathcal{B}_0$  for  $\text{Lie}(U_{0,W(k)}^+)$  with respect to which the matrix representation of  $\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma$  has entries in  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  (in fact we can choose  $\mathcal{B}_0$  such that all these entries are either 0 or  $p$ ); thus we can choose  $c_0^{\text{Fr}}$  such that it acts on elements of  $\mathcal{B}_0$  in the same way as  $\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma$  does. Each endomorphism  $e_0^{\text{Fr}}$  is unique up to a (left) composite with an element of  $\text{Aut}(D_{0,k}^+)$ .

If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 1$  and  $k(v^{\text{ad}}) \neq \mathbf{F}_p$ , then we do not know if we can use the images of (47) to define good Frobenius lifts of  $\mathcal{D}_y$ .

(h) Sections 9.1 and 9.2 and Theorem 9.4 do not extend to the case when  $z$  is a U-canonical lift of a U-ordinary point  $y \in \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}(k)$  that is not a Sh-ordinary point (the most one can do is to identify suitable formal subschemes of  $\mathcal{D}_y$  that have canonical formal Lie group structures; to be compared with Subsection 9.8.2 below). However, in future work we will also generalize the property 1.1 (i) to the context of U-canonical lifts. Moreover, one can define canonical unipotent elements of  $W(k)$ -valued lifts of  $y$  as in Subsection 9.3.4.

(i) We have natural variants of Theorem 9.4 for  $k \neq \bar{k}$  but the resulting formal Lie groups  $\mathcal{F}_z$  will depend on  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k)$  (to be compared with Subsection 1.2.5).

(j) The descending Newton polygon slope filtration (36) depends on the injective map  $f : (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$  and therefore it is not intrinsically associated to the Sh-ordinary point  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ . Thus from many points of view it is more appropriate to work not with (36) but with the analogous ascending Newton polygon slope filtration of the filtered  $F$ -crystal over  $R_0/pR_0$  defined naturally by

$$(48) \quad (\mathfrak{s}^- \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, (\mathfrak{s}^- \cap \tilde{F}^0) \otimes_{W(k)} R_0, g_{R_0} \Phi).$$

In future work we will show that this new ascending Newton polygon slope filtration of  $F$ -crystals attached to (48) is intrinsically associated to  $y$  and this will allow us to achieve the project 1.9 (c).

(k) One proves formula (1) by following the last part of the proof of Theorem 9.4.

(l) If the group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}$  is non-trivial and if all simple factors of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  are of  $A_2$ ,  $B_\ell$ ,  $C_\ell$ , or  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{R}}$  type, then we always have  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 1$  (cf. the structure of the number of elements  $|\mathcal{E}|$  of the set  $\mathcal{E}$  of Subsection 3.4.8). We add here that each simple factor  $(\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{Q}}, \tilde{X})$  of  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  that is of  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{R}}$  type, gives birth to simple factors of  $(\text{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbf{F})}^{\text{ad}}), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_{\mathbf{F}}, G_{W(k)}^{\text{ad}})$  that are either trivial (i.e., are as in Subsection 3.2.2) or are such that their type is of the form  $(D_\ell, 1, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$  with  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \{0, 1\}$ . For  $\ell \geq 5$  this is so, as for any element  $x \in X$  the image of  $d\mu_x$  in a simple factor  $\mathfrak{f}$  of  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{C}})$  has a centralizer in  $\mathfrak{f}$  whose derived Lie algebra is of  $D_{\ell-1}$  Lie type (cf. the very definition of the  $D_\ell^{\mathbf{R}}$  type in Subsection 1.5.6). For  $\ell = 4$  we will only add here that one defines the  $D_4^{\mathbf{R}}$  type (see [De3, Table 2.3.8]) so that the mentioned property holds (for all potential standard Hodge situations).

### 9.6. Ramified valued points

Let  $V$  and  $K$  be as in Section 9.2. Let  $e_V, Re_V, Ie_V, \text{Spf}(Re_V), \Phi_{Re_V}$ , and  $d_V : \text{Spf}(V) \hookrightarrow \text{Spf}(Re_V)$  be as in Subsection 2.8.7. Let  $\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2 : \text{Spf}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_y$ . If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ , let  $\tilde{z}_3 := \tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_2$  be the addition with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_z$  (of Theorem 9.4 (a)). There exist formal morphisms  $v_i : \text{Spf}(Re_V) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_y$  such that we have  $v_i \circ d_V = \tilde{z}_i$ , cf. Subsection 2.8.7. If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ , we can assume that  $v_3 = v_1 + v_2$  is the addition with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_z$  (this makes sense as for each positive integer  $s$ ,  $Re_V/p^s Re_V$  is an inductive limit of artinian, local  $k$ -algebras). We denote also by  $\tilde{z}_i$  (resp. by  $v_i$ ) the  $V$ -valued (resp. the  $Re_V$ -valued) point of  $\mathcal{N}$  defined by  $\tilde{z}_i$  (resp. by  $v_i$ ), where  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  if  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  if  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ . Let  $(M_{i, Re_V}, F_{i, Re_V}^1, \Phi_{i, Re_V}, \nabla_{i, Re_V}, \lambda_{M_{i, Re_V}}, (t_{i, \alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  be the pull back of the pair  $(\mathfrak{C}_R, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  introduced in Section 6.2 via  $v_i$  (to be compared with Subsection 6.5.5). We emphasize that the direct summand  $F_{i, Re_V}^1$  of  $M_{i, Re_V}$  depends on  $v_i$  while  $(M_{i, Re_V}, \Phi_{i, Re_V}, \nabla_{i, Re_V}, \lambda_{M_{i, Re_V}}, (t_{i, \alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  and  $F_{i, Re_V}^1 \otimes_{Re_V} V$  depend only on  $\tilde{z}_i$ . There exists a unique isomorphism (to be compared with Subsection 6.5.5)

$$J_i : (M_{i, Re_V}[\frac{1}{p}], \Phi_{i, Re_V}, \nabla_{i, Re_V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi \otimes \Phi_{Re_V}, \nabla_{\text{triv}}^{Re_V})$$

of  $F$ -isocrystals over  $Re_V/pRe_V$  whose pull back to an isomorphism of  $F$ -isocrystals over  $k$  is defined by  $1_{M[\frac{1}{p}]}$ . There exists no element of  $\mathcal{T}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} Ie_V[\frac{1}{p}]$  fixed by  $p^s \varphi \otimes \Phi_{Re_V}$  ( $s \in \{0, 1\}$ ). Thus the isomorphism  $J_i$  takes  $\lambda_{M_{i, Re_V}}$  to  $\lambda_M$  and  $t_{i, \alpha}$  to  $t_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ .

**9.6.1. Proposition.** *There exists a unique element  $n_i \in N_0(Re_V[\frac{1}{p}])$  such that we have  $J_i(F_{i, Re_V}^1[\frac{1}{p}]) = n_i(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V[\frac{1}{p}])$ . If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ , then we moreover have*

$$(49) \quad n_3 = n_1 n_2.$$

*Proof:* We can redefine  $(M_{i, Re_V}, F_{i, Re_V}^1, \Phi_{i, Re_V}, \nabla_{i, Re_V}, \lambda_{M_{i, Re_V}}, (t_{i, \alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  as the pull back of the pair  $(\mathfrak{C}_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  introduced in Subsection 6.2.1 via the morphism  $v_{i,0} : \text{Spec}(Re_V) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes defined by  $v_i$  and by the isomorphism  $e_0 : \text{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R_0)$ , cf. property 6.2.6 (b). Thus we have a natural isomorphism

$$J'_i : (M \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V, g_{i, Re_V}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{Re_V}), \nabla'_{i, Re_V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{i, Re_V}, F_{i, Re_V}^1, \Phi_{i, Re_V}, \nabla_{i, Re_V})$$

that takes  $t_{i,\alpha}$  to  $t_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $\lambda_{M_{i,Re_V}}$  to  $\lambda_M$ , where  $\nabla'_{i,Re_V}$  is the connection on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V$  that is the natural extension of  $\nabla_0$  and where the element  $g_{i,Re_V} \in \tilde{G}(Re_V)$  is computed as follows. We write  $g_{i,Re_V} = g_{i,Re_V}^{\text{corr}} g_{0,Re_V}$ , where  $g_{0,Re_V} \in N_0(Re_V)$  is the composite of  $v_{i,0}$  with the element  $g_{R_0} \in N_0(R_0)$  of Subsection 6.2.1 and where the correction factor  $g_{i,Re_V}^{\text{corr}} \in \tilde{G}(Re_V)$  is computed as follows. There exists an  $Re_V$ -linear automorphism  $h_{i,Re_V}^{\text{corr}}$  of  $(M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) \otimes_{W(k)} \sigma Re_V$  such that for each element  $x \in M$  we have

$$h_{i,Re_V}^{\text{corr}}(x \otimes 1) := \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^d \nabla_0 \left( \frac{d}{dw_l} \right)^{i_l} \right) (x \otimes 1) \prod_{l=1}^d \frac{s_l^{i_l}}{i_l!},$$

where  $s_l := \Phi_{Re_V}(w_{i,l}) - w_{i,l}^p \in pRe_V$  (cf. [De4, Formula (1.1.3.4)] or [Fa2, §7]); here the element  $w_{i,l} \in Re_V$  is the image of  $w_l \in R_0$  via the morphism  $v_{i,0}$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes. Let  $g_{0,Re_V}^a : (M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) \otimes_{W(k)} \sigma Re_V \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V$  be the  $Re_V$ -linear isomorphism defined by  $g_{0,Re_V}^a(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{Re_V})$ . Then (cf. the standard way of pulling back filtered  $F$ -crystals; see the last two references, etc.) we have:

$$g_{i,Re_V}^{\text{corr}} g_{0,Re_V}^a = g_{0,Re_V}^a h_{i,Re_V}^{\text{corr}} : (M + \frac{1}{p}F^1) \otimes_{W(k)} \sigma Re_V \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V.$$

From the shape of the connection  $\nabla_0$  (see Corollary 9.1.3) and from the fact that  $N_0 \leq U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$ , we easily get that  $g_{i,Re_V}^{\text{corr}} \in U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(Re_V)$ . Thus  $g_{i,Re_V} \in U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(Re_V)$ .

Let  $\tilde{P} := \tilde{G} \cap \tilde{P}^{\text{big}}$ ; it is the normalizer of  $F^1$  in  $\tilde{G}$ . As in Subsection 9.1.7, we define

$$u_{i,Re_V} := \left( \prod_{s \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}} (\varphi \otimes \Phi_{Re_V})^s(g_{i,Re_V}) \right)^{-1} \in U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(Re_V[\frac{1}{p}]);$$

the  $Re_V[\frac{1}{p}]$ -linear automorphism  $J_i \circ (J'_i \otimes 1_{Re_V[\frac{1}{p}]})$  of  $M \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V[\frac{1}{p}]$  is precisely the element  $u_{i,Re_V}$ . Thus  $J_i(F_{i,Re_V}^1[\frac{1}{p}]) = u_{i,Re_V}(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V[\frac{1}{p}])$ . As  $U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$  is the semidirect product of  $U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi) \cap \tilde{P}$  and  $N_0$ , we can write  $u_{i,Re_V} = n_i u_{i,Re_V}^0$ , where  $n_i \in N_0(Re_V[\frac{1}{p}])$  and where  $u_{i,Re_V}^0 \in U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)(Re_V[\frac{1}{p}])$  normalizes  $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Thus  $J_i(F_{i,Re_V}^1[\frac{1}{p}]) = n_i(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} Re_V[\frac{1}{p}])$  i.e., the element  $n_i$  exists. The uniqueness of  $n_i$  follows from the fact that the product morphism  $N_0 \times_{W(k)} \tilde{P} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  is an open embedding (see proof of Lemma 3.1.2).

We now assume that  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ . To check formula (49), we consider a commutative diagram (see Subsection 2.8.7)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(Re_V) & \xrightarrow{[pe_V]} & \text{Spec}(Re_V) \\ \downarrow v_i(pe_V) & & \downarrow v_i \\ \text{Spec}(R^{PD}) & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \text{Spec}(R). \end{array}$$

Due to this diagram and the uniqueness properties of  $J_i$  and of the isomorphism  $J_y$  of Subsection 6.4.2, we get that  $J_i \otimes_{Re_V[\frac{1}{p}]} [pe_V] Re_V[\frac{1}{p}]$  is the pull back of  $J_y$  via  $v_i(pe_V)_{B(k)}$ .

Thus (49) holds after pull backs through  $B(k)$ -valued points of  $\mathrm{Spec}(Re_V[\frac{1}{p}])$  that are defined by  $W(k)$ -valued points of  $\mathrm{Spec}(Re_V)$  which factor through  $[pe_V] : \mathrm{Spec}(Re_V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(Re_V)$ , cf. formula (45). As these  $B(k)$ -valued points are Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{Spec}(Re_V)$ , formula (49) holds.  $\square$

**9.6.2. Definition.** We refer to [Fo3] for filtered modules over  $K$ . Let  $c_V : \mathrm{Spec}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(Re_V)$  be as in Subsection 2.8.7. As  $(M_{i,Re_V}, \Phi_{i,Re_V}, \nabla_{i,Re_V}, \lambda_{M_{i,Re_V}}, (t_{i,\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  and  $F_{i,Re_V}^1 \otimes_{Re_V} V$  depend only on  $\tilde{z}_i$ , the element  $n_{i,K} := c_K^*(n_i) \in N_0(K)$  also depends only on  $\tilde{z}_i$ . We call the quintuple  $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi, n_{i,K}(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} K), \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  as the *polarized filtered module with tensors* of  $\tilde{z}_{i,K}^*(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}, (w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  or of  $\tilde{z}_i \in \mathcal{N}(V)$ . Moreover, we call  $n_{i,K} \in N_0(K)$  as the *canonical unipotent element* of  $\tilde{z}_i \in \mathcal{N}(V)$ .

**9.6.3. A formula.** We assume that  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ . The following formula implied by (49)

$$(50) \quad n_{3,K} = n_{1,K} n_{2,K}$$

is the rational form of (45) for  $\mathrm{Spf}(V)$ -valued points of  $\mathcal{F}_z$ . It is independent on  $\mathcal{F}_z$  (i.e., for  $p = 2$  it does not depend on the choice of an Sh-canonical lift  $z$  of  $y$ ).

If  $\tilde{z}_2 = -\tilde{z}_1$  (i.e., if  $\tilde{z}_3$  is the identity element), then from formula (50) we get that  $n_{3,K}$  is the identity element and thus that  $n_{2,K} = n_{1,K}^{-1}$ .

We have the following Corollary to Theorem 1.6.3 and Proposition 9.6.1.

**9.6.4. Corollary.** *We assume that  $k = \mathbf{F}$ . We use the notations and the assumptions of the first paragraph of Theorem 1.6.3 (c) for our present Sh-ordinary point  $y \in \mathcal{O}(k) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{F})$  and for a lift  $z_0 \in \mathcal{N}(V)$  of it. Then  $A_0 = z_0^*(\mathcal{A})$  has complex multiplication.*

*Proof:* Let  $n_0 \in N_0(K)$  be the canonical unipotent element of  $z_0 \in \mathcal{N}(V)$ . Its existence implies that the element  $w_0$  of Subsection 6.5.5 is the identity element. Thus from Example 6.5.6 (a) we get that the condition **W** holds for  $z_0$ . Therefore the Corollary follows from Theorem 1.6.3 (c).  $\square$

We also have the following Corollary to Section 9.2 and Proposition 9.6.1.

**9.6.5. Corollary.** *We use the notations of Section 9.2, with  $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{N}(V)$  replaced by either  $\tilde{z}_1 \in \mathcal{N}(V)$  or  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$ . Let  $n_{1,K}$  be the canonical unipotent element of  $\tilde{z}_1$ . If  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$  (resp. if  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ ), then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *the solvable group  $S_{\tilde{z}_1, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  is a torus;*
- (b) *the  $\mathrm{Spf}(V)$ -valued point  $\tilde{z}_1 \in \mathcal{F}_z(\mathrm{Spf}(V))$  is torsion (resp. there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that we have  $n_{1,K}^m = 1_{M \otimes_{W(k)} K}$ );*
- (c) *we have  $n_{1,K} = 1_{M \otimes_{W(k)} K}$ .*

*Proof:* As  $N_0(K)$  has only one torsion element, the implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) follows from formula (50) if  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$  (resp. is obvious if  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ ). The quintuple  $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi, F^1[\frac{1}{p}], \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  is the polarized filtered module with tensors of  $z^*(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}, (w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ . If (c) holds, then  $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi, n_{1,K}(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} K), \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  is the extension to  $K$  of the quintuple

$(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi, F^1[\frac{1}{p}], \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  and therefore the  $p$ -adic Galois representations over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  defined by  $\rho_{\tilde{z}_1}$  and by the restriction of  $\rho_z$  to  $\text{Gal}(K)$ , can be identified. Thus  $S_{\tilde{z}_1, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  is isomorphic to  $S_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  and therefore (cf. Lemma 9.2.2 (a)) it is a torus. Thus (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).

We are left to check that (a) implies (b). It suffices to show that (a) implies (c) and that (c) implies (b). We check that (a) implies (c). Both  $z$  and  $\tilde{z}_1$  factor through the same connected component of  $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$ . Thus we have a canonical identification

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^1(z^*(\mathcal{A})_{\overline{B(k)}}, \mathbf{Z}_p) = H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\tilde{z}_1^*(\mathcal{A})_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Z}_p).$$

Due to the descending Newton polygon slope filtration of Proposition 9.1.2, under this identification the parabolic subgroup schemes  $P_{\tilde{z}_1}^-$  and  $P_z^-$  of  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$  and the quotient torus  $T_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{(0)}$  of  $W_{\tilde{z}_1}$  and  $W_z$  (see (38)) are also identified. Therefore also  $W_{\tilde{z}_1, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  and  $W_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  are naturally identified. Under the identification  $W_{\tilde{z}_1, \mathbf{Q}_p} = W_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p}$ , the maximal tori  $S_{\tilde{z}_1, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  and  $S_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  of  $W_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p}$  are  $W_{z, \mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ -conjugate (cf. [Bo, Ch. V, Thm. 19.2]). Thus the  $p$ -adic Galois representations over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  defined by  $\rho_{\tilde{z}_1}$  and by the restriction of  $\rho_z$  to  $\text{Gal}(K)$ , are  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ -conjugate. Therefore we have an isomorphism  $\tau_i$  from  $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi, n_{i, K}(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} K), \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  to the extension to  $K$  of  $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi, F^1[\frac{1}{p}], \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ , cf. Fontaine comparison theory. The isomorphism  $\tau_i$  is defined by an element of  $\tilde{G}(B(k))$  fixed by  $\varphi$  and thus (cf. Subsection 4.1.5 and Lemma 4.1.6) by an element of  $\tilde{G}(B(k))$  that also normalizes  $F^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ . Therefore we have  $n_{1, K}(F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} K) = F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} K$  and thus  $n_{1, K} = 1_{M \otimes_{W(k)} K}$ . Therefore (c) holds (i.e., (a) implies (c)).

We check that (c) implies (b). Obviously this implication holds if  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ . Thus we can assume  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$  and therefore we can appeal to Theorem 9.4 and to the decomposition  $\mathcal{F}_z = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}_{z, j}$ . The subset of  $\mathcal{F}_z(\text{Spf}(V))$  formed by those  $\text{Spf}(V)$ -valued points  $\tilde{z}_2$  for which we have  $n_{2, K} = 1_{M \otimes_{W(k)} K}$ , is a subgroup  $\mathfrak{T}_V$  of  $\mathcal{F}_z(\text{Spf}(V))$  (cf. Subsection 9.6.3). We check that  $\mathfrak{T}_V$  is a finite group and therefore a torsion group. For  $j \in J$  let  $\mathfrak{T}_{j, V}$  be the image of  $\mathfrak{T}_V$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{z, j}(\text{Spf}(V))$  via the natural projection  $\mathcal{F}_z \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{z, j}$ . We recall  $\mathcal{F}_{z, j}$  is the formal Lie group of  $D_{j, W(k)}^+$  and therefore of extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  by  $D_{j, W(k)}^+$ , cf. Lemma 5.3.3. Thus each element  $x \in \mathfrak{T}_{j, V}$  defines uniquely a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -lattice  $L_x$  of  $T_p(D_{j, B(k)}^+)[\frac{1}{p}] \oplus T_p(\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)[\frac{1}{p}]$  that is normalized by  $\text{Gal}(K)$ , that has  $T_p(D_{j, B(k)}^+)$  as a direct summand, and that maps onto  $T_p(\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Thus, if  $x_0$  is a generator of  $T_p(\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)$ , then  $L_x$  is determined uniquely by each element  $x_1 \in L_x$  that maps onto  $x_0$ . We write

$$x_1 = x_0 + p^{m_x} x'_0, \text{ where } x'_0 \in T_p(D_{j, B(k)}^+) \setminus pT_p(D_{j, B(k)}^+) \text{ and where } m_x \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

As  $L_x$  is  $\text{Gal}(K)$ -invariant and has  $T_p(D_{j, B(k)}^+)$  as a direct summand, if  $m_x < 0$  then  $x'_0$  modulo  $p^{-m_x}$  is fixed by  $\text{Gal}(K)$ . As the Newton polygon slope  $\gamma_j^+$  belongs to the interval  $(0, 1]$ , the  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{j, k}^+$  over  $k$  is connected and thus the number of such pairs  $(m_x, x'_0)$  with  $m_x < 0$  is finite. If  $m_x \geq 0$ , then  $L_x = T_p(D_{j, B(k)}^+) \oplus T_p(\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Thus the set of such  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -lattices  $L_x$  is finite and therefore  $\mathfrak{T}_{j, V}$  is a finite group. This implies that  $\mathfrak{T}_V$  is a finite group. As (c) holds, the element  $\tilde{z}_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_V$  has finite order i.e., (b) holds.  $\square$

**9.6.6. Remarks.** (a) Both Corollary 9.6.5 (c) and the part of the proof of Corollary 9.6.5 that involves  $p$ -adic Galois representations over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , are equivalent to the existence

of a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -isogeny between  $(z^*(\mathfrak{D}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{D}}))_V$  and  $\tilde{z}_1^*(\mathfrak{D}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{D}})$  under which (the  $p$ -component of the étale component of) the Hodge cycles  $z_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}^*(w_{\alpha}^A)$  and  $\tilde{z}_{1, E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}^*(w_{\alpha}^A)$  get identified for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . Thus, as the special fibres of  $(A, \lambda_A)_V$  and  $\tilde{z}_1^*(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda)$  coincide, Corollary 9.6.5 (c) is equivalent to the existence of a  $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -isogeny between  $(A, \lambda_A)_V$  and  $\tilde{z}_1^*(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda)$  under which  $z_{E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}^*(w_{\alpha}^A)$  corresponds to  $\tilde{z}_{1, E(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X)}^*(w_{\alpha}^A)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . Therefore, if  $k = \mathbf{F}$ , then the three statements of Corollary 9.6.5 are also equivalent to the statement that  $\tilde{z}_1^*(\mathcal{A})$  has complex multiplication (cf. Theorem 1.6.3 (a) applied to  $A$ ).

(b) If  $p = 2$  and  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \leq 1$ , then the torsion subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}_z(\mathrm{Spf}(V))$  is intrinsically associated to  $\mathcal{D}_y$  (i.e., it does not depend on choice of the Sh-canonical lift  $z$  of  $y$ , cf. Corollary 9.6.5 (c)).

## 9.7. A functorial property

One can list many functorial properties of the formal Lie groups of Theorem 9.4. They are all rooted in Subsection 5.4.1, Theorem 9.4 (a), and properties (49) and (50). To be short, we include here only one simple but useful property.

We assume that  $f : (G_{\mathbf{Q}}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$  factors through an injective map  $f' : (G'_{\mathbf{Q}}, X') \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$  and that the triple  $(f', L, v')$  is also a standard Hodge situation, where  $v'$  is the prime of  $E(G'_{\mathbf{Q}}, X')$  divided by  $v$ . We use an upper right prime index to denote the analogues of different notations we used for  $(f, L, v)$  but obtained working with  $(f', L, v')$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}'_0$  be the abstract Shimura  $F$ -crystal of  $(f', L, v')$ , let  $\mathcal{N}'$  be the normalization of the Zariski closure of  $\mathrm{Sh}(G'_{\mathbf{Q}}, X')/H'_p$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{O_{(v')}}'$ , where  $H'_p := G'_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{Q}_p) \cap K_p$ , etc. We also assume that  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  is equal to the Newton polygon  $\mathfrak{N}'_0$  of  $\mathcal{C}'_0$ . Let  $z' \in \mathcal{N}'(W(k))$  and  $y' \in \mathcal{N}'(k)$  be the composites of  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  and  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$  (respectively) with the natural morphism  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ . As  $\mathfrak{N}_0 = \mathfrak{N}'_0$ ,  $y'$  is an Sh-ordinary point. Let  $\tilde{G}'$  be the reductive closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{GL}_M$  such that  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G}')$  is the Shimura  $F$ -crystal attached to  $y'$ . We have  $\tilde{G} \leq \tilde{G}'$  and thus  $F^1$  is a lift of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G}')$ . From the uniqueness part of the statement 1.4.3 (d) we get that  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G}')$  is an Sh-canonical lift. Thus  $z'$  is an Sh-canonical lift. The composite of  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  with the closed embedding monomorphism  $\tilde{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}'$ , is the canonical cocharacter of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G}')$  (cf. Subsection 4.3.2). This implies that we have a natural closed embedding monomorphism  $h_{0, y} : N_0 \hookrightarrow N'_0$ .

The natural morphism  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$  gives birth to a morphism  $e_y : \mathcal{D}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y'}$  between the formal schemes over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  associated to the completions of the local rings of  $y$  and  $y'$  in  $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$  and  $\mathcal{N}'_{W(k)}$  (respectively). We have:

**9.7.1. Proposition.** *We assume that the Newton polygons  $\mathfrak{N}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{N}'_0$  are equal. Then the formal morphism  $h_y : \mathcal{D}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y'}$  is a formally closed embedding. If moreover  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = \mathfrak{I}'_0 = 1$ , then  $h_y$  defines a monomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{z'}$  of formal Lie groups over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$ .*

*Proof:* The first part follows from the fact that the morphism  $i_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes (see Section 6.2) is a closed embedding (see Proposition 6.2.7 (c)) and from the analogue of this but applied in the context of  $y' \in \mathcal{N}'(k)$ . As  $N_0$  is a closed subgroup



scheme of  $N'_0$ , from Subsection 9.3.4 we easily get that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spf}(R^{PD}) & \xrightarrow{h_y^{PD}} & \mathrm{Spf}(R'^{PD}) \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{F}_0^f & & \downarrow \mathfrak{F}_0'^f \\ \mathrm{Spf}(R_0^{PD}) & \xrightarrow{h_{0,y}^{PD}} & \mathrm{Spf}(R_0'^{PD}); \end{array}$$

here  $h_y^{PD}$  and  $h_{0,y}^{PD}$  are defined by  $h_y$  and  $h_{0,y}$  (respectively).

We now assume that  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = \mathfrak{I}'_0 = 1$ . We know that  $\mathfrak{F}_0^f$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_0'^f$  define homomorphisms of formal groups on  $\mathrm{Cris}(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$  (cf. Theorem 9.4 (a)) and that  $h_{0,y}^{PD}$  is a monomorphism of formal groups on  $\mathrm{Cris}(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ . From this and Corollary 6.4.3 we easily get that  $h_y^{PD}$  defines a homomorphism of formal groups on  $\mathrm{Cris}(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$  (even if  $p \geq 2$ ); for  $p \geq 3$  we actually have  $h_y^{PD} = (\mathfrak{F}_0'^f)^{-1} \circ h_{0,y}^{PD} \circ \mathfrak{F}_0^f$ . Thus  $h_y$  defines a monomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{z'}$ .  $\square$

We do not stop to check here that the monomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{z'}$  is defined (up to natural identifications) by the monomorphism  $f_t^+ : D_{W(k)}^+ \hookrightarrow D_{W(k)}^{+'}$  constructed as in Subsection 5.4.1.

## 9.8. Four operations for the case $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$

In this Section we describe the four main operations in the study of  $\mathcal{D}_y$  in the case when  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ . We refer to future work for the formalism that uses these operations to define natural formal Lie group structures on  $\mathcal{D}_y$  even if  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ . Let  $\Phi_{R_0^{PD}}$  be the Frobenius lift of  $R_0^{PD}$  defined by  $\Phi_{R_0}$  (see the conventions of Subsection 2.8.6). Until the end we will assume that  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ .

**9.8.1. The set  $\tilde{J}$ .** Let  $\tilde{T}$  be a maximal torus of  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{T})$  is an Sh-canonical lift, cf. Subsection 4.3.2. Thus  $\mu : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  factors through  $\tilde{T}$  (cf. Subsection 4.3.2) and  $\varphi$  normalizes  $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{T})$ . Let  $\tilde{T}^{(1)} := \mathrm{Im}(\tilde{T} \rightarrow \tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}})$ . Let  $\tilde{J}$  be the finite set whose elements  $j$  parameterize all smooth, unipotent, closed subgroup schemes  $U_j^-$  of  $U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi)$  that have the following three properties:

- (a) the group scheme  $U_j^-$  is normalized by  $\tilde{T}$ ;
- (b) the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}_j^- := \mathrm{Lie}(U_j^-)$  is left invariant by  $\varphi(-)$ ;
- (c)  $N_{0,j} := N_0 \cap U_j^-$  is a product of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  group schemes.

Let  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,j} := \mathrm{Lie}(N_{0,j})$ . One can check that (a) implies (c) but this is irrelevant for what follows. Due to Proposition 6.3.1, the number  $|\tilde{J}|$  is independent of the Sh-ordinary point  $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ .

**9.8.2. Operation I: canonical formal subschemes of  $\mathcal{D}_y$ .** We can assume that for each element  $j \in \tilde{J}$  the Frobenius lift  $\Phi_{R_0}$  of  $R_0$  leaves invariant the ideal defining the closed subscheme  $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{0,j})$  of  $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$  that is the spectrum of the local ring of the completion of  $N_{0,j}$  along its identity section. Thus we can speak about the Frobenius lift  $\Phi_{R_{0,j}}$  of  $R_{0,j}$  that is defined naturally by  $\Phi_{R_0}$  via a passage to quotients. Let  $\mathcal{I}_{0,j}$  be the

ideal of  $R_{0,j}$  that is the image of  $\mathcal{I}_0$  in  $R_{0,j}$ ; we have  $R_{0,j}/\mathcal{I}_{0,j} = W(k)$ . The pull back of  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  via the closed embedding  $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{0,j}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$  is of the form

$$\mathfrak{C}_{0,j} := (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_{0,j}, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_{0,j}, g_{R_{0,j}}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_{0,j}}), \nabla_{0,j}, \lambda_M),$$

where  $g_{R_{0,j}} : \mathrm{Spec}(R_{0,j}) \rightarrow N_{0,j} \leq N_0$  is the universal morphism of  $N_{0,j}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_{0,j}^{PD}$  be the formal group on  $\mathrm{Cris}(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$  obtained as in Example 9.3.2 but working with  $N_{0,j}$  instead of  $N_0$ . Let

$$\mathcal{D}_{y,j} = \mathrm{Spf}(R_j)$$

be the formal closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{D}_y$  that is the pull back of  $\mathrm{Spf}(R_{0,j})$  via the isomorphism  $e_0^f : \mathrm{Spf}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Spf}(R_0)$  over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  defined naturally by  $e_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ . The formal morphism  $z : \mathrm{Spf}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_y$  factors through each  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j} = \mathrm{Spf}(R_j)$  and the resulting morphism  $z : \mathrm{Spf}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y,j}$  will be denoted as well by  $z$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{u}_j^+$  be the opposite of  $\mathfrak{u}_j^-$  with respect to  $\tilde{T}$ . We identify naturally  $\mathfrak{u}_j^+$  and  $\mathfrak{u}_j^-$  with Lie subalgebras of  $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}})$ . Let  $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}))$  be the maximal Lie subalgebra of  $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}})$  on which  $\mu$  acts via the inverse of the identical character of  $\mathbf{G}_m$ . Let  $D_{j,W(k)}^+$  be the connected  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^+, F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}})) \cap \mathfrak{u}_j^+, \varphi)$ . Let  $D_{j,W(k)}^-$  be the  $p$ -divisible group over  $W(k)$  whose filtered Dieudonné module is  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^-, \tilde{F}^0 \cap \mathfrak{u}_j^-, \varphi(-))$  (see Subsection 9.3.3 for  $\tilde{F}^0$ ).

**9.8.2.1. Lemma.** *There exist natural isomorphisms  $(D_{j,W(k)}^+)^t \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{j,W(k)}^-$ .*

*Proof:* We consider a direct sum decomposition  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^+, \varphi) = \bigoplus_{j' \in D(j)} (\mathfrak{u}_{j'}^+, \varphi)$  such that for each element  $j' \in D(j)$ , the  $\tilde{T}_{B(k)}^{(1)}$ -submodules of  $\mathfrak{u}_{j'}^+[\frac{1}{p}]$  that are of rank 1, are permuted transitively by  $\varphi$  (cf. property 5.2.1 (v) and Section 5.4). We emphasize that the set  $D(j)$  is not always a subset of  $\tilde{J}$ , cf. the exceptional cases of the property 5.2.1 (i). Let  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^-, \varphi(-)) = \bigoplus_{j' \in D(j)} (\mathfrak{u}_{j'}^-, \varphi(-))$  be the decomposition that corresponds to  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^+, \varphi) = \bigoplus_{j' \in D(j)} (\mathfrak{u}_{j'}^+, \varphi)$  via the opposition with respect to the torus  $\tilde{T}^{(1)}$ . To these decompositions of  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^+, \varphi)$  and  $(\mathfrak{u}_j^-, \varphi(-))$  correspond product decompositions  $D_{j,W(k)}^+ = \prod_{j' \in D(j)} D_{j',W(k)}^+$  and  $D_{j,W(k)}^- = \prod_{j' \in D(j)} D_{j',W(k)}^-$  (respectively). But there exist isomorphisms  $(D_{j',W(k)}^+)^t \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{j',W(k)}^-$ , cf. Subsection 5.2.4. From this the Lemma follows.  $\square$

**9.8.2.2. Standard formal closed embeddings.** Let

$$\tilde{J}_2 := \{(j_1, j_2) \in \tilde{J}^2 \mid U_{j_1}^- \leq U_{j_2}^-\}.$$

Let  $(j_1, j_2) \in \tilde{J}_2$ . As  $U_{j_1}^- \leq U_{j_2}^-$ , we also have  $N_{0,j_1} \leq N_{0,j_2}$ . Due to the property 9.8.1 (a), the  $W(k)$ -submodule  $\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^-$  of  $\mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^-$  is a direct summand and therefore also  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,j_1}$  is a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,j_2}$ . Thus to the closed embedding monomorphism  $N_{0,j_1} \hookrightarrow N_{0,j_2}$  corresponds naturally a formal closed embedding  $i_{j_1,j_2} : \mathcal{D}_{y,j_1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y,j_2}$ .

**9.8.2.3. Theorem.** *Let  $j \in \tilde{J}$  be an element for which the following condition holds:*

(i) the group scheme  $U_j^-$  is commutative and there exists an element  $t(1)_j \in \text{Lie}(\tilde{T}^{(1)})$  that is fixed by  $\varphi$  and such that the action of  $t(1)_j$  on  $\mathfrak{u}_j^-$  is a  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism.

We recall that  $k = \bar{k}$  and that  $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$  is an Sh-canonical lift. We have:

(a) There exists a unique formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j} = (\mathcal{D}_{y,j}, z, I_{R_j}, M_{R_j})$  whose pull back  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j}^{PD}$  via the morphism  $\text{Spf}(R_j^{PD}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y,j}$  has the property that the morphism  $\mathfrak{F}_0^f : \text{Spf}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spf}(R_0^{PD})$  induces naturally a homomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j}^{PD} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{0,j}^{PD}$  of formal groups on  $\text{Cris}(\text{Spec}(k)/\text{Spec}(W(k)))$ .

(b) The formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j}$  is isomorphic to the formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_{1,j}$  of  $D_{j,W(k)}^+$ .

*Proof:* The proof of this Theorem is the same as the proof of Theorem 9.4, the roles of  $\mathfrak{u}^-$  and  $t(1)$  being replaced by the ones of  $\mathfrak{u}_j^-$  and  $t(1)_j$ .  $\square$

**9.8.3. Operation II: quotient formal subschemes.** Let  $(j_1, j_2) \in \tilde{J}_2$ . As  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,j_1}$  is a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,j_2}$ , the quotient vector group scheme  $N_{0,j_2,j_1} := N_{0,j_2}/N_{0,j_1}$  is also a product of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  group schemes. Let  $\text{Spec}(R_{0,j_2,j_1})$  be the spectrum of the local ring of the completion of  $N_{0,j_2,j_1}$  along its identity section. Pulling back the natural  $W(k)$ -epimorphism  $\text{Spec}(R_{0,j_2}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Spec}(R_{0,j_2,j_1})$  via  $e_0 : \text{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R_0)$ , we get a natural  $W(k)$ -epimorphism  $\text{Spec}(R_{j_2}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Spec}(R_{j_2,j_1})$ . To it corresponds a formal epimorphism  $q_{j_2,j_1} : \mathcal{D}_{y,j_2} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y,j_2,j_1}$ . Its composite with  $z : \text{Spf}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y,j_2}$  will be denoted as well by  $z : \text{Spf}(W(k)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y,j_2,j_1}$ .

**9.8.3.1. Partial sections.** Let  $j_3 \in \tilde{J}$  be such that  $\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^- \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{j_3}^-$  is a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^-$ . Thus also  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,j_1} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{0,j_3}$  is a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,j_2}$ . Therefore we have a natural closed embedding monomorphism  $N_{0,j_1} \times_{W(k)} N_{0,j_3} \leq N_{0,j_2}$  of vector group schemes such that the quotient group scheme  $N_{0,j_2}/[N_{0,j_1} \times_{W(k)} N_{0,j_3}]$  is also a product of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  group schemes. Thus we can view  $N_{0,j_3}$  as a closed subgroup scheme of  $N_{0,j_2,j_1}$ . This implies that

$$e_{j_1,j_2,j_3} := q_{j_2,j_1} \circ i_{j_3,j_2} : \mathcal{D}_{y,j_3} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y,j_2,j_1}$$

is a formal closed embedding (and thus a partial section of  $q_{j_2,j_1}$ ).

**9.8.3.2. Theorem.** Let  $(j_1, j_2) \in \tilde{J}_2$ . We assume that the quotient group scheme  $U_{j_2}^-/U_{j_1}^-$  is commutative and that there exists an element  $t(1)_{j_2,j_1} \in \text{Lie}(\tilde{T}^{(1)})$  that is fixed by  $\varphi$  and such that the action of  $t(1)_{j_2,j_1}$  on  $\mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^-/\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^-$  is a  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism. Let  $\mathfrak{F}_{0,j_2,j_1}^f : \text{Spf}(R_{j_2,j_1}^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spf}(R_{0,j_2,j_1}^{PD})$  be the morphism induced naturally by  $\mathfrak{F}_0 : \text{Spf}(R^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spf}(R_0^{PD})$ . We have:

(a) There exists a unique formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j_2,j_1} = (\mathcal{D}_{y,j_2,j_1}, z, I_{R_{j_2,j_1}}, M_{R_{j_2,j_1}})$  whose pull back  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j_2,j_1}^{PD}$  via the morphism  $\text{Spf}(R_{j_2,j_1}^{PD}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y,j_2,j_1}$  is such that the morphism  $\mathfrak{F}_{0,j_2,j_1}^f : \text{Spf}(R_{j_2,j_1}^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spf}(R_{0,j_2,j_1}^{PD})$  defines naturally a homomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j_2,j_1}^{PD} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{0,j_2,j_1}^{PD}$  of formal groups on  $\text{Cris}(\text{Spec}(k)/\text{Spec}(W(k)))$ .

(b) As  $(\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^+, F^1(\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}})) \cap \mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^+, \varphi)$  is a direct summand of  $(\mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^+, F^1(\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}})) \cap \mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^+, \varphi)$ , there exists a unique  $p$ -divisible group  $D_{j_2,j_1,W(k)}^+$  over  $W(k)$  such that  $D_{j_2,W(k)}^+$  is isomorphic to  $D_{j_1,W(k)}^+ \oplus D_{j_2,j_1,W(k)}^+$ . The formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j_2,j_1}$  is isomorphic to the formal Lie group of  $D_{j_2,j_1,W(k)}^+ = D_{j_2,W(k)}^+/D_{j_1,W(k)}^+$ .

*Proof:* The proof of this Theorem is the same as the proof of Theorem 9.4, the roles of  $\mathfrak{u}^-$  and  $t(1)$  being replaced by the ones of  $\mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^-/\mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^-$  and  $t(1)_{j_2, j_1}$ .  $\square$

**9.8.4. Operation III: actions by formal Lie groups.** For  $j \in \tilde{J}$ , let  $Z(U_j)$  be the maximal flat, closed subscheme of  $U_j$  that commutes with  $U_j$ . Let

$$Z(\tilde{J}_2) := \{(j_1, j_2) \in \tilde{J}_2 | U_{j_1}^- \leq Z(U_{j_2}^-)\}.$$

Let  $(j_1, j_2) \in Z(\tilde{J}_2)$  be such that the condition 9.8.2.3 (i) holds for  $j_1$ . Let  $\mathrm{Spf}(R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}) := \mathrm{Spf}(R_{0, j_1}) \times_{\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))} \mathrm{Spf}(R_{0, j_2})$ . Let  $\Phi_{R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}}$  be the Frobenius lift of  $R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}$  that is naturally induced by  $\Phi_{R_{0, j_1}}$  and  $\Phi_{R_{0, j_2}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_{0, j_1 \times j_2}$  be the ideal of  $R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}$  generated naturally by  $\mathcal{I}_{0, j_1}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{0, j_2}$ ; we have  $R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}/\mathcal{I}_{0, j_1 \times j_2} = W(k)$ . We have two natural projections

$$s_{1, j_1 \times j_2} : \mathrm{Spec}(R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_{0, j_1}) \quad \text{and} \quad s_{2, j_1 \times j_2} : \mathrm{Spec}(R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_{0, j_2}).$$

Let  $g_{R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}} := (g_{R_{0, j_1}} \circ s_{1, j_1 \times j_2})(g_{R_{0, j_2}} \circ s_{2, j_1 \times j_2}) \in N_0(R_{0, j_1 \times j_2})$  and

$$\mathfrak{C}_{0, j_1 \times j_2} := (M \otimes_{W(k)} R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}, g_{R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}}), \nabla_{0, j_1 \times j_2}, \lambda_M).$$

The connection  $\nabla_{0, j_1 \times j_2}$  on  $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}$  is uniquely determined by the requirement that  $g_{R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}})$  is horizontal with respect to  $\nabla_{0, j_1 \times j_2}$ , cf. [Fa2, Thm. 10]. As in Proposition 6.2.2 (a) we argue that  $\nabla_{0, j_1 \times j_2}$  annihilates  $t_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . Thus from Theorem 6.2.4 applied to  $(R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}, \Phi_{R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}}, \mathcal{I}_{0, j_1 \times j_2})$  we get the existence of a unique morphism  $v_{0, j_1 \times j_2} : \mathrm{Spec}(R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$  such that: (i) at the level of rings maps  $\mathcal{I}_0$  to  $\mathcal{I}_{0, j_1 \times j_2}$ , and (ii) we have an isomorphism  $v_{0, j_1 \times j_2}^*(\mathfrak{C}_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{C}_{0, j_1 \times j_2}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$  that lifts the identity automorphism of  $(M, F^1, \varphi, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ .

Pulling back  $v_{0, j_1 \times j_2}$  via  $e_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ , we get a morphism  $v_{j_1 \times j_2} : \mathrm{Spec}(R_{j_1 \times j_2}) = \mathrm{Spec}(R_{j_1}) \times_{W(k)} \mathrm{Spec}(R_{j_2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_{j_1 \times j_2}$  be the ideal of  $R_{j_1 \times j_2}$  that corresponds naturally to  $\mathcal{I}_{0, j_1 \times j_2}$  via  $e_0$ ; we have  $R_{j_1 \times j_2}/\mathcal{I}_{j_1 \times j_2} = W(k)$ . To  $v_{j_1 \times j_2}$  corresponds a formal morphism

$$\tilde{t}_{j_1 \times j_2} : \mathrm{Spf}(R_{j_1 \times j_2}) = \mathcal{D}_{y, j_1} \times_{\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))} \mathcal{D}_{y, j_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_y = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$$

over  $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))$  and a morphism  $v_{j_1 \times j_2}^{PD} : \mathrm{Spec}(R_{j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD})$  of  $W(k)$ -schemes.

**9.8.4.1. Theorem.** *We assume that  $(j_1, j_2) \in Z(\tilde{J}_2)$  and that the condition 9.8.2.3 (i) holds for  $j_1$ . Then  $\tilde{t}_{j_1 \times j_2} : \mathcal{D}_{y, j_1} \times_{\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))} \mathcal{D}_{y, j_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_y$  factors through  $\mathcal{D}_{y, j_2}$  and the resulting morphism*

$$t_{j_1 \times j_2} : \mathcal{F}_{z, j_1} \times_{\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))} \mathcal{D}_{y, j_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y, j_2}$$

*defines an action of the formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_{z, j_1}$  on the formal scheme  $\mathcal{D}_{y, j_2}$ .*

*Proof:* The unique isomorphism

$$(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}, g_{R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}}(\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_{0, j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}}), \nabla_{0, j_1 \times j_2}, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) \xrightarrow{\sim}$$

$$(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}, \varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}}, \nabla_{\text{triv}}^{R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}}, \lambda_M, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

that lifts  $1_M$ , is defined by the element (to be compared with Subsection 9.1.7)

$$u_{R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}} := \left( \prod_{i \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}} (\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}})^i (g_{R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}}) \right)^{-1} \in U_{j_2}^-(R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}).$$

As  $U_{j_1}^- \leq Z(U_{j_2}^-)$ , the element  $u_{R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}}$  is the product of  $(\prod_{i \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}} (\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_{0,j_1}^{PD}})^i (g_{R_{0,j_1}}))^{-1} \circ s_{1,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}$  with  $(\prod_{i \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}} (\varphi \otimes \Phi_{R_{0,j_2}^{PD}})^i (g_{R_{0,j_2}}))^{-1} \circ s_{2,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}$ , where the morphisms

$$s_{1,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD} : \text{Spec}(R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_{0,j_1}^{PD}) \quad \text{and} \quad s_{2,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD} : \text{Spec}(R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_{0,j_2}^{PD})$$

of  $W(k)$ -schemes are defined naturally by  $s_{1,j_1 \times j_2}$  and  $s_{2,j_1 \times j_2}$  (respectively). This implies that the morphism  $\mathfrak{F}_0 \circ v_{j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}$  factors through  $\text{Spec}(R_{0,j_2}^{PD})$ . From this and Corollary 6.4.3 we get that for every positive integer  $l$ , the morphism  $\text{Spec}(R_{j_1 \times j_2}^{PD} / \mathcal{I}_{j_1 \times j_2}^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}]) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R^{PD} / \mathcal{I}^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}])$  defined naturally by  $\tilde{t}_{j_1 \times j_2}$  factors through the closed subscheme  $\text{Spec}(R_{j_2}^{PD} / \mathcal{I}^{[l]}[\frac{1}{p}])$ . Thus  $\tilde{t}_{j_1 \times j_2}$  factors through  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j_2}$ .

To check that  $t_{j_1 \times j_2}$  defines an action of the formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j_1}$  on the formal scheme  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j_2}$ , we will use  $\text{Spf}(W(k))$ -valued points. Let  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{y,j_1}(\text{Spf}(W(k)))$  and  $z_3 \in \mathcal{D}_{y,j_2}(\text{Spf}(W(k)))$ . Let  $n_1, n_2 \in \text{Ker}(N_{0,j_1}(W(k)) \rightarrow N_{0,j_1}(k))$  and  $n_3 \in \text{Ker}(N_{0,j_2}(W(k)) \rightarrow N_{0,j_2}(k))$  be such that for  $i \in S(1,3)$  the lift of  $(M, \varphi, \tilde{G})$  defined by  $z_i$  is  $n_i(F^1)$  (cf. Subsection 9.3.4). The lift of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  defined by  $z_2 z_3 := t_{j_1 \times j_2}(\text{Spf}(W(k)))(z_2, z_3) \in \mathcal{D}_{y,j_2}(\text{Spf}(W(k)))$  is  $n_2 n_3(F^1)$ , cf. the mentioned product property of  $u_{R_{0,j_1 \times j_2}^{PD}}$ .

Thus the lifts of  $(M, \varphi, G)$  defined by  $(z_1 z_2) z_3$  and  $z_1 (z_2 z_3)$  are both equal to  $n_1 n_2 n_3(F^1)$ . If  $p \geq 3$  or if  $p = 2$  and  $(M, \varphi)$  has no integral Newton polygon slopes, then from Proposition 6.4.5 we get

$$(51) \quad (z_1 z_2) z_3 = z_1 (z_2 z_3) \in \mathcal{D}_{y,j_2}(\text{Spf}(W(k))).$$

Using Corollary 6.4.3 one checks (as usual) that formula (51) holds even if  $p = 2$  and  $(M, \varphi)$  has integral Newton polygon slopes. Thus  $t_{j_1 \times j_2}$  is an action of the formal Lie group  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j_1}$  on the formal scheme  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j_2}$ .  $\square$

**9.8.5. Remark.** One can get a slightly more general form of Theorem 9.8.4.1 by combining Theorems 9.8.3.2 and 9.8.4.1.

**9.8.6. Operation IV: bilinear commuting formal morphisms.** Let

$$\tilde{J}_3 := \{(j_1, j_2, j_3) \in \tilde{J}^3 \mid 0 \neq [\mathbf{u}_{j_1}^-, \mathbf{u}_{j_2}^-] \subseteq \mathbf{u}_{j_3}^-\}.$$

Let  $(j_1, j_2, j_3) \in \tilde{J}_3$  be such that: (i) the condition 9.8.2.3 (i) holds for  $j_1, j_2$ , and  $j_3$ , and (ii) the group schemes  $U_{j_1}^-, U_{j_2}^-$ , and  $U_{j_3}^-$  are commutative. Similar to (24) and (25), based on Theorem 9.8.2.3 (b) we get that we have a natural bilinear commuting morphism

$$c_{j_1, j_2, j_3} : \mathcal{F}_{z, j_1} \times_{\text{Spf}(W(k))} \mathcal{F}_{z, j_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{z, j_3}.$$

**9.8.7. Example.** Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . We assume that  $(G_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$  is a simple, adjoint Shimura pair of  $D_{\ell}^{\mathbf{H}}$  type ( $\ell \geq 4$ ) and that  $G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}^{\text{ad}}$  is the  $\text{Res}_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^n})/\mathbf{Z}_p}$  of an absolutely simple, split, adjoint group scheme  $G'_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^n})}$  over  $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^n})$ . Let  $G := G_{W(k)}$ . If  $G^{\text{ad}} = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$  is the product decomposition into absolutely simple, adjoint groups, then  $I$  has  $n$  elements, the Lie type  $\mathcal{X}$  of each  $G_i$  is  $D_{\ell}$ , and there exists a permutation  $\Gamma$  of  $I$  such that for each element  $i \in I$  we have  $(\varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_k)(\text{Lie}(G_i)[\frac{1}{p}]) = \text{Lie}(G_{\Gamma(i)})[\frac{1}{p}]$ . In order to use the notations of Section 3.4, we will take  $I = S(1, n)$ ,  $\Gamma = (1 \cdots n)$ , and  $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}})$ . As  $G'_{W(\mathbf{F}_{p^n})}$  is split, the order  $e$  of Subsection 3.4.4 is 1. Let  $I_y : (\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}), \varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}), \varphi_0 \otimes \sigma_k)$  be the isomorphism of Proposition 6.3.1.

We emphasize that we choose the torus  $\tilde{T}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $I_y(\text{Lie}(\tilde{T}^{(1)})) = \text{Lie}(T)$ , where  $T := \text{Im}(T_{0, W(k)} \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}})$ ; thus we will also use the notations of Subsection 3.4.3 (which are with respect to  $T$ ). The set  $\mathcal{E}$  of Subsection 3.4.8 has at least two elements (cf. Corollary 3.6.3 and the fact that  $\mathfrak{I}_0 \geq 2$ ) and is a subset of  $\{1, \ell - 1, \ell\}$  (as  $\mathcal{X} = D_{\ell}$ ). From [Sel, p. 186 and Cor. 2 of p. 182] applied to  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ , we get that for  $\ell \geq 5$  the set  $\mathcal{E}$  can not contain either  $\{1, \ell - 1\}$  or  $\{1, \ell\}$  and that for  $\ell = 4$  the set  $\mathcal{E}$  can not be  $\{1, 3, 4\}$ . Moreover, for  $\ell = 4$  we can choose the numberings in Subsection 3.4.5 such that we have  $\mathcal{E} = \{3, 4\}$ . Thus as  $\mathcal{E}$  has at least two elements and as  $\mathcal{X} = D_{\ell}$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{E} = \{\ell - 1, \ell\}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{I}_0 = 2$ , cf. Corollary 3.6.3. Next we apply Subsections 9.8.1 to 9.8.6.

(a) Let  $S_i^-(\ell - 1, \ell)$  be the set of roots in  $\Phi_i^-$  that have in their expressions  $-\alpha_{\ell-i}(i) - \alpha_{\ell}(i)$ . Let  $S_i^-(\ell - 1)$  (resp.  $S_i^-(\ell - 1)$ ) be the set of roots in  $\Phi_i^-$  that have in their expressions  $-\alpha_{\ell-i}(i)$  (resp.  $-\alpha_{\ell}(i)$ ). Let  $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in \tilde{J}$  be such that

$$I_y(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}^-) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{\alpha \in S_i^-(\ell-1, \ell)} \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha),$$

$$I_y(\mathbf{u}_{j_2}^-) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{\alpha \in S_i^-(\ell-1) \setminus S_i^-(\ell-1, \ell)} \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha), \text{ and } I_y(\mathbf{u}_{j_3}^-) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{\alpha \in S_i^-(\ell) \setminus S_i^-(\ell-1, \ell)} \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha).$$

Let  $j_4, j_5, j_6 \in \tilde{J}$  be such that we have  $\mathbf{u}_{j_4}^- = \mathbf{u}_{j_1}^- \oplus \mathbf{u}_{j_2}^-$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_{j_5}^- = \mathbf{u}_{j_1}^- \oplus \mathbf{u}_{j_3}^-$ , and  $\mathbf{u}_{j_6}^- = \mathbf{u}^-$ .

(b) We take  $t(1)_{j_1} = t(1)_{j_2} = t(1)_{j_4}$  (resp.  $t(1)_{j_3} = t(1)_{j_5}$ ) such that  $I_y(t(1)_{j_1})$  (resp.  $I_y(t(1)_{j_3})$ ) commutes with each  $\mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha \in \Delta_i \setminus \{\ell - 1\}$  (resp. with  $\alpha \in \Delta_i \setminus \{\ell\}$ ) and for every element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha_{\ell-1}(i))$  (resp. for every element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_i(\alpha_{\ell}(i))$ ) we have  $[I_y(t(1)_{j_1}), x] = x$  (resp.  $[I_y(t(1)_{j_3}), x] = x$ ). For each  $i \in S(1, 5)$ , using the mentioned expression for  $t(1)_{j_i} = \phi(t(1)_{j_i})$ , we get that the condition 9.8.2.3 (i) holds for  $\{j_i\}$  (to be compared with the property 5.2.1 (iv)). For each  $i \in S(1, 5)$  the Lie algebra  $\mathbf{u}_{j_i}^-$  is commutative (cf. the property 3.6.1 (a) applied over  $B(k)$ ) and therefore  $U_{j_i}^-$  is commutative. Thus for each  $i \in S(1, 5)$  there exists naturally a formal closed subscheme  $\mathcal{D}_{y, j_i}$  of  $\mathcal{D}_y$  that has a canonical structure  $\mathcal{F}_{z, j_i}$  of a formal Lie group whose identity section is  $z$  and which is isomorphic to the formal Lie group of  $D_{j_i, W(k)}^+$ , cf. Theorem 9.8.2.3. As  $\mathbf{u}_{j_4}^- = \mathbf{u}_{j_1}^- \oplus \mathbf{u}_{j_2}^-$  and  $\mathbf{u}_{j_5}^- = \mathbf{u}_{j_1}^- \oplus \mathbf{u}_{j_3}^-$ , we have natural decompositions

$$\mathcal{F}_{z, j_4} = \mathcal{F}_{z, j_1} \times \mathcal{F}_{z, j_2} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{z, j_5} = \mathcal{F}_{z, j_1} \times \mathcal{F}_{z, j_3}.$$

(c) From the property 3.6.1 (a) we get that  $[\mathbf{u}_{j_6}^-, \mathbf{u}_{j_6}^-] \subseteq \mathbf{u}_{j_1}^-$ . This implies that  $U_{j_1}^- \leq Z(U_{j_6}^-)$ ; thus  $(j_1, j_6) \in \tilde{J}_2$ . Let  $t(1)_{j_6, j_1} := t(1)_{j_2} + t(1)_{j_3}$ . The action of  $t(1)_{j_6, j_1}$  on

$\mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^- \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{j_3}^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{u}_{j_6}^- / \mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^-$  is a  $W(k)$ -linear automorphism. Thus  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j_6,j_1}$  has a formal Lie group structure  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j_6,j_1}$  isomorphic to the formal Lie group of  $D_{j_2,W(k)}^+ \oplus D_{j_3,W(k)}^+$ , cf. Theorem 9.8.3.2.

(d) As  $U_{j_1}^- \leq Z(U_{j_6}^-) = Z(U_{\tilde{G}}^-(\varphi))$  and as the condition 9.8.2.3 (i) holds for  $j_1$ , from Theorem 9.8.4.1 we get that we have a natural action of  $\mathcal{F}_{z,j_1}$  on  $\mathcal{D}_y$ .

(e) We have  $(j_2, j_3, j_1) \in \tilde{J}_3$  as  $0 \neq [\mathfrak{u}_{j_2}^-, \mathfrak{u}_{j_3}^-] \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_{j_1}^-$ . From Subsection 9.8.6 we get the existence of a natural bilinear commuting morphism  $c_{j_2,j_3,j_1} : \mathcal{F}_{z,j_2} \times_{\mathrm{Spf}(W(k))} \mathcal{F}_{z,j_3} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{z,j_1}$ .

(f) Each choice of coordinates for  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j_1}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j_2}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j_3}$  can be used for  $p \geq 2$  (resp. for  $p \geq 3$ ) to define a natural formal Lie group structure on  $\mathcal{D}_y$  isomorphic to the first (resp. to the second) formal Lie group of  $\mathcal{C}_0 \otimes k$ . We refer to future work for the study of:

(f.i) good coordinates for  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j_1}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j_2}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_{y,j_3}$ , and

(f.ii) the variations of these formal Lie group structures on  $\mathcal{D}_y$  (in terms of the choice of coordinates and in terms of the choice of the torus  $\tilde{T}$  in the beginning of this Subsubsection).

## References

- [An] Y. André, *On the Shafarevich and Tate conjectures for hyper-Kähler varieties*, Math. Ann. **305** (1996), no. 2, pp. 205–248.
- [Be] P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique  $p > 0$* , Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **407**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [Bl] D. Blasius, *A  $p$ -adic property of Hodge cycles on abelian varieties*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), pp. 293–308, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. **55**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [Bo] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups. Second edition*, Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **126**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [Bou1] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 1–3*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [Bou2] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [Bou3] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre 7–8*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. **1364**, Hermann, Paris, 1975.
- [BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **930**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, *Néron models*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3). [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], Vol. **21**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1990.
- [BM] P. Berthelot and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline III*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, pp. 173–247, Progr. Math., Vol. **86**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [BO] P. Berthelot and A. Ogus, *F-crystals and de Rham cohomology. I*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 2, pp. 159–199.
- [dJ] J. de Jong, *Crystalline Dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., Vol. **82** (1995), pp. 5–96 (1996).
- [De1] P. Deligne, *Variétés abéliennes ordinaires sur un corps fini*, Invent. Math. **8** (1969), pp. 238–243.



- [De2] P. Deligne, *Travaux de Shimura*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 23ème année (1970/71), Exposé No. 389, pp. 123–165, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **244**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [De3] P. Deligne, *Variétés de Shimura: interpretation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques*, Automorphic forms, representations and  $L$ -functions (Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR, 1977), Part 2, pp. 247–289, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.
- [De4] P. Deligne, *Cristaux ordinaires et coordonnées canoniques*, Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976–78), pp. 80–137, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **868**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [De5] P. Deligne, *Hodge cycles on abelian varieties*, Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, pp. 9–100, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **900**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [Di] J. Dieudonné, *Groupes de Lie et hyperalgèbres de Lie sur un corps de caractéristique  $p > 0$ . VII.*, Math. Annalen **134** (1957), pp. 114–133.
- [DG] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, et al. *Schémas en groupes*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64 (SGA 3), Vol. I–III, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **151–153**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
- [Fa1] G. Faltings, *Crystalline cohomology and  $p$ -adic Galois representations*, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988), pp. 25–80, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.
- [Fa2] G. Faltings, *Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings*, J. of Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, pp. 117–144.
- [Fo1] J.-M. Fontaine, *Groupes  $p$ -divisibles sur les corps locaux*, J. Astérisque, Vol. **47–48**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1977.
- [Fo2] J.-M. Fontaine, *Le corps des périodes  $p$ -adiques*, Périodes  $p$ -adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988), pp. 59–101, J. Astérisque, Vol. **223**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1994.
- [Fo3] J.-M. Fontaine, *Représentations  $p$ -adiques semi-stables*, Périodes  $p$ -adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988), pp. 113–184, J. Astérisque, Vol. **223**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1994.
- [FC] G. Faltings and C.-L. Chai, *Degeneration of abelian varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3). [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], Vol. **22**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [FL] J.-M. Fontaine and G. Laffaille, *Construction de représentations  $p$ -adiques*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **15** (1982), no. 4, pp. 547–608.
- [Gr1] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Etude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schéma, Troisième partie*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., Vol. **28**, 1966.

- [Gr2] A. Grothendieck et al., *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie, 1962, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 2, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Masson & Cie, Éditeur, Paris, 1968.
- [Ha] G. Harder, *Über die Galoiskohomologie halbeinfacher Matrizen Gruppen. II*, Math. Z. **92** (1966), pp. 396–415.
- [He] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. **80**, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978.
- [Hu] J. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory. Second printing, revised*, Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **9**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978.
- [Il] L. Illusie, *Déformations des groupes de Barsotti–Tate (d’après A. Grothendieck)*, Séminaire on arithmetic bundles: the Mordell conjecture (Paris, 1983/84), pp. 151–198, J. Astérisque, Vol. **127**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1985.
- [Ja] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups. Second edition*, Math. Surv. and Monog., Vol. **107**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Ka1] N. Katz, *Travaux de Dwork*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 24ème année (1971/1972), Exposé No. 409, pp. 167–200, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **317**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [Ka2] N. Katz, *Slope filtration of  $F$ -crystals*, Journées de Géométrie algébrique de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Part 1, pp. 113–163, J. Astérisque, Vol. **63**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1979.
- [Ka3] N. Katz, *Serre–Tate local moduli*, Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976–78), pp. 138–202, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **868**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [Ka4] N. Katz, *Appendix to expose V*, Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976–78), pp. 128–137, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **868**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [Ko1] R. E. Kottwitz, *Isocrystals with additional structure*, Comp. Math. **56** (1985), no. 2, pp. 201–220.
- [Ko2] R. E. Kottwitz, *Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields*, J. of Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), no. 2, pp. 373–444.
- [Kob] N. Koblitz,  *$p$ -adic variation of zeta-function over families of varieties defined over finite fields*, Comp. Math. **31** (1975), no. 2, pp. 119–218.
- [La] G. Laffaille, *Groupes  $p$ -divisibles et modules filtrés: le cas peu ramifié*, Bull. Soc. Math. de France **108** (1980), no. 2, pp. 187–206.
- [Lan] S. Lang, *Algebraic number theory. Second edition*, Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **110**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [LR] R. Langlands and M. Rapoport, *Shimuravarietaeten und Gerben*, J. reine angew. Math. **378** (1987), pp. 113–220.

- [Ma] J. I. Manin, *The theory of formal commutative groups in finite characteristic*, Russian Math. Surv. **18** (1963), no. 6, pp. 1–83.
- [Me] W. Messing, *The crystals associated to Barsotti–Tate groups: with applications to abelian schemes*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **264**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [Mi1] J. S. Milne, *The points on a Shimura variety modulo a prime of good reduction*, The Zeta functions of Picard modular surfaces, pp. 151–253, Univ. Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, 1992.
- [Mi2] J. S. Milne, *Shimura varieties and motives*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), pp. 447–523, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. **55**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [Mo] B. Moonen, *Models of Shimura varieties in mixed characteristics*, Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol. **254**, pp. 267–350, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [No] R. Noot, *Models of Shimura varieties in mixed characteristic*, J. Alg. Geom. **5** (1996), no. 1, pp. 187–207.
- [NO] P. Norman and F. Oort, *Moduli of abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **112** (1980), no. 3, pp. 413–439.
- [Oo1] F. Oort, *A stratification of a moduli space of abelian varieties*, Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), pp. 345–416, Progr. of Math., Vol. **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [Oo2] F. Oort, *Newton polygon strata in the moduli of abelian varieties*, Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), pp. 417–440, Progr. of Math., Vol. **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [Pf] M. Pfau, *The reduction of connected Shimura varieties at a prime of good reduction*, thesis, Univ. of Michigan, 1993.
- [Pi] R. Pink,  *$l$ -adic algebraic monodromy groups, cocharacters, and the Mumford–Tate conjecture*, J. reine angew. Math. **495** (1998), pp. 187–237.
- [RR] M. Rapoport and M. Richartz, *On the classification and specialization of  $F$ -isocrystals with additional structure*, Comp. Math. **103** (1996), no. 2, pp. 153–181.
- [RZ] M. Rapoport and T. Zink, *Period spaces for  $p$ -divisible groups*, Ann. of Math. Studies, Vol. **141**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996.
- [Sa] I. Satake, *Holomorphic embeddings of symmetric domains into a Siegel space*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), pp. 425–462.
- [Se1] J.-P. Serre, *Groupes algébriques associés aux modules de Hodge–Tate*, Journées de Géom. Alg. de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Vol. III, pp. 155–188, J. Astérisque, Vol. **65**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1979.
- [Se2] J.-P. Serre, *Galois cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

- [Ta1] J. Tate, *p-divisible groups*, Proceedings of a conference on local fields (Driebergen, 1966), pp. 158–183, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [Ta2] J. Tate, *Classes d’isogénie des variétés sur un corps fini (d’après J. Honda)*, Séminaire Bourbaki 1968/69, Exposé No. 352, pp. 95–110, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **179**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [Ti1] J. Tits, *Classification of algebraic semisimple groups*, 1966 Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Boulder, CO), pp. 33–62, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **9**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1966.
- [Ti2] J. Tits, *Reductive groups over local fields*, Automorphic forms, representations and *L*-functions (Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, OR, 1977), Part 1, pp. 29–69, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.
- [Ts] T. Tsuji, *p-adic étale cohomology and crystalline cohomology in the semi-stable reduction case*, Invent. Math. **137** (1999), no. 2, pp. 233–411.
- [Va1] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models for Shimura varieties of Hodge type*, thesis, Princeton Univ., 1994.
- [Va2] A. Vasiu, *Points of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type and the Langlands-Rapoport conjecture, first part*, preprint, FIM, ETH, Zürich, Sep. 1996, pp. 1–76.
- [Va3] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type*, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), no. 2, pp. 401–518.
- [Va4] A. Vasiu, *Points of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties preabelian type, p-divisible groups, and applications, first part*, manuscript 8/16/01 (available as math.NT/0104152 at <http://xxx.arXiv.org>).
- [Va5] A. Vasiu, *Surjectivity criteria for p-adic representations*, Manuscripta Math. **112** (2003), no. 3, pp. 325–355.
- [Va6] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models of unitary Shimura varieties*, 27 pages, to appear in Asian J. Math., <http://xxx.arxiv.org/abs/math/0608032>.
- [Va7] A. Vasiu, *A motivic conjecture of Milne*, available at <http://xxx.arxiv.org/abs/math/0308202>.
- [Va8] A. Vasiu, *Good Reductions of Shimura varieties of hodge type in arbitrary unramified mixed characteristic, Parts I to II*, available at <http://xxx.arxiv.org/abs/0707.1668> and <http://arxiv.org/abs/0712.1572>.
- [Zi1] T. Zink, *Isogenieklassen von Punkten von Shimuramannigfaltigkeiten mit Werten in einem endlichen Körper*, Math. Nachr. **112** (1983), pp. 103–124.
- [Zi2] T. Zink, *The display of a formal p-divisible group*, Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques, I., pp. 127–248, J. Astérisque, Vol. **278**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 2002.
- [Wa] W. Waterhouse, *Introduction to affine group schemes*, Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. **89**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979.

- [We1] T. Wedhorn, *Ordinariness in good reductions of Shimura varieties of PEL-type*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **32** (1999), no. 5, pp. 575–618.
- [We2] T. Wedhorn, *The dimension of Oort strata of Shimura varieties of PEL-type*, Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), pp. 441–471, Progr. Math., Vol. **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [Wi] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Un scindage de la filtration de Hodge pour certaines variétés algébriques sur les corps locaux*, Ann. of Math. (2) **119**, (1984), no. 3, pp. 511–548.